

Export Trade Certificates of Review, and with the concurrence of the Department of Justice, issue such certificates where the requirements of the Act are satisfied. The Act requires that Commerce, with Justice concurrence, issue regulations governing the evaluation and issuance of certificates before Commerce can accept applications for certification. The collection of information is necessary for the antitrust analysis which is a prerequisite to issuance of a certificate. Without the information there would be no basis upon which a certificate could be issued.

In the Department of Commerce, this economic and legal analysis will be performed by the Office of Export Trading Company Affairs and the Office of the General Counsel. The Department of Justice analysis will be conducted by the Antitrust Division. The purpose of such analysis is to make a determination as to whether or not to approve an application and issue an Export Trade Certificate of Review. If this information is not collected, the antitrust analysis cannot be performed and without that analysis no certificate can be issued. A certificate provides its holder and members named in the certificate (a) immunity from government actions under state and Federal antitrust laws for the export conduct specified in the certificate; (b) some protection from frivolous private suits by limiting their liability in private actions to actual damages when the challenged activities are covered by an Export Certificate of Review. Title III was enacted to reduce uncertainty regarding application of U.S. antitrust laws to export activities—especially those involving actions by domestic competitors.

II. Method of Collection

Form ITA-4093P is sent by request to U.S. firms.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625-0125.

Form Number: ITA-4093P.

Type of Review: Revision-Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions and State, local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 30.

Estimated Time Per Response: 32 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 960.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$344,400 (\$260,000 government and \$134,400 respondents).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 5, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98-12419 Filed 5-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Thane-Coat, Inc, Jerry Vernon Ford and Preston John Engebretson

In the Matters of: Thane-Coat, Inc., 12725 Royal Drive, Stafford, Texas 77477, Jerry Vernon Ford, President, Thane-Coat, Inc., 12725 Royal Drive, Stafford, Texas 77477, and with an address at, 7707 Augustine Drive, Houston, Texas 77036, and Preston John Engebretson, Vice-President, Thane-Coat, Inc., 12725 Royal Drive, Stafford, Texas 77477, and with an address at 8903 Bonhomme Road, Houston, Texas 77074, Respondents.

Decision and Order on Renewal of Temporary Denial Order

On October 31, 1997, Acting Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement Frank W. Deliberti issued a Decision and Order on Renewal of Temporary Denial Order (hereinafter "Order" or "TDO"), renewing for 180 days a May 5, 1997 Order naming Thane-Coat, Inc.; Jerry Vernon Ford, president Thane-Coat, Inc.; Preston John Engebretson, vice-president, Thane-Coat, Inc.; Export Materials, Inc.; and Thane-Coat, International, Ltd. (Thane-Coat, Inc., Ford, and Engebretson hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Respondents" and Export Materials, Inc. and Thane-Coat, International, Ltd., the "affiliated companies"), as persons

temporarily denied all U.S. export privileges 62 FR 60063-60065 (November 6, 1997). The Order will expire on April 29, 1998.

On April 17, 1998, pursuant to Section 766.24 of the Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1997)) (hereinafter the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991 & Supp. 1998)) (hereinafter the "Act"),¹ the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (hereinafter "BXA"), requested that the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement renew the Order against Thane-Coat, Inc., Jerry Vernon Ford, and Preston John Engebretson for 180 days, pursuant to terms agreed to by and between the parties.

In its request, BXA stated that, as a result of an ongoing investigation, it had reason to believe that, during the period from approximately June 1994 through approximately July 1996, Thane-Coat, Inc., through Ford and Engebretson, and using its affiliated companies, Thane-Coat, International, Ltd. and Export Materials, Inc., made approximately 100 shipments of U.S.-origin pipe coating materials, machines, and parts to the Dong Ah Consortium in Benghazi, Libya. These items were for use in coating the internal surface of prestressed concrete cylinder pipe for the Government of Libya's Great Man-Made River Project.² Moreover, BXA's investigation gave it reason to believe that the Respondents and the affiliated companies employed a scheme to export U.S.-origin products from the United States, through the United Kingdom, to Libya, a country subject to a comprehensive economic sanctions program, without the authorizations required under U.S. law, including the Regulations. The approximate value of the 100 shipments at issue was \$35 million. In addition, the Respondents and the affiliated companies undertook several significant and affirmative

¹ The Act expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order 12924 (3 C.F.R., 1994 Comp. 917 (1995)), extended by Presidential Notices of August 15, 1995 (3 C.F.R., 1995 Comp. 501 (1996)), August 14, 1996 (3 C.F.R., 1996 Comp. 298 (1997)), and August 13, 1997 (62 FR 43629, August 15, 1997), continued the Regulations in effective under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (currently codified at 50 U.S.C.A. §§ 1701-1706 (1991 & Supp. 1998)).

² BXA understands that the ultimate goal of this project is to bring fresh water from wells drilled in southeast and southwest Libya through prestressed concrete cylinder pipe to the coastal cities of Libya. This multibillion dollar, multiphase engineering endeavor is being performed by the Dong Ah Construction Company of Seoul, South Korea.

actions in connection with the solicitation of business on another phase of the Great Man-Made River Project.

BXA has stated that it believes that the matters under investigation and the information obtained to date in that investigation support renewal of the TDO issued against the Respondents.³ In that regard, BXA and the Respondents reached an agreement, whereby BXA has sought a renewal of the TDO in a "non-standard" format, denying all of the Respondents' U.S. export privileges to the United Kingdom, The Bahamas, Libya, Cuba, Iraq, North Korea, Iran, and any other country or countries that may be made subject in the future to a general trade embargo by proper legal authority. In return, the Respondents agreed that, among other conditions, at least 14 days in advance of any export that any of the Respondents intends to make of any item from the United States to any destination world-wide, the Respondents will provide to BXA's Dallas Field Office (i) notice of the intended export, (ii) copies of all documents reasonably related to the subject transaction, including, but not limited to, the commercial invoice and bill of lading, and (iii) the opportunity, during the 14-day notice period, to inspect physically the item at issue to ensure that the intended shipment is in compliance with the Export Administration Act, the Export Administration Regulations, or any order issued thereunder.

Based on BXA's showing, I find that it is appropriate to renew the order temporarily denying the export privileges of Thane-Coat, Inc., Jerry Vernon Ford, and Preston John Engebretson in a "non-standard" format, incorporating the terms agreed to by and between the parties. I find that such renewal is necessary in the public interest to prevent an imminent violation of the Regulations and to give notice to companies in the United States and abroad to cease dealing with these persons in any commodity, software, or technology subject to the Regulations and exported or to be exported to the United Kingdom, the Bahamas, Libya, Cuba, Iraq, North Korea, Iran, and any other country or countries that may be made subject in the future to a general trade embargo by proper legal authority, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations with respect to these specific countries. Moreover, I find such

renewal is in the public interest in order to reduce the substantial likelihood that Thane-Coat, Inc., Ford, and Engebretson will engage in activities which are in violation of the Regulations.

Accordingly, *It is therefore ordered:*

First, that Thane-Coat, Inc., and all of its successors or assigns, officers, representatives, agents, and employees when acting on its behalf, Jerry Vernon Ford, and all of his successors, or assigns, representatives, agents and employees when acting on his behalf; and Preston John Engebretson, and all of his successors, or assigns, representatives, agents, and employees when acting on his behalf (all of the foregoing parties hereinafter collectively referred to as the "denied persons"), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") subject to the Export Administration regulations (hereinafter the "Regulations") and exported or to be exported from the United States to the United Kingdom, the Bahamas, Libya, Cuba, Iraq, North Korea, or Iran, or to any other country or countries that may be made subject in the future to a general trade embargo pursuant to proper legal authority (hereinafter the "Covered Countries"), or in any other activity subject to the Regulations with respect to the Covered Countries, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item that is subject to the Regulations and that is exported or to be exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of any of the denied persons any item subject to the Regulations to any of the Covered Countries;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition, or attempted acquisition by any of the denied persons of the ownership, possession, or control of any

item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby any of the denied persons acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from any of the denied persons of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries;

D. Obtain from any of the denied persons in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries, and which is owned, possessed or controlled by any of the denied persons, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by any of the denied persons if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to any of the Covered Countries. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, at least 14 days in advance of any export that any of the denied persons intends to make of any item from the United States to any destination world-wide, the denied person will provide to BXA's Dallas Field Office (i) notice of the intended export, (ii) copies of all documents reasonably related to the subject transaction, including, but not limited to, the commercial invoice and bill of lading, and (iii) the opportunity, during the 14-day notice period, to inspect physically the item at issue to ensure that the intended shipment is in compliance with the Export Administration Act, the Export Administration Regulations, or any order issued thereunder.

Fourth, that, after notice and opportunity for comment, as provided in section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the denied persons by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services, may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

³ On April 9, 1998, BXA requested that the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement renew the October 31, 1997 TDO against Thane-Coat, International, Ltd. and Export Materials, Inc.

Fifth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

A copy of this Order shall be served on each Respondent and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Entered this 29th day of April, 1998.

F. Amanda DeBusk,
Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that, on April 30, 1998, I caused the foregoing Decision and Order on Renewal of Temporary Denial Order to be mailed first-class, postage prepaid to:

Thane-Coat, Inc. 12725 Royal Drive
Stafford, Texas 77477,

Jerry Vernon Ford President Thane-Coat, Inc. 12725 Royal Drive Stafford,
Texas 77477,
and

Preston John Engebretson Vice-President Thane-Coat, Inc. 12725
Royal Drive Stafford, Texas 77477.

Lucinda G. Maruca,
Secretary, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 98-12421 Filed 5-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 976]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 98, Birmingham, AL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the City of Birmingham, Alabama, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 98, for authority to expand FTZ 98 to include five additional sites in Birmingham, Alabama, within the Birmingham Customs port of entry area, was filed by the Board on April 29, 1997 (FTZ Docket 39-97, 62 FR 26772, 5/15/97; amended, 2/16/98, withdrawing a sixth proposed site for the Pizitz/McRae Warehouse);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 98, as amended, is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of April 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98-12332 Filed 5-8-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

FOREIGN-TRADE ZONES BOARD

[Order No. 978]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 205, Ventura County, CA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Board of Harbor Commissioners, Oxnard Harbor District, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 205, for authority to expand FTZ 205-Site 1 and Site 2, located in Port Hueneme and Oxnard, California, within the Port Hueneme Customs port of entry area, was filed by the Board on June 4, 1997 (FTZ Docket 47-97, 62 FR 33829, 6/23/97);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 205 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of April 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98-12329 Filed 5-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 974]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Chevron Products Company (Oil Refinery), Richmond, CA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the San Francisco Port Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 3, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the oil refinery complex of Chevron Products Company, located in Richmond, California, was filed by the Board on June 12, 1997, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 49-97, 62 FR 33828, 6/23/97); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that approval of the application would be in the public interest if approval is subject to the conditions listed below;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 3B) at the oil refinery complex of Chevron Products Company, located in Richmond, California, at the