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## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

## A. Summary

Sections 1886(d) and (g) of the Social Security Act (the Act), set forth a system of payment for the operating costs of acute care hospital inpatient stays under Medicare Part A (Hospital Insurance) based on prospectively-set rates. Section $1886(\mathrm{~g})$ of the Act requires the Secretary to pay for the capital-rel ated costs of hospital inpatient stays under a prospective payment system. Under these prospective payment systems, Medicare payment for hospital inpatient operating and capital-related costs is made at predetermined, specific rates for each hospital discharge. Discharges are classified according to a list of diagnosis-rel ated groups (DRGs).
Certain specialty hospitals are excluded from the prospective payment systems. Under section 1886(d)(1)(B) of the Act, the following hospital s and units are excluded from PPS: psychiatric hospitals or units, rehabilitation hospitals or units, children's hospitals, long term care hospitals, and cancer hospitals. For these hospitals and units, Medicare payment for operating costs is based on reasonable costs subject to a hospitalspecific annual limit.

Under section 1886(a)(4) of the Act, costs incurred in connection with approved graduate medical education (GME) programs are excluded from the operating costs of inpatient hospital services. Hospitals with approved GME programs are paid for the direct costs of GME in accordance with section 1886(h) of the Act; the amount of payment for direct GME costs for a cost reporting period is based on the number of the hospital's residents in that period and the hospital 's costs per resident in a base year.

The regulations governing the hospital inpatient prospective payment system are located in 42 CFR Part 412. The regulations governing excluded hospitals are located in both Parts 412 and 413, and the graduate medical education regulations are found in Part 413.

On August 29, 1997, we published a final rule with comment period in the Federal Register (62 FR 45966) setting forth both statutorily required changes and other changes to the Medicare hospital inpatient prospective payment systems for both operating costs and capital-rel ated costs, which were effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997. This rule also
implemented changes addressing payments for excluded hospitals and payments for graduate medical education costs. This final rule with comment period followed a proposed rule published in the Federal Register on June 2, 1997 (62 FR 29902) that set forth proposed updates and changes.
B. Major Contents of This Proposed Rule

In this proposed rule, we are setting forth proposed changes to the Medicare hospital inpatient prospective payment systems for both operating costs and capital-related costs. This proposed rule would be effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998. Following is a summary of the major changes that we are proposing to make:

1. Changes to the DRG Classifications and Relative Weights
As required by section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act, we must adjust the DRG classifications and relative weights at least annually. Our proposed changes for FY 1999 are set forth in section II. of this preamble.
2. Changes to the Hospital Wage Index

In section III. of this preamble, we discuss proposed revisions to the wage index and the annual update of the wage data. Specific issues addressed in this section include the fol lowing:

- FY 1999 wage index update.
- Changes to the data categories included in the wage index.
- Revisions to the wage index based on hospital redesignations.

3. Other Decisions and Changes to the Prospective Payment System for Inpatient Operating and Graduate Medical Education Costs
In section IV. of this preamble, we discuss several provisions of the regulations in 42 CFR parts 412 and 413 and set forth certain proposed changes concerning the following:

- Definition of transfer cases.
- Rural referral centers.
- Disproportionate share adjustment.
- Bad debts.
- Direct graduate medical education programs.

4. Changes to the Prospective Payment System for Capital-Related Costs
In section V. of this preamble, we discuss several provisions of the regulations in 42 CFR part 412 and set forth certain proposed changes and clarifications concerning the fol lowing:

- Capital indirect medical education payments.
- Payments to new hospitals.

5. Changes for Hospitals and Hospital Units Excluded from the Prospective Payment Systems

In section VI. of this preamble, we discuss the fol lowing criteria governing excluded hospital issues:

- Hospital-within-a-hospital.
- Adjustments to the target amounts for FY 1999.

6. Determining Prospective Payment Operating and Capital Rates and Rate-ofIncrease Limits

In the addendum to this proposed rule, we set forth proposed changes to the amounts and factors for determining the FY 1999 prospective payment rates for operating costs and capital-rel ated costs. We are also proposing update factors for determining the rate-ofincrease limits for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1999 for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system.

## 7. Impact A nalysis

In Appendix A, we set forth an analysis of the impact that the proposed changes described in this proposed rule would have on affected entities.

## 8. Capital Acquisition Model

Appendix B contains the technical appendix on the proposed FY 1999 capital cost model.
9. Report to Congress on the Update Factor for Prospective Payment Hospitals and Hospitals Excluded from the Prospective Payment System

Section 1886(e)(3)(B) of the Act requires that the Secretary report to Congress on our initial estimate of a recommended update factor for FY 1999 for both hospital sincluded in and hospital sexcluded from the prospective payment systems. This report is included as Appendix C to this proposed rule.
10. Proposed Recommendation of Update Factor for Hospital Inpatient Operating Costs

As required by sections 1886(e)(4) and (e)(5) of the Act, Appendix D provides our recommendation of the appropriate percentage change for FY 1999 for the following:

- Large urban area and other area average standardized amounts (and hospital-specific rates applicable to sole community and Medi care-dependent, small rural hospitals) for hospital inpatient services paid for under the prospective payment system for operating costs.
- Target rate-of-increase limits to the al lowable operating costs of hospital inpatient services furnished by hospitals
and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system.

11. Discussion of Medicare Payment Advisory Commission

## Recommendations

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 abol ished the Prospective Payment Assessment Commission (ProPAC) and created the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC). Under section 1805(b) of the Act, MedPAC is required to submit a report to Congress, not later than March 1 of each year, that reviews and makes recommendations on Medicare payment policies. The March 1, 1998 report made several recommendations concerning hospital inpatient payment policies. We reviewed those recommendations and this document sets forth our responses to those recommendations.

Although it has been our practice to include a reprint of ProPAC's March 1 report as an appendix to the proposed rule, we are not following that practice with MedPAC reports. For further information rel ating specifically to that report or to obtain a copy of the report, contact MedPAC at (202) 653-7220.

## II. Proposed Changes to DRG Classifications and Relative Weights

## A. Background

Under the prospective payment system, we pay for inpatient hospital services on the basis of a rate per discharge that varies by the DRG to which a beneficiary's stay is assigned. The formula used to cal cul ate payment for a specific case takes an individual hospital's payment rate per case and multiplies it by the weight of the DRG to which the case is assigned. Each DRG weight represents the average resources required to care for cases in that particular DRG relative to the average resources used to treat cases in all DRGs.
Congress recognized that it would be necessary to recalculate the DRG relative weights periodi cally to account for changes in resource consumption. Accordingly, section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act requires that the Secretary adjust the DRG classifications and relative weights annual ly. These adjustments are made to reflect changes in treatment patterns, technol ogy, and any other factors that may change the relative use of hospital resources. The proposed changes to the DRG classification system and the proposed recal ibration of the DRG weights for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998 are discussed below.
B. DRG Reclassification

1. General

Cases are classified into DRGs for payment under the prospective payment system based on the principal diagnosis, up to eight additional diagnoses, and up to six procedures performed during the stay, as well as age, sex, and discharge status of the patient. The diagnosis and procedure information is reported by the hospital using codes from the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). The M edi care fiscal intermediary enters the information into its claims system and subjects it to a series of automated screens called the Medicare Code Editor (MCE). These screens are designed to identify cases that require further review before classification into a DRG can be accomplished.
After screening through the MCE and any further development of the claims, cases are classified by the GROUPER software program into the appropriate DRG. The GROUPER program was devel oped as a means of classifying each case into a DRG on the basis of the diagnosis and procedure codes and demographic information (that is, sex, age, and discharge status). It is used both to classify past cases in order to measure rel ative hospital resource consumption to establish the DRG weights and to classify current cases for purposes of determining payment. The records for all Medicare hospital inpatient discharges are mai ntained in the Medicare Provider A nal ysis and Review (MedPAR) file. The data in this file are used to eval uate possi ble DRG classification changes and to recal ibrate the DRG weights.
Currently, cases are assigned to one of 496 DRGs in 25 major diagnostic categories (MDCs). M ost M DCs are based on a particular organ system of the body (for example, MDC 6, Diseases and Disorders of the Digestive System); however, some MDCs are not constructed on this basis since they involve multiple organ systems (for example, MDC 22, Burns).
In general, cases are assigned to an MDC based on the principal diagnosis, before assi gnment to a DRG. However, there are five DRGs to which cases are directly assigned on the basis of procedure codes. These are the DRGs for liver, bone marrow, and lung transplant (DRGs 480, 481, and 495, respectively) and the two DRGs for tracheostomies (DRGs 482 and 483). Cases are assigned to these DRGs before classification to an MDC.

Within most MDCs, cases are then divided into surgical DRGs (based on a
surgical hierarchy that orders individual procedures or groups of procedures by resource intensity) and medical DRGs. Medical DRGs generally are differentiated on the basis of diagnosis and age. Some surgical and medical DRGs are further differentiated based on the presence or absence of complications or comorbidities (hereafter CC).

Generally, GROUPER does not consider other procedures; that is, nonsurgical procedures or minor surgical procedures generally not performed in an operating room are not listed as operating room (OR) procedures in the GROUPER decision tables. However, there are a few non-OR procedures that do affect DRG assignment for certain principal diagnoses, such as extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for patients with a principal diagnosis of urinary stones.

The changes we are proposing to make to the DRG classification system for FY 1999 and other decisions concerning DRGs are set forth bel ow. Unless otherwise noted, our DRG analysis is based on the full (100 percent) FY 1997 M edPAR file based on bills received through September 1997.
2. MDC 5 (Diseases and Disorders of the Circulatory System)

In the A ugust 29, 1997 hospital inpatient final rule with comment period ( 62 FR 45974), we noted that, because of the many recent changes in heart surgery, we were considering conducting a comprehensive review of the MDC 5 surgi cal DRGs. We have begun that review, and based upon our analysis thus far, we believe it is appropriate to propose some DRG changes immediately. These proposed changes are set forth below.
a. Coronary Bypass. There are two DRGs that capture coronary bypass procedures: DRG 106 (Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization) and DRG 107 (Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization). The procedures that allow a coronary bypass case to be assigned to DRG 106 include percutaneous valvul opl asty, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), cardiac catheterization, coronary angiography, and arteriography.

In analyzing the FY 1997 MedPAR file, we noted that, of cases assigned to DRG 106, the average standardized charges for coronary bypass cases with PTCA were significantly higher than those cases without PTCA. There were approximately 4,400 cases in DRG 106 where PTCA is performed as a secondary procedure. These cases have an average standardized charge of
approximately $\$ 69,000$. The average charge of the approximately 95,000 cases in DRG 106 without PTCA is approximately $\$ 52,000$.

Based on this analysis, we are proposing to create a new DRG for coronary bypass cases with PTCA. The cases currently in DRG 106 without PTCA would be assigned to another DRG and the cases currently assigned to DRG 107 would be unmodified. Because we would replace two DRGs with three new DRGs, we would revise the DRG numbers and titles accordingly. The new DRGs and their titles are set forth below:
DRG 106 Coronary Bypass with PTCA DRG 107 Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization
DRG 109 Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization
We note that DRG 109 has been an empty DRG for the last several years.
b. Implantable Heart Assist System and Annuloplasty. In the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we moved implant of an implantable, pul satile heart assist system (procedure code 37.66) from DRGs 110 and 111 (Major Cardiovascular Procedures) ${ }^{1}$ to DRG 108 (Other Cardiothoracic Procedures). Although this move improved payment for these procedures, they were still much more expensive than the other cases in DRG 108 (\$96,000 for heart assist versus an average of $\$ 54,000$ for all other cases in the FY 1996 MedPAR file). We stated that we would continue to review the MDC 5 surgical DRGs in an attempt to find a DRG placement for these cases that would be more similar in terms of resource use.
In reviewing the FY 1997 MedPAR file, we note that heart assist system implant continues to be the most expensive procedure in DRG 108. In fact, other than heart transplant, heart assist system implant is the most expensive procedure in MDC 5. The average FY 1997 charge for these cases, when assigned to DRG 108, is over $\$ 150,000$ compared to about $\$ 53,000$ for all cases in DRG 108. Obviously, the charges for heart assist implant are increasing at a much greater rate than the average charges for DRG 108. In addition, the length of stay for cases coded with 37.66 is approximately 32 days compared to about 11 days for all other DRG 108 cases.

[^0]One possibility for improving payment for these cases is to move them to DRGs 104 and 105 (Cardiac Valve Procedures). Those DRGs, which split on the basis of the performance of cardiac catheterization, have average charges of approximately $\$ 66,000$ and \$51,000, respectively. While heart assist implant cases are still more expensive than the average case in these DRGs, payment would be improved. Clinically, placement of heart assist implant in DRGs 104 and 105 is not without precedent. Effective with FY 1988, we placed implant of a total automatic implantable cardioverter defi brillator (AICD) in these DRGs. In addition, the vast majority of procedures assigned to DRG 108 involve surgically splitting open the sternum to perform the procedure. However, implant of the heart assist device does not require this approach.

While reviewing the DRG 108 cases, we al so noted that procedure code 35.33 (annuloplasty) is assigned to this DRG. Annuloplasty is a val ve procedure and is clinically more similar to the cases assigned to DRGs 104 and 105 than it is to the cases assigned to DRG 108. In addition, the average standardized charge for annuloplasty cases assigned to DRG 108 is about $\$ 67,000$, well above the overall average charge of approximately $\$ 53,000$ for cases in DRG 108. Therefore, we are proposing to move annul opl asty from DRG 108 to DRGs 104 and 105.

In order to more accurately reflect the cases assigned to DRGs 104 and 105, we would retitle them as follows:
DRG 104 Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization
DRG 105 Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization.

## 3. MDC 22 (Burns)

Under the current DRG system, burn cases are assigned to one of six DRGs in MDC 22 (Burns), which have not been revised since 1986. In our FY 1998 hospital inpatient proposed rule (June 2, 1997; 62 FR 29912), in response to inquiries we had received, we indicated that we would conduct a comprehensive review of MDC 22 to determine whether changes in these DRGs could more appropriately capture the variation in resource use associated with different classes of burn patients. We solicited public comments on this issue, particularly asking for recommendations on ways to categorize related diagnosis and procedure codes to produce DRG groupings that would be more homogeneous in terms of resource use.

A mong the comments we recei ved was a proposal (endorsed by the A merican Burn Association (ABA)) for restructuring the DRGs based on several statistical and clinical criteria, including age, severity of the burn, and the presence of complications or comorbidities. Although this proposal was structured for a patient population encompassing all ages of patients, we bel ieved that it showed great promise for Medicare patients as well. During the last several months, we have worked closely with representatives of the ABA and with the clinicians who developed the proposal in order to refine it for Medicare purposes.

Based on this work, we are proposing a new set of DRGs for burn cases. Under this proposal, we would replace the six existing DRGs in MDC 22 with eight new DRGs. For ease of reference and classification, the current DRGs in MDC 22, DRGs 456 through 460 and 472, would no longer be valid, and we would establish new DRGs 504 through 511 to contain all cases that currently group to MDC 22. (The complete titles of the new DRGs are set forth below.)

In reviewing the Medicare burn cases, we found that the most important distinguishing characteristic in terms of resource use was the amount of body surface affected by the burn and how much of that burn was a 3rd degree burn. The second most important factor was whether or not the pati ent recei ved a skin graft. Thus, a patient with burns covering at least 20 percent of body area, with at least 10 percent of that a 3rd degree burn, consumed the most resources. However, if a patient met these criteria and did not receive a skin graft, then the case was much less expensive and the average length of stay fell from over 30 days to 8 days. The first two proposed burn DRGs would reflect these distinctions (DRGs 504 and 505).

After classifying the most extensive burn cases, we found that the patients with 3 rd degree burns that did not meet the criteria to be assigned to DRGs 504 and 505 were the most expensive of the remaining cases (that is, those patients whose burns that did not meet the at least 20 percent body area or at least 10 percent 3rd degree criteria). These burns are referred to clinically as "fullthickness burns." A subset of these fullthickness burn cases, those with skin graft or an inhalation injury, were much more expensive than the other cases. After dividing these patients into two groups, with or without skin graft or inhalation injury, we examined whether other factors had an influence on resource use. We found that patients who had a CC (complication or
comorbidity) or a concomitant
significant trauma consumed more resources whether or not they had a skin graft or inhalation injury. Thus, the next four DRGs were defined as fullthickness burns with skin graft or inhal ation injury with or without CC or significant trauma, or full-thickness burns without skin graft or inhalation injury with or without CC or significant trauma (DRGs 506 through 509).

Finally, the last two proposed DRGs (510 and 511) are for cases with nonextensi ve burns. These cases are also split on the basis of CCs or concomitant significant trauma.

Consistent with the recommendations of several commenters on last year's proposed rule, the new burn DRGs would no longer include a separate DRG for cases in which burn patients were transferred to another acute care facility. Overall, we esti mate that these proposed changes would increase by more than 25 percent the amount of variation in resource use explained by the DRGs in MDC 22. They would also improve the clinical coherence of the cases within each DRG. Thus, we believe that the proposed DRGs would provide for improved payment for cases assigned to MDC 22.

The specific diagnosis and procedure codes that would be included in each of the eight DRGs and their titles are as follows:
DRGs 504 and 505-Extensive 3rd Degree Burns with and without Skin Graft

DRGs 504 and 505 would include all cases with burns involving at least 20 percent of body surface area combined with a 3rd degree burn covering at least 10 percent of body surface area. Thus, these cases would have diagnosis codes of $948 . x x$, with a fourth digit of 2 or higher (indicating that burn extends over 20 percent or more of body surface) and a fifth digit of 1 or higher (indicating a 3rd degree burn extending over 10 percent or more of body surface). Cases with the appropriate diagnosis codes would be classified into DRG 504 if one of the following skin graft procedure codes is present:
85.82 Split-thickness graft to breast
85.83 Full-thickness graft to breast
85.84 Pedicle graft to breast
86.60 Free skin graft, NOS
86.61 Full-thickness skin graft to hand
86.62 Other skin graft to hand
86.63 Full-thickness skin graft to other sites
86.65 Heterograft to skin
86.66 Homograft to skin
86.67 Dermal regenerative graft (new code in FY 1999-see Table 6A in section V. of the Addendum)
86.69 Other skin graft to other sites
86.70 Pedicle of flap graft, NOS
86.71 Cutting and preparation of pedicle grafts or flaps
86.72 Advancement of pedicle graft
86.73 Attachment of pedicle or flap graft to hand
86.74 Attachment of pedicle or flap graft to other sites
86.75 Revision of pedicle or flap graft
86.93 Insertion of tissue expander

DRGs 506 and 507-Full Thickness
Burn with Skin Graft or Inhalation Injury with or without CC or Significant Trauma

These DRGs would include all other cases of 3rd degree burns that al so have either a skin graft or an inhal ation injury. Thus, these cases would have diagnosis codes of 941.xx through 946.xx, and 949.xx, with a fourth digit of 3 or higher, as well as cases with codes of 948.xx that did not group into DRGs 504 or 505 (that is, 948.00, 948.01, and 948.1x through 948.9x with a fifth digit of 0 ). In addition, cases classified into DRGs 506 and 507 must have either one of the skin graft procedure codes listed above or one of the following diagnosis codes for inhalation injuries:
518.5 Pulmonary insufficiency following trauma and surgery
518.81 Respiratory failure
518.84 Acute and chronic respiratory failure (new code in FY 1999-see Table 6A in section V. of the Addendum)
947.1 Burn of larynx, trachea, or lung
987.9 Toxic effect of gas, fume, or vapor, NOS

Cases that meet both of these coding criteria would be assigned to DRG 506 if there is a diagnosis code indi cating either a CC (based on the standard DRG CC list) or concomitant significant trauma (based on the significant trauma diagnosis codes, listed by body site, used for classification in MDC 24).
DRGs 508 and 509—Full Thickness Burn without Skin Graft or Inhalation Injury with or without CC or Significant Trauma

These DRGs would include all other cases of 3rd degree burns. Thus, these DRGs would include all cases without a skin graft or inhalation injury that have diagnosis codes of 941.xx through 946.xx, and 949.xx, with a fourth digit of 3 or higher, as well as cases with codes of 948.xx that did not group into DRGs 504 or 505. DRG 508 would also require a secondary diagnosis from the standard CC list or the trauma list based on the significant trauma diagnosis codes, listed by body site, used for classification in MDC 24.

DRGs 510 and 511-Nonextensive Burns with and without CC or Significant Trauma

The remaining burn cases would be classified into one of these two DRGs, depending on whether or not the claim included a diagnosis code reflecting the presence of a CC or a significant trauma, as explained above.

## 4. Legionnaires' Disease

Effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, a new diagnosis code was created for pneumonia due to Legionnai res' disease (code 482.84). In the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we assigned this code to DRGs 79, 80, and 81 (Respiratory Infections and Inflammations) (62 FR 46090). However, we did not include this code as a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) major related condition in MDC 25 (HIV Infections). Because pneumonia due to Legionnaires' di sease is a serious respiratory condition that has a del eterious effect on patients with HIV, we are proposing to assign diagnosis code 482.84 to DRG 489 (HIV with Major Related Condition) as a major related condition. In addition, we did not assign the code as a major problem in DRGs 387 (Prematurity with Major Problems) and 389 (Full Term Neonate with Major Problems). These DRGs are assigned to MDC 15
(Newborns and Other Neonates with Conditions Originating in the Perinatal Period). Again, as a part of this proposed rule, we would assign diagnosi s code 482.84 as a major problem in DRGs 387 and 389 because of its effect on resource use in treating newborns.

## 5. Surgical Hierarchies

Some inpatient stays entail multiple surgical procedures, each one of which, occurring by itself, could result in assignment of the case to a different DRG within the MDC to which the principal diagnosis is assigned. It is, therefore, necessary to have a decision rule by which these cases are assigned to a single DRG. The surgi cal hierarchy, an ordering of surgical classes from most to least resource intensive, performs that function. Its application ensures that cases involving multiple surgical procedures are assigned to the DRG associated with the most resourceintensive surgical class.

Because the relative resource intensity of surgical classes can shift as a function of DRG reclassification and recal ibration, we reviewed the surgical hierarchy of each MDC, as we have for previous recl assifications, to determine if the ordering of classes coincided with
the intensity of resource utilization, as measured by the same billing data used to compute the DRG relative weights.
A surgical class can be composed of one or more DRGs. For example, in MDC 5, the surgical class "heart transplant" consists of a single DRG (DRG 103) and the class "major cardiovascular procedures" consists of two DRGs (DRGs 110 and 111). Consequently, in many cases, the surgical hierarchy has an impact on more than one DRG. The methodology for determining the most resourceintensi ve surgi cal class involves weighting each DRG for frequency to determine the average resources for each surgical class. For example, assume surgical class A includes DRGs 1 and 2 and surgical class B includes DRGs 3, 4, and 5 . Assume also that the average charge of DRG 1 is higher than that of DRG 3, but the average charges of DRGs 4 and 5 are higher than the average charge of DRG 2. To determine whether surgical class A should be higher or lower than surgical class B in the surgical hierarchy, we would weight the average charge of each DRG by frequency (that is, by the number of cases in the DRG) to determine average resource consumption for the surgical class. The surgi cal classes would then be ordered from the class with the highest average resource utilization to that with the lowest, with the exception of "other OR procedures" as discussed below.

This methodology may occasionally result in a case involving multiple procedures being assigned to the lowerweighted DRG (in the highest, most resource-intensive surgical class) of the available alternatives. How ever, gi ven that the logic underlying the surgical hierarchy provides that the GROUPER searches for the procedure in the most resource-intensive surgical class this result is unavoidable.
We note that, notwithstanding the foregoing discussion, there are a few instances when a surgi cal class with a lower average relative weight is ordered above a surgical class with a higher average relative weight. For example, the "other OR procedures" surgi cal class is uniformly ordered last in the surgical hierarchy of each MDC in which it occurs, regardless of the fact that the rel ative weight for the DRG or DRGs in that surgi cal class may be higher than that for other surgical classes in the MDC. The "other OR procedures" class is a group of procedures that are least likely to be related to the diagnoses in the MDC but are occasionally performed on patients with these diagnoses. Therefore, these procedures should only be considered if
no other procedure more closely related to the diagnoses in the MDC has been performed.
A second example occurs when the difference between the average weights for two surgi cal classes is very small. We have found that small differences generally do not warrant reordering of the hierarchy since, by virtue of the hierarchy change, the relative weights are likely to shift such that the higherordered surgical class has a lower average weight than the class ordered below it.
Based on the preliminary recalibration of the DRGs, we are proposing to modify the surgi cal hierarchy as set forth below. As we stated in the September 1, 1989 final rule ( 54 FR 36457), we are unable to test the effects of the proposed revisions to the surgical hierarchy and to reflect these changes in the proposed relative weights due to the unavailability of revised GROUPER software at the time this proposed rule is prepared. Rather, we simulate most major classification changes to approximate the placement of cases under the proposed
reclassification and then determine the average charge for each DRG. These average charges then serve as our best estimate of rel ative resource use for each surgical class. We test the proposed surgical hierarchy changes after the revised GROUPER is received and reflect the final changes in the DRG relative weights in the final rule. Further, as discussed below in section II.C of this preamble, we anticipate that the final recalibrated weights will be somewhat different from those proposed, since they will be based on more complete data. Consequently, further revision of the hierarchy, using the above principles, may be necessary in the final rule.
At this time, we would revise the surgical hierarchy for MDC 3 (Diseases and Disorders of the Ear, Nose, M outh and Throat) as follows:

- We would reorder Sinus and Mastoid Procedures (DRGs 53-54) above Myringotomy with Tube Insertion (DRGs 61-62).
- We would reorder Mouth Procedures (DRGs 168-169) above Tonsil and Adenoid Procedure Except Tonsillectomy and/or Adeniodectomy Only (DRGs 57-58).

6. Refinement of Complications and Comorbidities List
There is a standard list of di agnoses that are considered CCs. We devel oped this list using physician panels to include those diagnoses that, when present as a secondary condition, would be consi dered a substantial
complication or comorbidity. In previous years, we have made changes to the standard list of CCs, either by adding new CCs or deleting CCs already on the list. At this time, we do not propose to delete any of the diagnosis codes on the CC list.

In the September 1, 1987 final notice concerning changes to the DRG classification system (52 FR 33143), we modified the GROUPER Iogic so that certain diagnoses included on the standard list of CCs would not be considered a valid CC in combination with a particular principal diagnosis. Thus, we created the CC Exclusions List. We made these changes to preclude coding of CCs for closely rel ated conditions, to preclude duplicative coding or inconsistent coding from being treated as CCs, and to ensure that cases are appropriately classified between the complicated and uncomplicated DRGs in a pair.

In the May 19, 1987 proposed notice concerning changes to the DRG classification system (52 FR 18877), we explained that the excluded secondary diagnoses were established using the following five principles:

- Chronic and acute manifestations of the same condition should not be considered CCs for one another (as subsequently corrected in the September 1, 1987 final notice (52 FR 33154)).
- Specific and nonspecific (that is, not otherwise specified (NOS)) diagnosis codes for a condition should not be considered CCs for one another.
- Conditions that may not co-exist, such as partial/total, unilateral/bilateral, obstructed/unobstructed, and benign/ malignant, should not be considered CCs for one another.
- The same condition in anatomically proximal sites should not be considered CCs for one another.
- Closely related conditions should not be considered CCs for one another.

The creation of the CC Exclusions List was a major project involving hundreds of codes. The FY 1988 revisions were intended to be only a first step toward refinement of the CC list in that the criteria used for eliminating certain diagnoses from consideration as CCs were intended to identify only the most obvious diagnoses that should not be considered complications or comorbidities of another diagnosis. For that reason, and in light of comments and questions on the CC list, we have continued to review the remaining CCs to identify additional exclusions and to remove diagnoses from the master list that have been shown not to meet the definition of a CC. (See the September 30, 1988 final rule for the revision made
for the discharges occurring in FY 1989 (53 FR 38485); the September 1, 1989 final rule for the FY 1990 revision (54 FR 36552); the September 4, 1990 final rule for the FY 1991 revision (55 FR 36126); the August 30, 1991 final rule for the FY 1992 revision ( 56 FR 43209); the September 1, 1992 final rule for the FY 1993 revision (57 FR 39753); the September 1, 1993 final rule for the FY 1994 revisions (58 FR 46278); the September 1, 1994 final rule for the FY 1995 revisions (59 FR 45334); the September 1, 1995 final rule for the FY 1996 revisions (60 FR 45782); the August 30, 1996 final rule for the FY 1997 revisions (61 FR 46171); and the August 29, 1997 final rule for the FY 1998 revisions (62 FR 45966)).

We are proposing a limited revision of the CC Exclusions List to take into account the changes that will be made in the ICD-9-CM diagnosis coding system effective October 1, 1998. (See section II.B.8, below, for a discussion of ICD-9-CM changes.) These proposed changes are being made in accordance with the principles establ ished when we created the CC Exclusions List in 1987.
Tables 6 F and 6 G in section V . of the Addendum to this proposed rule contain the proposed revisions to the CC Exclusions List that would be effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998. Each table shows the principal diagnoses with proposed changes to the excluded CCs. Each of these principal diagnoses is shown with an asterisk and the additions or deletions to the CC Exclusions List are provided in an indented column immediately following the affected principal diagnosis.
CCs that are added to the list are in Table 6F-Additions to the CC Exclusions List. Beginning with discharges on or after October 1, 1998, the indented diagnoses will not be recognized by the GROUPER as valid CCs for the asterisked principal diagnosis.
CCs that are deleted from the list are in Table 6G-Del etions from the CC Exclusions List. Beginning with discharges on or after October 1, 1998 the indented diagnoses will be recognized by the GROUPER as valid CCs for the asterisked princi pal diagnosis.

Copies of the original CC Exclusions List applicable to FY 1988 can be obtained from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the Department of Commerce. It is available in hard copy for $\$ 92.00$ plus $\$ 6.00$ shipping and handling and on microfiche for $\$ 20.50$, plus $\$ 4.00$ for shipping and handling. A request for the FY 1988 CC Exclusions List (which
should include the identification accession number (PB) 88-133970) should be made to the following address: National Technical Information Service; United States Department of Commerce; 5285 Port Royal Road; Springfield, Virginia 22161; or by calling (703) 487-4650.

Users should be aware of the fact that all revisions to the CC Exclusions List (FY s 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, and 1998) and those in Tables 6F and 6G of this document must be incorporated into the list purchased from NTIS in order to obtain the CC Exclusions List applicable for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998.
Alternativel $y$, the complete documentation of the GROUPER logic, including the current CC Exclusions List, is avai lable from 3M/Heal th Information Systems (HIS), which, under contract with HCFA, is responsible for updating and mai ntaining the GROUPER program. The current DRG Definitions M anual, Version 15.0, is available for $\$ 195.00$, which includes $\$ 15.00$ for shipping and handling. Version 16.0 of this manual, which will include the final FY 1999 DRG changes, will be available in October 1998 for $\$ 225.00$. These manuals may be obtained by writing 3M/HIS at the following address: 100 Barnes Road; Wallingford, Connecticut 06492; or by calling (203) 949-0303. Please specify the revision or revisions requested.

## 7. Review of Procedure Codes in DRGs 468, 476, and 477

Each year, we review cases assigned to DRG 468 (Extensive OR Procedure Unrelated to Principal Diagnosis), DRG 476 (Prostatic OR Procedure Unrelated to Principal Diagnosis), and DRG 477 (Nonextensive OR Procedure Unrel ated to Principal Diagnosis) in order to determine whether it would be appropriate to change the procedures assigned among these DRGs.

DRGs 468, 476, and 477 are reserved for those cases in which none of the OR procedures performed is rel ated to the principal diagnosis. These DRGs are intended to capture atypical cases, that is, those cases not occurring with sufficient frequency to represent a distinct, recognizable clinical group. DRG 476 is assigned to those discharges in which one or more of the following prostatic procedures are performed and are unrelated to the principal diagnosis:
60.0 Incision of prostate
60.12 Open biopsy of prostate
60.15 Biopsy of periprostatic tissue
60.18 Other diagnostic procedures on prostate and periprostatic tissue
60.21 Transurethral prostatectomy
60.29 Other transurethral prostatectomy
60.61 Local excision of lesion of prostate
60.69 Prostatectomy NEC
60.81 Incision of periprostatic tissue
60.82 Excision of periprostatic tissue
60.93 Repair of prostate
60.94 Control of (postoperative) hemorrhage of prostate
60.95 Transurethral balloon dilation of the prostatic urethra
60.99 Other operations on prostate

All remai ning OR procedures are assigned to DRGs 468 and 477, with
DRG 477 assigned to those discharges in which the only procedures performed are nonextensive procedures that are unrel ated to the princi pal diagnosis. The original list of the ICD-9-CM procedure codes for the procedures we consider nonextensive procedures, if performed with an unrelated principal diagnosis, was published in Table 6C in section IV. of the Addendum to the September 30, 1988 final rule (53 FR 38591). As part of the final rules published on September 4, 1990, August 30, 1991, September 1, 1992, September 1, 1993, September 1, 1994, September 1, 1995, August 30, 1996, and August 29, 1997, we moved several other procedures from DRG 468 to 477, as well as moving some procedures from DRG 477 to 468. (See 55 FR 36135, 56 FR 43212, 57 FR 23625, 58 FR 46279, 59 FR 45336, 60 FR 45783, 61 FR 46173, and 62 FR 45981, respectively.)
a. Adding Procedure Codes to MDCs. We annual ly conduct a review of procedures producing DRG 468 or 477 assignments on the basis of volume of cases in these DRGs with each procedure. Our medical consultants then identify those procedures occurring in conjunction with certain principal diagnoses with sufficient frequency to justify adding them to one of the surgical DRGs for the MDC in which the diagnosis falls. Based on this year's review, we did not identify any necessary changes; therefore, we are not proposing to move any procedures from DRGs 468 and 477 to one of the surgi cal DRGs.
b. Reassignment of Procedures Among DRGs 468, 476, and 477. We al so reviewed the list of procedures that produce assignments to DRGs 468, 476, and 477 to ascertain if any of those procedures should be moved from one of these DRGs to another based on average charges and length of stay. Generally, we move only those procedures for which we have an adequate number of discharges to analyze the data. Based on our review this year, we are not proposing to move any procedures from DRG 468 to DRGs 476 or 477 , from DRG 476 to DRGs 468
or 477, or from DRG 477 to DRGS 468 or 476.
8. Changes to the ICD-9-CM Coding System

As discussed above in section II.B. 1 of this preamble, the ICD-9-CM is a coding system that is used for the reporting of diagnoses and procedures performed on a patient. In September 1985, the ICD-9-CM Coordination and Maintenance Committee was formed. This is a Federal interdepartmental committee charged with the mission of maintaining and updating the ICD-9CM. That mission includes approving coding changes, and developing errata, addenda, and other modifications to the ICD-9-CM to reflect newly devel oped procedures and technologies and newly identified diseases. The Committee is also responsible for promoting the use of Federal and non-Federal educational programs and other communication techniques with a view toward standardizing coding applications and upgrading the quality of the classification system.

The Committee is co-chaired by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and HCFA. The NCHS has lead responsibility for the ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes included in the Tabular List and Alphabetic Index for Diseases while HCFA has lead responsibility for the ICD-9-CM procedure codes included in the Tabular List and Alphabetic Index for Procedures.
The Committee encourages participation in the above process by heal th-rel ated organizations. In this regard, the Committee holds public meetings for discussion of educational issues and proposed coding changes. These meetings provide an opportunity for representatives of recognized organizations in the coding fields, such as the American Heal th Information Management A ssociation (AHIMA) (formerly American Medical Record Association (AMRA)), the American Hospital Association (AHA), and various physician specialty groups as well as physicians, medical record administrators, health information management professionals, and other members of the public to contribute ideas on coding matters. After considering the opinions expressed at the public meetings and in writing, the Committee formulates recommendations, which then must be approved by the agencies.
The Committee presented proposals for coding changes at public meetings held on June 5 and December 4 and 5, 1997, and finalized the coding changes after consideration of comments received at the meetings and in writing
within 30 days following the December 1997 meeting. The initial meeting for consideration of coding issues for implementation in FY 2000 will be held on June 4, 1998. Copies of the minutes of the 1997 meetings can be obtained from the HCFA Home Page @http:// www.hcfa.gov/pubaffr.htm, under the "What's New" listing. Paper copies of these minutes are no longer available and the mailing list has been discontinued. We encourage commenters to address suggestions on coding issues involving diagnosis codes to: Donna Pickett, Co-Chai rperson; ICD-9-CM Coordination and Maintenance Committee; NCHS; Room 1100; 6525 Belcrest Road; Hyattsville, M aryland 20782. Comments may be sent by E-mai to: dfp4@cdc.gov.

Questions and comments concerning the procedure codes should be addressed to: Patricia E. Brooks, CoChai rperson; ICD-9-CM Coordination and M ai ntenance Committee; HCFA, Center for Heal th Plans and Providers, Plan and Provider Purchasing Policy Group, Division of Acute Care; C5-0627; 7500 Security Boulevard; Bal timore, Maryland 21244-1850. Comments may be sent by E-mail to: pbrooks@hcfa.gov.

The ICD-9-CM code changes that have been approved will become effective October 1, 1998. The new ICD-9-CM codes are listed, al ong with their proposed DRG classifications, in Tables 6A and 6B (New Diagnosis Codes and New Procedure Codes, respectively) in
section V . of the Addendum to this proposed rule. As we stated above, the code numbers and their titles were presented for public comment in the ICD-9-CM Coordination and M ai ntenance Commi ttee meetings. Both oral and written comments were considered before the codes were approved. Therefore, we are soliciting comments only on the proposed DRG classifications.

Further, the Committee has approved the expansion of certain ICD-9-CM codes to require an additional digit for val id code assignment. Diagnosis codes that have been replaced by expanded codes, other codes, or have been deleted are in Table 6C (Inval id Diagnosis Codes). These invalid diagnosis codes will not be recognized by the GROUPER beginning with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998. The corresponding new or expanded diagnosis codes are included in Table 6A. Procedure codes that have been replaced by expanded codes, other codes, or have been deleted are in Table 6D (Invalid Procedure Codes). Revisions to diagnosis code titles are in Table 6E (Revised Diagnosis Code Titles), which also include the proposed DRG
assignments for these revised codes. For FY 1999, there are no revisions to procedure codetitles.
9. Other Issues-
a. Palliative Care. Effective October 1, 1996 (FY 1997), we introduced a diagnosis code to al low the
identification of those cases in which palliative care was del ivered to a hospital inpatient. This code, V66.7 (Encounter for palliative care), was unusual in that there had been no previous code assignment that included the concept of palliative care. Since this was a new concept, instructional materials were developed and distributed by the AHA as well as specialty groups on the use of this new code. With new codes, it sometimes takes several years for physician documentation to improve and for coders to become accustomed to looking for this type of information in order to assign a code. There is an inclusion note listed under V66.7 which indi cates that this code should be used as a secondary diagnosis only; the patient's medical problem would al ways be listed first. Currently, use of diagnosis code V66.7 does not have an impact on DRG assignment. Consistent with prior practice, we have waited until the FY 1997 data became available for anal ysis before considering any possible modifications to the DRGs.
In analyzing the FY 1997 bills received through September 1997, we found that 4,769 discharges included V66.7 as a secondary diagnosis. These cases were widely distributed throughout 199 DRGs. The vast majority of these DRGs included five or fewer discharges with use of palliative care. Only 12 DRGs included more than 100 cases. These were the following:


Six of these DRGs are cancer-rel ated; however, the other DRGs are quite diverse. Upon further analysis, we found that, for the most part, discharges with code V66.7 do not significantly differ in length of stay from the discharges in the same DRG without code V66.7. Discharges with code V66.7 are sometimes longer and sometimes shorter and the comparative length of stay for a given DRG tends to vary by only one day. In general , the average charges for a palliative care case
discharge with a secondary code of V66.7 were lower than the charges for other discharges within the DRG. However, these differences were rel atively small and were well within the standard variation of charges for cases in the DRG.

One approach we could take to revise the DRGs would be to divide those DRGs with a large number of cases coded with V66.7 into two different DRGs, with and without palliative care. However, the relatively small
proportion of cases in each DRG argues against this approach; no DRG has more than 1 percent of its cases coded with palliative care and, in most cases, the percentage is well under 1 percent. An alternative approach would be to group all palliative care cases, regardless of the underlying disease or condition, into one new DRG. However, the charges of these cases are so varied that this is not a logical choice. In addition, there is a lack of clinical coherence in such an approach. The underlying diagnoses of
these cases range from respiratory conditions to heart failure to septi cemia. Because there are so few cases in the FY 1997 data and they are so widely dispersed among different DRGs, we are not proposing a DRG modification at this time. We will make a more detai led anal ysis of these cases over the next year based on a more complete FY 1997 data file as well as review of the FY 1998 cases that will be available later this year. As time goes by, hospital coders and physicians should become more aware of this code and we hope that more complete data will assist our decision making process.
b. PTCA. Effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, we reassigned cases of PTCA with coronary artery stent implant from DRG 112 to DRG 116. In the A ugust 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we responded to several commenters who contended that PTCA cases treated with platelet inhibitors were as resource intensive as the PTCA with stent implant cases and that these cases should also be moved to DRG 116. However, there is currently no code that describes the infusion of platel et inhibitors. Therefore, we were unable to make any changes in the DRGs for $F Y$ 1998.

## As set forth in Table 6B, New

 Procedure Codes in section V. of the addendum to this proposed rule, a new procedure code for injection or infusion of platel et inhibitors (code 99.20) will be effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998. Our usual policy on new codes is to assign them to the same DRG or DRGs as their predecessor code. Because infusion of platelet inhi bitors is currently assigned to a non-OR procedure code, we followed our usual practice and designated code 99.20 as a non-OR code that does not affect DRG assignment.We will not have any data on this new code until we receive bills for FY 1999. Thus, we would be unable to make any changes in DRG assignment until FY 2001. We note, however, that the Conference Report that accompanied the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997 contained language stating that "*** in order to ensure that Medicare beneficiaries have access to innovative new drug therapies, the Conferees believe that HCFA should consider, to the extent feasible, reliable, validated data other than MedPAR data in annually recalibrating and reclassifying the DRGs." (H.R. Rep. No. 105-217.734). At this time, we have received no data that would allow us to make an appropriate modification of DRG 112 for PTCA cases with platelet infusion therapy. When we devel op the final rule, we will review and anal yze
any data we receive about the use of platel et inhibitors for Medicare beneficiaries. If we bel ieve that the data are adequate to allow identification of the percentage of cases in DRG 112 that recei ve this therapy and the charge and length of stay data convince us that these cases should be moved, we will consider such a move effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998.

## C. Recalibration of DRG Weights

We are proposing to use the same basic methodology for the FY 1999 recalibration as we did for FY 1998. (See the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment (62 FR 45982).) That is, we would recalibrate the weights based on charge data for Medicare discharges. However, we would use the most current charge information available, the FY 1997 MedPAR file, rather than the FY 1996 MedPAR file. The MedPAR file is based on fully-coded diagnostic and surgi cal procedure data for all Medicare inpatient hospital bills.

The proposed recalibrated DRG rel ative weights are constructed from FY 1997 MedPAR data, based on bills recei ved by HCFA through December 1997, from all hospital s subject to the prospective payment system and shortterm acute care hospitals in waiver States. The FY 1997 MedPAR file includes data for approximately 11.2 million Medicare discharges.

The methodol ogy used to cal culate the proposed DRG relative weights from the FY 1997 MedPAR file is as follows:

- To the extent possible, all the claims were regrouped using the proposed DRG classification revisions discussed above in section II.B of this preamble. As noted in section II.B.5, due to the unavailability of revised GROUPER software, we simulate most major classification changes to approximate the placement of cases under the proposed reclassification. However, there are some changes that cannot be modeled.
- Charges were standardized to remove the effects of differences in area wage levels, indirect medical education costs, disproportionate share payments, and, for hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii, the appli cabl e cost-of-living adjustment.
- The average standardized charge per DRG was calculated by summing the standardized charges for all cases in the DRG and dividing that amount by the number of cases classified in the DRG.
- We then eliminated statistical outliers, using the same criteria as was used in computing the current weights. That is, all cases that are outside of 3.0 standard deviations from the mean of the log distribution of both the charges
per case and the charges per day for each DRG.
- The average charge for each DRG was then recomputed (excluding the statistical outliers) and divided by the national average standardized charge per case to determine the relative weight. A transfer case is counted as a fraction of a case based on the ratio of its Iength of stay to the geometric mean length of stay of the cases assigned to the DRG. That is, a 5-day length of stay transfer case assigned to a DRG with a geometric mean length of stay of 10 days is counted as 0.5 of a total case.
- We establ ished the relative weight for heart and heart-lung, liver, and lung transplants (DRGs 103, 480, and 495) in a manner consistent with the methodology for all other DRGs except that the transplant cases that were used to establ ish the weights were limited to those Medicare-approved heart, heartlung, liver, and lung transplant centers that have cases in the FY 1995 M edPAR file. (Medicare coverage for heart, heartlung, liver, and lung transplants is limited to those facilities that have received approval from HCFA as transplant centers.)
- Acquisition costs for kidney, heart, heart-lung, liver, and lung transplants continue to be paid on a reasonable cost basis. Unlike other excluded costs, the acquisition costs are concentrated in specific DRGs (DRG 302 (Kidney Transplant); DRG 103 (Heart Transplant for heart and heart-lung transplants); DRG 480 (Liver Transplant); and DRG 495 (Lung Transplant)). Because these costs are paid separately from the prospective payment rate, it is necessary to make an adjustment to prevent the relative weights for these DRGs from including the effect of the acquisition costs. Therefore, we subtracted the acquisition charges from the total charges on each transplant bill that showed acquisition charges before computing the average charge for the DRG and before eliminating statistical outliers.
When we recalibrated the DRG weights for previous years, we set a threshold of 10 cases as the minimum number of cases required to compute a reasonable weight. We propose to use that same case threshold in recalibrating the DRG weights for FY 1999. Using the FY 1997 M edPAR data set, there are 38 DRGs that contain fewer than 10 cases. We computed the weights for the 38 low-volume DRGs by adjusting the FY 1998 weights of these DRGs by the percentage change in the average weight of the cases in the other DRGs.
The weights developed according to the methodology described above, using the proposed DRG classification
changes, result in an average case weight that is different from the average case weight before recalibration.
Therefore, the new weights are
normalized by an adjustment factor, so
that the average case weight after recali bration is equal to the average case weight before recalibration. This adjustment is intended to ensure that recalibration by itself neither increases nor decreases total payments under the prospective payment system.

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act requires that beginning with FY 1991, reclassification and recalibration changes be made in a manner that assures that the aggregate payments are neither greater than nor less than the aggregate payments that would have been made without the changes. Although normalization is intended to achi eve this effect, equating the average case weight after recalibration to the average case weight before recal ibration does not necessarily achieve budget neutrality with respect to aggregate payments to hospital s because payment to hospitals is affected by factors other than average case weight. Therefore, as we have done in past years and as discussed in section II.A.4.b of the Addendum to this proposed rule, we are proposing to make a budget neutrality adjustment to assure that the requirement of section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act is met.

## III. Proposed Changes to the Hospital Wage Index

## A. Background

Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act requires that, as part of the methodology for determining prospective payments to hospitals, the Secretary must adjust the standardized amounts "for area differences in hospital wage levels by a factor (established by the Secretary) reflecting the rel ative hospital wage level in the geographic area of the hospital compared to the national average hospital wage level." In accordance with the broad discretion conferred under the Act, we currently define hospital Iabor market areas based on the definitions of Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), Primary MSAs (PMSAs), and New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs) issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). OMB al so designates Consol idated MSAs (CMSAs). A CMSA is a metropolitan area with a population of one million or more, comprised of two or more PMSAs (identified by their separate economic and social character). For purposes of the hospital wage index, we use the PMSA s rather than CMSAs since they allow a more precise
breakdown of labor costs. If a metropolitan area is not designated as part of a PMSA, we use the applicable MSA. Rural areas are areas outside a designated MSA, PMSA, or NECMA.

We note that effective A pril 1, 1990, the term Metropolitan Area (MA) replaced the term Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) (which had been used since June 30, 1983) to describe the set of metropolitan areas comprised of MSAs, PMSAs, and CMSAs. The terminology was changed by OMB in the March 30, 1990 Federal Register to distinguish between the individual metropolitan areas known as MSAs and the set of all metropolitan areas (MSAs, PMSAs, and CMSAs) (55 FR 12154). For purposes of the prospective payment system, we will continue to refer to these areas as MSAs.

Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act al so requires that the wage index be updated annually begi nning October 1, 1993. Furthermore, this section provides that the Secretary base the update on a survey of wages and wage-related costs of short-term, acute care hospitals. The survey should measure, to the extent feasible, the earnings and paid hours of employment by occupational category, and must exclude the wages and wagerelated costs incurred in furnishing skilled nursing services. We also adjust the wage index, as discussed bel ow in section III.F, to take into account the geographic reclassification of hospitals in accordance with sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and 1886(d)(10) of the Act.

## B. FY 1999 Wage Index Update

The proposed FY 1999 wage index in section V of the Addendum (effective for hospital discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998 and before October $1,1999)$ is based on the data collected from the M edi care cost reports submitted by hospitals for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1995 (the FY 1998 wage index was based on FY 1994 wage data). The proposed FY 1999 wage index includes the following categories of data, which were also included in the FY 1998 wage index:

- Total salaries and hours from shortterm, acute care hospitals.
- Home office costs and hours.
- Direct patient care contract labor costs and hours.

The proposed wage index al so continues to exclude the direct sal aries and hours for nonhospital services such as skilled nursing facility services, home health services, or other subprovider components that are not subject to the prospective payment system. Finally, as discussed in detail in the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we would cal culate a separate Puerto

Rico-specific wage index and apply it to the Puerto Rico standardized amount. (See 62 FR 45984 and 46041) This wage index is based solely on Puerto Rico's data.

For FY 1999 we are proposing to include two changes to the categories: we will add contract labor costs and hours for top management positions and replace the fringe benefit category with the wage-rel ated costs associated with hospital and home office sal aries category. These two changes reflect changes to the M edi care cost report that were implemented in the FY 1995 hospital prospective payment system September 1, 1994 final rule with comment period (59 FR 45355). The changes were made to the cost report for cost reporting periods beginning during FY 1995. Because we are using wage data from the FY 1995 cost report for the proposed FY 1999 wage index, these two changes will be reflected in the wage index for the first time in FY 1999.
As discussed in detail in the September 1, 1994 final rule with comment period (59 FR 45355), we expanded the definition of contract services reported on the Worksheet S3 to include the labor-rel ated costs associated with contract personnel in a hospital's top four management positions: Chief Executive Officer (CEO)/Hospital Administrator, Chief Operating Officer (COO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and Nursing Administrator. We al so revised the cost report to reflect a change in terminology from "fringe benefits" to "wage-related costs," to promote the consistent reporting of these costs. (See September 1, 1994 final rule with comment period 59 FR 45356-45359.) We made this change in terminology because we believe that it will eliminate confusion regarding those wage-rel ated costs that are incorporated in the wage index versus the broader definition of fringe benefits recognized under the Medicare cost reimbursement principles. Wagerelated costs, which include core and other wage-rel ated costs, are reported on the Form HCFA-339, the Provider Cost Report Reimbursement Questionnaire.

Finally, we have analyzed the wage data for the foll owing costs, which were separately reported for the first time on the FY 1995 cost reports:

- Physician Part A costs.
- Resident and Certified Registered Nurse A nesthetist (CRNA) Part A costs.
- Overhead cost and hours by cost center.

Our analysis and proposals concerning these data are set forth below in section III.C.
C. Proposals Concerning the FY 1999 Wage Index

## 1. Physician Part A Costs.

Currently, if a hospital directly employs a physician, the Part A portion of the physician's salary and wagerelated costs (that is, administrative and teaching service) is included in the calculation of the wage index. However, the costs for contract physician Part A services are not included. Our policy has been that, to be included in the wage index calculation, a contracted service must be related to direct patient care, or, beginning with the FY 1999 wage index, top level management (see discussion above). Because some States have laws that prohi bit hospital s from directly hiring physi cians, the hospitals in those States have clai med that they are disadvantaged by the wage index's exclusion of contract physician Part A costs. We began collecting separate wage data for both direct and contract physician Part A services on the FY 1995 cost report in order to analyze this issue. As we discussed in the September 1, 1994 final rule with comment period ( 59 FR 45354), our original purpose in collecting these data was to exclude all Part A physician costs from the wage index.
When we made the change to the cost report, there were five States in which hospitals were prohibited from directly empl oying physicians. We understand that only two States currently maintain this prohibition: Texas and Cal ifornia. Thus, the number of hospital s affected by our current policy has decreased. Nevertheless, the fact that hospital s in these two States are still prohibited from directly employing physicians for Part A services and, therefore, must enter into contractual agreements with physicians for these services, perpetuates the perceived inequity.
The main reasons we planned to exclude all Part A physician costs rather than include the contract costs was our concern that it would be difficult to accurately attribute the Part A costs and hours of these contract physicians and including these costs could inappropriately inflate the hospital s' average hourly wages. That is, we anticipated that average costs for contract physicians would be significantly higher than the costs for those physicians directly employed by the hospital. However, our anal ysis of the data shows that the average hourly wages for contract physician Part A costs are very similar to, and, in fact slightly lower than, the costs for salaried Part A physician services.

Based on this result, we believe that continuing to include the direct
physician Part A costs and adding the costs for contract physicians would be the better policy. Thus, we are proposing to calculate the FY 1999 wage index including both direct and contract physician Part A costs.

Of the 5,115 hospital s included in the FY 1995 wage data file, approximately 23 percent reported contract physician Part A costs. Including these costs would raise the wage index val ues for one MSA (2 hospitals) by more than 5 percent and 5 MSAs ( 60 hospitals) by between 2 and 5 percent. One Statewide rural area ( 68 hospitals) would experience a decrease between 2 and 5 percent. The wage index values for the remaining 365 areas ( 5,055 hospitals) would be rel atively unaffected, experiencing changes of between -2 and 2 percent. We understand that an unusually large number of hospitals have requested changes to these wage data; therefore, there may be relatively si gni fi cant differences between the wage data file used to calculate the proposed wage index and the final corrected wage data in the file used to cal culate the final wage index. Because of this, we will reevaluate our decision based on that final wage data, which will be submitted by A pril 6, 1998. If we find significant differences in the contract labor costs, we may reconsider our proposal.

## 2. Resident and CRNA Part A Costs

The wage index presently includes salaries and wage-related costs for residents in approved medical education programs and for CRNAs employed by hospitals under the rural pass-through provision. However, Medicare pays for these costs outside the prospective payment system. Removing these costs from the wage index calculation would be consistent with our general policy to exclude costs that are not paid through the prospective payment system, but, because they were not separately identifiable, we could not remove them.

In the September 1, 1994 final rule with comment period (59 FR 45355), we stated that we would begin collecting the resident and CRNA wage data separately and would eval uate the data before proposing a change in computing the wage index. However, there were data reporting problems associated with these costs on the FY 1995 cost report. The original instructions for reporting resident costs on Line 6 of Worksheet S3, Part III, erroneously included teaching physician salaries and other teaching program costs from Worksheet A of the cost report. Although we issued revised instructions to correct this error, we now understand these revisions may
not have been uniformly instituted. Another issue relating to residents' sal aries stems from apparent underreporting of these costs by hospitals and inconsistent treatment of the associated wage-related costs.

In addition, the original Worksheet S3 and reporting instructions did not provide for the separate reporting of CRNA wage-related costs. Another issue with the FY 1995 wage data is the inclusion of contract CRNA Part A costs in the contract labor costs reported on Worksheet S-3. We beli eve that much of the CRNA Part A costs are reported under contract labor, rather than under sal aried employee costs, due to the heavy use of contract labor by rural hospitals. We do not believe that it would be feasible at this time to try to remove these CRNA Part A costs from the contract labor costs. We improved the reporting instructions for CRNA costs on the FY 1996 cost report.

Our analysis of the CRNA and resident wage data submitted on the FY 1995 cost report convinces us that these data are inaccurately and incompletely reported by hospitals. For example, al though there are over 900 teaching hospitals receiving graduate medical education payments, only about 800 hospitals reported resident cost data. Because we do not want to make a relatively significant change in the wage index data calculation without complete and accurate data upon which to base our decision, we are proposing to delay any decision regarding excluding resident and CRNA costs from the wage index until at least next year. We will review the FY 1996 data when it becomes avai lable later this year and present our analysis and any proposals in next year's proposed rule.

## 3. Overhead Allocation

Prior years' wage index calculations have excluded the direct wages and hours associated with certain subprovider components that are excluded from the prospective payment system; however, the overhead costs associated with excluded components have not been removed. We have previously attempted to remove the overhead costs associated with these excluded areas of the hospital on two separate occasions. Based on the quality of the data, as well as comments we received from the public, these proposals were never implemented.

In the September 1, 1995 final rule with comment period (60 FR 45797), we discussed the results of the second of these efforts. Our analysis was prompted by several suggestions from hospital representatives that the current methodology, which removes the higher
nursing costs in excluded areas from the hospital's direct salaries but leaves in the lower general services salaries, negatively distorts wages. However, the results of our analysis at that time dissuaded us from proposing to exclude these areas' overhead costs because the data were unreliable. We revised the FY 1995 cost report to allow for the reporting of the overhead sal aries and hours. We stated that we would reexamine this issue when the FY 1995 cost report data became available.
To al locate overhead costs based on the data reported on Worksheet S-3, we first determined the ratio of the hours reported directly to excluded areas compared to the total hours. Total overhead hours and sal aries were then multiplied by this ratio to allocate the proportion of overhead costs
attri butable to excluded areas. Next, the overhead hours and sal aries attributable to excluded areas were subtracted from the hospital's total hours and salaries, and an average hourly wage reflecting this overhead allocation was computed.
Of the 5,115 hospitals in the FY 1995 wage data file, 3,661 reported overhead hours (hospitals were only required to separately report overhead hours if their number of directly assigned excluded hours exceeded 5 percent of their total hours). The overhead al location would result in an increase in the wage index value of more than 5 percent for only one MSA ( 2 hospitals). A total of 12 labor areas ( 5 Statewide rural (206 hospitals) and 7 MSAs ( 25 hospitals)) would experience an increase of between 2 percent and 5 percent. Only one MSA (29 hospitals) would experience a decline of between 2 and 5 percent. The wage index val ue for the remaining 358 areas ( 4,921 hospitals) would be affected by less than 2 percent.
We are proposing to include this exclusion of overhead allocation in the calculation of the FY 1999 wage index. Although the overall impact on hospitals of this change is relatively small, we bel ieve it is an appropriate step toward improving the overall consistency of the wage index. Additionally, we bel ieve this change will signifi cantly increase the accuracy of the wage data for individual hospitals, especially hospitals that have a relatively small portion of their facility devoted to acute inpatient care.

## D. Verification of Wage Data From the Medicare Cost Report

The data for the proposed FY 1999 wage index were obtai ned from Worksheet S-3, Parts III and IV of the FY 1995 M edi care cost reports. The data file used to construct the proposed wage
index includes FY 1995 data submitted to the Health Care Provider Cost Report Information System (HCRIS) as of early January 1998. As in past years, we performed an intensive review of the wage data, mostly through the use of edits designed to identify aberrant data.

Of the 5,123 hospitals originally in the data file, 851 hospitals had data elements that failed an edit. From midJanuary to mid-February 1998, intermediaries contacted hospital s to revise or verify data elements that resulted in the edit failures.

As of February 17, 1998, 31 hospitals still had unresolved data elements. These unresol ved data el ements are included in the cal culation of the proposed FY 1999 wage index pending their resolution before cal culation of the final FY 1999 wage index. We have instructed the intermediaries to complete their verification of questionable data elements and to transmit any changes to the wage data (through HCRIS) no later than April 6, 1998. We expect that all unresolved data elements will be resolved by that date.
The revised data will be reflected in the final rule.

Also, as part of our editing process, we del eted data for eight hospital s that failed edits. For two of these hospitals, we were unable to obtain sufficient documentation to verify or revise the data because the hospitals are no longer participating in the Medicare program or are in bankruptcy status. The data from the remai ning six partici pating hospitals were removed because inclusion of their data would have significantly distorted the wage index values. The data for these six hospitals will be included in the final wage index if we recei ve corrected data that passes our edits. As a result, the proposed FY 1999 wage index is calculated based on FY 1995 wage data for 5,115 hospitals.

## E. Computation of the Wage Index

The method used to compute the proposed wage index is as follows:

Step 1-As noted above, we are proposing to base the FY 1999 wage index on wage data reported on the FY 1995 Medicare cost reports. We gathered data from each of the non-Federal, short-term, acute care hospital s for which data were reported on the Worksheet S-3, Parts III and IV of the Medicare cost report for the hospital's cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 1994 and before October 1, 1995. In addition, we included data from a few hospitals that had cost reporting periods beginning in September 1994 and reported a cost reporting period exceeding 52 weeks. These data were included because no
other data from these hospitals would be available for the cost reporting period described above, and particular labor market areas might be affected due to the omission of these hospitals. However, we generally describe these wage data as FY 1995 data.

Step 2-For each hospital, we subtracted the excluded sal aries (that is, direct sal aries attributable to skilled nursing facility services, home health services, and other subprovider components not subject to the prospective payment system) from gross hospital sal aries to determine net hospital sal aries. To determine total sal aries plus wage-rel ated costs, we added the costs of contract labor for direct patient care, certain top management, and physician Part A services; hospital wage-related costs, and any home office sal aries and wagerel ated costs reported by the hospital, to the net hospital salaries. The actual cal culation is the sum of lines $2,4,6$, and 33 of Worksheet S-3, Part III. This cal culation differs from the one computed on line 32 of Worksheet S-3, Part III. Therefore, a hospital's average hourly wage calculated under Step 2 will be different from the average hourly wage shown on line 32 , column 5 .
Step 3-For each hospital , we subtracted the reported excluded hours from the gross hospital hours to determine net hospital hours. To determine total hours, we increased the net hours by the addition of home office hours and hours for contract labor attri butable to direct patient care, certain top management, and physician Part A sal aries.

Step 4-For each hospital reporting both total overhead sal aries and total overhead hours greater than zero, we then allocated overhead costs. First, we determined the ratio of excluded area hours (Line 24 of Worksheet S-3, Part III) to revised total hours (Line 9 of Worksheet S-3, Part III, adding back CRNA Part A, physician Part A, and resident hours). Second, we computed the amounts of overhead sal aries and hours to be allocated to excluded areas by multiplying the above ratio by the total overhead salaries and hours reported on Line 16 of Worksheet S-3, Part IV. Finally, we subtracted the computed overhead salaries and hours associated with excluded areas from the total sal aries and hours derived in Steps 2 and 3.

Step 5-For each hospital , we adjusted the total salaries plus wagerelated costs to a common period to determine total adjusted salaries plus wage-related costs. To make the wage inflation adjustment, we estimated the percentage change in the employment
cost index (ECI) for compensation for each 30-day increment from October 14, 1994 through A pril 15, 1996, for private industry hospital workers from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Compensation and Working Conditions. For previous wage indexes, we used the percentage change in average hourly earnings for hospital industry workers to make the wage inflation adjustment. For FY 1999 we are proposing to use the ECl for compensation for private industry hospital workers because it reflects the price increase associated with total compensation (salaries plus fringes) rather than just the increase in sal aries, which is what the average hourly earnings category reflected. In addition, the ECl includes managers as well as other hospital workers. We are al so proposing to change the methodology used to compute the monthly update factors. This new methodology uses actual quarterly ECl data to determine the monthly update factors. The methodol ogy assures that the update factors match the actual quarterly and annual percent changes. The inflation factors used to inflate the hospital's data were based on the midpoint of the cost reporting period as indicated below.

## Midpoint of Cost Reporting PERIOD

| After | Before | Adjustment factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/14/94 | 11/15/94 | 1.032882 |
| 11/14/94 | 12/15/94 | 1.030771 |
| 12/14/94 | 01/15/95 | 1.028721 |
| 01/14/95 | 02/15/95 | 1.026731 |
| 02/14/95 | 03/15/95 | 1.024776 |
| 03/14/95 | 04/15/95 | 1.022827 |
| 04/14/95 | 05/15/95 | 1.020886 |
| 05/14/95 | 06/15/95 | 1.018901 |
| 06/14/95 | 07/15/95 | 1.016822 |
| 07/14/95 | 08/15/95 | 1.014649 |
| 08/14/95 | 09/15/95 | 1.012446 |
| 09/14/95 | 10/15/95 | 1.010279 |
| 10/14/95 | 11/15/95 | 1.008146 |
| 11/14/95 | 12/15/95 | 1.006047 |
| 12/14/95 | 01/15/96 | 1.003981 |
| 01/14/96 | 02/15/96 | 1.001950 |
| 02/14/96 | 03/15/96 | 1.000000 |
| 03/14/96 | 04/15/96 | 0.998181 |

For example, the midpoint of a cost reporting period beginning January 1 , 1995 and ending December 31, 1995 is June 30, 1995. An inflation adjustment factor of 1.016822 would be applied to the wages of a hospital with such a cost reporting period. In addition, for the data for any cost reporting period that began in FY 1995 and covers a period of less than 360 days or greater than 370 days, we annual ized the data to reflect a 1-year cost report. Annual ization is accomplished by dividing the data by
the number of days in the cost report and then multiplying the results by 365.

Step 6-Each hospital was assigned to its appropriate urban or rural labor market area prior to any reclassifications under sections 1886(d)(8)(B) or 1886(d)(10) of the Act. Within each urban or rural labor market area, we added the total adjusted sal aries plus wage-rel ated costs obtained in Step 5 for all hospitals in that area to determine the total adjusted sal aries plus wagerel ated costs for the labor market area.

Step 7-We divided the total adjusted salaries plus wage-related costs obtained in Step 6 by the sum of the total hours (from Step 4) for all hospitals in each labor market area to determine an average hourly wage for the area.

Step 8-We added the total adjusted
salaries plus wage-related costs obtained in Step 5 for all hospital s in the Nation and then divided the sum by the national sum of total hours from Step 4 to arrive at a national average hourly wage. Using the data as described above, the national average hourly wage is \$20.6036.

Step 9-For each urban or rural Iabor market area, we cal culated the hospital wage index value by dividing the area average hourly wage obtained in Step 7 by the national average hourly wage computed in Step 8.

Step 10—Following the process set forth above, we developed a separate Puerto Rico-specific wage index for purposes of adjusting the Puerto Rico standardized amounts. We added the total adjusted salaries plus wage-rel ated costs (as calculated in Step 5) for all hospitals in Puerto Rico and divided the sum by the total hours for Puerto Rico (as calculated in Step 4) to arrive at an overall average hourly wage of $\$ 9.3339$ for Puerto Rico. For each labor market area in Puerto Rico, we cal culated the hospital wage index value by dividing the area average hourly wage (as calculated in Step 7) by the overall Puerto Rico average hourly wage.

Step 11-Section 4410 of Public Law 105-33 provides that, for discharges on or after October 1, 1997, the area wage index applicable to any hospital that is not located in a rural area may not be less than the area wage index applicable to hospitals located in rural areas in that State. Furthermore, this wage index floor is to be implemented in such a manner as to assure that aggregate prospective payment system payments are not greater or less than those which would have been made in the year if this section did not apply. For FY 1999, this change affects 229 hospitals in 34 MSAs. The MSAs affected by this provision are identified in Table 4A by a footnote.
F. Revisions to the Wage Index Based on Hospital Redesignation

Under section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act, hospital s in certain rural counties adjacent to one or more MSAs are considered to be located in one of the adjacent MSAs if certain standards are met. Under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act, the M edi care Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB) considers applications by hospitals for geographic reclassification for purposes of payment under the prospective payment system.
The methodology for determining the wage index values for redesignated hospitals is applied jointly to the hospitals located in those rural counties that were deemed urban under section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act and those hospitals that were reclassified as a result of the M GCRB decisions under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act. Section 1886(d)(8)(C) of the Act provides that the application of the wage index to redesignated hospitals is dependent on the hypothetical impact that the wage data from these hospitals would have on the wage index val ue for the area to which they have been redesignated. Therefore, as provided in section 1886(d)(8)(C) of the Act, the wage index values were determined by considering the following:

- If including the wage data for the redesi gnated hospitals would reduce the wage index value for the area to which the hospitals are redesignated by 1 percentage point or less, the area wage index value determined exclusive of the wage data for the redesi gnated hospitals applies to the redesignated hospitals.
- If including the wage data for the redesi gnated hospitals reduces the wage index value for the area to which the hospitals are redesignated by more than 1 percentage point, the hospitals that are redesi gnated are subject to that combined wage index value.
- If including the wage data for the redesignated hospitals increases the wage index value for the area to which the hospital s are redesignated, both the area and the redesignated hospitals receive the combined wage index value.
- The wage index value for a redesignated urban or rural hospital cannot be reduced bel ow the wage index value for the rural areas of the State in which the hospital is located.
- Rural areas whose wage index values would be reduced by excluding the wage data for hospitals that have been redesignated to another area continue to have their wage index values cal culated as if no redesi gnation had occurred.
- Rural areas whose wage index values increase as a result of excluding
the wage data for the hospitals that have been redesignated to another area have their wage index values cal culated exclusive of the wage data of the redesignated hospitals.
- The wage index val ue for an urban area is cal culated exclusive of the wage data for hospitals that have been reclassified to another area. However, geographic reclassification may not reduce the wage index value for an urban area bel ow the statewide rural wage index value.
We note that, except for those rural areas where redesignation would reduce the rural wage index value, the wage index value for each area is computed exclusive of the wage data for hospitals that have been redesignated from the area for purposes of their wage index. As a result, several urban areas listed in Table 4a have no hospitals remaining in the area. This is because all the hospitals original ly in these urban areas have been reclassified to another area by the MGCRB. These areas with no remaining hospitals receive the prereclassified wage index value. The prereclassified wage index value will apply as long as the area remains empty.
The proposed revised wage index values for FY 1999 are shown in Tables $4 \mathrm{~A}, 4 \mathrm{~B}, 4 \mathrm{C}$, and 4 F in the Addendum to this proposed rule. Hospitals that are redesi gnated should use the wage index values shown in Table 4C. A reas in Table 4C may have more than one wage index value because the wage index value for a redesignated urban or rural hospital cannot be reduced below the wage index value for the rural areas of the State in which the hospital is located. When the wage index value of the area to which a hospital is redesignated is lower than the wage index value for the rural areas of the State in which the hospital is located, the redesignated hospital receives the higher wage index value, that is, the wage index value for the rural areas of the State in which it is located, rather than the wage index value otherwise applicable to the redesignated hospitals.
Tables 4D and 4E list the average hourly wage for each labor market area, prior to the redesignation of hospitals, based on the FY 1995 wage data. In addition, Table 3C in the Addendum to this proposed rule includes the adjusted average hourly wage for each hospital based on the FY 1995 data (as calculated from Steps 4 and 5, above). The MGCRB will use the average hourly wage published in the final rule to eval uate a hospital's application for reclassification, unless that average hourly wage is later revised in accordance with the wage data correction policy described in
§ 412.63(w)(2). In such cases, the MGCRB will use the most recent revised data used for purposes of the hospital wage index. Hospitals that choose to apply before publication of the final rule may use the proposed wage data in applying to the MGCRB for wage index reclassifications that would be effective for FY 2000. We note that in adjudicating these wage index reclassification requests during FY 1999, the MGCRB will use the average hourly wages for each hospital and labor market area that are reflected in the final FY 1999 wage index.

At the time this proposed wage index was constructed, the MGCRB had completed its review. The proposed FY 1999 wage index values incorporate all 435 hospitals redesignated for purposes of the wage index (hospitals redesignated under section 1886(d)(8)(B) or 1886(d)(10) of the Act) for FY 1999. The final number of reclassifications may be different because some MGCRB decisions are still under review by the Administrator and because some hospitals may withdraw their requests for reclassification.

Any changes to the wage index that result from withdrawals of requests for reclassification, wage index corrections, appeal s, and the Administrator's review process will be incorporated into the wage index values published in the final rule. The changes may affect not only the wage index value for specific geographic areas, but al so whether redesignated hospitals receive the wage index value for the area to which they are redesignated, or a wage index value that includes the data for both the hospitals al ready in the area and the redesignated hospitals. Further, the wage index value for the area from which the hospitals are redesignated may be affected.

Under § 412.273, hospitals that have been reclassified by the MGCRB are permitted to withdraw their applications within 45 days of the publication of this Federal Register document. The request for withdrawal of an application for reclassification that would be effective in FY 1999 must be received by the MGCRB by June 22, 1998. A hospital that requests to withdraw its application may not later request that the MGCRB decision be reinstated.

## G. Requests for Wage Data Corrections

As a part of the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we implemented a new timetable for requesting wage data corrections ( 62 FR 45990). In February 1998, we notified hospital s again of these changes through a memorandum to the fiscal
intermediaries. To allow hospitals time to evaluate the wage data used to construct the proposed FY 1999 hospital wage index, we made avail able to the public a data file contai ning the FY 1995 hospital wage data. In a memorandum dated February 2, 1998, we instructed all Medicare intermedi aries to inform the prospective payment hospital s that they serve of the availability of the wage data file and the process and timeframe for requesting revisions. The wage data file was made available February 6, 1998, through the Internet at HCFA's home page (http:// www.hcfa.gov). The intermediaries were al so instructed to advise hospitals of the alternative avail ability of these data through their representative hospital organizations or directly from HCFA. Additional details on ordering this data file are discussed in section IX.A of this preamble, "Requests for Data from the Public."
In addition, Table 3 C in the Addendum to this proposed rule contains each hospital's adjusted average hourly wage used to construct the proposed wage index values. A hospital can verify its adjusted average hourly wage, as cal culated from Steps 4 and 5 of the computation of the wage index (see section III.E of this preamble, above) based on the wage data on the hospital's cost report (after taking into account any adjustments made by the intermediary), by dividing the adjusted average hourly wage in Table 3C by the appli cable wage adjustment factors as set forth above in Step 5 of the computation of the wage index. As noted above, however, a hospital's average hourly wages using this cal culation will vary from the average hourly wages shown on Line 32 of Worksheet S-3, Part III. An updated Table 3C (al ong with applicable wage adjustment factors) will be included in the final rule.
We believe hospitals have had ample time to ensure the accuracy of their FY 1995 wage data. M oreover, the ultimate responsibility for accurately completing the cost report rests with the hospital, which must attest to the accuracy of the data at the time the cost report is filed. However, if after review of the wage data file rel eased February 6 , a hospital believed that its FY 1995 wage data were incorrectly reported, the hospital was to submit corrections al ong with complete, detailed supporting documentation to its intermediary by March 9, 1998. To be reflected in the final wage index, any wage data corrections must be reviewed and verified by the intermediary and transmitted to HCFA on or before A pril 6,1998 . These deadl ines are necessary
to allow sufficient time to review and process the data so that the final wage index cal culation can be completed for devel opment of the final prospective payment rates to be published by August 1, 1998. We cannot guarantee that corrections transmitted to HCFA after April 6 will be reflected in the final wage index.
After reviewing requested changes submitted by hospitals, intermediaries transmitted any revised cost reports to HCRIS and forwarded a copy of the revised Worksheet S-3, Parts III and IV to the hospitals. If requested changes were not accepted, fiscal intermediaries notified hospitals of the reasons why the changes were not accepted. This procedure ensures that hospitals have every opportunity to verify the data that will be used to construct their wage index values. We believe that fiscal intermediaries are generally in the best position to make evaluations regarding the appropriateness of a particular cost and whether it should be included in the wage index data. However, if a hospital disagrees with the intermediary's resolution of a requested change, the hospital may contact HCFA in an effort to resol ve policy disputes. We note that the April 6 deadl ine also applies to these requested changes. We will not consider factual determinations at this time as these should have been resolved earlier in the process.
We have created the process described above to resolve all substantive wage data correction disputes before we finalize the wage data for the FY 1999 payment rates. Accordingly, hospitals that do not meet the procedural deadl ines set forth above will not be afforded a later opportunity to submit wage corrections or to dispute the intermediary's decision with respect to requested changes.
We note that, beginning this year with the FY 1999 wage index, the final wage index that is publ ished August 1 will incorporate all corrections, including those to correct data entry or tabulation errors of the final wage data by the intermediary or HCFA. The final wage data public use file will be released by May 7, 1998. Hospitals will have until June 5,1998 , to submit requests to correct errors in the final wage data due to data entry or tabulation errors by the intermediary or HCFA. The correction requests that will be considered after the March 9 deadline will be limited to errors in the entry or tabulation of the final wage data which the hospital could not have known about prior to March 9, 1998.
The final wage data file released in early May will contain the wage data that will be used to construct the wage
index values in the final rule. As with the file made available in February, HCFA will make the final wage data file released in May avail able to hospital associations and the public (on the Internet). This file, however, is being made available only for the limited purpose of identifying any potential errors made by HCFA or the intermediary in the entry of the final wage data that result from the correction process described above (with the March 9 deadline), not for the initiation of new wage data correction requests. Hospitals are encouraged to review their hospital wage data promptly after the release of the final file.

If, after reviewing the final file, a hospital believes that its wage data are incorrect due to a fiscal intermediary or HCFA error in the entry or tabulation of the final wage data, it should send a letter to both its fiscal intermediary and HCFA. The letters should outline why the hospital believes an error exists and provide all supporting information, including dates. These requests must be received by HCFA and the intermediaries no later than June 5, 1998. Requests mailed to HCFA should be sent to: Health Care Financing Administration; Center for Heal th Plans and Providers; Attention: Stephen Phillips, Technical Advisor; Division of A cute Care; C5-06-27; 7500 Security Boulevard; Baltimore, MD 21244-1850. Each request also must be sent to the hospital's fiscal intermediary. The intermediary will review requests upon receipt and contact HCFA immediately to discuss its findings.
At this time, changes to the hospital wage data will be made only in those very limited situations involving an error by the intermediary or HCFA that the hospital could not have known about before its review of the final wage data file. Specifically, neither the intermediary nor HCFA will accept the following types of requests at this stage of the process:

- Requests for wage data corrections that were submitted too late to be included in the data transmitted to HCRIS on or before A pril 6, 1998.
- Requests for correction of errors that were not, but could have been, identified during the hospital's review of the February 1998 wage data file.
- Requests to revisit factual determinations or policy interpretations made by the intermediary or HCFA during the wage data correction process.

Verified corrections to the wage index recei ved timely (that is, by June 5, 1998) will be incorporated into the final wage index to be published by August 1, 1998, and effective October 1, 1998.

A gain, we believe the wage data correction process described above provides hospital s with sufficient opportunity to bring errors in their wage data to the intermediary's attention. Moreover, because hospitals will have access to the final wage data by early May, they will have the opportunity to detect any data entry or tabulation errors made by the intermediary or HCFA before the development and publication of the FY 1999 wage index by August 1, 1998, and the implementation of the FY 1999 wage index on October 1, 1998. If hospitals avail themsel ves of this opportunity, the wage index implemented on October 1 should be free of such errors. Nevertheless, in the unlikely event that errors should occur after that date, we retain the right to make midyear changes to the wage index under very limited circumstances.
Specifically, in accordance with § 412.63(w)(2), we may make midyear corrections to the wage index only in those limited circumstances where a hospital can show: (1) That the intermediary or HCFA made an error in tabulating its data; and (2) that the hospital could not have known about the error, or did not have an opportunity to correct the error, before the beginning of FY 1999 (that is, by the June 5, 1998 deadline). A s indicated earlier, since a hospital will have the opportunity to verify its data, and the intermedi ary will notify the hospital of any changes, we do not foresee any specific circumstances under which midyear corrections would be made. However, should a midyear correction be necessary, the wage index change for the affected area will be effective prospectively from the date the correction is made.

## IV.-V. Other Decisions and Changes to the Prospective Payment System for Inpatient Operating Costs

A. Definition of Transfers (§ 412.4)

Pursuant to section 1886(d)(5)(I) of the Act, the prospective payment system distinguishes between "discharges," situations in which a patient leaves an acute care (prospective payment) hospital after receiving complete acute care treatment, and "transfers," situations in which the patient is transferred to another acute care hospital for related care. If a full DRG payment were made to each hospital involved in a transfer situation, irrespective of the length of time the patient spent in the "sending" hospital prior to transfer, a strong incentive to increase transfers would be created, thereby unnecessarily endangering
patients' health. Therefore, our policy, which is set forth in the regulations at § 412.4, provides that, in a transfer situation, full payment is made to the final discharging hospital and each transferring hospital is paid a per diem rate for each day of the stay, not to exceed the full DRG payment that would have been made if the patient had been discharged without being transferred.
Currently, the per diem rate paid to a transferring hospital is determined by dividing the full DRG payment that would have been paid in a nontransfer situation by the geometric mean length of stay for the DRG into which the case falls. Hospitals receive twice the per diem for the first day of the stay and the per diem for every following day up to the full DRG amount. Transferring hospitals are also eligible for outlier payments for cases that meet the cost outlier criteria established for all other cases (nontransfer and transfer cases alike) classified to the DRG. Two exceptions to the transfer payment policy are transfer cases classified into DRG 385 (Neonates, Died or Transferred to Another Acute Care Facility) and DRG 456 (Burns, Transferred to A nother Acute Care Facility), which receive the full DRG payment instead of being paid on a per diem basis.
Under section 1886(d)(5)(J) of the Act, which was added by section 4407 of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997, a
"qual ified discharge" from one of 10 DRGs selected by the Secretary to a postacute care provider will be treated as a transfer case beginning with discharges on or after October 1, 1998. Section 1886(d)(5)(J)(iii) confers broad authority on the Secretary to select 10 DRGs "based upon a high volume of discharges classified within such group and a disproportionate use of" certain post discharge services. Section 1886(d)(5)(J)(ii) defines a "qualified discharge" as a di scharge from a prospective payment hospital of an individual whose hospital stay is classified in one of the 10 selected DRGs if, upon such discharge, the individual-

- Is admitted to a hospital or hospital unit that is not a prospective payment system hospital;
- Is admitted to a skilled nursing facility; or
- Is provided home health services by a home health agency if the services relate to the condition or diagnosis for
which the individual received inpatient hospital services and if these services are provided within an appropriate period as determined by the Secretary.
The Conference A greement that accompanied the law noted that " (t)he Conferees are concerned that Medicare may in some cases be overpaying hospitals for patients who are transferred to a post acute care setting after a very short acute care hospital stay. The Conferees bel ieve that Medicare's payment system should continue to provide hospitals with strong incentives to treat patients in the most effective and efficient manner, while at the same time, adjust PPS [prospective payment system] payments in a manner that accounts for reduced hospital lengths of stay because of a discharge to another setting." (H.R. Rep. No. 105-217, 740.) In its March 1, 1997 report, ProPAC expressed similar concerns: "* * * length of stay declines have been greater in DRGs associated with substantial postacute care use, suggesting a shift in care from hospital inpatient to postacute settings" (pp. 2122).

In fact, based on the latest available data, overall Medicare hospital costs per case have decreased during FYs 1994 and 1995. This unprecedented real decline in costs per case has led to historically high Medicare operating margins (over 10 percent on average). Along with these declining lengths of stay and costs per case, there has been an increase in the utilization of postacute care. In 1990, the rate of skilled nursing facility services per 1,000 Medi care enrollees was 19. By 1995, it had grown to 33 . Corresponding numbers for home health agency services are 58 per 1,000 Medicare enrollees during 1990 and 93 per 1,000 enrollees during 1995. Although home health services are not al ways directly related to a hospital ization episode, there does appear to be a trend toward increased use of home heal th for the provision of postacute care rehabilitation services. Previous analysis of the percentage of hospital discharges that receive postacute home health care showed a 10.3 percent increase in 1994 compared to 1992.

Our proposals to implement section 1886(d)(5)(J) of the Act are set forth below.

## 1. Selection of 10 DRGs

Section 1886(d)(5)(J)(iii)(I) of the Act provides that the Secretary select 10

DRGs based on a high volume of discharges to postacute care and a disproportionate use of postacute care services. Therefore, in order to select the DRGs to be paid as transfers, we first identified those DRGs with the highest percentage of postacute care.
We used the FY 1996 MedPAR file because the complete FY 1997 MedPAR file was not avai lable at the time we conducted our analysis. To identify postacute care utilization, we merged hospital inpatient bill files with postacute care bill files matching beneficiary identification numbers and discharge and admission dates. We created this file rather than depend on information concerning discharge destination on the inpatient bill because we have found that the discharge destination codes included on the hospital bills are often inaccurate in identifying discharges to a facility other than another prospective payment hospital.
Section 1886(d)(5)(J)(ii)(III) of the Act requires the Secretary to choose an appropriate window of days in which the home heal th services start in order for the di scharge to meet the definition of a transfer. In order to include postdischarge home heal th utilization in our anal ysis, we identified all hospital discharges for patients who received any home heal th care within 7 days after the date of discharge. (As described below in section IV.A.2., we ultimately decided to propose 3 days as the window for home health services.)
Starting with the DRG with the highest percentage of postacute care discharges and continuing in descending order, we selected the first 20 DRGs that had a relatively large number of discharges to postacute care (our lower limit was 14,000 cases). In order to select 10 DRGs from the 20 DRGs on our list, for each of the DRGs we considered the volume and percent age of discharges to postacute care that occurred before the mean length of stay and whether the discharges occurring early in the stay were more likely to receive postacute care. The following table lists the 10 DRGs we are proposing to include under our expanded transfer definition, their percentage of postacute utilization compared to total cases, and the total number of cases identified as going to postacute care.

| DRG | Title and type of DRG (surgical or medical) | Percent of postacute utilization | Number of postacute cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Specific Cerebrovascular Disorders Except Transient Ischemic Attack (Medical) | 49.5 | 186,845 |
| 113 | Amputation for Circulatory System Disorders Excluding Upper Limb and Toe (Surgical) | 59.0 | 28,402 |
| 209 | Major Joint Limb Reattachment Procedures of Lower Extremity (Surgical) ................... | 71.9 | 257,875 |
| 210 | Hip and Femur Procedures Except Major Joint Age >17 With CC (Surgical) | 77.8 | 111,799 |
| 211 | Hip and Femur Procedures Except Major Joint Age >17 Without CC (Surgical) | 74.2 | 19,548 |
| 236 | Fractures of Hip and Pelvis (Medical) .......................................................... | 61.2 | 24,498 |
| 263 | Skin Graft and/or Debridement for Skin Ulcer or Cellulitis With CC (Surgical) | 49.4 | 14,499 |
| 264 | Skin Graft and/or Debridement for Skin Ulcer or Cellulitis W/O CC (Surgical) .................................... | 39.3 | 1,328 |
| 429 | Organic Disturbances and Mental Retardation (Medical) | 45.4 | 19,314 |
| 483 | Tracheostomy Except for Face, Mouth and Neck Diagnoses (Surgical) ............................................ | 45.3 | 18,254 |

We included DRG 263 on the list because of its ranking in the top 20 DRGs in terms of postacute utilization and volume of discharges to postacute care. DRGs 263 and 264 are paired DRGS; that is, the only difference in the cases assigned to DRG 263 as opposed to DRG 264 is that the patient has a complicating or comorbid condition. If we included only DRG 263 in the list, it would be possible for a transfer case with a relatively short length of stay that should be assigned to DRG 263 and receive a relatively small transfer payment to be assigned instead to DRG 264, and receive the full DRG payment, simply by failing to include the CC diagnosis code on the bill. Therefore, our choice was to either del ete DRG 263 from the list or add DRG 264. We decided to include DRG 264 in the proposed list because DRG 263 fully meets all the conditions for inclusion on the list of 10 DRGS.

## 2. Postacute Care Settings

Section 1886(d)(5)())(ii) of the Act requires the Secretary to define and pay as transfers cases from one of 10 DRGs sel ected by the Secretary if the individual is discharged to one of the following settings:

- A hospital or hospital unit that is not a subsection [1886](d) hospital, that is a hospital or unit excluded from the inpatient prospective payment system.
- A skilled nursing facility that is, a facility that meets the definition of a skilled nursing facility set forth at section 1819 of the Act.
- Home health services provided by a home health agency, if the services are related to the condition or diagnosis for which the individual received inpatient hospital services, and if the home health services are provided within an appropriate period (as determi ned by the Secretary).
Section 1886(d)(1)(B) of the Act defines the hospitals and hospital units that are excluded from the prospective payment system as the fol lowing: psychiatric, rehabilitation, childrens', long-term care, and cancer hospitals and
psychiatric and rehabilitation distinct part units of a hospital. Therefore, any discharge from a prospective payment hospital from one of the 10 proposed DRGS that is admitted to one of these types of facilities on the date of discharge from the acute hospital, on or after October 1, 1998, would be considered a transfer and paid accordingly under the prospective payment systems (operating and capital) for inpatient hospital services.

A discharge from a prospective payment hospital to a skilled nursing facility would include cases di scharged from one of the 10 DRGS from an inpatient bed in the hospital to a bed in the same hospital that has been designated for the provision of skilled nursing care (a "swing" bed). The swing bed provision allows certain small rural hospital sto furnish services in inpatient beds which, if furni shed by a skilled nursing facility, would constitute extended care services. In addition, any patient who receives swing-bed services is deemed to have recei ved extended care services as if furni shed by a skilled nursing facility. Thus, if swing beds are not included in the transfer policy, those hospitals with swing bed agreements could move patients assigned to one of the 10 selected DRGs as if it were a discharge from an inpatient bed to a swing bed and receive payment. We do not believe that this would be a fair policy in that it would create a payment advantage for swing bed hospitals. Therefore, we are providing in the regulations that a discharge to a swing bed will be paid as a transfer when the patient is classified to one of the 10 sel ected DRGs.

Section 1886(d)(5)(J)(ii)(III) of the Act states that the discharge of an individual who recei ves home health services upon discharge will be treated as a transfer if "such services are provided within an appropriate period (as determined by the Secretary) * * *." As discussed above in section IV.A.1, we began our analysis using 7 days (one week) as the time period we would consider. We
now believe that 3 days after the date of discharge is a more appropriate timeframe. Based on our analysis of the FY 1996 bills, approximately 90 percent of patients began receiving home heal th care within 3 days. We are particularly interested in receiving comments on the appropriate period of time in which home health services should begin in the context of the transfer policy.
With regard to an appropriate definition of "home heal th services * * * relate[d] to the condition or diagnosis for which the indi vidual received inpatient hospital services * * *", we considered several possible approaches. Under one approach we could compare the principal diagnosis of the inpatient stay to the diagnosis code indicated on the home health bill, similar to our policy on the 3-day payment window for preadmission services. However, we believe that is far too restrictive in terms of qualifying discharges for transfer payment. In addition, a hospital will not know when it di scharges a patient to home heal th what diagnosis code the home heal th agency will put on the bill. Therefore, the hospital would not be able to correctly code the inpatient bill as a transfer or discharge.
We al so considered proposing that any home heal th care that begins within the designated timeframe be included "as related" in our definition. However, this definition might be too broad and the hospital would not be able to predict which cases should be coded as transfers because the hospital often may not know about home health services that are provided upon discharge but were not ordered or planned for as part of the hospital discharge plan.
We are proposing that home health services would be considered related to the hospital discharge if the patient is discharged from the hospital with a written plan of care for the provision of home health care services from a home heal th agency. In this way, the hospital would be fully aware of the status of the patient when discharged and could be held responsible for correctly coding the
discharge as a transfer on the inpatient bill. In general, this would mean that the home heal th service would qualify as a Part A home heal th benefit under section 1861(tt) of the Act as added by section 4611 (b) of the BBA
We note, however, that we plan to compare inpatient bills with home heal th service bills for care provided within 3 days after discharge, similar to our current claims edit for hospital to hospital transfers. If we find that home heal th services were provided within the postdischarge window, the hospital will be notified and the hospital payment adjusted unless the hospital can submit documentation verifying the discharge status of the patient. This will al ert hospitals if there are problems with their discharge/transfer billing and allow them to adjust their discharge planning process and billing practices. If we find a continued pattern of a hospital billing for cases from the 10 DRGs as discharges and our records indi cate that the patients are recei ving postacute care services from an excluded hospital, a skilled nursing facility, or within the 3-day home health service window, the hospitals may be investigated for fraudulent or abusive billing practices.

## 3. Payment Methodology

The statute does not di ctate the payment methodology we must use for these transfer cases. However, section 1886(d)(5)(J)(i) of the Act provides that the payment amount for a case may not exceed the sum of half the full DRG payment amount and half of the payment amount under the current per diem payment methodology.
Based on our analysis comparing the costs per case for the transfers in the 10 DRGs with payments under our current transfer payment methodology, we found that most of the 10 DRGs are appropriately paid using our current methodology (that is, twice the per diem for the first day and the per diem for each subsequent day). In fact, this payment would, on average, slightly exceed costs. However, this is not true of DRGs 209, 210, and 211. For those three DRGs, a disproportionate percentage (about 50 percent) of the costs of the case are incurred on the first day of the stay. Therefore, we are proposing to pay DRGs 209, 210, and 211 based on 50 percent of the DRG payment for the first day of the stay and 50 percent of the per diem for the remaining days of the stay. The other seven DRGs would be paid under the current transfer payment methodology.
In Appendix E to this proposed rule, we have included tables that illustrate, for 9 of the 10 DRGs, the number of total
and postacute discharges by length of stay, the geometric mean lengths of stay from FY 1983 through FY 1997, and the estimated average costs and transfer payments by length of stay. (The summary information for DRG 264 was not avai lable at the time of publication because it was not included in the original data file of 20 DRGs used for our analysis.) For DRGs 209, 210, and 211, the payment line is determined on the basis of the al ternative payment formula described above.

These tables demonstrate that a very I arge number of discharges from these 10 DRGs receive postacute care. In addition, the length of stay for these DRGs has decreased sharply over the last several years. We believe that this proposed policy will both decrease the hospitals' financial incentive to discharge patients very early in the stay, often before the full course of acute care treatment has ended, as well as pay the hospital at an appropriate level when it does move patients into postacute care.

We would revise § 412.4 to reflect these proposed policies. In addition, we would delete the reference in current § 412.4(d)(2) to DRG 456 (Burns, Transferred to A nother A cute Care Facility) because we are proposing to replace that DRG, as discussed in section II.B. 3 of this preamble. There would no longer be any burn DRG with a transfer designation.

## B. Rural Referral Centers (§ 412.96)

Under the authority of section 1886(d)(5)(C)(i) of the Act, § 412.96 sets forth the criteria a hospital must meet in order to receive special treatment under the prospective payment system as a rural referral center. For discharges occurring before October 1, 1994, rural referral centers received the benefit of payment based on the other urban rather than the rural standardized amount. As of that date, the other urban and rural standardized amounts were the same. However, rural referral centers continue to receive special treatment under both the disproportionate share hospital payment adjustment and the criteria for geographic reclassification.

One of the criteria under which a rural hospital may qualify as a rural referral center is to have 275 or more beds available for use. A rural hospital that does not meet the bed size criterion can qual ify as a rural referral center if the hospital meets two mandatory criteria (specifying a minimum case-mix index and a minimum number of discharges) and at least one of the three optional criteria (relating to specialty composition of medical staff, source of inpatients, or volume of referrals). With respect to the two mandatory criteria, a
hospital may be classified as a rural referral center if its-

- Case-mix index is at least equal to the lower of the median case-mix index for urban hospital s in its census region, excluding hospitals with approved teaching programs, or the median casemix index for all urban hospitals nationally; and
- Number of discharges is at least 5,000 discharges per year or, if fewer, the median number of discharges for urban hospitals in the census region in which the hospital is located. (The number of discharges criterion for an osteopathic hospital is at least 3,000 discharges per year.)


## 1. Case-Mix Index

Section 412.96(c)(1) provides that HCFA will establish updated national and regional case-mix index values in each year's annual notice of prospective payment rates for purposes of determining rural referral center status. The methodology we use to determine the proposed national and regional casemix index values, is set forth in regulations at § 412.96(c)(1)(ii). The proposed national case-mix index value includes all urban hospitals nationwide, and the proposed regional values are the median values of urban hospitals within each census region, excluding those with approved teaching programs (that is, those hospital s recei ving indirect medical education payments as provided in §412.105).
These values are based on discharges occurring during FY 1997 (October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1997) and include bills posted to HCFA's records through December 1997. Therefore, in addition to meeting other criteria, for hospitals with fewer than 275 beds, we are proposing that to qualify for initial rural referral center status for cost reporting periods begi nning on or after October 1, 1998, a hospital's case-mix index value for FY 1997 would have to be at least-

- 1.3578; or
- Equal to the median case-mix index value for urban hospitals (excluding hospitals with approved teaching programs as identified in § 412.105) cal culated by HCFA for the census region in which the hospital is located.

The median case-mix values by region are set forth in the table below:

| Region | Case-mix <br> index <br> value |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1. New England (CT, ME, MA, |  |
| NH, RI, VT) .................... | 1.2533 |
| 2. Middle Atlantic (PA, NJ, NY) .. <br> 3. South Atlantic (DE, DC, FL, <br> GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV) ..... | 1.2499 |
|  | 1.3468 |


| Region | Case-mix <br> index <br> value |
| :--- | ---: |
| 4. East North Central (IL, IN, MI, <br> OH, WI) ........................... | 1.2717 |
| 5. East South Central (AL, KY, <br> MS, TN) ................................ | 1.2965 |
| 6. West North Central (IA, KS, <br> MN, MO, NE, ND, SD) ........... | 1.2264 |
| 7. West South Central (AR, LA, <br> OK, TX) ........................... | 1.3351 |
| 8. Mountain (AZ, CO, ID, MT, <br> NV, NM, UT, WY) ............... | 1.3752 |
| 9. Pacific (AK, CA, HI, OR, WA) |  |

The above numbers will be revised in the final rule to the extent required to reflect the updated MedPAR file, which will contain data from additional bills received for discharges through March 31, 1997.

For the benefit of hospitals seeking to qualify as referral centers or those wishing to know how their case-mix index value compares to the criteria, we are publ ishing each hospital's FY 1997 case-mix index value in Table 3C in section IV. of the Addendum to this proposed rule. In keeping with our policy on discharges, these case-mix index values are computed based on all Medicare patient discharges subject to DRG-based payment.

## 2. Discharges

Section 412.96(c)(2)(i) provides that HCFA will set forth the national and regi onal numbers of discharges in each year's annual notice of prospective payment rates for purposes of determining referral center status. As specified in section 1886(d)(5)(C)(ii) of the Act, the national standard is set at 5,000 discharges. However, we are proposing to update the regi onal standards. The proposed regional standards are based on discharges for urban hospitals' cost reporting periods that began during FY 1996 (that is, October 1, 1995 through September 30, 1996). That is the latest year for which we have compl ete discharge data available.
Therefore, in addition to meeting other criteria, we are proposing that to qualify for initial rural referral center status for cost reporting periods begi nning on or after October 1, 1998, the number of discharges a hospital must have for its cost reporting period that began during FY 1997 would have to be at least-

- 5,000; or
- Equal to the median number of discharges for urban hospitals in the census region in which the hospital is located, as indicated in the table below.

| Region | Number of discharges |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. New England (CT, ME, MA, $\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{RI}, \mathrm{VT}$ ) | 6658 |
| 2. Middle Atlantic (PA, NJ, NY) .. | 8477 |
| 3. South Atlantic (DE, DC, FL, GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV) | 7505 |
| 4. East North Central (IL, IN, MI, $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{WI}$ ) | 7273 |
| 5. East South Central (AL, KY, MS, TN) | 6852 |
| 6. West North Central (IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, ND, SD) | 5346 |
| 7. West South Central (AR, LA, OK, TX) | 5179 |
| 8. Mountain (AZ, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, UT, WY) | 7926 |
| 9. Pacific (AK, CA, HI, OR, WA) | 5945 |

We note that the number of discharges for hospitals in each census region is greater than the national standard of 5,000 discharges. Therefore, 5,000 discharges is the minimum criteria for all hospitals. These numbers will be revised in the final rule based on the latest FY 1996 cost report data.

We reiterate that, to qualify for rural referral center status for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998, an osteopathic hospital's number of discharges for its cost reporting period that began during FY 1996 would have to be at least 3,000.
C. Payments to Disproportionate Share Hospitals: Conforming Change Regarding Interpretation of Medicaid Patient Days Included in Disproportionate Patient Percentage (§ 412.106)

Effective for discharges beginning on or after May 1, 1986, hospital s that treat a disproportionately large number of low-income patients recei ve additional payments through the disproportionate share (DSH) adjustment. One means of determining a hospital 's DSH payment adjustment for a cost reporting period requires cal culation of its disproportionate patient percentage for the period. The disproportionate patient percentage is the sum of a prescribed Medicare fraction and a Medicaid fraction for the hospital's fiscal period. Under clause (I) of section 1886(d)(5)(F)(vi) of the Act and $\S 412.106(\mathrm{~b})(2)$, the $M$ edicare fraction is determined by dividing the number of the hospital's patient days for patients who were entitled (for such days) to benefits under both Medicare Part A and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Act, by the total number of the hospital's patient days for the patients who were entitled to Medicare Part A. The Medicaid fraction is determined, in accordance with clause (II) of section 1886(d)(5)(F)(vi) of
the Act and § 412.106(b)(4), by dividing the number of the hospital 's patient days for patients who (for such days) were eligible for medical assistance under a State Medicaid plan approved under Title XIX of the Act but who were not entitled to Medicare Part A, by the total number of the hospital 's patient days for that period.
Initially, HCFA cal culated the Medicaid fraction by interpreting section 1886(d)(5)(F)(vi)(II) of the Act to recognize as Medicaid patient days only those days for which the hospital received Medicaid payment for inpatient hospital services. See 51 FR 31454, 31460 (1986). The agency's interpretation was declared invalid by four Federal circuit courts of appeals. See Cabell Huntington Hosp., Inc. v. Shalala, 101 F.3d 984, 990-91 (4th Cir. 1996) (following three other circuits). These courts held that the statute requires, for purposes of cal culating the Medicaid fraction, inclusion of each patient day of service for which a patient was eligible on that day for medical assistance under an approved State Medicaid plan. Specifically, the statute requires inclusion of each hospital patient day for a patient eligible for Medicaid on such day, regardless of whether particular items or services were covered or paid under the State Medicaid plan.
On February 27, 1997, the HCFA Administrator issued HCFA Ruling 972, which acquiesced in the four adverse appel Iate court decisions. The Ruling changed the agency's statutory construction to comport with those decisions, in order to facilitate nationwide uniformity in the cal culation of the Medicaid fraction. Like the court decisions, the Ruling provides that a hospital's Medicaid patient days include each patient day of service for which a patient was eligible on such day for medical assistance under an approved State M edicaid plan, regardless of whether particular items or services were covered or paid under the State plan. The Ruling al so reflects the hospital's burden of furnishing data adequate to prove each claimed Medicaid patient day, and of verifying with the State that a patient was eligible for Medicaid during each day of the inpatient hospital stay.
The Ruling further provides that the agency's new interpretation is effective February 27, 1997 for each cost reporting period that: (1) Begins on or after that effective date; (2) was not settled, as of that date, on the Medicaid patient days issue, by means of an applicable notice of program reimbursement (NPR) (see § 405.1803); or (3) was settled through such an NPR
as of the Ruling's effective date and is the subject of a pending admi nistrative appeal or civil action that satisfies all applicable jurisdictional requirements of the Medicare statute and regulations. The Ruling also provides, however, that the change in statutory interpretation effected by the Ruling is not a basis for reopening a hospital cost reporting period (see §§405.1885-405.1889) that was finalized previously on the same matter at issue.
We propose to revise § 412.106(b)(4) in order to conform the M edicare regulations to the new statutory construction issued in HCFA Ruling 972. The revisions are necessary to ensure that the regulations comport with the four appellate court decisions that declared invalid the agency's prior interpretation and led to the issuance of the HCFA Ruling. The proposed revisions will further facilitate nationwide uniformity in the calculation of the Medicaid fraction.
Since the proposed revisions are intended simply to conform the regulations to HCFA Ruling 97-2 (and hence to the four adverse court decisions), revised § 412.106(b)(4) would reiterate the Ruling's change of interpretation that the Medicaid fraction under section 1886(d)(5)(F)(vi)(II) of the Act includes each hospital patient day for a patient eligible for Medicaid on such day, regardless of whether particular items or services were covered or paid under the State Medicaid Plan. Our proposed revisions to § 412.106(b)(4), like the Ruling, would continue to place on the hospital the burdens of production, proof, and verification as to each claimed Medicaid patient day.
Under our proposal, revised § 412.106(b)(4) would apply to cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998. HCFA Ruling 97-2, which includes the same provisions as proposed § $412.106(\mathrm{~b})(4)$, would continue to apply to any cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 1998 provided that, as of February 27, 1997, there is for such period: no submitted cost report; no cost report settled on the Medicaid patient days issue through an applicable NPR; or a cost report settled on that issue, which is al so the subject of a jurisdictionally proper administrative appeal or civil action on the issue.

## D. Payment for Bad Debts (§ 413.80)

Section 4451 of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997 reduces the payment for enrollee bad debt for hospitals.
Specifically, this provision reduces the amount of bad debts otherwise treated as al lowable costs, attri butable to the
deductibles and coinsurance amounts under this title, by 25 percent for cost reporting periods beginning during fiscal year 1998, by 40 percent for cost reporting periods beginning during fiscal year 1999, and by 45 percent for cost reporting periods beginning during a subsequent fiscal year. This proposed rule would conform the regulations to the statute.

Section 4451 of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997 al so provides that in determining such reasonable costs for hospitals, any copayments reduced under the election avai lable for hospital outpatient services under section 1833(t)(5)(B) of the Act will not be treated as a bad debt. This provision will be implemented in the outpatient prospective payment system regulation that implements section 4521, 4522, and 4523 of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997, to be published Iater this year.
E. Payment for Direct Costs of Graduate Medical Education to Hospitals and Nonhospital Providers ( $\S \S 405.2468$, 413.85, and 413.86)

## 1. Introduction

Currently, under section 1886(h) of the Act, Medicare pays only hospitals for the costs of graduate medical education (GME) training. We do not pay nonhospital sites for the costs they incur in training medical residents. There has been a general trend to shift patient care from the inpatient setting to the less expensive nonhospital setting where appropriate. Consistent with this trend in patient care, the BBA allows for direct GME payment to qualified nonhospital providers to encourage more training of future physicians in nonhospital settings.

Under section 1886(k) of the Act, as added by section 4625 of the BBA, the Secretary is now authorized, but not required, to pay qual ified nonhospital providers for the direct costs of GME training. The Conference Report al so notes that the Conferees bel ieve paying nonhospital providers for GME costs may help alleviate physician shortages in underserved rural areas. We believe that providing Medicare payment directly to nonhospital providers may facilitate more trai ning and better quality training in nonhospital sites.

## 2. Statutory Background

Section 1886(k) of the Act states: "For cost reporting periods begi nning on or after October 1, 1997, the Secretary may establ ish rules for payment to qualified nonhospital providers for their direct costs of medical education, if those costs are incurred in the operation of an approved medical residency training
programs described in subsection (h)." The statute further provides that, to the extent the Secretary exercises this broad discretionary authority, the rules "shall specify the amounts, form, and manner in which such payments will be made and the portion of such payments that will be made from each of the trust funds under this title."
a. Payments Only to "Qualified Nonhospital Providers". The statute confers broad discretion on the Secretary regarding whether and how to pay nonhospital providers for direct GME costs. However, the statute does specify the entities whom the Secretary can pay-"'qualified nonhospital providers." Section 1886(k)(2) of the Act defines "qualified nonhospital providers' to include: Federally Qualified Heal th Centers (FQHCs), as defined in section 1861(aa)(4); Rural Heal th Centers (RHCs), as defined in section 1861(aa)(2); Medicare+Choice organizations; and such other providers (other than hospitals) as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.
b. Payments Only for the "Direct Costs"' of Training. The statute al so specifies the costs the Secretary can pay for under section 1886(k) of the Act. Medicare pays hospitals for both the direct and indirect costs of medical education under sections 1886(h) and 1886(d)(5)(B) of the Act respectively, but section 1886(k) of the Act provides for payment to nonhospital providers only for the direct costs of medical education.
In addition, section 1886(k) of the Act provides for payment for the direct costs of training medi cal residents only if those costs are incurred in the operation of an "approved medical residency training program." Section 1886(h)(5)(A) of the Act defines an "approved medical residency training program" as a "residency or other postgraduate medical training program participation in which may be counted toward certification in a specialty or subspecialty and includes formal postgraduate training programs in geriatric medicine approved by the Secretary." Implementing regulations at § 413.86(b) state that an approved medical residency training program includes allopathic and osteopathic training programs as well as training programs for dentistry and podiatry. Therefore, the statute authorizes Medicare payments to nonhospital providers only for the costs of training medical residents, not for the costs of training other health professionals.

In addition to adding section 1886(k) of the Act, section 4625 of the BBA amends section 1886(h)(3)(B) of the Act to prohibit double payments for direct

GME to a hospital and a qualified nonhospital provider. This prohibition on double payments requires that the Secretary reduce a hospital's GME payments (the "aggregate approved amount" as defined in section 1886(h)(3)(b) of the Act) to the extent we pay a nonhospital provider for GME under section 1886(k) of the Act.

## 3. Proposed Policies

Pursuant to section 4625 of the BBA, we are proposing policies to provide Medicare payment to nonhospital providers for the direct costs of GME training, effective for portions of cost reporting periods occurring on or after January 1, 1999. We bel ieve that these payments will serve the Congressional intent to encourage and support training in nonhospital settings.
a. Definition of "Qualified NonHospital Providers". Under our proposed policy, Medicare would make GME payments to the following "qualified nonhospital providers"FQHCs, RHCs, and Medicare+Choice organizations. Under the authority of section 1886(k)(2)(D) of the Act, the Secretary may expand the definition of a "qualified nonhospital provider" to include such other providers (other than hospitals) as the Secretary determines to be appropriate. Once we have gai ned experience providing direct GME payments to FQHCs, RHCs, and Medicare+Choice organizations, we may consider including other types of nonhospital providers in the definition of a "qualified nonhospital provider."
Additionally, we propose that, under certain circumstances, a hospital may continue to recei ve GME payments for residents who train in the nonhospital setting. In those instances where a hospital is eligible to continue recei ving GME payments for residents who train in the nonhospital setting, the nonhospital provider could receive payment from the hospital for costs they incur in training medical residents. Thus, our policy promotes the intent of section 4625 of the BBA to provide financial support, either directly from Medicare or through the hospital, to nonhospital providers for the direct costs of training residents in the nonhospital site.
b. Definition of "Direct Costs" of Medical Education for Non-Hospital Providers. Section 4625 of the BBA provides for payment to nonhospital providers only for the direct costs of training residents. Our proposed definition of "direct costs" for nonhospital providers is comparable to the direct costs for hospital s under section 1886(h) of the Act. Under our proposed policy, direct GME costs are
those costs that are incurred by the nonhospital site for the education activities of the approved program and that are the proximate result of training medical residents in the nonhospital site. Direct costs for nonhospital providers would include:

- Residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including related travel and lodging expenses where applicable);
- That portion of costs of the teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits that are rel ated to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents; and
- Other rel ated GME overhead costs.

Consistent with our policies on direct GME costs for hospitals, direct GME costs for nonhospital providers would not include normal operating costs or the marginal increase in costs that the nonhospital site experiences as a result of having an approved medical residency training program. For example, a decrease in productivity and increased intensity in treatment patterns as the result of a training program do not constitute "direct costs" of trai ning residents in the nonhospital setting; rather, these are the "indirect costs" of such training.

Also consistent with our policies for direct GME payments to hospitals, we propose to pay qualified nonhospital providers only for training that is rel ated to the delivery of patient care services. Sections 1886(h) ("Payments for Direct GME Costs') and 1886(h)(4)(E) of the Act ("Counting Time Spent in Outpatient Settings") provide support continuing our longstanding policy of paying only for training that is associated with patient care services. In particular, section 1886(h)(4)(E) of the Act states:

Such rules shall provide that only time spent in activities relating to patient care shall be counted and that all the time so spent by a resident under an approved medical residency training program shall be counted towards the determination of fulltime equival ency, without regard to the setting in which the activities are performed, if the hospital incurs all, or substantially all, of the costs for the training program in that setting.
In addition, section 1861(b) of the Act describes the types of patient care services that are rei mbursable. Specifically, section 1861(b)(6) of the Act indicates that the training of interns or residents under an approved teaching program are included as reimbursable patient care costs.

Moreover, direct GME costs for nonhospital providers, like direct GME costs for hospitals, would include only that portion of costs of the teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits
associated with time spent in teaching and supervising residents. Specifically, a teaching physician's time spent on teaching of a general nature would constitute a direct GME cost, while teaching of a patient-specific nature would not constitute a direct cost. In addition, direct costs in the nonhospital setting would include that portion of teaching physicians' sal aries and fringe benefits associated with time spent developing resident schedules and evaluating or rating the residents. Direct costs would al so include a teaching physi cian's office costs al located to GME.
By contrast, direct GME costs for nonhospital providers would not include the following: A teaching physician's time spent in the care of individual patients which results in billable services; teaching physicians' activities that are rel ated to the education of other health professionals (i.e., classroom instruction in connection with approved activities other than GME such as provideroperated nursing programs); teaching physicians' time spent on administrative and supervisory services to the provider that are unrel ated to approved educational activities (i.e. operating costs); and teaching physician activities that involve nonal lowable costs such as research and medical school activities that are not related to patient care in the nonhospital setting.
GME overhead costs include only those costs that are al locable to direct GME and that are not used in patient care. For example, a portion of administrative and general costs could be appropriately allocated to an RHC or FQHC's GME cost center. Similarly, a conference room that is dedicated specifically for the training of residents could be appropriately allocated to an RHC or FQHC's GME cost center. By contrast, patient care rooms added to an RHC or an FQHC cannot be appropriately allocated to an RHC or FQHC's GME cost center.

One of the advantages of our proposed definition of "direct costs" is that it is administratively feasible. Our definition of "direct costs" for nonhospital providers is comparable to the direct costs that are included in the per resident amount paid to hospitals under section 1886(h) of the Act. At present, there is limited information regarding the actual costs of trai ning residents in nonhospital sites. After we gain experience providing direct GME payments to qualified nonhospital providers and have reviewed the GME costs separately reported by these nonhospital providers, we may revise the definition of "direct costs." We are
soliciting comments on other elements that may constitute direct costs of GME in the nonhospital site that can be identified, reported, and verified as directly attributable to GME activities through the cost reporting process. We are interested in comments on whether we should include other costs in the definition of "direct costs" for nonhospital providers and on the administrative feasibility of identifying the GME portion of those costs.
c. Determining Direct Costs. One of our major concerns in developing policies for paying nonhospital providers for the direct costs of GME is the administrati ve feasibility of determi ning the amount of direct costs incurred by the nonhospital provider. It is our understanding that, currently, hospitals and nonhospital sites often share, to varying degrees, the costs of training residents in the nonhospital site. Because of the difficulty in apportioning costs between the hospital and the nonhospital for the training in the nonhospital site, we believe that it is not administratively feasible to pay both the hospital and the nonhospital site for the cost of training in the nonhospital site. We have been unable to devise a method for accurately apportioning costs between the two entities.
Furthermore, the potential for both the hospital and the nonhospital site to be paid for the same direct GME expenses poses a significant problem for complying with section 1886(h)(3)(B) of the Act, as amended by the BBA, which specifically prohibits double payments. Under this provision, the Secretary shall reduce the hospital's GME payment (the "aggregate approved amount'') to the extent we pay nonhospital providers for GME costs under section 1886(k) of the Act. Consequently, our policy must ensure that Medicare does not pay two entities for the same training time in the nonhospital site.

Given that the hospital 's per resident amount can include, but is not necessarily based on the costs of training in the nonhospital site, we were not able to devise an equitable way of reducing the hospital's per resident payment to reflect payments made under section 1886(k) of the Act. It would not be equitable to subtract the exact amount of payment made to the qualified nonhospital provider from the hospital's per resident payment because the payment made to the nonhospital site is unrel ated to the hospital's per resident amount. The hospital per resident amount is based on specific GME costs incurred by the hospital in the 1984 base year. Those costs included in the per resident amount
have no relevance to the costs incurred in the nonhospital setting al most 15 years after the 1984 base year. We bel ieve that the residents' salaries, teaching physicians' salaries, and overhead costs for the nonhospital setting will constitute a different proportion of the total GME costs in the nonhospital setting as compared with the hospital setting. Rather, it would be more equitable to determine the proportion of costs incurred by each entity and reduce the hospital's per resident payment by the proportion of GME costs incurred by the nonhospital site; however, since specific components of the per resident amount were not identified in the hospital's GME base year (1984), we cannot accuratel y determine the appropriate amount to reduce the current year hospital per resident payment amount. M oreover, to reduce the hospital 's GME payments based solely on the amount paid to the nonhospital site could result in inequitable payments to the hospital, which has ongoing costs even when the resident is training in the nonhospital site. In fact, it could leave the hospital at risk of receiving no payment for the GME costs it has incurred.

In order to encourage training in nonhospital sites, it is important to develop a policy that, while providing payment to nonhospital providers, would also be equitable to hospitals. We believe that paying only the nonhospital site for the training costs could result in hospital s choosing not to rotate their residents to the nonhospital site. We have been unable to devise an equitable and accurate method for dividing up the GME payment for training in the nonhospital site if neither the hospital, nor the nonhospital site incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs. As such, we are soliciting comment on possible methods for allocating the GME payments for training in the nonhospital site where neither the hospital nor the nonhospital provider is incurring "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program. We believe that the proposed policies discussed below are equitable to both hospital and nonhospital providers and will achieve Congress' objective of encouraging and supporting training in the nonhospital setting.

Given our concerns about administrati ve feasibility, the statutory prohibition on double payments, and developing policies that are equitable to hospitals as well as nonhospital providers, we believe the only feasible way to pay for training in nonhospital settings is to pay either the hospital or the nonhospital provider. Currently, hospitals may recei ve payment for the
time residents spend in the nonhospital setting if the hospital incurs "all or substantially all" of the training costs. We propose to adopt a similar policy for nonhospital providers; that is, a qualified nonhospital provider may receive payment for the direct costs of GME if the nonhospital provider incurs "all or substantially all" of the training costs.
d. Modifications of Policy To Pay Hospitals For GME. In the course of developing our policies for nonhospital providers, we have reviewed our method for paying hospitals for the costs of training residents in the nonhospital site. Accordingly, as part of our policy to pay nonhospital providers for the costs of trai ning residents, we are proposing necessary and appropriate modifications to our current policy for paying hospitals for such nonhospital training. Specifically, as part of our proposal to implement section 1886(k) of the Act, we propose to modify the regulations at § 413.86(f).

Presently, under sections 1886(d)(5)(B)(iv) and 1886(h)(4)(E) of the Act, if a hospital incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs of training residents in the nonhospital site, then the hospital may include the resident in its indirect medical education (IME) and direct GME full-time equival ent count. Under §413.86(f)(1)(iii), currently a hospital incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs of trai ning the resident in the nonhospital site if the hospital pays the residents' sal aries and fringe benefits. Based on our review of data in Medi care cost reports on the Hospital Cost Reporting Information System (HCRIS), we decided to reexamine the issue of what constitutes "all or substantially all" of the costs of training the resident. In our analysis, we determined that, on average, residents' sal aries and fringe benefits are less than half of the total amount of the direct costs of a hospital's GME program. Therefore, we are proposing to revise the standard for incurring "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting.

We propose to redefine "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting to include at a minimum:

- the portion of costs of the teaching physicians' sal aries and fringe benefits that are related to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents; and
- residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging expenses where applicable).
e. Payment Proposal. In light of the numerous considerations discussed
above, we are proposing a system whereby we will pay either the hospital or the nonhospital site for the cost of training in the nonhospital site, depending on which entity incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs of training in the nonhospital site. An entity incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting if it pays for, at a minimum: that portion of the costs of the teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits that are related to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents; and residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging expenses where applicable). Our proposal accommodates three al ternative payment scenarios that are discussed below.
i. Payment to FQHCs and RHCs. In the first payment scenario, if the FQHC or RHC incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the trai ning program in the nonhospital setting, we are proposing to pay the nonhospital site cost-based reimbursement for the direct costs of training. By reporting these direct GME costs in a rei mbursable cost center on the cost report, an FQHC or RHC would be attesting that it is incurring "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital site. Conversely, where an FQHC or RHC is not incurring "all or substantially all" of the costs of training residents in the nonhospital site, the FQHC or RHC would report these training costs in a nonrei mbursable cost center on the cost report.

As previously stated, we propose to define the direct costs of training to include:

- Residents' sal aries and fringe benefits (including related travel and lodging expenses where applicable);
- That portion of the costs of teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits that are related to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents; and
- Other related overhead costs that are al located to GME.
We are proposing that the FQHC's and RHC's al lowable direct GME costs be subject to reasonable cost principles in 42 CFR part 413 and other rel evant provisions referenced in part 413. As such we are proposing to add language to § 415.60 to make the reasonable cost principles applicable to FQHC's and RHC's. In addition, the FQHC's and RHC's direct GME costs would be subject to the Reasonable Compensation Equivalency limits under §§ 415.60 and 415.70. Accordingly, we are proposing to add language to § 415.70 to make the reasonable compensation equivalency limits applicable to FQHC's and RHC's.

Also, Medicare would pay only for Medicare's share of the direct costs of training in the nonhospital site. We are proposing that the FQHC's and RHC's Medicare share equal the nonhospital provider's ratio of Medicare visits to total visits. Thus, the amount of Medicare payment would equal the product of the clinic's Medicare allowed direct GME costs and the clinic's ratio of Medicare visits to total visits.

For FQHCs and RHCs that incur "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting, the direct GME costs are not subject to the existing per visit payment caps for reimbursement under sections 505.1 and 505.2 of the Medicare Rural Health Clinic and Federally Qualified Health Centers Manual. M oreover, we believe participation in GME training should not affect any FQHCs or RHCs ability to meet the productivity standards outlined in section 503 of the Medicare Rural Health Clinic and Federally Qual ified Health Centers M anual. Therefore, we are proposing that, where payment is avai lable under section 1886(k) of the Act for residents working in either an FQHC or an RHC, the FQHCs and RHCs do not need to include residents as heal th care staff in the cal culation of productivity standards under section 503 of the Manual.
ii. Payment to Medicare+Choice organizations. In the second payment scenario, if a Medicare+Choice organization incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting, we propose making the direct GME payment to the Medicare+Choice organization. The Medicare+Choice organization would be eligible to recei ve cost-based rei mbursement for the residents' salaries and fringe benefits only for the time that the resident spends in the nonhospital setting. In addition, we are proposing that the Medi care+Choice organization's allowed costs include only that portion of the teaching physician salaries and fringe benefits that is rel ated to training in the nonhospital setting.

Unlike our proposed policy in paying FQHCs and RHCs for GME, at this time we are not proposing to pay Medicare+Choice organizations for the costs of overhead that are directly associated with a GME program. We have no historical data on the GME costs of managed care organizations and the extent to which these costs are incurred directly or indirectly under contracts betw een the managed care organization and physician groups or other providers engaged in ambulatory care. Moreover, we have an established methodology for allocating and
reporting overhead costs for FQHCs and RHCs on Medicare cost reports that does not currently exist for Medicare+Choice organizations. Since Medi care+Choice organizations do not use the M edicare cost report, there is currently no mechanism to review and audit these costs in the managed care context. Because Medicare+Choice organizations are paid on a capitated basis, we have no method for paying Medicare+Choice organizations for variabl e costs such as GME overhead that require a sophisticated cost allocation methodology. By contrast, it is currently feasible to pay Medicare+Choice organizations for the costs of the residents' salaries and teaching physicians' sal aries because those costs are more readily documented and auditable.

However, we are open to suggestions about how we can create a methodology for allocating and reporting overhead costs for Medi care+Choice organizations. Any comments should include not only a proposed methodology for paying Medi care+Choice organizations for GME overhead costs, but also proposed mechanisms for the audit and review of the costs of these organizations.

Similar to our proposed policy for paying FQHCs and RHCs for direct costs of GME, the Medicare+Choice organization's reimbursement for residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including related travel and lodging expenses where applicable) would be subject to the reasonable cost principles in 42 CFR part 413 and any other relevant provisions referenced in part 413. As such we are proposing to add I anguage to $\S 415.60$ to make the reasonable cost princi ples applicable to Medi care+Choice organizations. In addition, the Medicare+Choice organization's GME reimbursement would al so be subject to the Reasonable Compensation Equival ency limits under §§ 415.60 and 415.70. Accordingly, we are proposing to add Ianguage to $\S 415.70$ to make reasonable compensation equival ency limits applicable to Medicare+Choice organizations. While we would pay the Medicare+Choice organization for certain GME costs in nonhospital settings under this proposal, the cost of residents' and teaching physicians' sal aries and fringe benefits in the hospital setting would be paid to the hospital, not the Medicare+Choice organization.

The M edicare+Choice organization would receive direct GME payment only for the direct costs of training in the nonhospital site that are associated with the delivery of patient care services. In
determining the amount of direct GME payments to Medicare+Choice organizations, we must adjust for Medicare's share of those education costs. Medi care's share would equal the ratio of the total number of Medicare enrollees in the Medicare+Choice organization to total enrollees in the Medicare+Choice organization.
We are proposing that, in order to receive the direct GME payment, the Medicare+Choice organization must produce a contractual agreement between itself and the nonhospital providers. Medicare+Choice organizations may contract with any nonhospital patient care site, including freestanding clinics, nursing homes, and physicians' offices in connection with approved programs. The contract between the Medicare+Choice organization and the nonhospital site must indicate that, for the time that residents spend in the nonhospital site, the Medicare+Choi ce organization agrees to pay for the cost of residents' sal aries and fringe benefits. In addition, the contract must indicate that the Medicare+Choice organization agrees to pay the portion of the costs of teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits that is rel ated to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents and that is unrelated to the volume of services. The contract must stipulate the portion of each teaching physician's time that will be spent training residents in the nonhospital setting. Moreover, the contract must indicate that the Medicare+Choice organization agrees to identify an amount for the cost of the teaching physician's salary based on the time that the resident spends in the nonhospital setting, not based upon a capitated rate for the delivery of physician services.
Under our proposed rule, we could pay a Medicare+Choice organization for the direct costs of training medical residents in a physician's office if such office had a contractual agreement with the organization whereby the organization agrees to pay for "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting. However, an independent physician office would not be eligible to receive payment directly from Medicare for the cost of training residents because it would not be a "qualified nonhospital provider" under our proposed policy. Similarly, if a hospital rotates a resident through a physician's office, the hospital must pay for "all or substantially all" of the costs of training the resident in the physician's office in order to include that resident in its FTE count for IME and direct GME purposes. (In this instance, the hospital's
responsibility in assuming "all or substantially all" of the costs of training the resident in the nonhospital site would not be based on section 4625 of BBA which permits payment to nonhospital providers.) The hospital would have to assume "all or substantially all" of the training costs for that nonhospital trai ning time in order to avail itself of the benefit of including the resident in the hospital's FTE count for IME and direct GME purposes based on the proposed modifi cations to § 413.86.
iii. Payment to Hospitals. In the third payment scenario, if the hospital itself incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the trai ning program in the nonhospital setting, then the hospital may include the residents' training time in the nonhospital setting in the hospital 's FTE counts for direct GME and for IME. In order to include the residents' training in the nonhospital site, the hospital must produce a contractual agreement between the hospital and the nonhospital provider. Under § 413.86(f)(1)(iii), hospitals may contract with any nonhospital patient care provider such as freestanding clinics, nursing homes, and physicians' offices in connection with approved programs.

Currently, a hospital must produce a written agreement between the hospital and the nonhospital provider that states that the resident's compensation for training time spent outside of the hospital setting is to be paid by the hospital. Since this proposal changes the definition of what constitutes "all or substantially all" of the costs of training in the nonhospital site, hospitals must produce a written agreement that demonstrates that they are assuming responsi bility for more of the costs of training in the nonhospital site than had previously been required.

In accordance with our proposed definition of what constitutes "all or substantially all" of the costs of training while the resident is in the nonhospital site, we are proposing that the contract must indicate that the hospital is assuming financial responsibility for, at a minimum, the cost of residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging expenses where applicable) and the costs for that portion of teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits rel ated to the time spent in teaching and supervision of residents.

The contract must indicate that the hospital is assuming financial responsi bility for these costs directly or that the hospital agrees to reimburse the nonhospital provider for such costs. The contract must also contain an acknowledgment on the part of the
nonhospital provider that, since the residents' time is being counted by the hospital, the nonhospital site cannot claim GME costs on their Medicare cost report. The nonhospital provider must agree to report its direct GME costs as well as any money received from the hospital for GME purposes in a nonal lowable cost center on its cost report. In addition, in order to determine teaching physician compensation that may be al located to direct GME, the nonhospital provider must specify the portion of the teaching physicians' time that will be spent training residents in the nonhospital setting. Finally, any payment to the hospital for the direct costs of GME training in the nonhospital setting will conti nue to reflect Medicare's share, which equal s the hospital's ratio of Medicare inpatient days to total inpatient days.

Hospitals that have residents who rotate to nonhospital sites are, like all teaching hospitals, subject to an institutional cap on the number of FTE residents that may be counted for both indirect and direct GME under sections 1886(d)(5)(B)(v) and 1886(h)(6)(F) of the Act. For hospitals that have residents who rotate to a nonhospital site, those residents will be subject to the hospital's FTE caps.
f. Trust Funds. Under section 1886(k)(1) of the Act, the rules established by the Secretary for paying nonhospital providers for GME must specify the portion of Medicare payments that will be made from each of the Medi care trust funds. We propose that GME payments made directly to an FQHC, RHC, or M edicare+Choice organization would be made from the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund.
g. Conclusion. Under this proposed rule, clinics that are presently ineligible to recei ve payments for direct GME may now receive such payments. Moreover, this proposal provides Medicare+Choice organizations the opportunity to receive direct GME payments for training residents in the nonhospital setting. As Medi care+Choice organizations, managed care entities will, for the first time, be eligible to receive direct GME payments for training residents in various types of nonhospital sites. This proposed rule would help bridge the disparity between hospital and nonhospital providers in obtaining payment for direct GME costs.

We believe this proposed rule may encourage the development of new programs in nonhospital settings. Similarly, it may al so encourage approved residency training programs to
rotate additional residents to nonhospital sites.

In developing this proposed rule, we considered establ ishing a fixed payment rate for the direct costs of trai ning residents in the nonhospital setting. We are not proposing a policy of a fixed payment at this time because we presently have no reliable data on the direct costs of training residents in nonhospital settings. Moreover, we are concerned that a fixed payment for these costs may not be appropriate if there is significant variation in cost among partici pating nonhospital sites.
Given these considerations, our policy to pay FQHCs, RHCs, and
Medicare+Choice organizations on a cost reimbursement basis may be revised in the future. Once we have acquired data such that we can estimate the direct costs of training residents in the nonhospital site, we will revisit our payment methodology for paying FQHCs, RHCs, and Medi care+Choice organizations for direct GME. We believe that ultimately it might be appropriate to pay FQHCs, RHCs, and Medicare+Choice organizations using a national average per resident amount. This national per resident amount would be based on the national average for the direct costs of training medical residents in the nonhospital site. As such, we are interested in receiving comments on a fixed payment methodology and on how to derive such a payment. These comments should include empirical data on training costs in nonhospital sites.
The effective date of these provisions for FQHCs, RHCs, Medi care+Choice organizations, and hospitals will be January 1, 1999. In particular, the effective date for IME payments to hospitals under this provision applies to discharges occurring on or after January 1, 1999. In addition, the effective date for direct medical education payments to FQHCs, RHCs, Medicare+Choice organizations, and hospitals applies to that portion of cost reporting periods occurring on or after January 1, 1999.

## VI. Changes to the Prospective Payment System for Capital-Related Costs

A. Proposed Cap on the Capital Indirect Medical Education Adjustment Ratio (§ 417.322)

Under section 1886(g) of the Act, the Secretary has broad discretion in implementing the capital prospective payment system. Section 412.322 of the regulations specifies the formula for the capital indirect medical education (IME) adjustment factor. The capital IME adjustment is intended to pay the capital prospective payment system
share of the indirect costs of medical education to teaching hospitals. The formula was adopted in the August 30, 1991 final rule for the capital prospective payment system (56 FR 43380) and uses the ratio of interns and residents to average daily census (defined as total inpatient days divided by the number of days in the cost reporting period). Section 1886(d)(5)(B) of the Act requires the use of the ratio of residents-to-beds to calculate the IM E adjustment for the operating Prospective payment system. However, pursuant to our authority under section 1886(g) of the Act, we adopted the resident to average daily census ratio for the capital prospective payment system because we bel ieved it was a more appropriate method for measuring teaching intensity and because we believed it was less subject to mani pulation.

The IME adjustment factor increases by approximately 2.8 percentage points for each . 10 increase in the hospital's ratio of residents to average daily census. The IME adjustment for inpatient capital-rel ated costs for hospitals paid under the prospective payment system takes the form of e raised to the power (. $2822 \times$ ratio of interns and residents to average daily census)-1] where e is the natural antilogy of 1 , based on the total cost regression results. In order to determine the Federal rate portion of the hospital's payment, the IME adjustment factor is multiplied by the standard federal rate, the DRG weight, the geographic adjustment factor, and any other rel evant payment adjustments such as the DSH adjustment or the large urban add-on. The formula is as follows: (Standard Federal Rate) $\times$ (DRG weight) $\times($ GAF $) \times($ Large Urban Add-on, if applicable) $\times$ (COLA adjustment for hospitals located in Alaska and Hawaii) $\times(1+$ Disproportionate Share Adjustment Factor + IME Adjustment Factor, if appli cable).

It has come to our attention that because of the application of the capital IME adjustment, one hospital would recei ve a capital IME payment greater than its total hospital costs. We have al so recently learned that of the approximately 1,200 teaching hospitals in the United States, based on December 1997 data, 8 hospitals have a resident to average daily census ratio of more than 1.5. A resident to average daily census ratio of 1.5 results in a capital IME adjustment factor of .53 , which increases the Federal rate portion of the hospital 's capital payment by 53 percent.

To address this unintended effect of the capital IME methodology, we are proposing to cap the capital IME ratio at
1.5. A ratio greater than 1.5 means a hospital has, on average, considerably more residents than inpatients. Capping the ratio at 1.5 would allow for one resident per patient on the inpatient side plus some outpatient training, and would keep capital IME payments more consistent with the costs incurred. Because of the large number of unoccupied beds in most hospitals, the operating IME ratio has only slightly exceeded 1.0 in two cases. This change would ensure that the capital IME adjustment is more in line with hospital costs.
B. Payment Methodology for Mergers Involving New Hospitals (§ 412.331)
The A ugust 30, 1991 final rule (56 FR 43418), which implemented the capital prospective payment system, established special payment provisions for new hospitals. Under § 412.324(b), a new hospital is paid 85 percent of its allowable M edicare capital-rel ated costs through its first cost reporting period ending at least 2 years after the hospital accepts its first patient. The first cost reporting period begi nning at least 1 year after the hospital accepts its first patient is the hospital's base year for purposes of determining its hospitalspecific rate. Section 412.302(b) defines a new hospital's old capital costs as allowable capital-rel ated costs for land and depreciable assets that were put in use for patient care on or before the last day of the hospital 's base year cost reporting period. Beginning with the third year, the hospital is paid under the fully prospective or hold-harml ess payment methodology, as appropriate. If the hospital is paid under the holdharmless payment methodology, the hospital's hold-harml ess payments for its old capital costs can continue for up to 8 years.
In the August 30, 1991 final rule, we defined a new hospital as one that had operated (under previous or present ownership) for less than 2 years and did not have a 12-month cost reporting period that ended on or before December 31, 1990. In the September 1, 1992 final rule (57 FR 39789), as a result of situations brought to our attention after publication of the prospective payment system final rule, we clarified the new hospital exemption under the capital prospective payment system. We explained that the new hospital exemption would not apply to a facility that opened as an acute care hospital if that hospital had previously operated under current or prior ownership and had a historic asset base. We al so clarified that a hospital that replaced its entire facility (with or without a change of ownership) would not qualify for a
new hospital exemption and that a previously existing excluded hospital (paid under section 1886(b) of the Act) that became an acute care hospital (paid under section 1886(d)) of the Act would not qualify.
We explained our belief that the reasonable cost payment protection under the new hospital exemption should only be available to those hospitals that had not received reasonable cost payments in the past and needed special protection during their initial period of operation. We al so stated in the June 4, 1992 proposed rule (57 FR 23649) that we were clarifying the new hospital exemption to ensure that hospitals that had an existing asset base before December 31, 1990 were not provided with an extended transition period and inappropriately higher payments relative to other hospitals. We al so explained our belief that it was essential to maintain the integrity of the capital prospective payment system by allowing only truly new providers of hospital care to qualify for the new hospital exemption.

Since publication of our last clarification of the payment rules for new hospitals, questions have arisen regarding application of our rules for payment of new hospitals in merger situations. Consistent with our previously stated policy that only truly new hospitals without an existing asset base should be eligible for the new hospital exemption, we are further clarifying the new hospital payment provisions.

If during the period it is eligible for payment as a new hospital (as defined at § 412.300(b) and § 412.328(b)), a new hospital merges with one or more existing hospitals and the merger meets the existing capital-rel ated reasonable cost rules regarding the criteria for recognizing a merger at $\S 413.134$ and the new hospital is the surviving corporation (as defined in § 413.134(I)(2)) we would treat as old capital only those assets of the existing hospital that met the definition of old capital (as defined in § 412.302(b)) prior to the merger, for purposes of determining payments after the merger.
Any assets of the existing hospital that were considered new capital prior to the merger will still be considered new capital after the merger. The merger cannot be used to convert the existing hospital's new capital into old capital. After the merger, the discharges of each campus of the merged entity would maintain their pre-merger payment methodology until the end of the 2 year period that the "new hospital" campus was eligible for reasonable cost reimbursement as defined at
$\S$ 412.324(b). At the end of this period, the intermediary would devise a hospital specific rate for the "new" campus of the merged hospital. Finally, the cal culation methodology for hospital mergers at new $\S 412.331$ (a)(1) and (2) would be performed and a combined hospital-specific rate would be determined and a payment methodology selected for the merged hospital as a whole.

The cal culation at § 412.331(a)(1) and (2) uses each hospital 's base year old capital costs. A ny new capital of the previously existing hospital would not be used in the determination. If the new merged entity qualifies for the holdharmless payment methodology, only the capital which meets the definition of old capital at § 412.302(b) would be eligible for hold-harml ess payments.

We note that this proposed change is consistent with the principles underlying existing § 412.331(a)(3), which provides that in the case of a merger only the existing capital-rel ated costs related to the assets of each merged or consol idated hospital as of December 31, 1990 are recognized as old capital costs during the transition period. If the hospital is paid under the hold-harml ess methodology after merger or consolidation, only that origi nal base year old capital is eligible for holdharmless payments.

Example: Hospital A is a new hospital in its first 2 years of operation and is being paid 85 percent of its allowable Medicare inpatient hospital capital-related costs. Hospital A's base year for establishing its hospital-specific rate will end September 30, 1998. Hospital B is an existing hospital whose base year for capital prospective payment system purposes was June 30, 1990. Hospital B is a hold-harmless hospital paid 100 percent of the Federal rate. Hospital A merged with Hospital B (in accordance with to § 413.134(I)) on March 1, 1998, and Hospital A is a new merged entity, with two campuses: one which used to be the original Hospital A-the "new" hospital, and one which used to be hospital B-the "existing" hospital). The merged Hospital A retains the corporate structure, provider number, and cost reporting period of the original Hospital A, which is the surviving hospital. The merged Hospital A's discharges will be paid under two different payment methodologies until the "new" campus completes its base period under the payment rules for new hospitals and a hospital-specific rate and a payment methodology can be determined for the merged Hospital A. Until that time, the discharges of the "new" hospital campus (previously the original Hospital A) will be paid in accordance with § 412.324(b) as a new hospital. Any capital that meets the definition of old capital acquired by the
"new" campus before the end of its base year will be accorded old capital status in accordance with § 412.302(b). The "existing" hospital campus (previously hospital B) will
continue to be paid on a hold-harmless basis. Any capital acquired by the "existing" campus will be accorded new capital status in accordance with section 2807.3A of the Provider Reimbursement Manual (PRM). At the end of the "new" campus' base year, a hospital-specific rate will be determined for that campus. After a hospital specific rate is determined, the cal culation methodology for hospital mergers at $\S 412.331$ (a)(1) and (2) will be performed. As part of the calculation and before combining the data, the base years of the two hospitals used to establish the hospital-specific rate are brought to the same point by discharge-weighting and updating. The calculation uses only the old capital costs of each hospital in order to determine a combined hospital-specific rate and payment methodology. After a payment methodology determination is made, the two campuses will be paid using the same payment methodology for all of their discharges.

## VII. Changes for Hospitals and Units Excluded From the Prospective Payment System

Limits on and Adjustments to the Target Amounts for Excluded Hospitals and Units (§ 413.40(g))

## 1. Updated Caps

Section 1886(b)(3) of the Act as amended by section 4414 of the BBA established caps on the target amounts for excluded hospitals and units for cost reporting periods begi nning on or after October 1, 1997, through September 30, 2002. The caps on the target amounts apply to the following three categories of excluded hospitals: psychiatric hospitals and units, rehabilitation hospitals and units, and long-term care hospitals.

A discussion of how the caps on the target amounts were cal cul ated can be found in the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period (62 FR 46018). For purposes of cal culating the caps for cost reporting periods beginning during FY 1999 through FY 2002, the statute requires us to cal culate the 75th percentile of the target amounts for each class of hospital (psychiatric, rehabilitation, or long-term care) for cost reporting periods ending during FY 1996. The resulting amounts are updated by the market basket percentage to the applicable fiscal year.

The projected market basket for excluded hospitals and units for FY 1999 is 2.5 percent. Accordingly, the caps on the target amount for FY 1999 as follows:
(1) Psychiatric hospitals and units: \$10,443
(2) Rehabilitation hospital s and units: \$18,938
(3) Long-term care hospital s: $\$ 37,360$
2. Classification of Hospitals and Units

Since publication of the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, some excluded facilities have suggested that if they are currently excluded as one class of hospital or unit but al so qualify for exclusion as another class of hospital, they should be permitted to choose which classification applies for purposes of applying the cap on target amounts. For example, some hospitals that participate in Medicare as psychiatric hospitals (defined under section 1861(f) of the Act, and the special conditions of participation in 42 CFR part 482 subpart E) have noted that they have average lengths of stay greater than 25 days. Those hospitals have asked to be "reclassified" as long-term care hospital s and given the benefit of the higher cap on target amounts applicable to that hospital class.
We have considered these hospital s' suggestions, but we believe it would not be appropriate to adopt them. Section 1886(b)(3)(H)(iv) of Act makes it clear that each category of hospital and corresponding units-psychiatric (section 1886(d)(1)(B)(I)), rehabilitation (section 1886(d)(1)(B)(ii)), and long-term care hospitals (section 1886(d)(1)(B)(iv)) is treated separately. We believe it is consistent with effective implementation of this provision to prevent hospitals or units that could potentially be assigned to more than one category of excluded facility from choosing the category to which they wish to be assigned. Even though some hospitals or units in one group might potentially have been assigned to a different group, each group has its own limit based on the target amounts for similarly classified facilities. It would not be appropriate to apply a limit to a hospital or unit based on the target amount derived from the cost experience of differently classified hospitals and units.
In addition, there are a number of hospitals that could potentially move from the psychiatric hospital cap to the long-term care hospital cap. This movement would have a significant impact on the appropriateness of both caps. In the case of the psychiatric hospitals, had those hospital s with the longest lengths of stay and therefore higher per discharge target amount been excluded in the original calculation of the caps, the cap for all remaining psychiatric hospitals would invariably have been lower. Furthermore, had those psychiatric hospitals been included in the calculation of the longterm care hospital cap, that cap could al so have been lower. To allow such a significant change in the application of
the caps is to raise a serious question as to the appropriateness of the current caps for all psychiatric and long-term care hospitals.

Thus, to clarify the application of the caps, we propose to revise § 413.40(c)(4)(iii) to specify that, for purposes of that paragraph, the classification of a hospital that was excluded from the prospective payment system for its cost reporting period ending in FY 1996 will be determined by its classification (that is, the basis on which it was excluded) in FY 1996. If a hospital or unit was not excluded for a cost reporting period ending in FY 1996 but could be excluded on more than one basis (for example, as either a rehabilitation or Iong-term care hospital) it will be assigned to the classification group with the lowest limit.

## 3. Exceptions

The August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period (62 FR 46018) specified that a hospital that has a target amount that is capped at the 75th percentile would not be granted an adjustment payment to the target amount (al so referred to as an exception payment) as governed by $\S 413.40(\mathrm{~g})$ based solely on a comparison of its costs or patient mix in its base year to its costs or patient mix in the payment year. Since the hospital's target amount would not be determined based on its own experience in a base year, any comparison of costs or patient mix in its base year to costs or patient mix in the payment year would be irrelevant.

We propose to clarify that, to the extent we grant an exception to a hospital not affected by the cap, the amount of the exception would be limited to the cap on the hospital's target amount. This policy is consistent with the caps. By establishing caps on TEFRA target amounts, Congress has limited payments to individual hospitals based on amounts that reflect the cost experience of other hospitals. Therefore, in determining the extent of any adjustment paid to a hospital as an exception under our regulations at $\S 413.40(\mathrm{~g})(3)$, we believe it is consistent with Congressional intent to limit the extent of the adjustment to the hospital 's cap on its target amount.

We propose to revise $\S 413.40(\mathrm{~g})(1)$ to set forth the limitation on the adjustment payments.

## VIII. MedPAC Recommendations

We have reviewed the March 1998 report submitted by MedPAC to Congress and have given its recommendations careful consideration in conjunction with the proposals set forth in this document.

Recommendations concerning the update factors for inpatient operating costs and for hospitals and hospital distinct-part units excluded from the prospective payment system are discussed in Appendix D, to this proposed rule. The remaining recommendations are discussed below.
A. Disproportionate Share Hospitals (DSH)
Recommendation: The Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) made several recommendations concerning the Medi care di sproportionate share adjustment cal culation. In general, the Commission's proposal would base the amount of DSH payment each hospital receives on its volume and mix of cases paid under the prospective payment system and its share of low-income patients. The low-income share measure would reflect the costs of care provided to low-income individuals (Medicare patients eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Medicaid patients, patients sponsored by local indigent care programs, and patients receiving uncompensated care) as a proportion of total patient care expenses. Both inpatient and outpatient costs were included in the data used to cal culate the low-income shares, although payment would be made only on inpatient discharges.
The same formula would be applied to all prospective payment hospitals. Under the recommendation, there would be a threshold or minimum lowincome share, that must be reached for a hospital to receive any Medicare disproportionate share adjustment. The payment the hospital would receive is proportionate to the segment of its lowincome share that lies above the threshold. MedPAC simulated the potential effects of applying their approach on the distribution of Medicare disproportionate share payments made in 1995. For purposes of MedPAC's simulations, the threshold was set at a level that would limit payments to about 40 percent of prospective payment hospitals-roughly the same as under the current DSH adjustment. MedPAC stated that this proportion could be adjusted, or the threshold could be set using a different method, as deemed appropriate by policy makers. (For more information see Volume 1, chapter 6, page 63 of the March 1998 report.)
Response: Section 1886(d)(5)(F) of the Act, as amended by section 4403(b) of the BBA, requires us to prepare a report to Congress, due by August 5, 1998, which will include our recommendations for an appropriate
formula for determining DSH payments. We appreciate MedPAC's efforts to assist HCFA in restructuring the Medicare disproportionate share adjustment and we will further examine and consider their recommendations as we develop our report to Congress.

## B. Potential Effects of Target Amount Caps

Recommendation: The wage-rel ated portion of the excluded hospital target amount caps should be adjusted by the appropriate hospital wage index to account for geographic differences in wages. (For more information see Volume 1, chapter 7, page 71 of the March 1998 report.)
Response: As MedPAC indicated in its recommendation, legislation would be required to adjust the target amount caps in such a substantial manner as to adjust for differences in area labor costs.

## IX. Other Required Information

A. Requests for Data From the Public

In order to respond promptly to public requests for data rel ated to the prospective payment system, we have set up a process under which commenters can gain access to the raw data on an expedited basis. Generally, the data are avai labl e in computer tape or cartridge format; however, some files are avai lable on diskette as well as on the Internet at HTTP://
WWW.HCFA.GOV/STATS/
PUBFILES.HTML. Data files are listed below with the cost of each. Anyone wishing to purchase data tapes, cartridges, or diskettes should submit a written request along with a company check or money order (payable to HCFA-PUF) to cover the cost to the following address: Health Care Financing Administration, Public Use Files, Accounting Division, P.O. Box 7520, Baltimore, M aryland 21207-0520, (410) 786-3691. Files on the Internet may be downl oaded without charge.

1. Expanded Modified MEDPARHospital (National)

The Medicare Provider Anal ysis and Review (MedPAR) file contains records for 100 percent of Medicare beneficiaries using hospital inpatient services in the United States. (The file is a Federal fiscal year file, that is, discharges occurring October 1 through September 30 of the requested year.)

The records are stripped of most data el ements that will permit identification of beneficiaries. The hospital is identified by the 6-position Medicare billing number. The file is available to persons qual ifying under the terms of the Notice of Proposed New Routine

Uses for an Existing System of Records published in the Federal Register on December 24, 1984 (49 FR 49941), and amended by the July 2, 1985 notice ( 50 FR 27361). The national file consists of approximately 11 million records. Under the requirements of these notices, an agreement for use of HCFA Beneficiary Encrypted Files must be signed by the purchaser before rel ease of these data. For all files requiring a signed agreement, please write or call to obtain a blank agreement form before placing an order. Two versions of this file are created each year. They support the following:

- Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal
Register, usually available by the end of May (April beginning in 1998). This file is derived from the MedPAR file with a cutoff of 3 months after the end of the fiscal year (December file).
- Final Rule published in the Federal Register, usual ly available by the first week of September (August beginning with the FY 1999 final rule). For final rules published before 1998, this file is derived from the MedPAR file with a cutoff of 9 months after the end of the fiscal year (June file). The FY 1997 MedPar file used for the FY 1999 final rule will have a cutoff of 6 months after the end of the fiscal year (March file). Media: Tape/Cartridge File Cost: $\$ 3,415.00$ per fiscal year Periods Available: FY 1988 through FY 1997

2. Expanded Modified MedPARHospital (State)

The State M edPAR file contains records for 100 percent of Medicare beneficiaries using hospital inpatient services in a particular State. The records are stripped of most data elements that will permit identification of beneficiaries. The hospital is identified by the 6-position Medicare billing number. The file is available to persons qualifying under the terms of the Notice of Proposed New Routine Uses for an Existing System of Records published in the December 24, 1984 Federal Register notice, and amended by the July 2, 1985 notice. This file is a subset of the Expanded Modified MedPAR-Hospital (National) as described above. Under the requirements of these notices, an agreement for use of HCFA Beneficiary Encrypted Files must be signed by the purchaser before rel ease of these data. Two versions of this file are created each year. They support the following:

- NPRM published in the Federal

Register, usual ly available by the end of May (April beginning in 1998). This file is derived from the MedPAR file with a
cutoff of 3 months after the end of the fiscal year (December file).

- Final Rule published in the Federal Register, usually avai lable by the first week of September (A ugust beginning with the FY 1999 final rule). For final rules publ ished before 1998, this file is derived from the MedPAR file with a cutoff of 9 months after the end of the fiscal year (June file). The FY 1997 MedPar file used for the FY 1999 final rule will be cut off 6 months after the end of the fiscal year (March file).
Media: Tape/Cartridge
File Cost: \$1,050.00 per State per year
Periods Available: FY 1988 through FY 1997


## 3. HCFA Wage Data

This file contains the hospital hours and salaries for 1995 used to create the proposed FY 1999 prospective payment system wage index. The file will be available by the beginning of February for the NPRM and the beginning of May for the final rule.

| Processing <br> year | Wage data <br> year | PPS fiscal <br> year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1995 | 1999 |
| 1997 | 1994 | 1998 |
| 1996 | 1993 | 1997 |
| 1995 | 1992 | 1996 |
| 1994 | 1991 | 1995 |
| 1993 | 1990 | 1994 |
| 1992 | 1989 | 1993 |
| 1991 | 1988 | 1992 |

These files support the following:

- NPRM published in the Federal

Register, usually by the end of A pril.

- Final Rule published in the Federal

Register, usually by the first week of August.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: $\$ 145.00$ per year
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update
4. HCFA Hospital Wages Indices
(Formally: Urban and Rural Wage Index Values Only)

This file contains a history of all wage indices since October 1, 1983.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: $\$ 145.00$ per year
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update
5. PPS SSA/FIPS MSA State and County Crosswalk

This file contains a crosswalk of State and county codes used by the Social Security Administration (SSA) and the Federal Information Processing
Standards (FIPS), county name, and a historical list of Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).
Media: Diskette/Internet

File Cost: $\$ 145.00$ per year
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS U pdate
6. Reclassified Hospitals by Provider Only
This file contains a list of hospitals that were recl assified for the purpose of the proposed FY 1999 wage index. Two versions of these files are created each year.

They support the following:

- NPRM published in the Federal Register, usually by the end of April.
- Final Rule published in the Federal Register, usually by the first week of August.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: \$145.00 per year
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update

7. PPS-IV to PPS-XII Minimum Data Sets

The Minimum Data Set contai ns cost, statistical, financial, and other information from Medicare hospital cost reports. The data set includes only the most current cost report (as submitted, final settled, or reopened) submitted for a Medi care partici pating hospital by the Medicare Fiscal Intermediary to HCFA. This data set is updated at the end of each calendar quarter and is avail able on the last day of the following month.

Media: Tape/Cartridge

|  | Periods be- <br> ginning on <br> or after | and before |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PPS IV .............. | $10 / 01 / 86$ | $10 / 01 / 87$ |
| PPS V ............ | $10 / 01 / 87$ | $10 / 01 / 88$ |
| PPS VI ........... | $10 / 01 / 88$ | $10 / 01 / 89$ |
| PPS VII ........... | $10 / 0189$ | $10 / 01 / 90$ |
| PPS VIII ........... | $10 / 01 / 90$ | $10 / 01 / 91$ |
| PPS IX .............. | $10 / 01 / 91$ | $10 / 01 / 92$ |
| PPS X ............... | $10 / 01 / 92$ | $10 / 01 / 93$ |
| PPS XI | $101 / 93$ | $10 / 01 / 94$ |
| PPS XII ............. | $10 / 01 / 94$ | $10 / 01 / 95$ |

(Note: The PPS XIII Minimum Data Set covering FY 1997 will not be available until
July 31, 1998.)

## File Cost: $\$ 715.00$ per year

## 8. PPS-IX to PPS-XII Capital Data Set

The Capital Data Set contains selected data for capital-related costs, interest expense and related information and complete bal ance sheet data from the Medicare hospital cost report. The data set includes only the most current cost report (as submitted, final settled or reopened) submitted for a Medicare certified hospital by the Medicare fiscal intermediary to HCFA. This data set is updated at the end of each calendar quarter and is available on the last day of the following month.

Media: Tape/Cartridge

|  | Periods be- <br> ginning on <br> or after | and before |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PPS IX ............. | $10 / 01 / 91$ | $10 / 01 / 92$ |
| PPS X ............ | $10 / 01 / 92$ | $10 / 01 / 93$ |
| PPS XI $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. | $10 / 01 / 93$ | $10 / 01 / 94$ |
| PPS XII .......... | $10 / 01 / 94$ | $10 / 01 / 95$ |

(Note: The PPS XIII Capital Data Set covering FY 1997 will not be available until July 31, 1998.)

File Cost: $\$ 715.00$ per year

## 9. Provider-Specific File

This file is a component of the PRICER program used in the fiscal intermediary's system to compute DRG payments for individual bills. The file contains records for all prospective payment system eligi ble hospitals, including hospitals in wai ver States, and data elements used in the prospective payment system recalibration processes and related activities. Beginning with December 1988, the individual records were enlarged to include pass-through per diems and other elements.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: \$265.00
Periods Available: FY 1998 PPS Update
10. HCFA Medicare Case-Mix Index File

This file contains the M edicare casemix index by provider number as published in each year's update of the Medicare hospital inpatient prospective payment system. The case-mix index is a measure of the costl iness of cases treated by a hospital relative to the cost of the national average of all Medicare hospital cases, using DRG weights as a measure of relative costliness of cases. Two versi ons of this file are created each year. They support the following:

- NPRM published in the Federal Register, usually by the end of May (A pril begi nning in 1998).
- Final rule published in the Federal Register, usual ly by the first week of September (August beginning in 1998). Media: Diskette/Internet
Price: $\$ 145.00$ per year
Periods Available: FY 1985 through FY
1997 (Internet-FY 1997)

11. DRG Rel ative Weights (Formerly Table 5 DRG)

This file contains a listing of DRGs, DRG narrative description, relative weights, and geometric and arithmetic mean lengths of stay as published in the Federal Register. The hardcopy image has been copied to diskette. There are two versions of this file as published in the Federal Register:
a. NPRM, usually published by the end of May (A pril beginning in 1998).
b. Final rule, usually published by the first week of September (August beginning in 1999).
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: \$145.00
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update

## 12. PPS Payment Impact File

This file contains data used to estimate payments under M edi care's hospital inpatient prospective payment systems for operating and capi tal-rel ated costs. The data are taken from various sources, including the Provider-Specific File, Minimum Data Sets, and prior impact files. The data set is abstracted from an internal file used for the impact anal ysis of the changes to the prospective payment systems published in the Federal Register. This file is available for rel ease 1 month after the proposed and final rules are published in the Federal Register.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: $\$ 145.00$
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update

## 13. AOR/BOR Tables

This file contains data used to devel op the DRG relative weights. It contains mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation statistics by DRG for length of stay and standardized charges. The BOR tables are "Before Outliers Removed" and the AOR is "After Outliers Removed." (Outliers refers to statistical outliers, not payment outliers.) Two versions of this file are created each year. They support the following:

- NPRM published in the Federal Register, usually by the end of A pril.
- Final rule published in the Federal Register, usually by the first week of August.
Media: Diskette/Internet
File Cost: $\$ 145.00$
Periods Available: FY 1999 PPS Update
For further information concerning these data tapes, contact Mary R. White at (410) 786-3691.

Commenters interested in obtaining or discussing any other data used in constructing this rule should contact Stephen Phillips at (410) 786-4548.

## B. Public Comments

Because of the large number of items of correspondence we normal ly recei ve on a proposed rule, we are not able to acknowledge or respond to them individually. However, in preparing the final rule, we will consider all comments concerning the provisions of this proposed rule that we receive by the date and time specified in the dATES
section of this preamble and respond to those comments in the preambl e to that rule. We emphasize that, given the statutory requirement under section 1886(e)(5) of the Act that our final rule for FY 1999 be published by August 1, 1998, we will consider only those comments that deal specifically with the matters discussed in this proposed rule.

## List of Subjects

## 42 CFR Part 405

Administrative practice and procedure, Heal th facilities, Health professions, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas, X-rays.

## 42 CFR Part 412

Administrative practice and procedure, Heal th facilities, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requi rements.

## 42 CFR Part 413

Health facilities, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.
42 CFR Chapter IV would be amended as set forth below:
A. Part 405 is amended as follows:

## PART 405-FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED

1. The authority citation for part 405 is revised to read as follows:
Authority: Secs. 1102, 1861, 1862(a), 1871, 1874, 1881, and 1886(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395x, 1395 y (a), 1395hh, $1395 \mathrm{kk}, 1395 \mathrm{rr}$ and $1395 \mathrm{ww}(\mathrm{k})$ ), and sec. 353 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 263a), unless otherwise noted.

## Subpart X—Rural Health Clinic and Federally Qualified Health Center Services

## § 405.2468 [Amended]

2. In § 405.2468, a new paragraph (f) is added to read as follows:
(f) Graduate medical education. (1) Effective for that portion of cost reporting periods occurring on or after January 1, 1999, if an RHC or an FQHC incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting as defined in § 413.86(b) of this chapter, the RHC or FQHC may recei ve direct graduate medical education payment for those residents.
(2) Direct graduate medical education costs are not included as allowable cost under § 405.2466(b)(1)(i); and therefore, are not subject to the limit on the allinclusive rate for allowable costs.
(3) Allowable graduate medical education costs must be reported on the RHC's or the FQHC's cost report under a separate cost center.
(4) Allowable direct graduate medical education costs under paragraphs (f)(5) and (6)(i) of this section, are subject to reasonable cost principles under part 413 and the reasonable compensation equivalency limits in $\S \S 415.60$ and 415.70 of this chapter.
(5) The al lowable direct graduate medical education costs are those costs incurred by the nonhospital site for the educational activities associated with patient care services of an approved program, subject to the redistribution and community support princi ples in § 413.85(c).
(i) The following costs are included in all owable direct graduate medical education costs to the extent that they are reasonable-
(A) The costs of the residents' salaries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging expenses where applicable).
(B) The portion of teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits that are rel ated to the time spent teaching and supervising residents.
(C) Facility overhead costs that are allocated to direct graduate medical education.
(ii) The following costs are not included as allowable graduate medical education costs-
(A) Costs associated with training, but not related to patient care services.
(B) Normal operating and capitalrelated costs.
(C) The marginal increase in patient care costs that the RHC or FQHC experiences as a result of having an approved program.
(D) The costs associated with activities described in §413.85(d) of this chapter.
(6) Payment is equal to the product of-
(i) The RHC's or the FQHC's allowable direct graduate medical education costs; and
(ii) Medicare's share of the direct graduate medical education payment which is equal to the ratio of Medicare visits to the total number of visits (as defined in § 405.2463).
(7) Direct graduate medical education payments to RHCs and FQHCs made under this section are made from the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund.

*     *         *             *                 * 

B. Part 412 is amended as set forth below:

## PART 412-PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEMS FOR INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 412 continues to read as follows:
Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1895hh).

## Subpart A—General Provisions

2. Section 412.4 is revised to read as follows:

## §412.4 Discharges and transfers.

(a) Discharges. Subject to the provisions of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, a hospital inpatient is considered discharged from a hospital paid under the prospective payment system when -
(1) The patient is formal ly released from the hospital ; or
(2) The patient dies in the hospital.
(b) Transfer-Basic rule. A discharge of a hospital inpatient is considered to be a transfer for purposes of payment under this part if the discharge is made under any of the following circumstances:
(1) From a hospital to the care of another hospital that is-
(i) Paid under the prospective payment system; or
(ii) Excluded from being paid under the prospective payment system because of participation in an approved Statewide cost control program as described in subpart C of part 403 of this chapter.
(2) From one inpatient area or unit of a hospital to another inpatient area or unit of the hospital that is paid under the prospective payment system.
(c) Transfers-Special 10 DRG rule. For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998, a discharge of a hospital inpatient is considered to be a transfer for purposes of this part when the patient's di scharge is assigned, as described in § 412.60 (c), to one of the qualifying diagnosis-related groups (DRGs) listed in paragraph (d) of this section and the discharge is made under any of the following circumstances-
(1) To a hospital or distinct part hospital unit excluded from the prospective payment system under subpart B of this part.
(2) To a skilled nursing facility or to a swing bed in the hospital that meets the provisions of $\S 482.66$ of this chapter.
(3) To home under a written plan of care for the provision of home heal th services from a home heal th agency and those services begin within 3 days after the date of discharge.
(d) Qualifying DRGs. The qualifying DRGs for purposes of paragraph (c) of this section are DRGs 14, 113, 209, 210, 211, 236, 263, 264, 429, and 483.
(e) Payment for discharges. The hospital discharging an inpatient (under paragraph (a) of this section) is paid in full, in accordance with § 412.2(b).
(f) Payment for transfers-(1) General rule. Except as provided in paragraph $(f)(2)$ or $(f)(3)$ of this section, a hospital that transfers an inpatient under the circumstances described in paragraph (b) or (c) of this section, is paid a graduated per diem rate for each day of the patient's stay in that hospital, not to exceed the amount that would have been paid under subparts $D$ and $M$ of this part if the patient had been discharged to another setting. The per diem rate is determined by dividing the appropriate prospective payment rates (as determined under subparts $D$, and $M$ of this part) by the geometric mean length of stay for the specific which the case is assigned. Payment is graduated by paying twice the per diem amount for the first day of the stay, and the per diem amount for each subsequent day, up to the full DRG payment.
(2) Special rule for DRGs 209, 210, and 211. A hospital that transfers an inpatient under the circumstances described in paragraph (c) of this section and the transfer is assigned to DRGs 209, 210 or 211 is paid as follows:
(i) 50 percent of the appropriate prospective payment rate (as determined under subparts $D$ and $M$ of this part) for the first day of the stay; and
(ii) 50 percent of the per diem amount as cal culated under paragraph (f)(1) of this section for the remaining days of the stay, up to the full DRG payment.
(3) Transfer assigned to DRG 385. If a transfer is classified into DRG No. 385 (Neonates, died or transferred) the transferring hospital is paid in accordance with § 412.2(e).
(4) Outliers. Effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1994, a transferring hospital may qualify for an additional payment for extraordinarily high-cost cases that meet the criteria for cost outliers as described in subpart F of this part.

## Subpart G-Special Treatment of Certain Facilities Under the Prospective Payment System for Inpatient Operating Costs

3. In § 412.106, paragraph (b)(4) is revised to read as follows:
§412.106 Special treatment: Hospitals that serve a disproportionate share of lowincome patients.
(b) * * *
(4) Second computation. The fiscal intermediary determines, for the same cost reporting period used for the first computation, the number of the hospital 's patient days of service for which patients were eligible for Medicaid but not entitled to Medicare Part A, and divides that number by the total number of patient days in the same period.
(i) For purpose of paragraph (b)(4), a patient is deemed eligible for Medicaid on a given day if the patient is eligible for medical assistance under an approved State Medicaid plan on such day, regardless of whether particular items or services were covered or paid under the State plan.
(ii) The hospital has the burden of furnishing data adequate to prove eligibility for each Medicaid patient day claimed under this paragraph, and of verifying with the State that a patient was eligible for Medicaid during each claimed patient hospital day.

## Subpart M—Prospective Payment System for inpatient Hospital Capital Costs

4. In $\S 412.322$, a new sentence is added at the end of paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:
§412.322 Indirect medical education adjustment factor.
(a) $* * *$
(3) $* * *$ This ratio cannot exceed 1.5.

*     *         *             *                 * 

5. In § 412.331, paragraphs (a) and (b) are redesignated as paragraphs (b) and (c) respectively, a new paragraph (a) is added, and the first sentences of new paragraphs (b) introductory text and (b)(2) are revised to read as follows:
§412.331 Determining hospital-specific rates in cases of hospital merger, consolidation, or dissolution.
(a) New hospital merger or consolidation. If, after a new hospital accepts its first patient but before the end of its base year, it merges with one or more existing hospitals, and two or more separately located hospital campuses are maintai ned, hospital specific rate and payment determination for the merged entity are determined as follows-
(1) The "new" campus continues to be paid based on reasonable costs until the end of its base year. The existing campus remains on its previous payment methodology until the end of the new campus' base year. Effective with the first cost reporting period beginning after the "new" campus, the
intermediary determines a hospitalspecific rate appli cable to the new campus, and then determines a revised hospital-specific rate for the merged entity in accordance with paragraph(a) of this section.
(2) Payment determination. To determine the applicable payment methodology under § 412.336 and for payment purposes under § 412.340 or § 412.344, the discharge-weighted hospital-specific rate is compared to the Federal rate. The revised payment methodology is effective on the first day of the cost reporting period beginning after the end of the "new" campus" base year.
(b) Hospital merger or consolidation. If, after the base year, two or more hospitals merge or consolidate into one hospital as provided for under § 413.134(k) of this chapter and are not subject to the provisi ons of paragraph (a) of this section, the intermediary determines a revised hospital-specific rate applicable to the combined facility under § 412.328, which is effective beginning with the date of merger or consolidation. * * *
(2) Payment determination. To determine the applicable payment methodology under § 412.336 and for payment purposes under $\S 412.340$ or $\S 412.344$, the discharge-weighted hospital-specific rate is compared to the Federal rate. * * *
C. Part 413 is amended as set forth below:

## PART 413-PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES; OPTIONAL PROSPECTIVELY DETERMINED PAYMENT FOR SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

1. The authority citation for part 413 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1812(d), 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), (I) and (n), 1861(v), 1871, 1881, 1883, and 1866 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395f(b), 1395g, 1395I, 1395I(a), (I) and (n), 1395x(v), 1395hh, 1395rr, 1395tt, and 1395ww).

## Subpart C—Limits on Cost Reimbursement

2. In § 413.40, paragraph (c)(4)(iv) is redesi gnated as paragraph (v), a new paragraph (iv) is added, and paragraph $(\mathrm{g})(1)$ is revised to read as follows:
§413.40 Ceiling on the rate of increase in hospital inpatient costs.
(c) * * *
(4) * * *
(iv) For purposes of the limits on target amounts established under paragraph (c)(4)(iii) of this section, each hospital or unit that was excluded from the prospective payment system for its cost reporting period ending during FY 1996 will be classified in the same way (that is, as a psychiatric hospital or unit, or a long-term care hospital) as it was classified under subpart B of part 412 of this chapter for purposes of exclusion from prospective payment systems for its cost reporting period ending during FY 1996. If a hospital or unit was not excluded from the prospective payment system for a cost reporting period ending during FY 1996 but could qualify to be classified in more than one way under the exclusion criteria in subpart B of part 412 of this chapter, the hospital is assigned to the classification group that has the lowest limit on its target amounts.
(g) Adjustments-(1) General rule. HCFA may adjust the amount of the operating costs considered in establishing the rate-of-increase ceiling for one or more cost reporting periods, including both periods subject to the ceiling and the hospital 's base period, under the circumstances specified below. When an adjustment is requested by the hospital, HCFA makes an adjustment only to the extent that the hospital's operating costs are reasonable, attributable to the circumstances specified separately identified by the hospital, and verified by the intermediary. HCFA may grant an adjustment requested by the hospital only if the hospital 's operating costs exceed the rate-of-increase ceiling imposed under this section. In the case of a psychiatric hospital or unit, rehabilitation hospital or unit, or long term care hospital, the amount of payment made to a hospital after an adjustment under paragraph (g)(3) of this section may not exceed the 75th percentile of the target amounts for hospitals of the same class as described in § 413.40(c)(4)(iii).

## Subpart F-Specific Categories of Costs

3. In § 413.80, paragraph (h) is redesignated as paragraph (i), and a new paragraph ( $h$ ) is added to read as follows:
§413.80 Bad debts, charity, and courtesy allowances.
(h) Limitations on bad debts. In determining reasonable costs for hospitals, the amount of bad debts
otherwise treated as allowable costs (as defined in paragraph (e) of this section) is reduced-
(1) For cost reporting periods beginning during fiscal year 1998, by 25 percent;
(2) For cost reporting periods beginning during fiscal year 1999, by 40 percent; and
(3) For cost reporting periods beginning during a subsequent fiscal year, by 45 percent.
4. In § 413.85, a new paragraph (h) is added to read as follows:

## §413.85 Cost of educational activities.

(h) Medicare+Choice organizations. (1) Effective for that portion of cost reporting periods occurring on or after January 1, 1999, Medicare+Choice organizations may receive direct graduate medical education payments for the time that residents spend in nonhospital provider settings such as freestanding clinics, nursing homes, and physicians' offices in connection with approved programs.
(2) Medicare+Choice organizations may receive direct graduate medical education payments if all of the following conditions are met-
(i) The resident spends his or her time in patient care activities.
(ii) The Medicare+Choice
organization incurs "all or substantially all" of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting as defined in § 413.86(b).
(iii) There is a written agreement between the M edicare+Choice organization and the nonhospital provider that contains-
(A) A statement by the nonhospital provider that, all or substantially all of the direct graduate medical education costs as defined in paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section are being assumed by the Medicare+Choi ce organization;
(B) A statement that the nonhospital site agrees to offset the revenue recei ved from the Medicare+Choi ce organization.
(C) A statement that the nonhospital site agrees to report its direct graduate medical education costs in a nonrei mbursable cost center on its cost report; and
(D) A statement indicating how much time the teaching physicians will spend training residents in the nonhospital setting, subject to the provisions of $\S \S 415.60$ and 415.70 of this chapter.
(3) A Medicare+Choice organization's allowable direct graduate medical education costs, subject to the redistribution and community support principles in § 413.85(c), consist of-
(i) Residents' sal aries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging where applicable); and
(ii) The portion of teaching physicians' sal aries and fringe benefits that are related to the time spent in teaching and supervising residents.
(4) Allowabl e direct graduate medi cal education costs under paragraph (h)(3) of this section are subject to the reasonable cost principles of part 413 and the reasonable compensation equival ency limits in $\S \S 415.60$ and 415.70 of this chapter.
(5) The di rect graduate medical education payment is equal to the product of-
(i) The M edi care+Choice organization's al lowable direct graduate medical education costs as defined in paragraph (h)(3) of this section; and
(ii) Medicare's share of the Medicare+Choice organization's direct graduate medical education payment in the nonhospital site which is equal to the ratio of the number of Medicare beneficiaries enrolled to the total number of individuals enrolled in the Medi care+Choice organization.
(6) Direct graduate medical education payments made to Medicare+Choice organizations under this section are made from the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund.
5. In § 413.86, the introductory text of paragraph (b) is republ ished, a new definition in al phabetical order is added to paragraph (b), paragraphs (i) and (j) are redesignated as paragraphs ( j ) and (k) respectively, paragraph (f)(2) is redesignated as new paragraph (i), paragraphs (f)(2)(i) through (vii) are redesignated as paragraphs (i)(1) through (7) respectively, the introductory text of paragraph (f)(1) is redesignated as the introductory text of paragraph (f), paragraphs (f)(1)(i) through (iii) are redesignated as paragraphs ( f )(1) through (3) respectively, paragraphs (f)(1)(iii)(A) and (B) are redesignated as (f)(3)(i) and (ii) respectively, new paragraph (f)(2) and the introductory text of new paragraph (f)(3) are revised, and a new paragraph (f)(4) is added to read as follows:

## §413.86 Direct graduate medical education payments.

(b) Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

All or substantially all of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting means the residents' sal aries and fringe benefits (including travel and lodging where applicable) and the
portion of the cost of teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits.

(2) No individual may be counted as more than one FTE. If a resident spends time in more than one hospital or, except as provided in paragraphs (f)(3) and (4) of this section, in a nonprovider setting, the resident counts as partial FTE based on the proportion of time worked at the hospital to the total time worked. A part-time resident counts as a partial FTE based on the proportion of al lowable time worked compared to the total time necessary to fill a full-time internship or residency slot.
(3) On or after July 1, 1987 and for the portion of the cost reporting period ocurring before January 1,1999 , the time residents spend in nonprovider settings such as freestanding clinics, nursing homes, and physicians' offices in connection with approved programs is not excluded in determining the number of FTE residents in the calculation of a hospital 's resident count if the following conditions are met-
(4) On or after July 1, 1987 and for the portion cost reporting period occurring on or after January 1, 1999, the time residents spend in nonprovider settings such as freestanding clinics, nursing homes, and physicians' offices in connection with approved programs is not excluded in determining the number of FTE residents in the cal culation of a hospital's resident count if the following conditions are met-
(i) The resident spends his or her time in patient care activities.
(ii) The written agreement between the hospital and the nonhospital provider must contain-
(A ) A statement by the nonhospital provider that, all or substantially all of the direct graduate medical education costs as defined in paragraph (b) of this section are being assumed by the hospital;
(B) A statement that the nonhospital site agrees to offset the revenue received from the hospital;
(C) A statement that the nonhospital site agrees to report its direct graduate medical education costs on its cost report in a graduate medical education cost center; and
(D) A statement indicating how much time the teaching physicians will spend training residents in the nonhospital setting, subject to the provisions of $\S \S 415.60$ and 415.70 of this chapter.
(Catal og of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare-Hospital Insurance; and Program No. 93.774,

Medicare-Supplementary Medical Insurance)

Dated: A pril 28, 1998.

## Nancy-Ann Min DeParle,

Administrator, Health CareFinancing Administration.

Dated: May 1, 1998.
Donna E. Shalala,
Secretary.
[Editorial Note: The following addendum and appendixes will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.]

## Addendum - Proposed Schedule of

Standardized A mounts Effective With Discharges Occurring On or After October 1, 1998 and Update Factors and Rate-ofIncrease Percentages Effective With Cost Reporting Periods Beginning On or After October 1, 1998

## I. Summary and Background

In this addendum, we are setting forth the proposed amounts and factors for determining prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient operating costs and Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. We are al so setting forth proposed rate-of-increase percentages for updating the target amounts for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system.

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998, except for sole community hospitals, Medicaredependent, small rural hospitals, and hospitals located in Puerto Rico, each hospital 's payment per discharge under the prospective payment system will be based on 100 percent of the Federal national rate.

Sole community hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yield the greatest aggregate payment: The Federal national rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, or the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge. Medicaredependent, small rural hospitals are paid based on the Federal national rate or, if higher, the Federal national rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal national rate and the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 or FY 1987 cost per discharge, whichever is higher. For hospitals in Puerto Rico, the payment per discharge is based on the sum of 50 percent of a Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of a national rate.

As discussed bel ow in section II, we are proposing to make changes in the determination of the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpati ent operating costs. The changes, to be applied prospectively, would affect the calculation of the Federal rates. In section III of this addendum, we discuss
our proposed changes for determining the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient capital-rel ated costs. Section IV of this addendum sets forth our proposed changes for determining the rate-of-increase limits for hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system. The tables to which we refer in the preamble to the proposed rule are presented at the end of this addendum in section $V$.

## II. Proposed Changes to Prospective Payment Rates for Inpatient Operating Costs for FY 1999

The basic methodology for determining prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs is set forth at $\S 412.63$ for hospitals located outside of Puerto Rico. The basic methodology for determining the prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs for hospitals located in Puerto Rico is set forth at $\S \S 412.210$ and 412.212. Below, we discuss the proposed factors used for determining the prospective payment rates. The Federal and Puerto Rico rate changes, once issued as final, would be effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998. As required by section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act, we must also adjust the DRG classifications and weighting factors for discharges in FY 1999.

In summary, the proposed standardized amounts set forth in Tables 1A and 1C of section $V$ of this addendum reflect-

- Updates of 0.7 percent for all areas (that is, the market basket percentage increase of 2.6 percent minus 1.9 percentage points);
- An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for in sections 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act by applying new budget neutrality adjustment factors to the large urban and other standardized amounts;
- An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for in section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act by removing the FY 1998 budget neutrality factor and applying a revised factor;
- An adjustment to apply the revised outlier offset by removing the FY 1998 outlier offsets and applying a new offset; and
- An adjustment in the Puerto Rico standardized amounts to reflect the application of a Puerto Rico-specific wage index.

The standardized amounts set forth in Tables 1E and 1F of section V of this addendum, which apply to "temporary reli ef" hospitals (see 62 FR 46001 for a discussion of these hospitals), reflect updates of 1.0 percent for all areas but otherwise reflect the same adjustments
as the national standardized amounts As described in § 412.107, these hospitals recei ve an update that is 0.3 percentage points more than the update factor applicable to all other prospective payment hospital s for FY 1999.

## A. Calculation of Adjusted <br> Standardized Amounts

1. Standardization of Base-Y ear Costs or Target Amounts

Section 1886(d)(2)(A) of the Act required the establishment of base-year cost data containing allowable operating costs per discharge of inpatient hospital services for each hospital. The preamble to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39763) contains a detailed explanation of how base-year cost data were established in the initial devel opment of standardized amounts for the prospecti ve payment system and how they are used in computing the Federal rates.
Section 1886(d)(9)(B)(i) of the Act required that Medi care target amounts be determined for each hospital located in Puerto Rico for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 1987. The September 1, 1987 final rule contains a detailed explanation of how the target amounts were determined and how they are used in computing the Puerto Rico rates ( 52 FR 33043, 33066).

The standardized amounts are based on per discharge averages of adjusted hospital costs from a base period or, for Puerto Rico, adjusted target amounts from a base period, updated and otherwise adjusted in accordance with the provisions of section 1886(d) of the Act. Sections 1886(d)(2)(B) and (C) of the Act required that the base-year per discharge costs be updated for FY 1984 and then standardized in order to remove from the cost data the effects of certain sources of variation in cost among hospitals. These include case mix, differences in area wage levels, cost of living adjustments for Alaska and Hawaii, indirect medical education costs, and payments to hospital s serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients.
Under sections 1886(d)(2)(H) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act, in making payments under the prospective payment system, the Secretary estimates from time to time the proportion of costs that are wages and wage-related costs. Since October 1, 1997, when the market basket was last revised, we have considered 71.1 percent of costs to be labor-rel ated for purposes of the prospective payment system. We are revising the Puerto Rico standardized amounts by the average labor share in Puerto Rico of 71.3 percent. We are revising the discharge-
weighted national standardized amount for Puerto Rico to reflect the proportion of discharges in large urban and other areas from the FY 1997 MedPAR file.

## 2. Computing Large Urban and Other A rea A verages

Sections 1886(d) (2)(D) and (3) of the Act require the Secretary to compute two average standardized amounts for discharges occurring in a fiscal year: One for hospitals located in large urban areas and one for hospital s located in other areas. In addition, under sections 1886(d)(9)(B)(iii) and (C)(i) of the Act, the average standardized amount per discharge must be determined for hospital s located in urban and other areas in Puerto Rico. Hospitals in Puerto Rico are paid a blend of 50 percent of the applicable Puerto Rico standardized amount and 50 percent of a national standardized payment amount.

Section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act defines "urban area" as those areas within a Metropolitan Statistical A rea (MSA). A "large urban area" is defined as an urban area with a population of more than 1,000,000. In addition, section 4009(i) of Public Law 100-203 provides that a New Engl and County Metropolitan Area (NECMA) with a population of more than 970,000 is classified as a large urban area. As required by section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act, population size is determined by the Secretary based on the latest population data published by the Bureau of the Census. Urban areas that do not meet the definition of a "large urban area" are referred to as "other urban areas." A reas that are not included in MSAs are considered "rural areas" under section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act. Payment for discharges from hospital s located in large urban areas will be based on the large urban standardized amount. Payment for discharges from hospitals located in other urban and rural areas will be based on the other standardized amount.

Based on 1996 population estimates published by the Bureau of the Census, 60 areas meet the criteria to be defined as Iarge urban areas for FY 1999. These areas are identified by a footnote in Table 4A.

## 3. Updating the A verage Standardized

 A mountsUnder section 1886(d)(3)(A) of the Act, we update the area average standardized amounts each year. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(A )(iv) of the Act, we are proposing to update the large urban and the other areas average standardized amounts for FY 1999 using the
applicable percentage increases specified in section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i)(XIV) of the Act specifies that, for hospitals in all areas, the update factor for the standardized amounts for FY 1999 is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.9 percentage points. The "temporary relief'' provision under section 4401 of Public Law 105-33 provides for an update equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.6 percentage points for hospitals that are not Medicaredependent, small rural hospitals, that receive no IME or DSH payments, that are located in a state in which aggregate Medi care operating payments for such hospitals were less than their aggregate allowable M edicare operating costs for their cost reporting peri ods begi nning during FY 1995, and whose Medicare operating payments are less than their allowable M edicare operating costs for their cost reporting period begi nning during FY 1999.

The percentage change in the market basket reflects the average change in the price of goods and services purchased by hospitals to furnish inpatient care. The most recent forecast of the proposed hospital market basket increase for FY 1999 is 2.6 percent. Thus, for FY 1999, the proposed update to the average standardized amounts equals 0.7 percent (1.0 percent for those hospitals qualifying under the "temporary relief" provision of Public Law 105-33).
As in the past, we are adjusting the FY 1998 standardized amounts to remove the effects of the FY 1998 geographic recl assifications and outlier payments before applying the FY 1999 updates. That is, we are increasing the standardized amounts to restore the reductions that were made for the effects of geographic reclassification and outliers. We then apply the new offsets to the standardized amounts for outliers and geographic recl assifications for FY 1999.

Although the update factor for FY 1999 is set by law, we are required by section 1886(e)(3) of the Act to report to Congress on our initial recommendation of update factors for FY 1999 for both prospective payment hospitals and hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system. For general information purposes, we have included the report to Congress as A ppendix C to this proposed rule. Our proposed recommendation on the update factors (which is required by sections 1886(e)(4)(A ) and (e)(5)(A) of the Act), as well as our responses to MedPAC's recommendation concerning the update factor, are set forth as A ppendix $D$ to this proposed rule.

## 4. Other Adjustments to the A verage Standardized A mounts

a. Recalibration of DRG Weights and Updated Wage Index—Budget Neutrality Adjustment. Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act specifies that beginning in FY 1991, the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration of the rel ative weights must be made in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospital s are not affected. As discussed in section II of the preamble, we normalized the recalibrated DRG weights by an adjustment factor, so that the average case weight after recalibration is equal to the average case weight prior to recalibration.
Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act specifies that the hospital wage index must be updated on an annual basis begi nning October 1, 1993. This provision also requires that any updates or adjustments to the wage index must be made in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are not affected by the change in the wage index.
To comply with the requirement of section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act that DRG reclassification and recalibration of the rel ative weights be budget neutral, and the requirement in section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act that the updated wage index be budget neutral, we used historical discharge data to simulate payments and compared aggregate payments using the FY 1998 relative weights and wage index to aggregate payments using the proposed FY 1999 relative weights and wage index. The same methodology was used for the FY 1998 budget neutrality adjustment. (See the discussion in the September 1, 1992 final rule (57 FR 39832).) Based on this comparison, we computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor equal to 0.999227 . We adjust the Puerto Ricospecific standardized amounts for the effect of DRG reclassification and recalibration. We computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor for Puerto Rico-specific standardized amounts equal to 0.998946 . These budget neutrality adjustment factors are applied to the standardized amounts without removing the effects of the FY 1998 budget neutrality adjustments. We do not remove the prior budget neutrality adjustment because estimated aggregate payments after the changes in the DRG relative weights and wage index should equal estimated aggregate payments prior to the changes. If we removed the prior year adjustment, we would not satisfy this condition.

In addition, we are proposing to continue to apply the same FY 1999
adjustment factor to the hospitalspecific rates that are effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998, in order to ensure that we meet the statutory requirement that aggregate payments neither increase nor decrease as a result of the
implementation of the FY 1999 DRG weights and updated wage index. (See the discussion in the September 4, 1990 final rule ( 55 FR 36073).)
b. Reclassified Hospitals-Budget Neutrality Adjustment. Section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act provides that certain rural hospitals are deemed urban effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1988. In addition, section 1886(d)(10) of the Act provides for the reclassification of hospitals based on determinations by the Medicare Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB). Under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act, a hospital may be reclassified for purposes of the standardized amount or the wage index, or both.

Under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act, the Secretary is required to adjust the standardized amounts so as to ensure that total aggregate payments under the prospective payment system after implementation of the provisions of sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and (C) and 1886(d)(10) of the Act are equal to the aggregate prospective payments that would have been made absent these provisions. To calculate this budget neutral ity factor, we used historical discharge data to simulate payments, and compared total prospective payments (including IME and DSH payments) prior to any reclassifications to total prospective payments after reclassifications. We are applying an adjustment factor of 0.994019 to ensure that the effects of reclassification are budget neutral.

The adjustment factor is applied to the standardized amounts after removing the effects of the FY 1998 budget neutral ity adjustment factor. We note that the proposed FY 1999 adjustment reflects wage index and standardized amount reclassifications approved by the M GCRB or the Administrator as of February 27, 1998. The effects of any additional reclassification changes resulting from appeals and reviews of the MGCRB decisions for FY 1999 or from a hospital's request for the withdrawal of a reclassification request will be reflected in the final budget neutrality adjustment required under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act and published in the final rule for FY 1999.
c. Outliers. Section 1886(d)(5)(A) of the Act provides for payments in addition to the basic prospective
payments for "outlier" cases, cases
invol ving extraordinarily high costs (cost outliers). Section 1886(d)(3)(B) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust both the large urban and other area national standardized amounts by the same factor to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made to outlier cases. Similarly, section 1886(d)(9)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust the large urban and other standardized amounts applicable to hospitals in Puerto Rico to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made to outlier cases. Furthermore, under section 1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, outlier payments for any year must be projected to be not less than 5 percent nor more than 6 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates.

For FY 1998, the fixed loss cost outlier threshold is equal to the prospective payment for the DRG plus $\$ 11,050$ ( $\$ 10,080$ for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-rel ated costs). The margi nal cost factor for cost outliers (the percent of costs paid after costs for the case exceed the threshold) is 80 percent. We applied an outlier adjustment to the FY 1998 standardized amounts of 0.948840 for the large urban and other areas rates and 0.9382 for the capital Federal rate.
We are proposing a fixed loss cost outlier threshold in FY 1999 equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus $\$ 11,350$ ( $\$ 10,355$ for hospital s that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital related costs). In addition, we are proposing to maintain the marginal cost factor for cost outliers at 80 percent.

In accordance with section
1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, we cal culated proposed outlier thresholds so that outlier payments are projected to equal 5.1 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(E), we reduced the proposed FY 1999 standardized amounts by the same percentage to account for the projected proportion of payments paid to outliers.
As stated in the September 1, 1993 final rule (58 FR 46348), we establish outlier thresholds that are applicable to both inpatient operating costs and inpatient capital-related costs. When we modeled the combined operating and capital outlier payments, we found that using a common set of thresholds resulted in a higher percentage of outlier payments for capital-rel ated costs than for operating costs. We project that the proposed thresholds for FY 1999 will result in outlier payments equal to 5.1
percent of operating DRG payments and 6.2 percent of capital payments based on the Federal rate.
The proposed outlier adjustment factors applied to the standardized amounts for FY 1999 are as follows:

|  | Operating <br> standardized <br> amounts | Capital federal <br> rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| National ..... | 0.948819 | 0.9378 |
| Puerto Rico | 0.972962 | 0.9626 |

We apply the proposed outlier adjustment factors after removing the effects of the FY 1998 outlier adjustment factors on the standardized amounts.

Table 8A in section V of this addendum contains the updated Statewide average operating cost-tocharge ratios for urban hospitals and for rural hospitals to be used in calculating cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the intermediary is unable to compute a reasonable hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratio. These Statewide average ratios would replace the ratios published in the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period ( 62 FR 46113), effective October 1, 1998. Table 8B contains comparable Statewide average capital cost-to-charge ratios. These average ratios would be used to calculate cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the intermediary computes operating cost-to-charge ratios lower than 0.217279 or greater than 1.28985 and capital cost-to-charge ratios lower than 0.01281 or greater than 0.18084 . This range represents 3.0 standard deviations (plus or minus) from the mean of the log distribution of cost-to-charge ratios for all hospitals. We note that the cost-to-charge ratios in Tables 8A and 8B would be used during FY 1999 when hospital-specific cost-tocharge ratios based on the latest settled cost report are either not avail able or outside the three standard deviations range.

In the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period ( 62 FR 46041), we stated that, based on available data, we estimated that actual FY 1997 outlier payments would be approximately 4.8 percent of actual total DRG payments. This was computed by simulating payments using actual FY 1996 bill data avai lable at the time. That is, the estimate of actual outlier payments did not reflect actual FY 1997 bills but instead reflected the application of FY 1997 rates and policies to available FY 1996 bills. Our current estimate, using available FY 1997 bills, is that actual outlier payments for FY 1997 were approximately 5.5 percent of actual total DRG payments. We note that the

MedPAR file for FY 1997 discharges continues to be updated.

We currently estimate that actual outl ier payments for FY 1998 will be approximately 5.4 percent of actual total DRG payments, slightly higher than the 5.1 percent we projected in setting outlier policies for FY 1998. This estimate is based on simulations using the December 1997 update of the provider-specific file and the December 1997 update of the FY 1997 MedPAR file (di scharge data for FY 1997 bills). We used these data to cal culate an estimate of the actual outlier percentage for FY 1998 by applying FY 1998 rates and policies to available FY 1997 bills.

In FY 1994, we began using a cost inflation factor rather than a charge inflation factor to update billed charges for purposes of estimating outlier payments. This refinement was made to improve our estimation methodology. For FY 1998, we used a cost inflation factor of minus 2.005 percent (a cost per case decrease of 2.005 percent). For FY 1999, based on more recent data, we are proposing a cost inflation factor of minus 1.831 percent to set outlier thresholds. We will reeval uate this factor when we develop the final rule for FY 1999. At that time, more recent data should be available for analysis, specifically, cost report data for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1997.
5. FY 1999 Standardized Amounts

The adjusted standardized amounts are divided into labor and nonlabor portions. Table 1A (Table 1E for "temporary relief" hospitals) contains the two national standardized amounts that we are proposing to be applicable to all hospitals, except for hospitals in Puerto Rico. Under section 1886(d)(9)(A )(ii) of the Act, the Federal portion of the Puerto Rico payment rate is based on the discharge-weighted average of the national large urban standardized amount and the national other standardized amount (as set forth in Table 1A and 1E). The labor and nonlabor portions of the national average standardized amounts for Puerto Rico hospitals are set forth in Table 1C (Table 1F for "temporary relief" hospitals). These tables also include the Puerto Rico standardized amounts.
B. Adjustments for Area Wage Levels and Cost of Living

Tables 1A, 1C, 1E and 1F, as set forth in this addendum, contain the proposed labor-rel ated and nonlabor-rel ated shares that would be used to cal culate the prospective payment rates for hospital s located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

This section addresses two types of adjustments to the standardized amounts that are made in determining the prospective payment rates as described in this addendum.

## 1. Adjustment for Area Wage Levels

Sections 1886(d)(3)(E) and 1886(d)(9)(C)(iv) of the Act require that an adjustment be made to the laborrelated portion of the prospective payment rates to account for area differences in hospital wage levels. This adjustment is made by multiplying the labor-related portion of the adjusted standardized amounts by the appropriate wage index for the area in which the hospital is located. In section III of the preamble, we discuss certain revisions we are making to the wage index. The wage index is set forth in Tables 4A through 4F of this addendum.

## 2. Adjustment for Cost of Living in

 Alaska and HawaiiSection 1886(d)(5)(H) of the Act authorizes an adjustment to take into account the unique circumstances of hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii. Higher labor-related costs for these two States are taken into account in the adjustment for area wages described above. For FY 1999, we propose to adjust the payments for hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii by multiplying the nonlabor portion of the standardized amounts by the appropri ate adjustment factor contained in the table below. If the Office of Personnel Management releases revised cost-of-living adjustment factors before July 1, 1998, we will publish them in the final rule and use them in determining FY 1999 payments.

Table of Cost-of-Living Adjustment Factors, Alaska and Hawall HOSPITALS

| Alaska-All areas | 1.25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hawaii: |  |
| County of Honolulu | 1.225 |
| County of Hawaii | 1.15 |
| County of Kauai | 1.225 |
| County of Maui | 1.225 |
| County of Kalawao | 1.225 |

(The above factors are based on data obtained from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management.)

## C. DRG Relative Weights

As discussed in section II of the preamble, we have developed a classification system for all hospital discharges, assigning them into DRGs, and have devel oped rel ative weights for each DRG that reflect the resource utilization of cases in each DRG relative
to Medi care cases in other DRGs. Table 5 of section V of this addendum contains the rel ative weights that we propose to use for discharges occurring in FY 1999. These factors have been recalibrated as explained in section II of the preamble.
D. Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 1999

General Formula for Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 1999
Prospective payment rate for all hospitals located outside of Puerto Rico except sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals = Federal rate.

Prospective payment rate for sole community hospitals = Whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: 100 percent of the Federal rate, 100 percent of the updated FY 1982 hospital-specific rate, or 100 percent of the updated FY 1987 hospital-specific rate.
Prospective payment rate for Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals $=100$ percent of the Federal rate plus, if the greater of the updated FY 1982 hospital-specific rate or the updated FY 1987 hospital-specific rate is higher than the Federal rate, 50 percent of the difference between the applicable hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate.

Prospective payment rate for Puerto Rico $=50$ percent of the Puerto Rico rate +50 percent of a discharge-weighted average of the national large urban standardized amount and the national other standardized amount.

## 1. Federal Rate

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998 and before October 1, 1999, except for sole community hospitals, Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals, and hospital sin Puerto Rico, the hospital's payment is based exclusively on the Federal national rate.

The payment amount is determi ned as follows:
Step 1—Select the appropriate national standardized amount considering the type of hospital and designation of the hospital as large urban or other (see Tables 1A or 1E, in section V of this addendum).
Step 2-Multiply the labor-rel ated portion of the standardized amount by the applicable wage index for the geographic area in which the hospital is located (see Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C of section V of this addendum).

Step 3-For hospital s in Alaska and Hawaii, multiply the nonl abor-rel ated portion of the standardized amount by the appropriate cost-of-living adjustment factor.

Step 4-Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount (adjusted if appropriate under Step 3).

Step 5—Multiply the final amount from Step 4 by the relative weight corresponding to the appropriate DRG (see Table 5 of section V of this addendum).
2. Hospital-Specific Rate (Applicable Only to Sole Community Hospital s and Medicare-Dependent, Small Rural Hospitals)

Sections 1886(d)(5)(D)(i) and (b)(3)(C) of the Act provide that sole community hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, or the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge.

Sections 1886(d)(5)(G) and (b)(3)(D) of the Act provide that Medicaredependent, small rural hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate or the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal rate and the greater of the updated hospital specific rate based on FY 1982 and FY 1987 cost per discharge.

Hospital-specific rates have been determined for each of these hospitals based on both the FY 1982 cost per discharge and the FY 1987 cost per discharge. For a more detailed discussion of the calculation of the FY 1982 hospital-specific rate and the FY 1987 hospital-specific rate, we refer the reader to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39772); the A pril 20, 1990 final rule with comment ( 55 FR 15150); and the September 4, 1990 final rule (55 FR 35994).
a. Updating the FY 1982 and FY 1987 Hospital-Specific Rates for FY 1999. We are proposing to increase the hospital specific rates by 0.7 percent (the hospital market basket percentage increase of 2.6 percent minus 1.9 percentage points) for sole community hospital s and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals located in all areas for FY 1999. Section 1886(b)(3)(C)(iv) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for sole community hospitals equals the update factor provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for FY 1999, is the market basket rate of increase minus 1.9 percentage points. Section 1886(b)(3)(D) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals equals the update factor
provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for FY 1999, is the market basket rate of increase mi nus 1.9 percentage points.
b. Calculation of Hospital-Specific Rate. For sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals, the applicable FY 1999 hospital-specific rate would be cal culated by increasing the hospital's hospital-specific rate for the preceding fiscal year by the appli cable update factor ( 0.7 percent), which is the same as the update for all prospective payment hospital s except "temporary relief" hospitals. In addition, the hospital-specific rate would be adjusted by the budget neutrality adjustment factor (that is, 0.999227) as discussed in section II.A.4.a of this Addendum. This resulting rate would be used in determining under which rate a sole community hospital or Medicaredependent, small rural hospital is paid for its discharges beginning on or after October 1, 1998, based on the formula set forth above.
3. General Formula for Cal culation of Prospective Payment Rates for Hospitals Located in Puerto Rico Beginning On or After October 1, 1998 and Before
October 1, 1999.
a. Puerto Rico Rate. The Puerto Rico prospective payment rate is determined as follows:
Step 1—Select the appropriate adjusted average standardized amount considering the large urban or other designation of the hospital (see Table 1C or 1 F of section V of the addendum).

Step 2-Multiply the labor-related portion of the standardized amount by the appropri ate Puerto Rico-specific wage index (see Table 4F of section V of the addendum).
Step 3-Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount.
Step 4-Multiply the result in Step 3 by 50 percent.
Step 5-Multiply the amount from Step 4 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section V of the addendum).
b. National Rate. The national prospective payment rate is determined as follows:

Step 1—Multiply the labor-related portion of the national average standardized amount (see Table 1C or 1F of section $V$ of the addendum) by the appropriate national wage index (see Tables 4A and 4B of section V of the addendum).
Step 2—Add the amount from Step 1 and the nonlabor-related portion of the national average standardized amount.

Step 3-M ultiply the result in Step 2 by 50 percent.

Step 4-Multiply the amount from Step 3 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section V of the addendum).

The sum of the Puerto Rico rate and the national rate computed above equals the prospective payment for a gi ven discharge for a hospital located in Puerto Rico.

## III. Proposed Changes to Payment Rates for Inpatient Capital-Related Costs for FY 1999

The prospective payment system for hospital inpatient capital-rel ated costs was implemented for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1991. Effective with that cost reporting period and during a 10-year transition period extending through FY 2001, hospital inpatient capital-related costs are paid on the basis of an increasing proportion of the capital prospective payment system Federal rate and a decreasing proportion of a hospital's historical costs for capital.

The basic methodology for determining Federal capital prospective rates is set forth at $\S \S 412.308$ through 412.352. Bel ow we discuss the factors that we used to determine the proposed Federal rate and the hospital-specific rates for FY 1999. The rates will be effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1998.
For FY 1992, we computed the standard Federal payment rate for capital-rel ated costs under the prospective payment system by updating the FY 1989 Medicare inpatient capital cost per case by an actuarial estimate of the increase in Medicare inpatient capital costs per case. Each year after FY 1992 we update the standard Federal rate, as provided in § 412.308(c)(1), to account for capital input price increases and other factors. Also, § 412.308(c)(2) provides that the Federal rate is adjusted annually by a factor equal to the estimated proportion of outlier payments under the Federal rate to total capital payments under the Federal rate. In addition, § 412.308(c)(3) requires that the Federal rate be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of payments for exceptions under § 412.348.
Furthermore, § 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that the annual DRG reclassification and the recalibration of DRG weights and changes in the geographic adjustment factor are budget neutral. For FYs 1992 through 1995, § 412.352 required that the Federal rate al so be adjusted by a budget neutrality factor so that aggregate
payments for inpatient hospital capital costs were projected to equal 90 percent of the payments that would have been made for capital-related costs on a reasonable cost basis during the fiscal year. That provision expired in FY 1996. Section 412.308(b)(2) describes the 7.4 percent reduction to the rate which was made in FY 1994, and § 412.308(b)(3) describes the 0.28 percent reduction to the rate made in FY 1996 as a result of the revised policy of paying for transfers. In the FY 1998 final rule with comment period (62 FR 45966) we implemented section 4402 of the BBA, which required that for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2002, the unadjusted standard Federal rate was reduced by 17.78 percent. A small part of that reduction will be restored effective October 1, 2002.

For each hospital, the hospitalspecific rate was calculated by dividing the hospital's M edicare inpatient capital-related costs for a specified base year by its Medicare discharges (adjusted for transfers), and dividing the result by the hospital 's case mix index (al so adjusted for transfers). The resulting case-mix adjusted average cost per discharge was then updated to FY 1992 based on the national average increase in Medi care's inpatient capital cost per discharge and adjusted by the exceptions payment adjustment factor and the budget neutral ity adjustment factor to yield the FY 1992 hospitalspecific rate. Since FY 1992, the hospital-specific rate has been updated annually for inflation and for changes in the exceptions payment adjustment factor. For FY s 1992 through 1995, the hospital-specific rate was also adjusted by a budget neutral ity adjustment factor. In the FY 1998 final rule with comment period ( 62 FR 46012) we implemented section 4402 of the BBA, which required that for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2002, the unadjusted hospital-specific rate should be reduced by 17.78 percent. A small part of that reduction will also be restored effective October 1, 2002.

To determine the appropriate budget neutral ity adjustment factor and the exceptions payment adjustment factor, we devel oped a dynamic model of M edicare inpati ent capital-related costs, that is, a model that projects changes in M edicare inpatient capital-related costs over time. With the expiration of the budget neutrality provision, the model is still used to estimate the exceptions payment adjustment and other factors. The model and its application are described in greater detail in Appendix B of this proposed rule.

In accordance with section 1886(d)(9)(A) of the Act, under the prospective payment system for inpatient operating costs, hospitals located in Puerto Rico are paid for operating costs under a special payment formula. Prior to FY 1998, hospitals in Puerto Rico were paid a blended rate that consisted of 75 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 25 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. However, effective October 1, 1998, as a result of section 4406 of the BBA, operating payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico are based on a blend of 50 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 50 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. In conjunction with this change to the operating blend percentage, effective with discharges on or after October 1, 1997, we compute capital payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico based on a blend of 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate. Section 412.374 provides for the use of this blended payment system for payments to Puerto Rico hospitals under the prospective payment system for inpatient capitalrelated costs. Accordingly, for capitalrelated costs we compute a separate payment rate specific to Puerto Rico hospitals using the same methodol ogy used to compute the national Federal rate for capital.
A. Determination of Federal Inpatient Capital-Related Prospective Payment Rate Update

For FY 1998, the Federal rate is $\$ 371.51$. With the changes we are proposing to the factors used to establish the Federal rate, the proposed FY 1999 Federal rate is $\$ 377.25$.
In the discussion that follows, we explain the factors that were used to determine the proposed FY 1999 Federal rate. In particular, we explain why the proposed FY 1999 Federal rate has increased 1.55 percent compared to the FY 1998 Federal rate. Even though we estimate that Medicare hospital inpatient discharges will decline by approximately 2.25 between FY 1998 and FY 1999, we al so estimate that aggregate capital payments will increase by 2.60 percent during this same period. This aggregate increase is primarily due to the change in the federal rate blend percentage from 70 percent to 80 percent, the 1.55 percent increase in the rate, and a projected increase in case mix.

The major factor contri buting to the increase in the proposed capital Federal rate for FY 1999 relative to FY 1998 is
that the proposed FY 1999 exceptions reduction factor is 1.06 percent higher than the factor for FY 1998. The exceptions reduction factor equals 1 minus the projected percentage of exceptions payments. We estimate that the projected percentage of exceptions payments for FY 1999 will be lower than the projected percentage for FY 1998; accordingly, the proposed FY 1999 rate reflects less of a reduction to account for exceptions than the FY 1998 rate.
Total payments to hospitals under the prospective payment system are relatively unaffected by changes in the capital prospective payments. Since capital payments constitute about 10 percent of hospital payments, a 1 percent change in the capital Federal rate yields only about 0.1 percent change in actual payments to hospitals. Aggregate payments under the capital prospective payment transition system are estimated to increase in FY 1999 compared to FY 1998.

## 1. Standard Federal Rate Update

a. Description of the Update Framework. Under section
412.308(c)(1), the standard Federal rate is updated on the basis of an analytical framework that takes into account changes in a capital input price index and other factors. The update framework consists of a capital input price index (CIPI) and several policy adjustment factors. Specifically, we have adjusted the projected CIPI rate of increase as appropriate each year for case-mix index related changes, for intensity, and for errors in previous CIPI forecasts. The proposed update factor for FY 1999 under that framework is 0.2 percent. This proposal is based on a projected 0.8 percent increase in the CIPI, poli icy adjustment factors of -0.2, and a forecast error correction of -0.4 percent. We explain the basis for the FY 1999 CIPI projection in section II.D of this addendum. Here we describe the policy adjustments.
The case-mix index is the measure of the average DRG weight for cases paid under the prospective payment system. Because the DRG weight determines the prospective payment for each case, any percentage increase in the case-mix index corresponds to an equal percentage increase in hospital payments.
The case-mix index can change for any of several reasons:

- The average resource use of Medicare patients changes ("real" casemix change);
- Changes in hospital coding of patient records result in higher weight DRG assignments ("coding effects"); and
- The annual DRG reclassification and recalibration changes may not be budget neutral ("reclassification effect').

We define real case-mix change as actual changes in the mix (and resource requirements) of Medicare patients as opposed to changes in coding behavior that result in assignment of cases to higher-weighted DRGs but do not reflect higher resource requirements. In the update framework for the prospective payment system for operating costs, we adjust the update upwards to al low for real case-mix change, but remove the effects of coding changes on the casemix index. We al so remove the effect on total payments of prior changes to the DRG classifications and relative weights, in order to retain budget neutral ity for all case-mix index-related changes other than patient severity. (For example, we adjusted for the effects of the FY 1992 DRG reclassification and recalibration as part of our FY 1994 update recommendation.) The operating adjustment consists of a reduction for total observed case-mix change, an increase for the portion of case-mix change that we determine is due to real case-mix change rather than coding modifications, and an adjustment for the effect of prior DRG reclassification and recal ibration changes. We have adopted this case-mix index adjustment in the capital update framework as well.

For FY 1999, we are projecting a 1.0 percent increase in the case-mix index. We estimate that real case-mix increase will equal 0.8 percent in FY 1999. Therefore, the proposed net adjustment for case-mix change in FY 1999 is -0.2 percentage points.

We estimate that DRG reclassification and recalibration result in a 0.0 percent change in the case mix when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the DRGs.

The capital update framework contains an adjustment for forecast error. The input price index forecast is based on historical trends and rel ationships ascertai nable at the time the update factor is establ ished for the upcoming year. In any gi ven year there may be unanticipated price fluctuations that may result in differences between the actual increase in prices faced by hospitals and the forecast used in cal culating the update factors. In setting a prospective payment rate under the proposed framework, we make an adjustment for forecast error only if our estimate of the capital input price index rate of increase for any year is off by 0.25 percentage points or more. There is a 2-year lag between the forecast and the
measurement of the forecast error. Thus, for example, we would adjust for a forecast error made in FY 1997 through an adjustment to the FY 1999 update. Because we only introduced this analytical framework in FY 1996, FY 1998 was the first year in which a forecast error adjustment could be required. We estimate that the FY 1997 CIPI was 0.4 percentage points higher than our current data show, which means that we estimate a forecast error of -0.4 percentage points for FY 1997. Therefore we are making an -0.4 percent adjustment for forecast error in FY 1999.

Under the capital prospective payment system framework, we also make an adjustment for changes in intensity. We cal culate this adjustment using the same methodology and data as in the framework for the operating prospective payment system. The intensity factor for the operating update framework reflects how hospital services are utilized to produce the final product, that is, the discharge. This component accounts for changes in the use of qual ity-enhancing services, changes in within-DRG severity, and expected modification of practice patterns to remove cost-ineffective services.

We cal culate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI hospital component), and changes in real case mix. The use of total charges in the cal culation of the proposed intensity factor makes it a total intensity factor, that is, charges for capital services are al ready built into the cal culation of the factor. We have, therefore, incorporated the intensity adjustment from the operating update framework into the capital update framework. Without reliable estimates of the proportions of the overall annual intensity increases that are due, respectively, to ineffective practice patterns and to the combination of quality-enhancing new technologies and within-DRG complexity, we assume, as in the revised operating update framework, that one-half of the annual increase is due to each of these factors. The capital update framework thus provides an add-on to the input price index rate of increase of one-half of the estimated annual increase in intensity to allow for within-DRG severity increases and the adoption of quality-enhancing technology.

For FY 1999, we have devel oped a Medicare-specific intensity measure based on a 5-year average using FY 1993-1997 data. In determining casemix constant intensity, we found that observed case-mix increase was 0.9 percent in FY 1993, 0.8 percent in $F Y$

1994, 1.7 percent in FY 1995, 1.6 percent in FY 1996, and 0.3 percent in FY 1997. For FY 1995 and FY 1996, we estimate that real case-mix increase was 1.0 to 1.4 percent each year. The estimate for those years is supported by past studies of case-mix change by the RAND Corporation. The most recent study was "Has DRG Creep Crept Up? Decomposing the Case Mix Index Change Between 1987 and 1988' by G. M. Carter, J. P. Newhouse, and D. A. Relles, R-4098-HCFA/ProPAC(1991). The study suggested that real case-mix change was not dependent on total change, but was usually a fairly steady 1.0 to 1.5 percent per year. We use 1.4 percent as the upper bound because the RAND study did not take into account that hospitals may have induced doctors to document medical records more completely in order to improve payment. Following that study, we consider up to 1.4 percent of observed case-mix change as real for FY 1992 through FY 1997. Based on this analysis, we believe that all of the observed case-mix increase for FY 1993, FY 1994 and $F Y 1997$ is real.
We cal culate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI hospital component), and changes in real case-mix. Given estimates of real case mix of 0.9 percent for FY 1993, 0.8 percent for FY 1994, 1.0 percent for $F Y$ 1995, and 1.0 percent for FY 1996, and 0.3 percent for FY 1997, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity declined by an average 1.5 percent during FY s 1993 through 1997, for a cumulative decrease of 7.3 percent. If we assume that real case-mix increase was 0.9 percent for $F Y$ 1993, 0.8 percent for FY 1994, 1.4 percent for FY 1995, 1.4 percent for $F Y 1996$ and 0.3 percent for FY 1997, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity declined by an average 1.6 percent during FY s 1993
through 1997, for a cumulative decrease of 7.7 percent. Since we estimate that intensity has declined during that period, we are recommending a 0.0 percent intensity adjustment for FY 1999.
b. Comparison of HCFA and MedPAC Update Recommendations. MedPAC recommends a 0.0 to 0.7 percent update to the standard Federal rate and we are recommending a 0.2 percent update. There are some significant differences between the HCFA and MedPAC update frameworks, which account for the difference in the respective update recommendations. A major difference is the input price index which each framework uses as a beginning point to estimate the change in input prices since the previ ous year. The HCFA capital input price index (the CIPI) includes price measures for interest expense, which are an indicator of the interest rates facing hospitals during their capital purchasing decisions. The MedPAC capital market basket does not include interest expense; instead the MedPAC update framework includes an adjustment when necessary to account for the prol onged changes in interest rates. HCFA's CIPI is vintage-weighted, meaning that it takes into account price changes from past purchases of capital when determining the current period update. MedPAC's capital market basket is not vintage-weighted, accounting only for the current year price changes. This year, due to the difference between HCFA's and MedPAC's input price index, the percentage change in HCFA's CIPI is 0.8 percent, and the percentage change in MedPAC's market basket is 2.4 percent.

MedPAC and HCFA also differ in the adjustments they make to their price indices. (See Table 1 for a comparison of HCFA and MedPAC's update recommendations.) MedPAC makes an adjustment for productivity, while HCFA has not adopted an adjustment
for capital productivity or efficiency MedPAC empl oys the same productivity adjustment in its operating and capital framework. We have identified a total intensity factor but have not identified an adequate total productivity measure. The Commission also includes a product change adjustment to account for changes in the service content of hospital stays, which adjusts the base payment rates to eliminate overpayments in the future. MedPAC recommends a -3.0 to a -1.0 adjustment for product change for FY 1999. For FY 1999 MedPAC recommends a -0.7 to a -0.3 adjustment for productivity. We recommend a 0.0 intensity adjustment.
We recommend a -0.2 total case mix adjustment since we are projecting a 1.0 percent increase in the case mix index and we estimate that real case-mix increase will equal 0.8 percent in FY 1999. MedPAC makes a two part adjustment for case mix changes, which takes into account changes in case mix in the past year. They recommend a -0.2 to -0.0 adjustment for coding change and an 0.0 to 0.2 adjustment for within-DRG compl exity change. We recommend a - 0.4 adjustment for forecast error correction, and MedPAC recommends a - 0.4 adjustment for forecast error correction.

The net result of these adjustments is that MedPAC's capital update framework suggests a -1.9 to 1.4 percent update. MedPAC has recommended a 0.0 to 0.7 percent update to the rate for FY 1999. This range is consistent with the PPS operating update recommended by the Commission. We describe the basis for our proposed 0.2 percent total update in the preceding section. HCFA and MedPAC's update recommendations are quite close, with HCFA's
recommendation within the range recommended by MedPAC.

Table 1.—HCFA's FY 1999 Update Factor and MedPAC's Recommendation


Table 1.-hCFA's FY 1999 Update Factor and MedPAC's Recommendation-Continued

|  | HCFA's update factor | MedPAC's recommenda tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coding Change <br> Real within DRG Change | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2 \text { to }-0.0 \\ & 0.0 \text { to } 0.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal .................................................................................................................... | -0.2 | -0.2 to 0.2 |
| Effect of FY 1996 Reclassification and Recalibration <br> Forecast Error Correction | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ -0.4 \end{array}$ | -0.4 |
| Total Update ..................................................................................................................... | 0.2 | -1.9 to 1.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Included in MedPAC's productivity measure.
${ }^{2}$ Included in MedPAC's case-mix adjustment.
3 Included in HCFA's intensity factor.

## 2. Outlier Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.312(c) establishes a unified outlier methodology for inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related costs. A single set of threshol ds is used to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related payments. Outlier payments are made only on the portion of the Federal rate that is used to cal cul ate the hospital's inpatient capital-rel ated payments (for example, 80 percent for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1999 for hospitals paid under the fully prospective methodology). Section 412.308(c)(2) provides that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-rel ated costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of outlier payments under the Federal rate to total inpatient capital -related payments under the Federal rate. The outlier thresholds are set so that operating outlier payments are projected to be 5.1 percent of total operating DRG payments. The inpatient capital-related outlier reduction factor reflects the inpatient capital-related outlier payments that would be made if all hospitals were paid 100 percent of the Federal rate. For purposes of cal culating the outlier thresholds and the outlier reduction factor, we model payments as if all hospitals were paid 100 percent of the Federal rate because, as explained above, outlier payments are made only on the portion of the Federal rate that is included in the hospital's inpatient capital-rel ated payments.
In the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we estimated that outlier payments for capital in FY 1998 would equal 6.18 percent of inpatient capital-related payments based on the Federal rate. Accordingly, we applied an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9382 to the Federal rate. Based on the thresholds as set forth in section II.A.4.d of this Addendum, we estimate that
outlier payments for capital will equal 6.22 percent of inpatient capital-rel ated payments based on the Federal rate in FY 1999. We are, therefore, proposing an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9378 to the Federal rate. Thus, estimated capital outlier payments for FY 1999 represent a higher percentage of total capital standard payments than in FY 1998.

The outlier reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, they are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. Therefore, the proposed net change in the outlier adjustment to the Federal rate for FY 1999 is 0.9996 ( $0.9378 / 0.9382$ ). Thus, the outlier adjustment decreases the FY 1999 Federal rate by 0.04 percent (0.9996-1) compared with the FY 1998 outlier adjustment.
3. Budget Neutral ity Adjustment Factor for Changes in DRG Classifications and Weights and the Geographic Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that aggregate payments for the fiscal year based on the Federal rate after any changes resulting from the annual DRG reclassification and recal ibration and changes in the GAF are projected to equal aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without such changes. We use the actuarial model, described in A ppendix B of this proposed rule, to estimate the aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without changes in the DRG classifications and weights and in the GAF. We al so use the model to esti mate aggregate payments that would be made on the basis of the Federal rate as a result of those changes. We then use these figures to compute the adjustment required to maintain budget neutrality for changes in DRG weights and in the GAF.

For FY 1998, we calculated a GAF/ DRG budget neutral ity factor of 0.9989. For FY 1999, we are proposing a GAF/ DRG budget neutral ity factor of 1.0032. The GAF/DRG budget neutral ity factors are built permanently into the rates; that is, they are applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. This follows from the requirement that estimated aggregate payments each year be no more than they would have been in the absence of the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration and changes in the GAF. The proposed incremental change in the adjustment from FY 1998 to FY 1999 is 1.0032. The proposed cumulative change in the rate due to this adjustment is 1.0034 (the product of the incremental factors for FY 1993, FY 1994, FY 1995, FY 1996, FY 1997, FY 1998, and the proposed incremental factor for FY 1999: 0.9980 $\times 1.0053 \times 0.9998 \times 0.9994 \times 0.9987 \times$ $0.9989 \times 1.0032=1.0034$ ).
This proposed factor accounts for DRG reclassifications and recal ibration and for changes in the GAF. It also incorporates the effects on the GAF of FY 1999 geographic reclassification decisions made by the MGCRB compared to FY 1998 decisions. However, it does not account for changes in payments due to changes in the disproportionate share and indirect medical education adjustment factors or in the large urban add-on.

## 4. Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(3) requires that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-rel ated costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of additional payments for exceptions under § 412.348 rel ative to total payments under the hospital specific rate and Federal rate. We use the model originally developed for determining the budget neutral ity adjustment factor to determine the
exceptions payment adjustment factor. We describe that model in Appendix B to this proposed rule.

For FY 1998, we estimated that exceptions payments would equal 3.41 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospitalspecific rate. Therefore, we applied an exceptions reduction factor of 0.9659 (1-0.0341) in determining the Federal rate. For this proposed rule, we estimate that exceptions payments for FY 1999 will equal 2.39 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospital-specific rate. Therefore, we are proposing an exceptions payment reduction factor of 0.9761 to the Federal rate for FY 1999. The proposed exceptions reduction factor for FY 1999 is 1.06 percent higher than the factor for FY 1998.
The exceptions reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, the factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. Therefore, the proposed net adjustment to the FY 1999 Federal rate is 0.9761/0.9659, or 1.0106.
5. Standard Capital Federal Rate for FY 1999

For FY 1998, the capital Federal rate was $\$ 371.51$. With the changes we are proposing to the factors used to establish the Federal rate, the FY 1999 Federal rate would be $\$ 377.25$. The proposed Federal rate for FY 1999 was calculated as follows:

- The proposed FY 1999 update factor is 1.0020, that is, the proposed update is 0.20 percent.
- The proposed FY 1999 budget neutral ity adjustment factor that is applied to the standard Federal payment rate for changes in the DRG relative weights and in the GAF is 1.0032 .
- The proposed FY 1999 outlier adjustment factor is 0.9378 .
- The proposed FY 1999 exceptions payments adjustment factor is 0.9761 .
Since the Federal rate has al ready been adjusted for differences in case mix, wages, cost of living, indirect medical education costs, and payments to hospitals serving a di sproportionate share of low-income patients, we propose to make no additional
adjustments in the standard Federal rate for these factors other than the budget neutrality factor for changes in the DRG relative weights and the GAF.

We are providing a chart that shows how each of the factors and adjustments for FY 1999 affected the computation of the proposed FY 1999 Federal rate in comparison to the FY 1998 Federal rate. The proposed FY 1999 update factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 0.20 percent compared to the rate in FY 1998, while the proposed geographic and DRG budget neutrality factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 0.32 percent. The proposed FY 1999 outlier adjustment factor has the effect of decreasing the Federal rate by 0.04 percent compared to FY 1998. The proposed FY 1999 exceptions reduction factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 1.06 percent compared to the exceptions reduction for FY 1998. The combined effect of all the proposed changes is to increase the proposed Federal rate by 1.55 percent compared to the Federal rate for FY 1998.

Comparison of Factors and Adjustments-FY 1998 Federal Rate and Proposed FY 1999 Federal Rate

|  | FY 98 | Proposed FY 99 | Change | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Update factor ${ }^{1}$ | 1.0090 | 1.0020 | 1.0020 | 0.20 |
| GAF/DRG Adjustment Factor ${ }^{1}$ | 0.9989 | 1.0032 | 1.0032 | 0.32 |
| Outlier Adjustment Factor² | 0.9382 | 0.9378 | 0.9996 | -0.04 |
| Exceptions Adjustment Factor ${ }^{2}$ | 0.9659 | 0.9761 | 1.0106 | 1.06 |
| Federal Rate | \$371.51 | \$377.25 | 1.0155 | 1.55 |

${ }^{1}$ The update factor and the GAF/DRG budget neutrality factors are built permanently into the rates. Thus, for example, the incremental change from FY 1998 to FY 1999 resulting from the application of the 1.0032 GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor for FY 1999 is 1.0032.

2 The outlier reduction factor and the exceptions reduction factor are not built permanently into the rates; that is, these factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the rates. Thus, for example, the net change resulting from the application of the FY 1999 outlier reduction factor is $0.9378 / 0.9382$, or 0.9996 .

## 6. Special Rate for Puerto Rico Hospitals

As explained at the beginning of this section, hospital s in Puerto Rico are paid based on 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate. The Puerto Rico rate is derived from the costs of Puerto Rico hospitals only, while the Federal rate is derived from the costs of all acute care hospitals participating in the prospective payment system (including Puerto Rico). To adjust hospitals' capital payments for geographic variations in capital costs, we apply a geographic adjustment factor (GAF) to both portions of the blended rate. The GAF is calculated using the operating PPS wage index and varies depending on the MSA or rural area in which the hospital is located. We use the Puerto Rico wage index to determine the GAF for the Puerto Rico part of the capital blended rate and the national wage index to
determine the GAF for the national part of the blended rate.

Since we implemented a separate GAF for Puerto Rico, we also propose to apply separate budget neutrality adjustments for the national GAF and for the Puerto Rico GAF. We propose to apply the same budget neutral ity factor for DRG reclassifications and recalibration nationally and for Puerto Rico. Separate adjustments were unnecessary for FY 1998 since the Puerto Rico specific GAF was implemented that year. The Puerto Rico GAF budget neutrality factor is 0.9989 , while the DRG adjustment is 1.0033 , for a combined cumulative adjustment of 1.0022. (For a more detai led explanation of this proposed change see A ppendix B.)

In computing the payment for a particular Puerto Rico hospital, the Puerto Rico portion of the rate ( $50 \%$ ) is multiplied by the Puerto Rico-specific

GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located, and the national portion of the rate ( $50 \%$ ) is multiplied by the national GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located (which is computed from national data for all hospital s in the United States and Puerto Rico). In FY 1998, we implemented a 17.78 percent reduction to the Puerto Rico rate as a result of the BBA.
For FY 1998, before application of the GA F, the special rate for Puerto Rico hospitals was $\$ 177.57$. With the changes we are proposing to the factors used to determine the rate, the proposed FY 1999 special rate for Puerto Rico is \$180.73.
B. Determination of Hospital-Specific Rate Update

Section 412.328(e) of the regulations provides that the hospital-specific rate for FY 1999 be determined by adjusting
the FY 1998 hospital-specific rate by the following factors:

## 1. Hospital-Specific Rate Update Factor

The hospital-specific rate is updated in accordance with the update factor for the standard Federal rate determi ned under § 412.308(c)(1). For FY 1999, we are proposing that the hospital-specific rate be updated by a factor of 1.0020.

## 2. Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor

For FY s 1992 through FY 2001, the updated hospital-specific rate is multiplied by an adjustment factor to account for estimated exceptions payments for capital-related costs under
§ 412.348, determined as a proportion of the total amount of payments under the hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate. For FY 1999, we estimate that exceptions payments will be 2.39 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospitalspecific rate. Therefore, we propose that the updated hospital-specific rate be reduced by a factor of 0.9761 . The exceptions reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, the factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the hospital-specific rate. The proposed net adjustment to the FY 1999 hospital-specific rate is 0.9761/ 0.9659, or 1.0106.

## 3. Net Change to Hospital-Specific Rate

We are providing a chart to show the net change to the hospital-specific rate. The chart shows the factors for FY 1998 and FY 1999 and the net adjustment for each factor. It al so shows that the proposed cumulative net adjustment from FY 1998 to $F Y 1999$ is 1.0126, which represents a proposed increase of 1.26 percent to the hospital-specific rate. For each hospital, the proposed FY 1999 hospital-specific rate is determined by multiplying the FY 1998 hospital-specific rate by the cumulative net adjustment of 1.0126.

Proposed FY 1999 Update and Adjustments to Hospital-Specific Rates

|  | FY 98 | Proposed FY 99 | Net Adjustment | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Update Factor ................................................................................................... | 1.0090 | 1.0020 | 1.0020 | 0.20 |
| Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor ................................................................. | 0.9659 | 0.9761 | 1.0106 | 1.06 |
| Cumulative Adjustments ....................................................................................... | 0.9746 | 0.9869 | 1.0026 | 1.26 |

Note: The update factor for the hospital-specific rate is applied cumulatively in determining the rates. Thus, the incremental increase in the update factor from FY 1998 to FY 1999 is 1.0020 . In contrast, the exceptions payment adjustment factor is not applied cumulatively. Thus, for example, the incremental increase in the exceptions reduction factor from FY 1998 to FY 1999 is $0.9761 / 0.9659$, or 1.0106.
C. Calculation of Inpatient CapitalRelated Prospective Payments for FY 1999

During the capital prospective payment system transition period, a hospital is paid for the inpatient capitalrelated costs under one of two payment methodologies-the fully prospective payment methodology or the holdharmless methodology. The payment methodology applicable to a particular hospital is determined when a hospital comes under the prospective payment system for capital-related costs by comparing its hospital-specific rate to the Federal rate applicable to the hospital's first cost reporting period under the prospective payment system.

The applicable Federal rate was determined by making adjustments as follows:

- For outliers by dividing the standard Federal rate by the outlier redution factor for that fiscal year; and,
- For the payment adjustment factors applicable to the hospital (that is, the hospital's GAF, the disproportionate share adjustment factor, and the indirect medical education adjustment factor, when appropriate).
- If the hospital-specific rate is above the applicable Federal rate, the hospital is paid under the hold-harmless methodology. If the hospital-specific rate is below the applicable Federal rate, the hospital is paid under the fully prospective methodology.

For purposes of calculating payments for each discharge under both the holdharmless payment methodology and the fully prospective payment methodology, the standard Federal rate is adjusted as follows:
(Standard Federal Rate) x (DRG weight) $\times(G A F) \times($ Large Urban Add-on, if applicable) x (COLA adjustment for hospital s located in Alaska and Hawaii) x (1 + Disproportionate Share Adjustment Factor + IME Adjustment Factor, if applicable).

The result is the adjusted Federal rate.
Payments under the hold-harml ess methodology are determined under one of two formulas. A hold-harmless hospital is paid the higher of the following:

- 100 percent of the adjusted Federal rate for each discharge; or
- An old capital payment equal to 85 percent (100 percent for sole community hospitals) of the hospital's allowable Medicare inpatient old capital costs per discharge for the cost reporting period plus a new capital payment based on a percentage of the adjusted Federal rate for each discharge. The percentage of the adjusted Federal rate equal s the ratio of the hospital 's al Iowable M edi care new capital costs to its total Medicare inpatient capital-related costs in the cost reporting period.

Once a hospital receives payment based on 100 percent of the adjusted Federal rate in a cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 1994 (or
the first cost reporting period after obligated capital that is recognized as old capital under §412.302(c) is put in use for patient care, if Iater), the hospital continues to receive capital prospective payment system payments on that basis for the remai nder of the transition period.
Payment for each discharge under the fully prospective methodology is the sum of the following:

- The hospital-specific rate multiplied by the DRG rel ative weight for the discharge and by the applicable hospital-specific transition blend percentage for the cost reporting period; and
- The adjusted Federal rate multiplied by the Federal transition blend percentage.
- The blend percentages for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1999 are 80 percent of the adjusted Federal rate and 20 percent of the hospitalspecific rate.
Hospitals may also receive outlier payments for those cases that qualify under the thresholds established for each fiscal year. Section 412.312(c) provides for a single set of thresholds to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-rel ated payments. Outlier payments are made only on that portion of the Federal rate that is used to cal culate the hospital's inpatient capital-related payments. For fully prospective hospitals, that portion is 80 percent of the Federal rate for
discharges occurring in cost reporting periods beginning during FY 1999.
Thus, a fully prospective hospital will receive 80 percent of the capital-related outlier payment calculated for the case for discharges occurring in cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1999. For hold-harmless hospitals paid 85 percent of their reasonable costs for old inpatient capital, the portion of the Federal rate that is included in the hospital's outlier payments is based on the hospital's ratio of Medicare inpatient costs for new capital to total Medicare inpatient capital costs. For hold-harmless hospitals that are paid 100 percent of the Federal rate, 100 percent of the Federal rate is included in the hospital 's outlier payments.

The proposed outlier thresholds for FY 1999 are in section II.A.4.C of this Addendum. For FY 1999, a case qualifies as a cost outlier if the cost for the case (after standardization for the indirect teaching adjustment and disproportionate share adjustment) is greater than the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus $\$ 11,350$.
During the capital prospective payment system transition period, a hospital may also receive an additional payment under an exceptions process if its total inpatient capital-rel ated payments are less than a minimum percentage of its allowable Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. The minimum payment level is established by class of hospital under $\S 412.348$. The proposed minimum payment levels for portions of cost reporting periods occurring in FY 1999 are:

- Sol e community hospitals (located in either an urban or rural area), 90 percent;
- Urban hospitals with at least 100 beds and a disproportionate share patient percentage of at least 20.2 percent ; and
- Urban hospitals with at least 100 beds that qual ify for di sproportionate share payments under § 412.106(c)(2), 80 percent; and
- All other hospitals, 70 percent.

Under § $412.348(\mathrm{~d})$, the amount of the exceptions payment is determined by comparing the cumulative payments made to the hospital under the capital prospective payment system to the cumulative minimum payment levels applicable to the hospital for each cost reporting period subject to that system. Any amount by which the hospital's cumulative payments exceed its cumulative minimum payment is deducted from the additional payment that would otherwise be payable for a cost reporting period.

New hospitals are exempted from the capital prospective payment system for
their first 2 years of operation and are paid 85 percent of their reasonable costs during that period. A new hospital's old capital costs are its allowable costs for capital assets that were put in use for patient care on or before the later of December 31, 1990 or the last day of the hospital 's base year cost reporting period, and are subject to the rules pertaining to old capital and obligated capital as of the applicable date. Effective with the third year of operation, we will pay the hospital under either the fully prospective methodology, using the appropriate transition blend in that Federal fiscal year, or the hold-harml ess methodology. If the hold-harml ess methodol ogy is applicable, the hold-harmless payment for assets in use during the base period would extend for 8 years, even if the hold-harml ess payments extend beyond the normal transition period.

## D. Capital Input Price Index

## 1. Background

Like the prospective payment hospital operating input price index, the Capital Input Price Index (CIPI) is a fixedweight price index that measures the price changes associated with costs during a gi ven year. The CIPI differs from the operating input price index in one important aspect-the CIPI reflects the vintage nature of capital, which is the acquisition and use of capital over time. Capital expenses in any given year are determined by the stock of capital in that year (that is, capital that remains on hand from all current and prior capital acquisitions). An index measuring capital price changes needs to reflect this vintage nature of capital. Therefore, the CIPI was devel oped to capture the vintage nature of capital by using a weighted-average of past capital purchase prices up to and including the current year.

Using Medicare cost reports, AHA data, and Securities Data Corporation data, a vintage-weighted price index was devel oped to measure price increases associated with capital expenses. We periodically update the base year for the operating and capital input prices to reflect the changing composition of inputs for operating and capital expenses. Currently, the CIPI is based to FY 1992 and was last rebased in 1997. The most recent explanation of the CIPI was discussed in the final rule with comment period for FY 1998 published in the August 29, 1997
Federal Register ( 62 FR 46050). The following Federal Register documents also describe devel opment and revisions of the methodology involved with the construction of the CIPI: September 1,

1992 (57 FR 40016), May 26, 1993 (58 FR 30448), September 1, 1993 (58 FR 46490), May 27, 1994 (59 FR 27876), September 1, 1994 (59 FR 45517), June 2, 1995 (60 FR 29229), and September 1, 1995 ( 60 FR 45815), May 31, 1996 ( 61 FR 27466), August 30, 1996 (61 FR 46196), and June 2, 1997 (62 FR 29953).
2. Forecast of the CIPI for Federal Fiscal Year 1999

DRI forecasts a 0.8 percent increase in the CIPI for FY 1999. This is the outcome of a projected 2.0 percent increase in vintage-weighted depreciation prices (building and fixed equipment, and movable equipment) and a 2.6 percent increase in other capital expense prices in FY 1999, partially offset by a 2.7 percent decline in vintage-weighted interest rates in FY 1999. The weighted average of these three factors produces the 0.8 percent increase for the CIPI as a whole.

## IV. Proposed Changes to Payment Rates for Excluded Hospitals and Hospital Units: R ate-of-Increase Percentages

A. Rate-of-Increase Percentages for Excluded Hospitals and Hospital Units

The inpatient operating costs of hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system are subject to rate-of-increase limits established under the authority of section 1886(b) of the Act, which is implemented in § 413.40 of the regulations. Under these limits, an annual target amount (expressed in terms of the inpatient operating cost per discharge) is set for each hospital, based on the hospital's own historical cost experience trended forward by the appli cable rate-of-increase percentages (update factors). In the case of a psychiatric hospital or unit, rehabilitation hospital or unit, or longterm care hospital, the target amount may not exceed the 75th percentile of target amounts for hospitals and units in the same class (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care). The target amount is multiplied by the number of Medi care discharges in a hospital's cost reporting period, yielding the ceiling on aggregate Medicare inpatient operating costs for the cost reporting period.

Each hospital's target amount is adjusted annually, at the beginning of its cost reporting period, by an appli cable update factor. Section 1886(b)(3)(B) of the Act provides that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998 and before October 1, 1999, the update factor is the market basket less a percentage point between 0 and 2.5 depending on the hospital's or
unit's costs in rel ation to the ceiling. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by 10 percent or more, the update factor is the market basket increase. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by less than 10 percent, the update factor is the market basket minus .25 percent for each percentage point by which costs are less than 10 percent over the ceiling. For hospitals with costs equal to or less than the ceiling but greater than 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is the greater of 0 percent or the market basket minus 2.5 percent. For hospitals with costs that do not exceed 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is 0 .
The most recent forecast of the market basket increase for FY 1999 for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system is 2.5 percent; therefore, the update to a hospital's target amount for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 1999 would be between 0 and 2.5 percent.

In addition, section 1886(b)(3)(H) of the Act provides that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998 and before October 1, 1999, the target amount for psychiatric hospitals and units, rehabilitation hospitals and units, and long-term care hospitals will be the lower of the hospital's specific target amount or the 75th percentile target amount for hospital s in the same class. The FY 1998 75th percentile target amounts were $\$ 10,534$ for psychiatric hospitals and units, \$19,104 for rehabilitation hospital and units, and $\$ 37,688$ for long-term care hospitals. For 1999, these 75th percentile figures must be updated by the market basket increase. Section 1886(b) of the Act was revised to change the formulas for determining bonus and relief payments for excluded hospitals and al so establishes an additional bonus
payment for continuous improvement, for cost reporting periods on or after October 1, 1997. Finally, a new statutory payment methodology for new hospitals and units (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care) was effective October 1, 1997 as governed by section 1886(b)(7) of the Act.

## V.Tables

This section contains the tables referred to throughout the preamble to this proposed rule and in this Addendum. For purposes of this proposed rule, and to avoid confusion, we have retained the designations of Tables 1 through 5 that were first used in the September 1, 1983 initial prospective payment final rule (48 FR 39844). Tables 1A, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 4F , 5, 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E, 6F, 6G, 7A, 7B, 8A, and 8B are presented bel ow. The tables presented bel ow are as follows:
Table 1A-National Adjusted Operating Standardized A mounts, Labor/ Nonlabor
Table 1C-A djusted Operating Standardized A mounts for Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor
Table 1D-Capital Standard Federal Payment Rate
Table 1E-National Adjusted Operating Standardized A mounts for "Temporary Relief" Hospitals, Labor/Nonlabor
Table 1F-A djusted Operating Standardized A mounts for "Temporary Relief" Hospital in Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor
Table 3C-Hospital Case Mix Indexes for Discharges Occurring in Federal Fiscal Year 1997 and Hospital Average Hourly Wage for Federal Fiscal Y ear 1999 Wage Index
Table 4A-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas

Table 4B-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Rural Areas
Table 4C-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Hospitals That Are Reclassified
Table 4D—A verage Hourly Wage for Urban Areas
Table 4E—A verage Hourly Wage for Rural Areas
Table 4F-Puerto Rico Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF)
Table 5—List of Diagnosis Rel ated Groups (DRGs), Rel ative Weighting Factors, Geometric Mean Length of Stay, and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay Points Used in the Prospective Payment System
Table 6A-New Diagnosis Codes
Table 6B-New Procedure Codes
Table 6C—Invalid Diagnosis Codes
Table 6D—Invalid Procedure Codes
Table 6E—Revised Diagnosis Code Titles
Table 6F-Additions to the CC Exclusions List
Table 6G-Deletions to the CC Exclusions List
Table 7A - M edicare Prospective Payment System Sel ected Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 GROUPER V15.0
Table 7B-Medicare Prospective Payment System Sel ected Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 GROUPER V16.0
Table 8A-Statewide A verage Operating Cost-to-Charge Ratios for Urban and Rural Hospitals (Case Weighted) M arch 1998
Table 8B—Statewide A verage Capital Cost-to-Charge Ratios (Case Weighted) March 1998

Table 1A.—National Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts, Labor/Nonlabor

| Large urban areas |  | Other areas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor-related | Nonlabor-related | Labor-related | Nonlabor-related |
| $2,776.21$ | $1,128.44$ | $2,732.26$ | $1,110.58$ |

Table 1C.—Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts For Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor

|  | Large urban areas |  | Other areas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labor | Nonlabor | Labor | Nonlabor |
| National | 2,752.36 | 1,118.74 | 2,752.36 | 1,118.74 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,323.01 | 532.55 | 1,302.07 | 524.11 |

Table 1D.-Capital Standard Federal Payment Rate

|  | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| National | 371.51 |
| Puerto Rico | 177.57 |

Table 1E.-National Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts For "Temporary Relief" Hospitals, Labor/ NoNLABOR

| Large urban areas |  | Other areas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor-related | Nonlabor-related | Labor-related | Nonlabor-related |
| $2,790.09$ | $1,134.08$ | $2,745.92$ | $1,116.13$ |

Table 1F.-Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts For "Temporary Relief" Hospitals in Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor

|  | Large urban areas |  | Other areas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labor | Nonlabor | Labor | Nonlabor |
| National | 2,766.12 | 1,124.33 | 2,766.12 | 1,124.33 |
| Puerto Rico ..................................................................................................... | 1,329.63 | 535.21 | 1,308.58 | 526.73 |

Table 3C.-Hospital Case Mix Indexes for Discharges Occurring in Federal Fiscal Year 1997; Hospital Average Hourly Wage For Federal Fiscal Year 1999 Wage Index
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| r |  | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0001 | 34 | 15 | 010097 | 00.9183 | 14 | 03 | 01.5689 | 18 | 040005 | 0 | 13.38 | 040118 | 20 | 27 |
| 010004 | 01.0055 | 13.79 | 010098 | 01.1894 | 13.02 | 030007 | 01.3034 | 17.95 | 040007 | 01.8696 | 18.99 | 040119 | 01.1640 | 5.33 |
| 010005 | 01.1699 | 15.89 | 010099 | 01.1010 | 09.13 | 030008 | 02.2412 | 14.19 | 040008 | 01.0301 | 13.20 | 040124 | 01.0549 | 6.23 |
| 010006 | 01.4636 | 16.19 | 010100 | 01.3314 | 15.67 | 030009 | 01.2640 | 17.83 | 040010 | 01.3262 | 16.83 | 040126 | 00.9551 | 13.26 |
| 010007 | 01.1300 | 14.09 | 010101 | 01.0382 | 14.69 | 030010 | 01.4386 | 20.05 | 04 | 00.9590 | 11.65 | 040134 | 02.6975 |  |
| 010008 | 01.0838 | 13.76 | 010102 | 00.9504 | 12.71 | 030011 | 01.4734 | 19.48 | 040014 | 01.2138 | 18.12 | 050002 | 01.5241 | 7.86 |
| 010009 | 01.1456 | 17.50 | 010103 | 01.8119 | 17.65 | 030012 | 01.2358 | 18.04 | 040015 | 01.1668 | 14.80 | 050006 | 01.5662 | 20.69 |
| 010010 | 01.0888 | 15.40 | 010104 | 01.6869 | 18.66 | 030013 | 01.2951 | 20.90 | 040016 | 01.6762 | 16.66 | 050007 | 01.5312 | 27.11 |
| 010011 | 01.6411 | 20.28 | 010108 | 01.2192 | 16.69 | 030014 | 01.5263 | 19.07 | 040017 | 01.2700 | 14.62 | 050008 | 01.4438 | 25.60 |
| 010012 | 01.2728 | 17.45 | 010109 | 01.1224 | 13.41 | 030016 | 01.1871 | 19.00 | 040018 | 01.2583 | 18.08 | 050009 | 01.6484 | 24.26 |
| 010015 | 01.1428 | 14.04 | 010110 | 01.0248 | 14.97 | 030017 | 01.4718 | 19.72 | 040019 | 01.1438 | 12.08 | 050013 | 01.8476 | 23.25 |
| 010016 | 01.2538 | 17.40 | 010112 | 01.1997 | 14.59 | 030018 | 01.8083 | 27.57 | 040020 | 01.5404 | 15.42 | 050014 | 01.1816 | 23.57 |
| 010018 | 00.9607 | 17.72 | 010113 | 01.6522 | 15.97 | 030019 | 01.2636 | 23.65 | 040021 | 01.2056 | 16.15 | 050015 | 01.3820 | 24.35 |
| 010019 | 01.2435 | 15.00 | 010114 | 01.3201 | 16.49 | 030022 | 01.4160 | 18.79 | 040022 | 01.5321 | 23.41 | 050016 | 01.1889 | 18.74 |
| 010021 | 01.2461 | 15.83 | 010115 | 00.8706 | 08.92 | 030023 | 01.4822 | 20.04 | 040024 | 01.0031 | 13.38 | 050017 | 02.0973 | 24.47 |
| 010022 | 01.0069 | 18.25 | 010117 | 00.8624 |  | 030024 | 01.6963 | 20.87 | 040025 | 00.9000 | 12.48 | 050018 | 01.2579 | 17.02 |
| 010023 | 01.6877 | 16.06 | 010118 | 01.3033 | 28.66 | 030025 | 01.0483 | 14.97 | 040026 | 01.5700 | 17.88 | 050021 | 01.4154 | 24.41 |
| 010024 | 01.4236 | 15.62 | 010119 | 00.8398 | 16.57 | 030027 | 01.0392 | 17.17 | 040027 | 01.2930 | 13.77 | 050022 | 01.5819 | 23.22 |
| 010025 | 01.3834 | 14.53 | 010120 | 01.0107 | 16.62 | 030030 | 01.7154 | 18.21 | 040028 | 01.0462 | 14.24 | 050024 | 01.3639 | 20.68 |
| 010027 | 00.8180 | 36.37 | 010121 | 01.3471 | 13.03 | 030033 | 01.2640 | 15.67 | 040029 | 01.2975 | 17.64 | 050025 | 01.8279 | 21.99 |
| 010029 | 01.6109 | 17.24 | 010123 | 01.2883 | 16.28 | 030034 | 01.0795 | 17.44 | 040030 | 00.8325 | 12.20 | 050026 | 01.5433 | 28.62 |
| 010031 | 01.2801 | 17.36 | 010124 | 01.2886 | 16.44 | 030035 | 01.2315 | 17.93 | 040032 | 00.9669 | 11.81 | 050028 | 01.3707 | 15.51 |
| 010032 | 00.9803 | 13.81 | 010125 | 01.0743 | 15.15 | 030036 | 01.2603 | 20.35 | 040035 | 00.9837 | 10.12 | 050029 | 01.4900 | 21.71 |
| 010033 | 01.9671 | 18.82 | 010126 | 01.2171 | 91 | 030037 | 02.0594 | 20.18 | 040036 | 01.5104 | 17.85 | 050030 | 01.3267 | 20.82 |
| 010034 | 01.1086 | 14.54 | 010127 | 01.3575 | 18.07 | 030038 | 01.6264 | 20.57 | 040037 | 01.1061 | 12.40 | 050032 | 01.2557 | 19.03 |
| 010035 | 01.1827 | 17.08 | 010128 | 00.9738 |  | 030040 | 01.1572 | 14.74 | 040039 | 01.2394 | 13.39 | 050033 | 01.4502 | 24.74 |
| 010036 | 01.1899 | 17.99 | 010129 | 01.0590 |  | 030041 | 00.9538 | 14.31 | 040040 | 00.9817 | 15.09 | 050036 | 01.6546 | 15.95 |
| 010038 | 01.3028 | 19.03 | 010130 | 00.9980 | 15.85 | 030043 | 01.2213 | 17.92 | 040041 | 01.2978 | 17.08 | 050038 | 01.4456 | 29.35 |
| 010039 | 01.7055 | 17.67 | 010131 | 01.3864 | 25 | 030044 | 00.9736 | 16.04 | 040042 | 01.2567 | 15.12 | 050039 | 01.6097 | 21.59 |
| 010040 | 01.6110 | 18.52 | 010134 | 00.8391 | 10.86 | 030047 | 00.9401 | 18.63 | 040044 | 01.0524 | 13.02 | 050040 | 01.2411 | 32.71 |
| 010043 | 01.0489 | 11.63 | 010137 | 01.2373 | 18.84 | 030049 | 00.9939 | 20.75 | 040045 | 01.0079 | 17.86 | 050042 | 01.2889 | 22.76 |
| 010044 | 01.1028 | 15.92 | 010138 | 00.9399 | 12.43 | 030054 | 00.8332 | 14.41 | 040047 | 01.1013 | 15.48 | 050043 | 01.5649 | 31.83 |
| 010045 | 01.2056 | 14.77 | 010139 | 01.6766 | 20.38 | 030055 | 01.2012 | 17.65 | 040050 | 01.1795 | 12.44 | 050045 | 01.2364 | 18.69 |
| 010046 | 01.5054 | 17.67 | 010143 | 01.2743 | 15.07 | 030059 | 01.3005 | 22.74 | 040051 | 01.1670 | 13.51 | 050046 | 01.1880 | 22.24 |
| 010047 | 00.9884 | 12.14 | 010144 | 01.3459 | 16.59 | 030060 | 01.1528 | 17.75 | 040053 | 01.1178 | 15.65 | 050047 | 01.5646 | 34.07 |
| 010049 | 01.1575 | 13.82 | 010145 | 01.3390 | 16.15 | 030061 | 01.6564 | 20.08 | 040054 | 01.0532 | 13.50 | 050051 | 01.1348 | 20.91 |
| 010050 | 01.1489 | 14.17 | 010146 | 01.2470 | 16.83 | 030062 | 01.2455 | 16.61 | 040055 | 01.4655 | 15.78 | 050054 | 01.1263 | 18.44 |
| 010051 | 00.9234 | 11.17 | 010148 | 00.9483 |  | 030064 | 01.7664 | . 45 | 040058 | 01.0463 | 15.12 | 050055 | 01.3276 | 22.45 |
| 010052 | 01.0479 | 13.68 | 010149 | 01.3349 |  | 030065 | 01.7843 | 19.91 | 040060 | 00.9290 | 11.03 | 050056 | 01.3074 | 24.36 |
| 010053 | 01.0750 | 08.17 | 010150 | 01.1552 | 15.82 | 030067 | 01.0939 | 16.99 | 040062 | 01.6786 | 15.55 | 050057 | 01.5828 | 20.60 |
| 010054 | 01.1995 | 17.28 | 010152 | 01.2892 | 16.12 | 030068 | 01.1092 | 15 | 040064 | 01.0657 | 13.92 | 050058 | 01.4871 | 25.22 |
| 010055 | 01.4737 | 16.47 | 010155 | 01.0788 | 10.90 | 030069 | 01.4037 | 21.66 | 040066 | 01.1801 | 16.36 | 050060 | 01.5008 | 18.49 |
| 010056 | 01.3306 | 19.46 | 020001 | 01.5208 | 27.19 | 030071 | 01.0057 |  | 040067 | 01.2165 | 12.63 | 050061 | 01.3507 | 22.13 |
| 010058 | 00.9765 | 13.47 | 020002 | 01.0595 | 24.09 | 030072 | 00.8620 |  | 040069 | 01.1095 | 15.47 | 050063 | 01.4701 | 23.89 |
| 010059 | 01.0774 | 15 | 020004 | 01.1712 | 25.49 | 030073 | 01.0041 |  | 040070 | 00.9098 | 14.25 | 050065 | 01.7005 | 21.95 |
| 010061 | 01.1893 | 15.80 | 020005 | 00.9285 | 28.73 | 030074 | 00.9408 |  | 040071 | 01.6234 | 16.49 | 050066 | 01.2265 | 19.77 |
| 010062 | 01.0206 | 13.27 | 020006 | 01.1834 | 25 | 030075 | 00.8242 |  | 040072 | 01.0982 | 15.41 | 050067 | 01.3204 | 21.48 |
| 010064 | 01.7552 | 20.86 | 020007 | 00.9834 | 25.6 | 030076 | 00.9614 |  | 040074 | 01.2503 | 16.30 | 050068 | 01.1315 | 19.98 |
| 010065 | 01.3692 | 15.35 | 020008 | 01.1238 | 30.06 | 030077 | 00.8060 |  | 040075 | 01.0369 | 12.15 | 050069 | 01.6246 | 24.57 |
| 010066 | 00.9184 | 10.89 | 020009 | 00.8881 | 25.77 | 030078 | 01.0727 |  | 040076 | 01.0407 | 16.99 | 050070 | 01.3716 | 31.44 |
| 010068 | 01.2837 | 17 | 020010 | 01.0169 | 25.93 | 030079 | 00.8528 |  | 040077 | 01.0621 | 12.57 | 050071 | 01.3791 | 33.07 |
| 010069 | 01.1851 | 12.84 | 020011 | 00.9299 | 25.75 | 030080 | 01.5008 | 77 | 040078 | 01.5099 | 22.64 | 050072 | 01.4414 | 32.14 |
| 010072 | 01.1579 | 15.22 | 020012 | 01.2746 | 26.15 | 030083 | 01.3763 | 22.10 | 040080 | 01.0790 | 16.38 | 050073 | 01.3063 | 33.68 |
| 010073 | 01.0650 | 11.04 | 020013 | 01.0266 | 26.76 | 030084 | 01.1228 |  | 040081 | 00.9679 | 10.85 | 050075 | 01.3412 | 32.86 |
| 010078 | 01.2573 | 17.97 | 020014 | 01.1152 | 22.90 | 030085 | 01.4617 | 18.59 | 040082 | 01.2191 | 14.71 | 050076 | 01.9181 | 32.26 |
| 010079 | 01.2411 | 14.42 | 020017 | 01.4752 | 25.14 | 030086 | 01.4318 | 20.19 | 040084 | 01.1006 | 16.62 | 050077 | 01.6304 | 24.52 |
| 010081 | 01.8296 | 17.69 | 020018 | 00.9680 |  | 030087 | 01.6536 | 19.77 | 040085 | 01.1954 | 15.29 | 050078 | 01.3632 | 25.59 |
| 010083 | 01.0337 | 15.64 | 020019 | 00.9067 |  | 030088 | 01.4231 | 19.42 | 040088 | 01.4395 | 13.39 | 050079 | 01.5434 | 31.90 |
| 010084 | 01.5048 | 18.27 | 020020 | 00.7369 |  | 030089 | 01.6391 | 19.70 | 040090 | 00.9349 | 14.77 | 050080 | 01.4214 | 19.44 |
| 010085 | 01.2796 | 17.32 | 020021 | 00.8551 |  | 030092 | 01.6833 | 21.25 | 040091 | 01.1266 | 18.55 | 050082 | 01.6661 | 21.99 |
| 010086 | 01.0395 | 15.44 | 020024 | 01.1349 | 22.66 | 030093 | 01.3770 | 18.77 | 040093 | 00.9413 | 13.01 | 050084 | 01.6759 | 22.53 |
| 010087 | 01.6587 | 16.36 | 020025 | 01.0164 | 26.32 | 030094 | 01.2784 | 19.19 | 040100 | 01.2392 | 12.91 | 050088 | 00.9877 | 19.55 |
| 010089 | 01.2392 | 18.50 | 020026 | 01.2873 |  | 030095 | 01.0461 | 18.85 | 040105 | 01.0353 | 13.05 | 050089 | 01.3688 | 18.85 |
| 010090 | 01.6235 | 17.44 | 020027 | 01.0891 |  | 030099 | 00.9439 |  | 040106 | 01.0675 | 13.53 | 050090 | 01.2668 | 23.85 |
| 010091 | 01.0247 | 13.51 | 030001 | 01.3399 | 19.87 | 040001 | 01.1079 | 13.42 | 040107 | 01.1428 | 16.75 | 050091 | 01.1370 | 21.99 |
| 010092 | 01.4011 | 15.82 | 030002 | 01.7944 | 20.96 | 040002 | 01.1468 | 13.33 | 040109 | 01.1342 | 13.95 | 050092 | 00.9386 | 16.26 |
| 010094 | 01.2128 | 16.01 | 030003 | 02.0396 | 22.65 | 040003 | 01.0880 | 13.97 | 040114 | 01.8758 | 17.98 | 050093 | 01.5500 | 23.90 |
| 010095. | 00.9779 | 12.73 | 030004 | 01.1011 | 12.52 | 040004 | 01.6709 | 17.69 | 040116 | 01.2656 | 16.72 | 050096 | 01.2374 | 21.29 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case <br> mix <br> index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050097 | 01.3873 | 18.48 | 050204 | 01.5825 | 24.52 | 050313 | 01.2044 | 22.00 | 050443 | 00.9057 | 18.82 | 050571 | 01.5096 | 20.05 |
| 050099 | 01.4747 | 23.55 | 050205 | 01.2709 | 21.52 | 050315 | 01.3579 | 20.47 | 050444 | 01.2967 | 22.54 | 050573 | 01.6294 | 28.41 |
| 050100 | 01.6983 | 33.49 | 050207 | 01.2640 | 20.02 | 050317 | 01.2655 | 21.86 | 050446 | 00.9770 | 10.06 | 050575 | 01.1367 |  |
| 050101 | 01.4168 | 31.68 | 050211 | 01.3186 | 30.67 | 050320 | 01.2324 | 27.70 | 050447 | 01.0672 | 18.58 | 050577 | 01.4644 | 20.19 |
| 050102 | 01.3532 | 17.01 | 050213 | 01.5794 | 22.96 | 050324 | 01.9664 | 26.19 | 050448 | 01.0974 | 20.95 | 050578 | 01.4689 | 30.62 |
| 050103 | 01.5661 | 23.46 | 050214 | 01.4659 | 21.31 | 050325 | 01.2308 | 21.08 | 050449 | 01.3366 | 21.14 | 050579 | 01.4970 | 28.52 |
| 050104 | 01.4815 | 23.94 | 050215 | 01.5572 | 29.63 | 050327 | 01.5599 | 18.67 | 050454 | 01.8425 | 25.82 | 050580 | 01.4380 | 27.74 |
| 050107 | 01.4511 | 23.02 | 050217 | 01.3457 | 19.08 | 050329 | 01.2928 | 19.88 | 050455 | 01.7746 | 16.56 | 050581 | 01.3930 | 24.39 |
| 050108 | 01.8295 | 23.87 | 050219 | 01.1139 | 18.83 | 050331 | 01.4843 | 24.20 | 050456 | 01.1694 | 16.92 | 050583 | 01.6266 | 21.88 |
| 050110 | 01.1656 | 20.59 | 050222 | 01.6256 | 31.91 | 050333 | 01.1427 | 24.96 | 050457 | 02.0310 | 31.03 | 050584 | 01.1966 | 20.18 |
| 050111 | 01.3578 | 20.16 | 050224 | 01.5705 | 23.23 | 050334 | 01.7269 | 34.59 | 050459 | 01.2985 | 29.51 | 050585 | 01.2772 | 27.19 |
| 050112 | 01.4824 | 19.36 | 050225 | 01.6075 | 22.02 | 050335 | 01.4534 | 21.39 | 050464 | 01.8738 | 22.01 | 050586 | 01.3490 | 20.52 |
| 050113 | 01.3756 | 31.25 | 050226 | 01.4119 | 24.79 | 050336 | 01.3695 | 20.14 | 050468 | 01.3879 | 19.71 | 050588 | 01.3220 | 24.70 |
| 050114 | 01.3693 | 23.13 | 050228 | 01.2880 | 30.89 | 050342 | 01.3706 | 17.71 | 050469 | 01.0972 | 16.63 | 050589 | 01.2474 | 24.07 |
| 050115 | 01.5640 | 20.46 | 050230 | 01.3342 | 25.40 | 050343 | 01.0225 | 14.95 | 050470 | 01.1474 | 18.51 | 050590 | 01.3578 | 24.92 |
| 050116 | 01.4487 | 23.36 | 050231 | 01.6681 | 25.54 | 050348 | 01.6579 | 25.44 | 050471 | 01.8883 | 23.41 | 050591 | 01.3784 | 22.87 |
| 050117 | 01.4515 | 20.79 | 050232 | 01.7123 | 21.50 | 050349 | 00.8825 | 14.57 | 050476 | 01.3512 | 21.10 | 050592 | 01.3661 | 18.46 |
| 050118 | 01.1901 | 23.81 | 050234 | 01.2536 | 30.23 | 050350 | 01.3957 | 24.28 | 050477 | 01.4936 | 26.90 | 050593 | 01.1846 |  |
| 050121 | 01.3531 | 24.60 | 050235 | 01.6014 | 24.55 | 050351 | 01.4653 | 32.84 | 050478 | 00.9635 | 21.11 | 050594 | 01.6739 | 19.05 |
| 050122 | 01.5966 | 26.85 | 050236 | 01.4693 | 25.40 | 050352 | 01.3034 | 19.07 | 050481 | 01.4648 | 27.13 | 050597 | 01.2665 | 21.36 |
| 050124 | 01.3182 | 17.12 | 050238 | 01.5517 | 24.76 | 050353 | 01.6669 | 24.77 | 050482 | 01.0978 | 16.07 | 050598 | 01.3875 | 32.07 |
| 050125 | 01.3970 | 27.55 | 050239 | 01.5877 | 21.67 | 050355 | 00.9808 | 16.04 | 050483 | 01.1821 | 22.22 | 050599 | 01.6318 | 23.23 |
| 050126 | 01.5414 | 24.94 | 050240 | 01.4863 | 21.17 | 050357 | 01.4011 | 23.77 | 050485 | 01.6561 | 23.81 | 050601 | 01.6150 | 32.05 |
| 050127 | 01.3406 | 24.15 | 050241 | 01.2337 | 26.32 | 050359 | 01.2854 | 19.11 | 050486 | 01.3493 | 23.00 | 050603 | 01.4035 | 22.60 |
| 050128 | 01.6211 | 21.63 | 050242 | 01.4284 | 29.91 | 050360 | 01.4136 | 31.05 | 050488 | 01.3349 | 32.94 | 050604 | 01.5622 | 37.27 |
| 050129 | 01.6194 | 14.25 | 050243 | 01.5930 | 22.58 | 050366 | 01.3455 | 22.32 | 050491 | 01.1935 | 21.97 | 050607 | 01.1545 | 20.69 |
| 050131 | 01.3023 | 29.90 | 050245 | 01.4385 | 23.33 | 050367 | 01.2485 | 27.64 | 050492 | 01.4113 | 22.37 | 050608 | 01.3080 | 15.26 |
| 050132 | 01.4257 | 23.74 | 050248 | 01.2618 | 27.54 | 050369 | 01.2376 | 21.58 | 050494 | 01.2167 | 26.20 | 050609 | 01.4505 | 32.31 |
| 050133 | 01.2911 | 25.55 | 050251 | 01.0989 | 14.91 | 050373 | 01.4446 | 24.31 | 050496 | 01.7259 | 31.88 | 050613 | 01.0696 | 31.83 |
| 050135 | 01.3964 | 25.36 | 050253 | 01.2992 | 25.63 | 050376 | 01.3991 | 26.32 | 050497 | 00.8270 | 10.59 | 050615 | 01.6042 | 23.31 |
| 050136 | 01.4011 | 24.04 | 050254 | 01.2141 | 14.11 | 050377 | 00.9333 | 19.49 | 050498 | 01.2434 | 24.96 | 050616 | 01.3591 | 22.85 |
| 050137 | 01.4012 | 30.81 | 050256 | 01.7518 | 23.91 | 050378 | 01.1364 | 20.86 | 050502 | 01.7222 | 22.74 | 050618 | 01.1163 | 22.63 |
| 050138 | 01.9630 | 33.22 | 050257 | 01.1275 | 19.38 | 050379 | 00.9589 | 15.15 | 050503 | 01.3400 | 23.15 | 050623 | 02.0034 | 27.05 |
| 050139 | 01.2532 | 31.55 | 050260 | 01.0044 | 24.07 | 050380 | 01.6867 | 29.30 | 050506 | 01.4395 | 27.49 | 050624 | 01.3554 | 22.18 |
| 050140 | 01.2757 | 31.54 | 050261 | 01.2723 | 18.81 | 050382 | 01.3984 | 23.86 | 050510 | 01.3791 | 31.86 | 050625 | 01.6074 | 25.23 |
| 050144 | 01.6355 | 29.12 | 050262 | 01.8576 | 27.43 | 050385 | 01.4021 | 26.64 | 050512 | 01.5743 | 33.03 | 050630 | 01.3401 | 23.93 |
| 050145 | 01.3861 | 31.48 | 050264 | 01.3335 | 27.45 | 050388 | 00.9019 | 20.64 | 050515 | 01.3473 | 32.36 | 050633 | 01.3131 | 21.95 |
| 050146 | 01.4762 |  | 050267 | 01.6544 | 27.78 | 050390 | 01.1857 | 16.75 | 050516 | 01.5400 | 26.16 | 050636 | 01.5051 | 26.10 |
| 050148 | 01.1151 | 21.00 | 050270 | 01.3573 | 24.13 | 050391 | 01.3292 | 21.68 | 050517 | 01.1822 | 19.69 | 050638 | 01.1025 | 24.90 |
| 050149 | 01.4748 | 22.78 | 050272 | 01.3703 | 21.55 | 050392 | 00.9917 | 18.42 | 050522 | 01.2252 | 30.95 | 050641 | 01.2588 | 14.88 |
| 050150 | 01.2678 | 23.95 | 050274 | 00.9903 | 21.63 | 050393 | 01.4860 | 17.95 | 050523 | 01.2384 | 28.96 | 050643 | 00.8426 |  |
| 050152 | 01.3850 | 23.39 | 050276 | 01.2072 | 33.01 | 050394 | 01.5488 | 20.22 | 050526 | 01.3236 | 13.42 | 050644 | 01.0506 | 22.44 |
| 050153 | 01.6231 | 28.40 | 050277 | 01.4723 | 19.05 | 050396 | 01.6148 | 24.12 | 050528 | 01.2785 | 19.70 | 050660 | 01.4613 |  |
| 050155 | 01.0917 | 22.33 | 050278 | 01.5669 | 22.63 | 050397 | 00.9890 | 20.00 | 050531 | 01.1762 | 20.18 | 050661 | 00.8186 | 20.05 |
| 050158 | 01.3649 | 27.94 | 050279 | 01.3441 | 19.04 | 050401 | 01.1257 | 19.64 | 050534 | 01.4679 | 23.66 | 050662 | 00.8651 | 33.41 |
| 050159 | 01.2998 | 19.09 | 050280 | 01.7639 | 25.90 | 050404 | 01.0765 | 15.96 | 050535 | 01.3453 | 23.23 | 050663 | 01.1547 | 24.12 |
| 050167 | 01.2885 | 21.83 | 050281 | 01.5490 | 33.56 | 050406 | 01.0708 | 19.56 | 050537 | 01.3680 | 18.57 | 050666 | 00.9460 | 34.46 |
| 050168 | 01.5276 | 22.07 | 050282 | 01.3068 | 23.58 | 050407 | 01.3597 | 29.45 | 050539 | 01.2567 | 19.52 | 050667 | 01.0189 | 28.01 |
| 050169 | 01.4399 | 24.49 | 050283 | 01.5231 | 27.35 | 050410 | 01.0632 | 13.08 | 050541 | 01.5665 | 33.44 | 050668 | 01.1332 | 39.35 |
| 050170 | 01.4906 | 21.04 | 050286 | 00.8525 | 18.46 | 050411 | 01.3589 | 33.17 | 050542 | 01.1186 | 14.45 | 050670 | 00.7487 | 20.84 |
| 050172 | 01.2523 | 19.87 | 050289 | 01.6964 | 30.78 | 050414 | 01.3074 | 23.74 | 050543 | 00.9409 | 23.72 | 050674 | 01.3219 | 32.55 |
| 050173 | 01.3729 | 21.72 | 050290 | 01.6895 | 33.81 | 050417 | 01.3155 | 20.45 | 050545 | 00.8583 | 27.87 | 050675 | 01.9709 | 14.65 |
| 050174 | 01.6799 | 29.40 | 050291 | 01.1544 | 30.54 | 050419 | 01.4360 | 16.25 | 050546 | 00.6946 | 31.14 | 050676 | 00.9474 | 16.75 |
| 050175 | 01.3660 | 23.84 | 050292 | 01.0469 | 22.19 | 050420 | 01.3375 | 23.41 | 050547 | 00.8417 | 36.25 | 050677 | 01.3998 | 32.89 |
| 050177 | 01.2731 | 16.69 | 050293 | 01.1254 | 20.70 | 050423 | 01.0173 | 19.31 | 050549 | 01.7120 | 26.33 | 050678 | 01.2229 |  |
| 050179 | 01.3003 | 21.22 | 050295 | 01.4947 | 21.01 | 050424 | 01.8153 | 23.48 | 050550 | 01.4607 | 22.49 | 050680 | 01.1971 | 28.94 |
| 050180 | 01.6017 | 32.17 | 050296 | 01.1902 | 23.74 | 050425 | 01.3094 | 34.22 | 050551 | 01.3289 | 24.83 | 050682 | 00.8928 | 22.32 |
| 050183 | 01.1126 | 19.44 | 050298 | 01.3275 | 22.54 | 050426 | 01.3708 | 25.47 | 050552 | 01.2293 | 20.52 | 050684 | 01.2450 | 17.19 |
| 050186 | 01.2933 | 27.51 | 050299 | 01.3607 | 20.49 | 050427 | 00.9189 | 19.93 | 050557 | 01.5109 | 21.78 | 050685 | 01.2468 | 28.37 |
| 050188 | 01.4286 | 26.90 | 050300 | 01.4936 | 19.23 | 050430 | 01.0555 | 19.53 | 050559 | 01.3996 | 23.82 | 050686 | 01.3134 | 32.42 |
| 050189 | 01.0831 | 22.39 | 050301 | 01.2481 | 24.81 | 050432 | 01.6129 | 22.37 | 050561 | 01.1996 | 32.15 | 050688 | 01.2792 | 25.15 |
| 050191. | 01.4729 | 20.67 | 050302 | 01.3482 | 27.55 | 050433 | 01.1058 | 20.42 | 050564 | 01.3309 | 06.57 | 050689 | 01.4155 | 30.16 |
| 050192 | 01.1901 | 20.19 | 050305 | 01.5457 | 29.10 | 050434 | 01.1365 | 19.87 | 050565 | 01.3544 | 13.81 | 050690 | 01.5124 | 32.17 |
| 050193 | 01.3308 | 22.67 | 050307 | 01.3027 | 19.99 | 050435 | 01.2208 | 29.08 | 050566 | 00.9061 | 13.99 | 050693 | 01.3049 | 29.48 |
| 050194 | 01.2435 | 27.41 | 050308 | 01.4832 | 27.92 | 050436 | 00.9412 | 15.20 | 050567 | 01.6269 | 24.54 | 050694 | 01.3586 | 18.36 |
| 050195 | 01.5834 | 33.92 | 050309 | 01.3376 | 24.61 | 050438 | 01.8098 | 19.83 | 050568 | 01.3990 | 19.06 | 050695 | 01.0960 | 28.46 |
| 050196 | 01.3052 | 15.36 | 050310 | 01.0912 | 20.24 | 050440 | 01.3403 | 18.63 | 050569 | 01.3783 | 23.26 | 050696 | 02.3021 | 26.75 |
| 050197 | 01.8716 | 30.49 | 050312 | 01.9222 | 24.66 | 050441 | 02.0343 | 26.41 | 050570 | 01.7110 | 23.79 | 050697 | 01.4515 | 20.60 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050698 | 00.9075 |  | 060073 | 01.0655 | 16.43 | 100009 | 01.4921 | 21.67 | 100102 | 01.0245 | 18.11 | 100210 | 01.6031 | 18.18 |
| 050699 | 00.6236 | 20.97 | 060075 | 01.3102 | 24.34 | 100010 | 01.5263 | 24.50 | 100103 | 00.9830 | 16.14 | 100211 | 01.3282 | 20.20 |
| 050700 | 01.5678 | 31.31 | 060076 | 01.3829 | 19.28 | 100012 | 01.6950 | 16.74 | 100105 | 01.4360 | 21.03 | 100212 | 01.6623 | 20.46 |
| 050701 | 01.3360 | 30.27 | 060085 | 00.9348 | 12.76 | 100014 | 01.4918 | 21.94 | 100106 | 01.0823 | 16.69 | 100213 | 01.5199 | 18.60 |
| 050704 | 01.1294 | 15.23 | 060087 | 01.6777 | 21.08 | 100015 | 01.4344 | 17.47 | 100107 | 01.3253 | 18.60 | 100217 | 01.3379 | 18.88 |
| 050707 | 01.0702 | 27.09 | 060088 | 00.9931 | 23.16 | 100017 | 01.4976 | 17.71 | 100108 | 01.0633 | 14.31 | 100220 | 01.7265 | 26.34 |
| 050708 | 01.2629 | 22.59 | 060090 | 00.9777 | 13.54 | 100018 | 01.5086 | 21.03 | 100109 | 01.3838 | 18.97 | 100221 | 01.7374 | 25.21 |
| 050709 | 01.3280 | 18.88 | 060096 | 01.0685 | 21.94 | 100019 | 01.5290 | 19.50 | 100110 | 01.4040 | 20.80 | 100222 | 01.4127 | 20.13 |
| 050710 | 01.3480 | 26.13 | 060100 | 01.5060 |  | 100020 | 01.3336 | 23.86 | 100112 | 00.9244 | 12.57 | 100223 | 01.4858 | 18.81 |
| 050713 | 00.8060 |  | 060103 | 01.2902 | 23 | 100022 | 01.9055 | 24.49 | 100113 | 02.1161 | 19.93 | 100224 | 01.4049 | 20.57 |
| 050714 | 01.3480 |  | 060104 | 01.2502 | 21.91 | 100023 | 01.4358 | 17.35 | 100114 | 01.4078 | 18.20 | 100225 | 01.4014 | 20.59 |
| 050715 | 01.7138 |  | 060107 | 01.1286 |  | 100024 | 01.3638 | 19.67 | 100117 | 01.3161 | 19.37 | 100226 | 01.4003 | 18.53 |
| 050716 | 03.8652 |  | 070001 | 01.7599 | 25.86 | 100025 | 01.8449 | 18.06 | 100118 | 01.2409 | 19.51 | 100228 | 01.3287 | 20.31 |
| 050717 | 00.8003 |  | 070002 | 01.8086 | 24.34 | 100026 | 01.5872 | 18.06 | 100121 | 01.2121 | 16.03 | 100229 | 01.3032 | 18.10 |
| 050718 | 00.9336 |  | 070003 | 01.1454 | 25.30 | 100027 | 00.9920 | 15.86 | 100122 | 01.3058 | 16.67 | 100230 | 01.3648 | 22.35 |
| 050899 | 00.5288 |  | 070004 | 01.2352 | 24.34 | 100028 | 01.2339 | 18.03 | 100124 | 01.3284 | 14.64 | 100231 | 01.7051 | 16.97 |
| 060001 | 01.6504 | 20.31 | 070005 | 01.4131 | 24.84 | 100029 | 01.4199 | 19.56 | 100125 | 01.3273 | 18.00 | 100232 | 01.3660 | 19.83 |
| 060003 | 01.3293 | 18.91 | 070006 | 01.4122 | 27.20 | 100030 | 01.3066 | 19.01 | 100126 | 01.4408 | 18.89 | 100234 | 01.5349 | 18.94 |
| 060004 | 01.2793 | 20.57 | 070007 | 01.3912 | 24.35 | 100032 | 01.8893 | 17.78 | 100127 | 01.6387 | 19.58 | 100235 | 01.5525 | 17.92 |
| 060006 | 01.1829 | 18.36 | 070008 | 01.2534 | 22.94 | 100034 | 01.7634 | 19.44 | 100128 | 02.1517 | 21.53 | 100236 | 01.4246 | 19.87 |
| 060007 | 01.1389 | 15.33 | 070009 | 01.2944 | 24.56 | 100035 | 01.6050 | 17.98 | 100129 | 01.2696 | 17.72 | 100237 | 02.2024 | 23.28 |
| 060008 | 01.1684 | 15.83 | 070010 | 01.6774 | 20.35 | 100038 | 01.5798 | 18.23 | 100130 | 01.2454 | 18.62 | 100238 | 01.5894 | 13.88 |
| 060009 | 01.4660 | 21.35 | 070011 | 01.4579 | 23.69 | 100039 | 01.5397 | 21.36 | 100131 | 01.3794 | 20.96 | 100239 | 01.4442 | 19.35 |
| 060010 | 01.5585 | 22.31 | 070012 | 01.2488 | 23.36 | 100040 | 01.7626 | 17.97 | 100132 | 01.3098 | 19.53 | 100240 | 00.7775 | 15.37 |
| 060011 | 01.3645 | 22.12 | 070015 | 01.4162 | 24.05 | 100043 | 01.3643 | 15.33 | 100134 | 00.9935 | 13.03 | 100241 | 00.9329 | 13.90 |
| 060012 | 01.4391 | 18.62 | 070016 | 01.3810 | 23.00 | 100044 | 01.4082 | 21.18 | 100135 | 01.6123 | 17.62 | 100242 | 01.4132 | 16.91 |
| 060013 | 01.3221 | 16.29 | 070017 | 01.3702 | 24.60 | 100045 | 01.4052 | 19.25 | 100137 | 01.3170 | 18.60 | 100243 | 01.4048 | 24.16 |
| 060014 | 01.7402 |  | 070018 | 01.4229 | 28.54 | 100046 | 01.4822 | 20.36 | 100138 | 01.0153 | 10.76 | 100244 | 01.4078 | 19.39 |
| 060015 | 01.5816 | 21.13 | 070019 | 01.2953 | 24.83 | 100047 | 01.7725 | 18.92 | 100139 | 01.1145 | 15.04 | 100246 | 01.4106 | 17.86 |
| 060016 | 01.2616 | 17.07 | 070020 | 01.3139 | 24.55 | 100048 | 00.9695 | 13.58 | 100140 | 01.2249 | 17.48 | 100248 | 01.6271 | 18.75 |
| 060018 | 01.2400 | 17.15 | 070021 | 01.2930 | 24.85 | 100049 | 01.3276 | 17.97 | 100142 | 01.2594 | 18.68 | 100249 | 01.3503 | 18.84 |
| 060020 | 01.6773 | 17.56 | 070022 | 01.8192 | 23.48 | 100050 | 01.1456 | 15.90 | 100144 | 01.2818 | 19.61 | 100252 | 01.2846 | 21.94 |
| 060022 | 01.6160 | 19.49 | 070024 | 01.3153 | 23.84 | 100051 | 01.2118 | 19.11 | 100146 | 01.0877 | 16.15 | 100253 | 01.5082 | 20.97 |
| 060023 | 01.6591 | 17.02 | 070025 | 01.8600 | 19.43 | 100052 | 01.4303 | 16.90 | 100147 | 01.0605 | 14.54 | 100254 | 01.5827 | 18.66 |
| 060024 | 01.7966 | 22.84 | 070026 | 01.1616 | 18.55 | 100053 | 01.2198 | 18.09 | 100150 | 01.3984 | 19.96 | 100255 | 01.2900 | 24.34 |
| 060027 | 01.6866 | 21.24 | 070027 | 01.2854 | 23.11 | 100054 | 01.3283 | 17.76 | 100151 | 01.7240 | 18.08 | 100256 | 02.0081 | 18.90 |
| 060028 | 01.4966 | 21.55 | 070028 | 01.5443 | 24.77 | 100055 | 01.3757 | 17.93 | 100154 | 01.5955 | 19.74 | 100258 | 01.6280 | 21.07 |
| 060029 | 00.9005 | 15.35 | 070029 | 01.3587 | 21.95 | 100056 | 01.4068 | 19.38 | 100156 | 01.2007 | 19.92 | 100259 | 01.4194 | 18.73 |
| 060030 | 01.3241 | 19.00 | 070030 | 01.2292 | 25.18 | 100057 | 01.4184 | 18.63 | 100157 | 01.5860 | 21.06 | 100260 | 01.4513 | 21.73 |
| 060031 | 01.6355 | 19.53 | 070031 | 01.2535 | 23.12 | 100060 | 01.7365 | 21.02 | 100159 | 00.9550 | 11.69 | 100262 | 01.3943 | 21.16 |
| 060032 | 01.4770 | 20.78 | 070033 | 01.4122 | 26.38 | 100061 | 01.4813 | 21.68 | 100160 | 01.2495 | 18.43 | 100263 | 01.2482 | 18.64 |
| 060033 | 01.0722 | 13.41 | 070034 | 01.3825 | 29.05 | 100062 | 01.7465 | 18.11 | 100161 | 01.7073 | 21.30 | 100264 | 01.4012 | 17.62 |
| 060034 | 01.5666 |  | 070035 | 01.4072 | 22.69 | 100063 | 01.2890 | 18.31 | 100162 | 01.4540 | 19.83 | 100265 | 01.3352 | 15.01 |
| 060036 | 01.1694 | 15.76 | 070036 | 01.5709 | 27.95 | 100067 | 01.4095 | 16.81 | 100165 | 01.1337 | 13.18 | 100266 | 01.3566 | 18.10 |
| 060037 | 01.0286 | 13.56 | 070038 | 01.0707 |  | 100068 | 01.3733 | 17.72 | 100166 | 01.4808 | 19.75 | 100267 | 01.3379 | 19.83 |
| 060038 | 01.0310 | 13.78 | 070039 | 00.9302 | 64 | 100069 | 01.3153 | 15.88 | 100167 | 01.4454 | 20.58 | 100268 | 01.2241 | 22.61 |
| 060041 | 00.9383 | 14.14 | 080001 | 01.7025 | 27.32 | 100070 | 01.4966 | 18.19 | 100168 | 01.3650 | 19.91 | 100269 | 01.4247 | 20.37 |
| 060042 | 01.0363 | 14.73 | 080002 | 01.2023 | 15.33 | 100071 | 01.2953 | 16.97 | 100169 | 01.8710 | 20.54 | 100270 | 00.8682 | 20.06 |
| 060043 | 00.9025 | 12.99 | 080003 | 01.3849 | 20.16 | 100072 | 01.2360 | 23.32 | 100170 | 01.4100 | 15.49 | 100271 | 01.7428 | 20.02 |
| 060044 | 01.1085 | 16.07 | 080004 | 01.3094 | 19.45 | 100073 | 01.7511 | 20.04 | 100172 | 01.3995 | 14.68 | 100275 | 01.4146 | 20.36 |
| 060046 | 01.0901 | 18.50 | 080006 | 01.4184 | 21.83 | 100075 | 01.6523 | 18.22 | 100173 | 01.6957 | 17.25 | 100276 | 01.2702 | 22.13 |
| 060047 | 00.9872 | 13.98 | 080007 | 01.4486 | 16.75 | 100076 | 01.3180 | 17.07 | 100174 | 01.3787 | 17.95 | 100277 | 01.0519 | 15.24 |
| 060049 | 01.3479 | 20.25 | 090001 | 01.5888 | 27.79 | 100077 | 01.3753 | 16.82 | 100175 | 01.2198 | 15.49 | 100279 | 01.3775 | 12.47 |
| 060050 | 01.2593 | 16.03 | 090002 | 01.3122 | 19.74 | 100078 | 01.1969 | 16.33 | 100176 | 02.0937 | 23.45 | 100280 | 01.3550 | 16.99 |
| 060052 | 01.0840 | 13.49 | 090003 | 01.3697 | 25.82 | 100079 | 01.6561 | 19.15 | 100177 | 01.3473 | 18.58 | 100281 | 01.3003 | 22.78 |
| 060053 | 01.1047 | 14.93 | 090004 | 01.7397 | 24.43 | 100080 | 01.6318 | 22.70 | 100179 | 01.7319 | 19.47 | 100282 | 01.1124 | 17.70 |
| 060054 | 01.3319 | 18.61 | 090005 | 01.3450 | 23.71 | 100081 | 01.0539 | 14.21 | 100180 | 01.4631 | 19.43 | 110001 | 01.3047 | 15.63 |
| 060056 | 00.9946 | 15.37 | 090006 | 01.3214 | 20.39 | 100082 | 01.4614 | 18.91 | 100181 | 01.2111 | 21.61 | 110002 | 01.3058 | 16.54 |
| 060057 | 01.0133 | 23.55 | 090007 | 01.3635 | 19.38 | 100084 | 01.4186 | 20.77 | 100183 | 01.2830 | 18.48 | 110003 | 01.3845 | 15.24 |
| 060058 | 00.9506 | 15.60 | 090008 | 01.4969 | 20.72 | 100085 | 01.3915 | 21.33 | 100187 | 01.4150 | 19.92 | 110004 | 01.3881 | 18.05 |
| 060060 | 00.9769 | 14.53 | 090010 | 01.0223 | 17.93 | 100086 | 01.2392 | 21.23 | 100189 | 01.3952 | 24.14 | 110005 | 01.1802 | 17.38 |
| 060062 | 00.9096 | 16.53 | 090011 | 02.0090 | 25.70 | 100087 | 01.8553 | 21.28 | 100191 | 01.2949 | 20.19 | 110006 | 01.4001 | 19.78 |
| 060064 | 01.4880 | 21.56 | 100001 | 01.4825 | 16.62 | 100088 | 01.6726 | 21.08 | 100199 | 01.3616 | 19.76 | 110007 | 01.6056 | 16.12 |
| 060065 | 01.3260 | 22.85 | 100002 | 01.4763 | 19.92 | 100090 | 01.3888 | 17.89 | 100200 | 01.3456 | 21.55 | 110008 | 01.2651 | 18.30 |
| 060066 | 01.0226 | 15.09 | 100004 | 01.0119 | 13.82 | 100092 | 01.5281 | 19.47 | 100204 | 01.6026 | 19.37 | 110009 | 01.1532 | 15.80 |
| 060068 | 01.0475 | 18.74 | 100006 | 01.6406 | 20.10 | 100093 | 01.5080 | 15.93 | 100206 | 01.3988 | 19.96 | 110010 | 02.1459 | 24.74 |
| 060070 .... | 01.1221 | 17.17 | 100007 | 01.8866 | 20.87 | 100098 | 01.1552 | 19.33 | 100208 | 01.5848 | 22.72 | 110011 | 01.2262 | 16.24 |
| 060071 .... | 01.2194 | 16.52 | 100008 | 01.7096 | 20.20 | 100099 | 01.2922 | 13.50 | 100209 | 01.5855 | 17.58 | 110013. | 01.1130 | 16.61 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110014 | 01.0448 | 16.21 | 110101 | 01.1323 | 12.27 | 110198 | 01.3303 | 25.48 | 130048 | 01.0690 | 14.17 | 140081 | 01.0654 | 14.36 |
| 110015 | 01.1788 | 19.15 | 110103 | 00.9185 | 11.59 | 110200 | 01.8824 | 19.23 | 130049 | 01.2597 | 19.05 | 140082 | 01.4505 | 22.85 |
| 110016 | 01.2943 | 16.27 | 110104 | 01.0983 | 15.18 | 110201 | 01.5092 | 18.30 | 130054 | 00.8904 | 17.88 | 140083 | 01.3069 | 18.82 |
| 110017 | 00.8766 | 13.46 | 110105 | 01.2904 | 15.96 | 110203 | 00.9956 | 20.45 | 130056 | 00.8204 | 17.37 | 140084 | 01.2298 | 19.27 |
| 110018 | 01.1447 | 18.80 | 110107 | 01.8386 | 18.54 | 110204 | 00.8148 | 18.89 | 130060 | 01.3078 | 20.72 | 140086 | 01.1655 | 15.72 |
| 110020 | 01.3285 | 18.61 | 110108 | 00.9689 | 17.58 | 110205 | 01.0763 | 22.85 | 130061 | 00.9403 | 09.29 | 140087 | 01.3956 | 17.07 |
| 110023 | 01.2840 | 18.65 | 110109 | 01.0955 | 15.30 | 110207 | 01.1607 | 12.46 | 130063 | 01.1768 |  | 140088 | 01.7029 | 21.97 |
| 110024 | 01.4669 | 19.21 | 110111 | 01.1955 | 15.74 | 110208 | 00.9903 | 15.74 | 140001 | 01.3044 | 15.14 | 140089 | 01.2384 | 17.29 |
| 110025 | 01.4282 | 17.90 | 110112 | 01.1297 | 18.83 | 110209 | 00.7381 | 16.57 | 140002 | 01.3201 | 18.33 | 140090 | 01.4953 | 23.24 |
| 110026 | 01.2060 | 14.58 | 110113 | 01.1014 | 14.21 | 110211 | 00.9586 |  | 140003 | 01.0457 | 15.69 | 140091 | 01.8169 | 18.10 |
| 110027 | 01.1287 | 15.90 | 110114 | 01.0561 | 15.10 | 110212 | 01.1651 |  | 140004 | 01.0989 | 16.55 | 140093 | 01.1840 | 18.79 |
| 110028 | 01.6783 | 20.65 | 110115 | 01.6734 | 22.60 | 110213 | 00.7480 |  | 140005 | 00.9503 | 10.22 | 140094 | 01.3097 | 20.06 |
| 110029 | 01.3697 | 20.27 | 110118 | 01.0544 | 11.38 | 120001 | 01.8279 | 27.25 | 140007 | 01.4925 | 21.24 | 140095 | 01.3835 | 20.89 |
| 110030 | 01.2736 | 17.81 | 110120 | 01.0683 | 12.89 | 120002 | 01.2601 | 23.99 | 140008 | 01.5269 | 20.27 | 140097 | 00.9245 | 15.85 |
| 110031 | 01.2780 | 19.47 | 110121 | 01.2134 | 14.59 | 120003 | 01.1064 | 24.14 | 140010 | 01.3777 | 23.35 | 140100 | 01.3042 | 20.50 |
| 110032 | 01.3079 | 15.70 | 110122 | 01.3699 | 18.25 | 120004 | 01.2164 | 24.55 | 140011 | 01.1962 | 16.35 | 140101 | 01.2281 | 18.42 |
| 110033 | 01.4405 | 21.48 | 110124 | 01.3180 | 14.58 | 120005 | 01.2966 | 21.62 | 140012 | 01.2712 | 18.24 | 140102 | 01.1167 | 15.46 |
| 110034 | 01.6284 | 18.31 | 110125 | 01.2718 | 16.36 | 120006 | 01.3249 | 24.64 | 140013 | 01.5981 | 16.59 | 140103 | 01.4637 | 15.98 |
| 110035 | 01.4374 | 23.29 | 110127 | 00.9214 | 14.72 | 120007 | 01.6729 | 21.82 | 140014 | 01.2346 | 18.98 | 140105 | 01.3523 | 20.16 |
| 110036 | 01.7729 |  | 110128 | 01.1853 | 18.34 | 120009 | 00.9647 | 19.58 | 140015 | 01.2859 | 14.77 | 140107 | 01.0723 | 14.19 |
| 110038 | 01.4872 | 17.19 | 110129 | 01.6924 | 17.61 | 120010 | 01.8131 | 23.76 | 140016 | 00.9826 | 12.09 | 140108 | 01.3529 | 22.83 |
| 110039 | 01.3748 | 19.83 | 110130 | 01.0679 | 11.85 | 120011 | 01.3231 | 32.97 | 140018 | 01.3572 | 19.73 | 140109 | 01.2235 | 14.65 |
| 110040 | 01.1392 | 17.40 | 110132 | 01.1281 | 13.98 | 120012 | 00.8889 | 21.42 | 140019 | 01.0877 | 14.26 | 140110 | 01.2260 | 18.89 |
| 110041 | 01.1919 | 16.68 | 110134 | 00.9052 | 12.22 | 120014 | 01.3437 | 23.53 | 140024 | 00.9826 | 13.82 | 140112 | 01.1475 | 14.27 |
| 110042 | 01.2326 | 16.85 | 110135 | 01.3155 | 17.76 | 120015 | 00.8945 | 23.63 | 140025 | 01.0844 | 16.04 | 140113 | 01.5963 | 18.16 |
| 110043 | 01.8013 | 16.83 | 110136 | 01.1358 | 15.43 | 120016 | 01.0773 | 26.99 | 140026 | 01.2533 | 16.60 | 140114 | 01.3451 | 19.18 |
| 110044 | 01.1835 | 15.11 | 110140 | 01.0384 | 15.81 | 120018 | 01.0119 | 22.29 | 140027 | 01.3199 | 17.12 | 140115 | 01.3318 | 19.21 |
| 110045 | 01.2010 | 19.00 | 110141 | 01.0430 | 13.17 | 120019 | 01.2134 | 20.93 | 140029 | 01.4133 | 20.69 | 140116 | 01.2572 | 20.69 |
| 110046 | 01.2702 | 19.27 | 110142 | 00.9278 | 10.94 | 120021 | 00.8363 | 19.89 | 140030 | 01.7236 | 21.88 | 140117 | 01.5466 | 20.39 |
| 110048 | 01.2958 | 14.77 | 110143 | 01.4312 | 20.93 | 120022 | 01.6938 | 17.36 | 140031 | 01.1981 | 14.47 | 140118 | 01.6712 | 23.20 |
| 110049 | 01.0595 | 12.66 | 110144 | 01.1053 | 18.09 | 120026 | 01.2420 | 24.30 | 140032 | 01.3088 | 17.51 | 140119 | 01.7295 | 21.17 |
| 110050 | 01.2663 | 17.24 | 110146 | 01.1084 | 16.74 | 120027 | 01.4788 | 22.77 | 140033 | 01.2949 | 22.13 | 140120 | 01.4493 | 16.54 |
| 110051 | 01.0328 | 13.87 | 110149 | 01.1383 | 18.93 | 120028 | 01.2495 |  | 140034 | 01.1849 | 18.25 | 140121 | 01.4033 | 14.91 |
| 110052 | 01.1633 | 08.57 | 110150 | 01.3908 | 18.34 | 130001 | 00.9237 | 20.88 | 140035 | 01.0753 | 13.77 | 140122 | 01.5946 | 22.76 |
| 110054 | 01.3234 | 18.80 | 110152 | 01.0769 | 15.05 | 130002 | 01.3874 | 15.94 | 140036 | 01.2318 | 17.01 | 140124 | 01.2207 | 25.20 |
| 110056 | 01.1047 | 16.02 | 110153 | 01.0943 | 18.60 | 130003 | 01.3296 | 19.77 | 140037 | 01.0362 | 13.33 | 140125 | 01.3391 | 16.31 |
| 110059 | 01.3075 | 12.05 | 110154 | 01.0296 | 13.75 | 130005 | 01.4326 | 19.70 | 140038 | 01.2131 | 14.65 | 140127 | 01.4371 | 18.66 |
| 110061 | 01.0818 | 13.87 | 110155 | 01.1450 | 14.18 | 130006 | 01.8387 | 19.10 | 140040 | 01.3081 | 15.90 | 140128 | 01.0565 | 16.08 |
| 110062 | 00.8961 | 14.52 | 110156 | 01.0223 | 15.53 | 130007 | 01.6496 | 19.28 | 140041 | 01.1977 | 16.33 | 140129 | 01.1941 | 16.61 |
| 110063 | 01.1382 | 15.19 | 110161 | 01.3086 | 20.74 | 130008 | 00.9899 | 12.07 | 140042 | 01.0291 | 13.94 | 140130 | 01.2719 | 24.16 |
| 110064 | 01.3862 | 18.18 | 110162 | 00.8099 |  | 130009 | 00.9347 | 15.62 | 140043 | 01.1678 | 17.93 | 140132 | 01.5121 | 23.60 |
| 110065 | 01.0241 | 12.93 | 110163 | 01.5208 | 18.71 | 130010 | 00.9101 | 19.08 | 140045 | 01.0478 | 15.21 | 140133 | 01.3440 | 20.51 |
| 110066 | 01.4714 | 20.37 | 110164 | 01.4277 | 21.27 | 130011 | 01.3476 | 19.35 | 140046 | 01.3159 | 15.70 | 140135 | 01.2990 | 16.16 |
| 110069 | 01.2824 | 18.52 | 110165 | 01.4010 | 18.70 | 130012 | 01.0020 | 22.02 | 140047 | 01.1731 | 16.57 | 140137 | 01.0428 | 17.24 |
| 110070 | 01.1006 | 17.18 | 110166 | 01.5150 | 18.65 | 130013 | 01.3101 | 19.25 | 140048 | 01.3315 | 21.58 | 140138 | 01.0982 | 14.18 |
| 110071 | 01.1356 | 11.04 | 110168 | 01.7223 | 20.47 | 130014 | 01.3693 | 17.03 | 140049 | 01.5511 | 20.89 | 140139 | 01.1145 | 15.86 |
| 110072 | 01.0173 | 12.51 | 110169 | 01.1931 | 18.66 | 130015 | 00.9264 | 17.50 | 140051 | 01.5114 | 19.42 | 140140 | 01.1906 | 18.58 |
| 110073 | 01.2272 | 14.32 | 110171 | 01.4942 | 20.46 | 130016 | 00.9173 | 17.25 | 140052 | 01.3990 | 17.19 | 140141 | 01.3059 | 14.79 |
| 110074 | 01.4541 | 17.24 | 110172 | 01.4235 | 21.34 | 130017 | 01.1709 | 16.55 | 140053 | 02.0119 | 18.24 | 140143 | 01.1514 | 17.94 |
| 110075 | 01.3591 | 16.51 | 110174 | 00.9675 | 15.24 | 130018 | 01.7382 | 17.35 | 140054 | 01.3761 | 22.90 | 140144 | 01.0424 | 17.37 |
| 110076 | 01.5073 | 20.04 | 110176 | 02.5217 | 20.96 | 130019 | 01.1641 | 17.99 | 140055 | 00.9267 | 13.99 | 140145 | 01.1604 | 16.19 |
| 110078 | 01.7630 | 21.73 | 110177 | 01.5788 | 19.87 | 130021 | 00.9692 | 15.30 | 140058 | 01.2943 | 16.54 | 140146 | 01.0612 | 16.77 |
| 110079 | 01.3856 | 19.30 | 110178 | 02.9393 | 16.83 | 130022 | 01.2437 | 18.53 | 140059 | 01.2264 | 15.77 | 140147 | 01.3933 | 15.62 |
| 110080 | 01.2083 | 18.22 | 110179 | 01.1105 | 20.42 | 130024 | 01.0773 | 18.00 | 140061 | 01.1070 | 14.15 | 140148 | 01.8210 | 17.46 |
| 110082 | 02.1044 | 21.81 | 110181 | 00.9493 | 14.70 | 130025 | 01.1043 | 14.20 | 140062 | 01.2892 | 26.44 | 140150 | 01.5671 | 25.02 |
| 110083 | 01.7148 | 20.98 | 110183 | 01.3855 | 21.18 | 130026 | 01.1592 | 19.63 | 140063 | 01.4336 | 22.90 | 140151 | 01.0723 | 19.64 |
| 110086 | 01.2336 | 13.04 | 110184 | 01.2704 | 19.37 | 130027 | 00.8923 | 19.57 | 140064 | 01.3056 | 17.80 | 140152 | 01.1727 | 21.63 |
| 110087 | 01.3469 | 20.67 | 110185 | 01.1237 | 15.51 | 130028 | 01.2366 | 16.83 | 140065 | 01.5316 | 24.12 | 140155 | 01.3024 | 17.47 |
| 110089 | 01.2215 | 17.12 | 110186 | 01.3551 | 15.59 | 130029 | 01.1095 | 17.62 | 140066 | 01.2213 | 15.60 | 140158 | 01.3851 | 22.91 |
| 110091 | 01.3195 | 19.73 | 110187 | 01.3406 | 19.18 | 130030 | 00.8668 | 18.40 | 140067 | 01.7964 | 17.99 | 140160 | 01.2137 | 16.52 |
| 110092 | 01.1612 | 15.18 | 110188 | 01.3408 | 18.49 | 130031 | 00.9616 | 16.44 | 140068 | 01.2411 | 18.98 | 140161 | 01.2198 | 18.07 |
| 110093 | 00.9463 | 11.69 | 110189 | 01.1257 | 17.51 | 130034 | 01.0096 | 19.35 | 140069 | 01.0622 | 16.04 | 140162 | 01.7869 | 17.93 |
| 110094. | 01.0827 | 14.08 | 110190 .... | 01.0981 | 15.41 | 130035 .... | 01.0090 | 19.47 | 140070 | 01.2423 | 17.31 | 140164 | 01.4470 | 20.29 |
| 110095 | 01.3819 | 14.69 | 110191 | 01.3627 | 17.96 | 130036 | 01.3025 | 13.66 | 140074 | 01.0465 | 17.25 | 140165 | 01.1078 | 13.70 |
| 110096 | 01.1427 | 14.85 | 110192 | 01.4687 | 21.41 | 130037 | 01.2910 | 16.97 | 140075 | 01.4117 | 14.13 | 140166 | 01.3247 | 17.54 |
| 110097 | 01.0561 | 14.44 | 110193 | 01.2426 | 17.89 | 130043 | 00.9508 | 15.79 | 140077 | 01.2351 | 16.89 | 140167 | 01.1271 | 15.06 |
| 110098 | 00.9804 | 15.28 | 110194 .... | 00.9257 | 14.21 | 130044 | 01.1952 | 10.50 | 140079 | 01.2417 | 17.22 | 140168 | 01.1771 | 16.36 |
| 110100 .... | 01.0482 | 16.39 | 110195 | 01.1159 | 13.34 | 130045 | 00.9956 | 15.28 | 140080 | 01.6294 | 20.58 | 140170 | 01.0929 | 13.81 |
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| der |  | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140171 | 00.9828 | 12.95 | 140300 | 01.5868 | 23.72 | 150074 | 01.6442 | 19.08 | 160030 | 01.3920 | 18.00 | 160109 | 01.0993 | 14.76 |
| 140172 | 01.6579 | 18.91 | 150001 | 01.1146 | 19.10 | 150075 | 01.1491 | 15.63 | 160031 | 01.1010 | 14.50 | 160110 | 01.5914 | 15.04 |
| 140173 | 00.9180 | 16.52 | 150002 | 01.5657 | 18.51 | 150076 | 01.1723 | 21.36 | 160032 | 01.1307 | 16.27 | 160111 | 01.0133 | 12.29 |
| 140174 | 01.5914 | 20.01 | 150003 | 01.6957 | 19.07 | 150077 | 01.1446 | 17.40 | 160033 | 01.8232 | 17.57 | 160112 | 01.4106 | 16.06 |
| 140176 | 01.2364 | 19.89 | 150004 | 01.5034 | 19.60 | 150078 | 01.0704 | 17.34 | 160034 | 01.1382 | 15.15 | 160113 | 01.0099 | 13.35 |
| 140177 | 01.3461 | 17.27 | 150005 | 01.1843 | 18.97 | 150079 | 01.2096 | 15.90 | 160035 | 01.0002 | 16.77 | 160114 | 01.0199 | 15.40 |
| 140179 | 01.3420 | 20.09 | 150006 | 01.2849 | 18.75 | 150082 | 01.5715 | 18.22 | 160036 | 00.9948 | 19.22 | 160115 | 01.0123 | 15.21 |
| 140180 | 01.4432 | 20.79 | 150007 | 01.2112 | 23.06 | 150084 | 01.9333 | 21.85 | 160037 | 01.0667 | 17.12 | 160116 | 01.1438 | 16.05 |
| 140181 | 01.4074 | 19.27 | 150008 | 01.4533 | 20.34 | 150086 | 01.3607 | 16.73 | 160039 | 01.0325 | 17.49 | 160117 | 01.4481 | 16.57 |
| 140182 | 01.4406 | 15.18 | 150009 | 01.3592 | 17.29 | 150088 | 01.3868 | 18.67 | 160040 | 01.3654 | 17.43 | 160118 | 01.0367 | 15.14 |
| 140184 | 01.2681 | 15.18 | 150010 | 01.3797 | 16.85 | 150089 | 01.4239 | 19.56 | 160041 | 01.1128 | 14.40 | 160120 | 01.0155 | 11.33 |
| 140185 | 01.5341 | 17.64 | 150011 | 01.2435 | 18.61 | 150090 | 01.2347 | 18.94 | 160043 | 01.0103 | 14.43 | 160122 | 01.0901 | 18.27 |
| 140186 | 01.3891 | 20.30 | 150012 | 01.6411 | 21.50 | 150091 | 01.0113 | 16.53 | 160044 | 01.2318 | 15.75 | 160124 | 01.2824 | 16.47 |
| 140187 | 01.4964 | 16.84 | 150013 | 01.1763 | 15.74 | 150092 | 01.0684 | 14.87 | 160045 | 01.7278 | 18.63 | 160126 | 01.0538 | 15.68 |
| 140188 | 00.9537 | 13.20 | 150014 | 01.5052 | 18.35 | 150094 | 00.9903 | 17.59 | 160046 | 00.9983 | 11.21 | 160129 | 01.0655 | 15.03 |
| 140189 | 01.1992 | 17.72 | 150015 | 01.2408 | 20.85 | 150095 | 01.0953 | 18.41 | 160047 | 01.3985 | 16.53 | 160130 | 01.2040 | 14.80 |
| 140190 | 01.1009 | 16.47 | 150017 | 01.8553 | 19.45 | 150096 | 01.0629 | 17.95 | 160048 | 01.0493 | 13.27 | 160131 | 01.0625 | 14.49 |
| 140191 | 01.4397 | 22.26 | 150018 | 01.3501 | 18.66 | 150097 | 01.1098 | 17.18 | 160049 | 00.9436 | 12.67 | 160134 | 00.9376 | 12.70 |
| 140193 | 01.1059 | 14.46 | 150019 | 01.1845 | 14.94 | 150098 | 01.1241 | 16.63 | 160050 | 01.0811 | 15.90 | 160135 | 01.0142 | 15.11 |
| 140197 | 01.2541 | 16.79 | 150020 | 01.1512 | 13.22 | 150099 | 01.2843 | 17.66 | 160051 | 00.9312 | 13.79 | 160138 | 01.0655 | 14.59 |
| 140199 | 01.1100 | 17.14 | 150021 | 01.6165 | 18.36 | 150100 | 01.6568 | 17.51 | 160052 | 01.0078 | 14.41 | 160140 | 01.1400 | 16.69 |
| 140200 | 01.4621 | 21.75 | 150022 | 01.1136 | 17.58 | 150101 | 01.1211 | 19.95 | 160054 | 01.0121 | 13.35 | 160142 | 01.1009 | 15.31 |
| 140202 | 01.3111 | 21.58 | 150023 | 01.6061 | 19.97 | 150102 | 01.1598 | 12.14 | 160055 | 00.9931 | 13.61 | 160143 | 00.9819 | 15.10 |
| 140203 | 01.1647 | 22.19 | 150024 | 01.3888 | 18.92 | 150103 | 00.9512 | 19.44 | 160056 | 01.1741 | 14.54 | 160145 | 01.1407 | 14.85 |
| 140205 | 00.9675 | 15.10 | 150025 | 01.4888 | 17.26 | 150104 | 01.0823 | 16.22 | 160057 | 01.3770 | 17.28 | 160146 | 01.4416 | 16.29 |
| 140206 | 01.2352 | 20.80 | 150026 | 01.2078 | 18.81 | 150105 | 01.3386 | 17.27 | 160058 | 01.7722 | 19.62 | 160147 | 01.3353 | 17.49 |
| 140207 | 01.3748 | 20.67 | 150027 | 01.0411 | 17.50 | 150106 | 01.0981 | 15.15 | 160060 | 01.1076 | 15.15 | 160151 | 01.1079 | 16.09 |
| 140208 | 01.6884 | 24.61 | 150029 | 01.3890 | 20.73 | 150109 | 01.4355 | 18.03 | 160061 | 01.1171 | 16.03 | 160152 | 01.0039 | 14.39 |
| 140209 | 01.6540 | 14.76 | 150030 | 01.2567 | 17.00 | 150110 | 01.0392 | 15.28 | 160062 | 00.9454 | 15.66 | 160153 | 01.8054 | 18.68 |
| 140210 | 01.0799 | 14.99 | 150031 | 01.0946 | 15.03 | 150111 | 01.1656 | 15.08 | 160063 | 01.1546 | 16.85 | 170001 | 01.1951 | 16.74 |
| 140211 | 01.2061 | 19.50 | 150032 | 01.8612 | 19.41 | 150112 | 01.3267 | 18.92 | 160064 | 01.6269 | 18.72 | 170004 | 01.0677 | 13.57 |
| 140213 | 01.3176 | 21.25 | 150033 | 01.5986 | 21.73 | 150113 | 01.2282 | 18.52 | 160065 | 01.0220 | 16.04 | 170006 | 01.1576 | 15.84 |
| 140215 | 01.0859 | 14.05 | 150034 | 01.4872 | 21.18 | 150114 | 01.0692 | 17.02 | 160066 | 01.1481 | 15.76 | 170008 | 00.9797 | 13.42 |
| 140217 | 01.3129 | 22.52 | 150035 | 01.5616 | 19.66 | 150115 | 01.3601 | 17.18 | 160067 | 01.4072 | 17.52 | 170009 | 01.2006 | 17.07 |
| 140218 | 01.0528 | 15.20 | 150036 | 01.0369 | 18.92 | 150122 | 01.1376 | 18.53 | 160068 | 01.0212 | 15.43 | 170010 | 01.3037 | 16.52 |
| 140220 | 01.1009 | 17.26 | 150037 | 01.2481 | 18.31 | 150123 | 01.0540 | 14.07 | 160069 | 01.4919 | 17.39 | 170012 | 01.4254 | 15.95 |
| 140223 | 01.6061 | 23.2 | 150038 | 01.4463 | 18.74 | 150124 | 01.1303 | 15.08 | 160070 | 00.9590 | 14.55 | 170013 | 01.3060 | 16.49 |
| 140224 | 01.3499 | 22.21 | 150039 | 00.9739 | 16.62 | 150125 | 01.4487 | 19.02 | 160072 | 01.0768 | 14.19 | 170014 | 01.0310 | 17.45 |
| 140228 | 01.6505 | 17.83 | 150042 | 01.2851 | 16.54 | 150126 | 01.4679 | 20.96 | 160073 | 00.9704 | 13.66 | 170015 | 00.9909 | 15.23 |
| 140230 | 00.9336 | 15.97 | 150043 | 01.0389 | 16.96 | 150127 | 01.0314 | 15.89 | 160074 | 01.0474 | 15.71 | 170016 | 01.6836 | 22.29 |
| 140231 | 01.5659 | 21.90 | 150044 | 01.2351 | 18.03 | 150128 | 01.2813 | 18.07 | 160075 | 01.1806 | 15.77 | 170017 | 01.2077 | 18.08 |
| 140233 | 01.8328 | 18.16 | 150045 | 01.1303 | 16.21 | 150129 | 01.1222 | 24.48 | 160076 | 01.0409 | 17.07 | 170018 | 01.1380 | 14.10 |
| 140234 | 01.2359 | 17.76 | 150046 | 01.4926 | 16.66 | 150130 | 01.3484 | 16.53 | 160077 | 01.0730 | 11.38 | 170019 | 01.2203 | 16.42 |
| 140236 | 01.0046 | 14.29 | 150047 | 01.6176 | 19.11 | 150132 | 01.4914 | 18.89 | 160079 | 01.4250 | 17.85 | 170020 | 01.2910 | 15.58 |
| 140239 | 01.7410 | 18.31 | 150048 | 01.2267 | 18.58 | 150133 | 01.1644 | 17.44 | 160080 | 01.2026 | 17.07 | 170022 | 01.1333 | 16.84 |
| 140240 | 01.4331 | 22.78 | 150049 | 01.1415 | 15.37 | 150134 | 01.1629 | 17.56 | 160081 | 01.0971 | 15.21 | 170023 | 01.3998 | 17.38 |
| 140242 | 01.6616 | 22.15 | 150050 | 01.2343 | 16.20 | 150136 | 00.8607 | 20.95 | 160082 | 01.9400 | 17.26 | 170024 | 01.1587 | 13.03 |
| 140245 | 01.2200 | 15.19 | 150051 | 01.4673 | 18.63 | 150145 | 03.7024 |  | 160083 | 01.6760 | 17.94 | 170025 | 01.1942 | 16.10 |
| 140246 | 01.1107 | 12.78 | 150052 | 01.1526 | 14.50 | 160001 | 01.2869 | . 91 | 160085 | 00.9877 | 15.41 | 170026 | 01.1060 | 13.45 |
| 140250 | 01.3085 | 23.24 | 150053 | 01.0122 | 18.92 | 160002 | 01.1579 | 14.48 | 160086 | 00.9510 | 15.78 | 170027 | 01.3149 | 15.96 |
| 140251 | 01.3487 | 20.32 | 150054 | 01.0954 | 15.80 | 160003 | 01.0272 | 14.39 | 160088 | 01.1853 | 16.87 | 170030 | 01.0487 | 12.94 |
| 140252 | 01.4849 | 23.55 | 150056 | 01.8319 | 23.14 | 160005 | 01.0962 | 15.72 | 160089 | 01.2264 | 16.16 | 170031 | 00.8797 | 12.80 |
| 140253 | 01.3970 | 14.08 | 150057 | 02.3139 | 18.25 | 160007 | 01.0149 | 13.81 | 160090 | 01.0121 | 15.53 | 170032 | 01.0645 | 15.46 |
| 140258 | 01.5859 | 22.07 | 150058 | 01.7734 | 20.30 | 160008 | 01.1611 | 14.74 | 160091 | 01.0690 | 12.74 | 170033 | 01.3680 | 15.54 |
| 140271 | 01.0367 | 14.78 | 150059 | 01.3588 | 21.47 | 160009 | 01.2225 | 15.87 | 160092 | 01.0710 | 15.37 | 170034 | 01.0172 | 13.85 |
| 140275 | 01.2393 | 16.99 | 150060 | 01.1408 | 14.72 | 160012 | 01.0015 | 15.93 | 160093 | 01.0603 | 15.71 | 170035 | 00.8913 | 14.00 |
| 140276 | 02.0402 | 21.39 | 150061 | 01.2235 | 15.33 | 160013 | 01.2088 | 16.74 | 160094 | 01.1200 | 15.60 | 170036 | 00.9101 | 14.08 |
| 140280 | 01.3633 | 17.80 | 150062 | 01.1228 | 17.69 | 160014 | 00.9551 | 14.41 | 160095 | 01.0625 | 14.27 | 170037 | 01.0368 | 16.58 |
| 140281 | 01.6894 | 22.14 | 150063 | 01.0545 | 16.90 | 160016 | 01.2452 | 17.25 | 160097 | 01.0952 | 14.59 | 170038 | 00.9220 | 12.68 |
| 140285 | 01.2529 | 26.86 | 150064 | 01.2804 | 16.17 | 160018 | 00.9374 | 13.77 | 160098 | 01.0002 | 15.05 | 170039 | 01.0941 | 14.19 |
| 140286 | 01.1496 | 18.53 | 150065 | 01.2062 | 18.66 | 160020 | 01.0918 | 13.84 | 160099 | 00.9166 | 12.91 | 170040 | 01.6491 | 19.98 |
| 140288 | 01.7475 | 22.93 | 150066 | 01.0055 | 17.04 | 160021 | 01.0569 | 15.16 | 160101 | 01.0582 | 17.55 | 170041 | 01.0778 | 11.22 |
| 140289 | 01.3491 | 16.32 | 150067 | 01.1690 | 16.20 | 160023 | 01.0267 | 14.75 | 160102 | 01.4133 | 16.83 | 170044 | 00.9909 | 13.97 |
| 140290 | 01.3868 | 20.06 | 150069 | 01.2637 | 17.75 | 160024 | 01.5208 | 18.26 | 160103 | 01.0464 | 16.71 | 170045 | 01.0394 | 15.99 |
| 140291 | 01.3999 | 23.45 | 150070 | 01.0571 | 17.16 | 160026 | 01.0784 | 17.30 | 160104 | 01.2767 | 17.17 | 170049 | 01.2914 | 18.45 |
| 140292 | 01.1440 | 20.62 | 150071 | 01.1147 | 14.38 | 160027 | 01.1359 | 15.04 | 160106 | 01.0226 | 15.39 | 170051 | 00.9111 | 13.41 |
| 140294 | 01.1807 | 18.17 | 150072 | 01.2157 | 16.13 | 160028 | 01.2457 | 29.74 | 160107 | 01.1907 | 16.26 | 170052 | 01.1183 | 14.31 |
| 140297 | 03.6153 | 42.09 | 150073 | 01.0490 | 20.53 | 160029 | 01.5683 | 20.19 | 160108 | 01.1241 | 15.98 | 170053 | 00.9906 | 13.83 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case <br> mix <br> index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170054 | 01.0978 | 13.64 | 170150 | 01.1546 | 14.00 | 180067 | 01.9594 | 17.80 | 190034 | 01.1818 | 15.36 | 190155 | 00.7261 | 16.10 |
| 170055 | 01.0862 | 14.51 | 170151 | 00.9807 | 12.49 | 180069 | 01.1523 | 17.35 | 190035 | 01.4071 |  | 190156 | 01.0217 | 12.27 |
| 170056 | 00.8958 | 14.93 | 170152 | 01.0368 | 14.21 | 180070 | 01.1536 | 13.55 | 190036 | 01.6970 | 20.46 | 190158 | 01.1942 | 20.62 |
| 170057 | 00.9835 | 12.90 | 170160 | 01.0025 | 11.81 | 180072 | 01.1750 | 15.81 | 190037 | 00.9050 | 11.28 | 190160 | 01.2638 | 17.06 |
| 170058 | 01.1567 | 17.07 | 170164 | 01.0153 | 15.00 | 180075 | 01.6587 | 12.66 | 190039 | 01.4112 | 16.98 | 190161 | 01.0650 | 14.05 |
| 170060 | 01.1064 | 14.95 | 170166 | 01.1487 | 17.40 | 180078 | 01.0858 | 18.97 | 190040 | 01.3258 | 20.34 | 190162 | 01.0985 | 19.57 |
| 170061 | 01.1697 | 14.15 | 170171 | 01.0693 | 12.88 | 180079 | 01.2462 | 12.71 | 190041 | 01.5988 | 19.98 | 190164 | 01.2766 | 14.89 |
| 170063 | 00.8588 | 11.84 | 170175 | 01.3959 | 17.67 | 180080 | 01.0624 | 15.09 | 190043 | 01.0674 | 12.52 | 190167 | 01.1707 | 18.78 |
| 170066 | 01.0038 | 13.66 | 170176 | 01.6751 | 23.94 | 180087 | 01.3024 | 14.29 | 190044 | 01.1587 | 21.11 | 190170 | 00.9093 | 13.69 |
| 170067 | 01.0353 | 14.44 | 170182 | 01.4638 | 21.54 | 180088 | 01.5749 | 21.13 | 190045 | 01.4309 | 21.34 | 190173 | 01.4304 | 19.33 |
| 170068 | 01.2562 | 17.01 | 170183 | 02.0468 | 15.05 | 180092 | 01.2237 | 15.98 | 190046 | 01.4383 | 18.69 | 190175 | 01.6161 | 20.46 |
| 170070 | 01.0330 | 12.73 | 170184 | 01.7569 |  | 180093 | 01.3704 | 16.69 | 190048 | 01.2557 | 15.02 | 190176 | 01.6907 | 20.76 |
| 170073 | 01.1796 | 15.56 | 180001 | 01.3958 | 17.78 | 180094 | 01.0627 | 12.86 | 190049 | 00.9841 | 15.98 | 190177 | 01.7756 | 18.85 |
| 170074 | 01.1210 | 13.48 | 180002 | 01.1271 | 17.71 | 180095 | 01.1988 | 13.96 | 190050 | 01.0974 | 14.68 | 190178 | 00.9828 | 10.60 |
| 170075 | 00.9167 | 10.71 | 180004 | 01.1260 | 15.79 | 180099 | 01.2011 | 12.83 | 190053 | 01.1305 | 12.51 | 190182 | 01.2638 | 19.89 |
| 170076 | 01.0539 | 12.59 | 180005 | 01.2488 | 18.80 | 180101 | 01.2773 | 16.26 | 190054 | 01.3434 | 16.77 | 190183 | 01.1934 | 15.22 |
| 170077 | 00.9613 | 12.55 | 180006 | 00.9249 | 12.49 | 180102 | 01.4712 | 18.17 | 190059 | 00.8927 | 14.11 | 190184 | 01.0340 | 15.61 |
| 170079 | 00.9525 | 12.75 | 180007 | 01.4823 | 16.55 | 180103 | 02.2948 | 18.25 | 190060 | 01.4334 | 14.94 | 190185 | 01.3460 | 19.22 |
| 170080 | 00.9784 | 12.95 | 180009 | 01.4022 | 20.11 | 180104 | 01.5599 | 16.85 | 190064 | 01.5728 | 22.67 | 190186 | 00.9219 | 14.11 |
| 170081 | 00.9351 | 11.91 | 180010 | 01.9106 | 18.13 | 180105 | 00.9458 | 15.32 | 190065 | 01.4938 | 18.08 | 190190 | 00.8904 | 12.48 |
| 170082 | 00.9822 | 12.06 | 180011 | 01.3471 | 18.96 | 180106 | 00.8758 | 13.13 | 190071 | 00.9048 | 12.68 | 190191 | 01.2236 | 19.55 |
| 170084 | 00.9112 | 29.87 | 180012 | 01.4127 | 18.41 | 180108 | 00.8320 | 13.64 | 190077 | 00.9403 | 13.95 | 190196 | 00.9611 | 16.22 |
| 170085 | 00.9055 | 12.47 | 180013 | 01.4174 | 17.18 | 180115 | 01.0027 | 16.43 | 190078 | 01.1522 | 12.81 | 190197 | 01.1855 | 17.51 |
| 170086 | 01.7294 | 18.97 | 180014 | 01.7276 | 18.00 | 180116 | 01.3502 | 16.15 | 190079 | 01.3216 | 17.02 | 190199 | 01.2599 | 10.95 |
| 170088 | 00.9532 | 10.70 | 180016 | 01.3059 | 14.83 | 180117 | 01.1374 | 17.24 | 190081 | 00.9314 | 13.70 | 190200 | 01.5884 | 20.17 |
| 170089 | 00.9736 | 12.13 | 180017 | 01.3626 | 14.79 | 180118 | 01.0477 | 11.54 | 190083 | 01.1019 | 16.51 | 190201 | 01.0893 | 18.83 |
| 170090 | 00.9993 | 11.36 | 180018 | 01.3348 | 15.32 | 180120 | 01.0374 | 16.25 | 190086 | 01.3466 | 15.04 | 190202 | 01.2511 | 18.81 |
| 170092 | 00.8320 | 12.01 | 180019 | 01.2531 | 16.76 | 180121 | 01.3111 | 14.05 | 190088 | 01.3395 | 19.01 | 190203 | 01.5559 | 22.35 |
| 170093 | 00.9126 | 12.94 | 180020 | 01.1266 | 16.86 | 180122 | 01.1060 | 15.93 | 190089 | 01.0953 | 12.63 | 190204 | 01.4971 | 20.42 |
| 170094 | 00.9330 | 16.97 | 180021 | 01.0695 | 14.26 | 180123 | 01.4019 | 18.92 | 190090 | 01.1136 | 16.03 | 190205 | 01.9390 | 18.91 |
| 170095 | 01.1284 | 13.41 | 180023 | 00.9119 | 14.80 | 180124 | 01.4305 | 16.87 | 190092 | 01.4163 | 21.19 | 190206 | 01.6020 | 21.26 |
| 170097 | 00.9893 | 14.02 | 180024 | 01.4455 | 15.89 | 180125 | 01.1083 | 17.87 | 190095 | 01.0410 | 15.00 | 190207 | 01.2223 | 17.10 |
| 170098 | 01.1633 | 14.54 | 180025 | 01.1748 | 16.40 | 180126 | 01.2108 | 11.42 | 190098 | 01.4884 | 19.10 | 190208 | 00.8302 | 10.93 |
| 170099 | 01.2147 | 12.86 | 180026 | 01.2509 | 13.57 | 180127 | 01.3576 | 16.72 | 190099 | 01.2333 | 17.67 | 190218 | 01.1701 | 17.36 |
| 170100 | 01.0623 | 13.73 | 180027 | 01.3139 | 15.23 | 180128 | 01.1777 | 16.18 | 190102 | 01.5818 | 18.10 | 190227 | 00.8692 | 30.27 |
| 170101 | 00.9176 | 13.46 | 180028 | 01.0814 | 17.78 | 180129 | 01.0392 | 15.30 | 190103 | 00.8978 | 11.00 | 190231 | 01.4412 | 13.27 |
| 170102 | 01.0142 | 12.99 | 180029 | 01.3033 | 16.86 | 180130 | 01.4202 | 17.56 | 190106 | 01.1713 | 17.85 | 190235 | 01.6524 |  |
| 170103 | 01.2839 | 15.92 | 180030 | 01.1614 | 16.38 | 180132 | 01.2846 | 16.14 | 190109 | 01.2506 | 14.31 | 190236 | 01.4037 |  |
| 170104 | 01.4518 | 20.25 | 180031 | 01.1179 | 14.02 | 180133 | 01.3195 | 22.68 | 190110 | 00.9671 | 13.76 | 200001 | 01.4021 | 16.84 |
| 170105 | 01.0732 | 15.22 | 180032 | 01.0939 | 16.97 | 180134 | 01.0985 | 14.44 | 190111 | 01.5353 | 19.83 | 200002 | 01.1101 | 23.41 |
| 170106 | 00.9680 | 10.48 | 180033 | 01.1805 | 16.08 | 180136 | 01.6663 | 19.72 | 190112 | 01.6582 | 20.08 | 200003 | 01.1421 | 16.08 |
| 170109 | 00.9935 | 16.20 | 180034 | 01.1401 | 15.45 | 180138 | 01.2692 | 17.70 | 190113 | 01.3372 | 19.82 | 200006 | 01.0161 | 18.67 |
| 170110 | 01.0011 | 15.05 | 180035 | 01.6042 | 19.58 | 180139 | 01.1175 | 17.89 | 190114 | 01.0360 | 13.12 | 200007 | 01.0238 | 16.64 |
| 170112 | 01.0327 | 13.55 | 180036 | 01.2081 | 18.69 | 180140 | 01.0543 | 22.60 | 190115 | 01.2011 | 19.30 | 200008 | 01.2487 | 20.05 |
| 170113 | 01.0910 | 15.23 | 180037 | 01.3315 | 19.96 | 180141 | 01.7850 |  | 190116 | 01.1612 | 15.43 | 200009 | 01.8248 | 20.28 |
| 170114 | 01.0309 | 14.05 | 180038 | 01.4356 | 15.84 | 190001 | 00.9574 | 22.06 | 190118 | 01.0653 | 13.08 | 200012 | 01.1253 | 16.83 |
| 170115 | 00.9963 | 12.43 | 180040 | 01.9798 | 18.75 | 190002 | 01.7233 | 18.29 | 190120 | 01.0389 | 13.99 | 200013 | 01.1175 | 15.39 |
| 170116 | 01.0782 | 15.42 | 180041 | 01.1067 | 14.94 | 190003 | 01.4208 | 18.68 | 190122 | 01.3127 | 13.83 | 200015 | 01.2672 | 17.80 |
| 170117 | 00.9897 | 13.41 | 180042 | 01.1356 | 15.00 | 190004 | 01.4619 | 16.87 | 190124 | 01.6393 | 19.92 | 200016 | 01.0377 | 16.48 |
| 170119 | 00.9907 | 13.57 | 180043 | 01.1907 | 19.10 | 190005 | 01.5814 | 16.64 | 190125 | 01.5379 | 18.47 | 200018 | 01.2179 | 16.45 |
| 170120 | 01.3100 | 12.93 | 180044 | 01.2212 | 17.26 | 190006 | 01.3309 | 15.31 | 190128 | 01.1054 | 18.95 | 200019 | 01.2635 | 18.12 |
| 170122 | 01.7443 | 18.82 | 180045 | 01.3799 | 17.34 | 190007 | 01.0296 | 14.17 | 190130 | 00.9720 | 12.14 | 200020 | 01.1295 | 19.42 |
| 170123 | 01.7876 | 18.98 | 180046 | 01.1868 | 16.65 | 190008 | 01.6750 | 19.37 | 190131 | 01.2328 | 17.54 | 200021 | 01.1599 | 18.52 |
| 170124 | 00.9925 | 13.55 | 180047 | 01.0316 | 14.66 | 190009 | 01.3215 | 14.70 | 190133 | 00.9626 | 12.86 | 200023 | 00.9037 | 14.08 |
| 170126 | 00.9618 | 12.53 | 180048 | 01.2731 | 16.28 | 190010 | 01.1133 | 16.24 | 190134 | 01.0045 | 16.50 | 200024 | 01.4120 | 19.55 |
| 170128 | 00.9122 | 14.70 | 180049 | 01.3932 | 16.09 | 190011 | 01.1696 | 15.32 | 190135 | 01.4522 | 20.69 | 200025 | 01.1595 | 19.60 |
| 170131 | 01.1686 | 12.10 | 180050 | 01.2650 | 17.25 | 190013 | 01.3473 | 16.26 | 190136 | 01.2074 | 11.11 | 200026 | 01.0448 | 15.97 |
| 170133 | 01.1015 | 16.69 | 180051 | 01.3715 | 15.43 | 190014 | 01.1457 | 16.03 | 190138 | 00.8637 | 20.29 | 200027 | 01.2326 | 16.90 |
| 170134 | 00.9044 | 13.04 | 180053 | 01.1052 | 14.96 | 190015 | 01.2583 | 18.74 | 190140 | 00.9874 | 11.98 | 200028 | 00.9883 | 16.14 |
| 170137 | 01.1656 | 17.98 | 180054 | 01.1345 | 15.82 | 190017 | 01.3983 | 14.84 | 190142 | 00.9321 | 14.53 | 200031 | 01.2524 | 15.04 |
| 170139 | 01.0729 | 12.91 | 180055 | 01.2319 | 14.70 | 190018 | 01.1580 | 17.48 | 190144 | 01.2665 | 16.26 | 200032 | 01.2974 | 17.40 |
| 170142 | 01.2852 | 17.02 | 180056 | 01.1288 | 16.33 | 190019 | 01.7296 | 19.64 | 190145 | 01.0068 | 14.74 | 200033 | 01.7963 |  |
| 170143 | 01.1875 | 15.24 | 180058 | 01.0463 | 13.04 | 190020 | 01.1693 | 17.77 | 190146 | 01.6123 | 21.10 | 200034 | 01.2207 | 18.06 |
| 170144 | 01.6583 | 13.79 | 180059 | 00.8671 | 15.28 | 190025 | 01.3335 | 13.33 | 190147 | 00.9695 | 14.36 | 200037 | 01.2183 | 16.94 |
| 170145 | 01.1081 | 14.18 | 180063 | 01.1789 | 11.94 | 190026 | 01.5020 | 18.00 | 190148 | 00.9710 | 13.91 | 200038 | 01.1302 | 19.07 |
| 170146 | 01.5294 | 18.68 | 180064 | 01.3252 | 14.68 | 190027 | 01.5422 | 17.46 | 190149 | 01.0118 | 14.40 | 200039 | 01.2896 | 19.74 |
| 170147 | 01.2024 | 18.98 | 180065 | 01.0035 | 12.89 | 190029 | 01.1748 | 17.67 | 190151 | 01.2151 | 12.80 | 200040 | 01.1290 | 19.05 |
| 170148 | 01.4951 | 17.89 | 180066 | 01.1563 | 18.08 | 190033 | 00.9756 | 10.02 | 190152 | 01.4896 | 20.71 | 200041 | 01.1543 | 18.64 |
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| ovider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200043 | 00.7365 | 18.37 | 220017 | 01.3977 | 14.12 | 220153 | 01.0232 | 22.56 | 230100 | 01.1670 | 15.57 | 230213 | 00.9993 | 15.25 |
| 200050 | 01.1575 | 17.35 | 220019 | 01.1645 | 19.12 | 220154 | 00.9445 | 22.42 | 230101 | 01.1095 | 18.36 | 230216 | 01.5651 | 17.80 |
| 200051 | 01.0114 | 19.57 | 220020 | 01.2268 | 19.47 | 220162 | 01.2697 |  | 230103 | 01.0400 | 20.72 | 230217 | 01.2521 | 22.94 |
| 200052 | 01.0406 | 15.56 | 220023 | 00.6107 | 19.30 | 220163 | 02.1199 | 24.87 | 230104 | 01.5911 | 22.43 | 230219 | 00.8768 | 19.28 |
| 200055 | 01.1614 | 17.37 | 220024 | 01.2158 | 21.22 | 220171 | 01.6207 | 22.92 | 230105 | 01.7568 | 20.27 | 230221 | 00.8720 | 24.54 |
| 200062 | 00.9472 | 15.91 | 220025 | 01.1292 | 18.70 | 230001 | 01.1902 | 18.07 | 230106 | 01.3003 | 20.51 | 230222 | 01.4495 | 19.43 |
| 200063 | 01.3059 | 18.34 | 220028 | 01.4722 | 21.01 | 230002 | 01.2759 | 20.69 | 230107 | 00.9076 | 14.72 | 230223 | 01.3326 | 21.85 |
| 200066 | 01.1622 | 16.74 | 220029 | 01.1851 | 24.16 | 230003 | 01.1581 | 18.62 | 230108 | 01.2121 | 18.37 | 230227 | 01.4724 | 21.56 |
| 210001 | 01.4925 | 21.16 | 220030 | 01.1533 | 15.00 | 230004 | 01.7098 | 22.86 | 230110 | 01.3576 | 17.83 | 230230 | 01.6794 | 22.01 |
| 210002 | 01.9930 | 18.07 | 220031 | 01.9215 |  | 230005 | 01.2844 | 18.86 | 230113 | 00.9199 | 20.15 | 230232 | 00.9510 | 17.15 |
| 210003 | 01.6014 | 21.93 | 220033 | 01.2840 | 20.97 | 230006 | 01.1008 | 18.53 | 230115 | 01.0388 | 17.19 | 230235 | 01.0957 | 16.27 |
| 210004 | 01.3657 | 23.18 | 220035 | 01.2837 | 24.51 | 230007 | 00.9571 | 18.95 | 230116 | 00.9248 | 16.31 | 230236 | 01.3249 | 21.58 |
| 210005 | 01.2762 | 19.38 | 220036 | 01.5965 | 21.66 | 230012 | 00.8563 | 12.18 | 230117 | 01.8993 | 26.08 | 230239 | 01.1389 | 13.72 |
| 210006 | 01.1400 | 17.16 | 220038 | 01.2959 | 26.32 | 230013 | 01.4022 | 21.05 | 230118 | 01.2189 | 17.43 | 230241 | 01.1643 | 17.52 |
| 210007 | 01.7371 | 25.17 | 220041 | 01.2273 | 23.41 | 230015 | 01.2010 | 20.91 | 230119 | 01.2966 | 21.44 | 230244 | 01.3959 | 21.17 |
| 210008 | 01.3938 | 19.26 | 220042 | 01.2464 | 24.13 | 230017 | 01.5028 | 28.89 | 230120 | 01.1514 | 18.40 | 230253 | 00.9911 | 18.85 |
| 210009 | 01.8131 | 21.72 | 220046 | 01.3702 | 23.14 | 230019 | 01.4696 | 22.20 | 230121 | 01.2299 | 20.61 | 230254 | 01.2624 | 21.20 |
| 210010 | 01.1495 | 15.64 | 220049 | 01.3541 | 18.47 | 230020 | 01.7404 | 21.30 | 230122 | 01.3428 | 19.37 | 230257 | 00.7824 | 18.51 |
| 210011 | 01.3419 | 19.67 | 220050 | 01.1242 | 19.98 | 230021 | 01.5653 | 18.27 | 230124 | 01.1625 | 18.52 | 230259 | 01.1882 | 21.59 |
| 210012 | 01.6374 | 22.07 | 220051 | 01.2183 | 21.10 | 230022 | 01.2543 | 18.76 | 230128 | 01.3957 | 22.70 | 230264 | 01.6939 | 14.86 |
| 210013 | 01.3219 | 19.82 | 220052 | 01.3247 | 24.59 | 230024 | 01.4460 | 22.98 | 230130 | 01.6687 | 22.34 | 230269 | 01.3782 | 22.69 |
| 210015 | 01.2992 | 19.60 | 220053 | 01.2325 | 20.02 | 230027 | 01.1127 | 17.48 | 230132 | 01.3690 | 24.82 | 230270 | 01.1731 | 20.20 |
| 210016 | 01.8243 | 22.33 | 220055 | 01.2994 | 13.69 | 230029 | 01.5562 | 19.51 | 230133 | 01.2687 | 17.99 | 230273 | 01.4465 | 22.29 |
| 210017 | 01.2218 | 15.90 | 220057 | 01.4056 | 22.67 | 230030 | 01.3295 | 16.78 | 230135 | 01.3180 | 23.03 | 230275 | 00.5262 | 19.58 |
| 210018 | 01.3056 | 21.29 | 220058 | 01.1529 | 18.51 | 230031 | 01.4311 | 19.42 | 230137 | 01.1560 | 18.31 | 230276 | 00.6644 | 21.40 |
| 210019 | 01.5805 | 18.39 | 220060 | 01.2952 | 25.42 | 230032 | 01.7502 | 19.80 | 230141 | 01.6323 | 22.96 | 230277 | 01.2430 | 23.05 |
| 210022 | 01.5039 | 21.14 | 220062 | 00.5762 | 19.65 | 230034 | 01.2739 | 18.80 | 230142 | 01.3057 | 19.01 | 230278 | 01.4214 | 17.82 |
| 210023 | 01.3373 | 21.51 | 220063 | 01.2663 | 19.84 | 230035 | 01.0906 | 20.47 | 230143 | 01.3112 | 18.35 | 230279 | 00.6584 | 15.95 |
| 210024 | 01.5453 | 20.11 | 220064 | 01.2830 | 21.51 | 230036 | 01.2229 | 20.75 | 230144 | 01.1462 | 20.61 | 230280 | 00.9997 | 12.33 |
| 210025 | 01.3740 | 18.95 | 220065 | 01.2956 | 19.95 | 230037 | 01.1368 | 17.66 | 230145 | 01.1934 | 18.05 | 240001 | 01.5448 | 22.78 |
| 210026 | 01.3830 | 17.97 | 220066 | 01.3789 | 21.73 | 230038 | 01.6671 | 21.58 | 230146 | 01.2748 | 19.36 | 240002 | 01.7516 | 20.94 |
| 210027 | 01.2945 | 17.66 | 220067 | 01.3230 | 22.81 | 230040 | 01.1819 | 20.58 | 230147 | 01.3954 | 17.47 | 240004 | 01.5826 | 21.10 |
| 210028 | 01.2229 | 18.31 | 220070 | 01.2219 | 19.89 | 230041 | 01.2518 | 19.27 | 230149 | 01.1505 | 16.14 | 240005 | 00.9321 | 17.38 |
| 210029 | 01.2710 | 14.51 | 220071 | 01.9036 | 24.06 | 230042 | 01.2328 | 20.08 | 230151 | 01.4024 | 21.20 | 240006 | 01.1358 | 20.97 |
| 210030 | 01.1576 | 19.24 | 220073 | 01.3068 | 25.94 | 230046 | 01.9346 | 23.28 | 230153 | 01.1458 | 16.66 | 240007 | 01.0656 | 15.50 |
| 210031 | 01.2844 | 16.76 | 220074 | 01.4397 | 28.44 | 230047 | 01.3796 | 19.17 | 230154 | 00.9500 | 14.32 | 240008 | 01.1157 | 19.71 |
| 210032 | 01.1792 | 18.71 | 220075 | 01.4818 | 20.18 | 23005 | 01.6002 | 24.58 | 230155 | 01.0478 | 17.35 | 240009 | 00.9226 | 14.31 |
| 210033 | 01.2737 | 18.96 | 220076 | 01.1822 |  | 230054 | 01.8075 | 19.80 | 230156 | 01.7144 | 23.80 | 240010 | 01.9880 | 24.41 |
| 210034 | 01.3510 | 20.17 | 220077 | 01.7973 |  | 230055 | 01.1704 | 19.01 | 230157 | 01.2003 | 22.20 | 240011 | 01.1532 | 17.81 |
| 210035 | 01.2976 | 19.08 | 220079 | 01.1889 | 21.38 | 230056 | 00.9664 | 15.57 | 230159 | 01.3458 | 17.84 | 240013 | 01.3350 | 18.17 |
| 210037 | 01.2736 | 18.27 | 220080 | 01.3076 | 19.50 | 230058 | 01.0994 | 18.45 | 230162 | 01.0605 | 19.93 | 240014 | 01.0774 | 20.29 |
| 210038 | 01.4108 | 21.78 | 220081 | 01.0949 | 26.78 | 230059 | 01.5035 | 19.06 | 230165 | 01.8769 | 22.77 | 240016 | 01.3927 | 18.22 |
| 210039 | 01.1817 | 19.69 | 20082 | 01.2893 | 19.76 | 230060 | 01.2247 | 18.53 | 230167 | 01.7979 | 19.39 | 240017 | 01.0659 | 17.25 |
| 210040 | 01.2977 | 23.05 | 220083 | 01.1675 | 21.76 | 230062 | 00.9643 | 15.71 | 230169 | 01.3453 | 23.25 | 240018 | 01.2884 | 17.23 |
| 210043 | 01.3140 | 21.29 | 220084 | 01.3389 | 26.31 | 230063 | 01.3202 | 19.89 | 230171 | 01.0161 | 14.41 | 240019 | 01.2645 | 21.39 |
| 210044 | 01.3429 | 21.63 | 220086 | 01.7743 |  | 230065 | 01.3020 | 20.37 | 230172 | 01.1855 | 19.10 | 240020 | 01.1651 | 20.04 |
| 210045 | 01.0234 | 11.01 | 220088 | 01.6385 | 68 | 230066 | 01.3702 | 21.26 | 230174 | 01.3641 | 20.84 | 240021 | 01.0408 | 16.96 |
| 210048 | 01.2485 | 22.46 | 220089 | 01.2541 | 21.52 | 230069 | 01.1366 | 22.24 | 230175 | 03.7062 |  | 240022 | 01.1137 | 19.13 |
| 210049 | 01.1655 | 17.20 | 220090 | 01.2774 | 21.06 | 230070 | 01.6318 | 20.99 | 230176 | 01.2172 | 22.12 | 240023 | 00.9935 | 19.88 |
| 210051 | 01.4205 | 22.78 | 220092 | 01.2563 | 29.72 | 230071 | 01.1883 | 22.62 | 230178 | 01.0025 | 17.48 | 240025 | 01.1418 | 16.29 |
| 210054 | 01.3626 | 21.94 | 220094 | 01.4476 | 18.10 | 230072 | 01.2717 | 19.89 | 230180 | 01.1699 | 14.55 | 240027 | 01.0297 | 16.33 |
| 210055 | 01.2721 | 22.10 | 220095 | 01.2243 | 18.87 | 230075 | 01.4810 | 20.07 | 230184 | 01.1598 | 18.23 | 240028 | 01.1529 | 18.52 |
| 210056 | 01.3993 | 17.67 | 220098 | 01.3462 | 17.39 | 230076 | 01.3291 | 22.97 | 230186 | 01.2450 | 15.20 | 240029 | 01.1603 | 18.10 |
| 210057 | 01.4721 | 24.67 | 220100 | 01.2697 | 25.09 | 230077 | 01.9370 | 19.36 | 230188 | 01.1176 | 15.81 | 240030 | 01.2834 | 17.99 |
| 210058 | 01.4828 | 18.67 | 220101 | 01.4781 | 24.24 | 230078 | 01.2553 | 16.56 | 230189 | 00.9585 | 15.39 | 240031 | 00.9756 | 16.71 |
| 210059 | 01.2611 | 21.98 | 220104 | 01.4373 | 23.69 | 230080 | 01.2411 | 19.94 | 230190 | 01.0724 | 24.98 | 240036 | 01.5650 | 20.26 |
| 210060 | 01.2540 |  | 220105 | 01.3499 | 20.60 | 230081 | 01.2578 | 16.66 | 230191 | 00.9623 | 17.58 | 240037 | 01.0233 | 18.19 |
| 210061 | 01.1774 | 18.56 | 220106 | 01.2300 | 23.09 | 230082 | 01.1162 | 17.08 | 230193 | 01.2584 | 17.77 | 240038 | 01.4973 | 24.56 |
| 220001 | 01.2775 | 27.10 | 220108 | 01.1989 | 22.28 | 230085 | 01.0922 | 18.91 | 230195 | 01.3347 | 21.46 | 240040 | 01.2454 | 20.15 |
| 220002 | 01.5400 | 18.62 | 220110 | 02.0189 | 29.18 | 230086 | 00.9486 | 17.36 | 230197 | 01.4218 | 21.17 | 240041 | 01.1644 | 17.48 |
| 220003 | 01.1363 | 17.49 | 220111 | 01.2643 | 21.79 | 230087 | 01.0889 | 16.19 | 230199 | 01.1115 | 19.29 | 240043 | 01.1966 | 17.00 |
| 220006 | 01.4328 | 20.39 | 220116 | 01.9394 |  | 230089 | 01.2754 | 23.86 | 230201 | 01.1456 | 15.09 | 240044 | 01.1842 | 18.04 |
| 220008 | 01.2873 | 21.58 | 220119 | 01.3311 | 23.69 | 230092 | 01.3562 | 19.28 | 230204 | 01.4307 | 21.66 | 240045 | 01.0477 | 21.34 |
| 220010 | 01.3417 | 21.70 | 220123 | 01.0577 | 23.94 | 230093 | 01.2768 | 19.05 | 230205 | 01.0377 | 16.37 | 240047 | 01.5436 | 21.26 |
| 220011 | 01.1581 | 28.81 | 220126 | 01.3572 | 19.87 | 230095 | 01.1791 | 17.06 | 230207 | 01.2683 | 19.90 | 240048 | 01.2443 | 22.64 |
| 220012 | 01.3404 | 35.18 | 220128 | 00.8929 | 21.18 | 230096 | 01.0974 | 24.02 | 230208 | 01.3205 | 17.76 | 240049 | 01.7730 | 22.43 |
| 220015 | 01.1918 | 22.77 | 220133 | 00.9081 | 27.36 | 230097 | 01.6121 | 19.12 | 230211 | 00.9047 | 21.59 | 240050 | 01.1639 | 24.71 |
| 220016 | 01.3686 | 21.58 | 220135 | 01.3076 | 26.10 | 230099 | 01.1463 | 19.68 | 230212 | 01.0827 | 23.46 | 240051 | 01.0123 | 18.49 |
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| Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240052 | 01.3097 | 18.64 | 240139 | 00.9667 | 16.59 | 250042 | 01.2795 | 15.45 | 260003 | 01.1304 | 13.48 | 260105 | 01.8950 | 20.26 |
| 240053 | 01.5210 | 20.25 | 240141 | 01.1702 | 21.09 | 250043 | 00.9854 | 12.25 | 260004 | 01.0516 | 13.31 | 260107 | 01.4575 | 19.81 |
| 240056 | 01.2479 | 21.74 | 240142 | 01.1458 | 19.27 | 250044 | 01.0267 | 15.41 | 260005 | 01.6188 | 20.26 | 260108 | 01.8607 | 21.29 |
| 240057 | 01.8120 | 22.68 | 240143 | 00.9530 | 13.94 | 250045 | 01.2004 | 18.75 | 260006 | 01.5009 | 20.55 | 260109 | 00.9884 | 12.92 |
| 240058 | 00.9732 | 14.79 | 240144 | 01.0302 | 16.74 | 250047 | 00.9728 | 15.45 | 260008 | 01.3629 | 16.53 | 260110 | 01.6869 | 15.15 |
| 240059 | 01.0983 | 21.81 | 240145 | 01.0332 | 15.57 | 250048 | 01.5487 | 15.26 | 260009 | 01.2581 | 16.29 | 260113 | 01.1477 | 14.76 |
| 240061 | 01.8085 | 24.36 | 240146 | 00.9306 | 19.10 | 250049 | 00.8905 | 11.34 | 260011 | 01.6980 | 18.75 | 260115 | 01.2593 | 17.02 |
| 240063 | 01.4355 | 22.81 | 240148 | 01.0485 | 14.55 | 250050 | 01.2741 | 13.43 | 260012 | 01.1050 | 12.84 | 260116 | 01.0817 | 15.06 |
| 240064 | 01.2914 | 21.93 | 240150 | 00.9199 | 12.84 | 250051 | 00.8862 | 10.57 | 260013 | 01.1935 | 15.32 | 260119 | 01.2307 | 15.30 |
| 240065 | 01.0337 | 12.44 | 240152 | 01.0164 | 19.91 | 250057 | 01.2316 | 15.59 | 260015 | 01.2710 | 16.27 | 260120 | 01.1985 | 16.64 |
| 240066 | 01.3815 | 21.19 | 240153 | 01.0056 | 15.23 | 250058 | 01.1873 | 14.40 | 260017 | 01.2333 | 15.54 | 260122 | 01.1738 | 12.73 |
| 240069 | 01.1890 | 19.07 | 240154 | 01.0449 | 17.00 | 250059 | 01.0410 | 14.21 | 260018 | 00.9010 | 10.09 | 260123 | 01.0789 | 14.05 |
| 240071 | 01.1104 | 19.55 | 240155 | 00.8945 | 19.40 | 250060 | 00.7799 | 08.90 | 260019 | 01.0877 | 14.52 | 260127 | 01.0109 | 15.92 |
| 240072 | 01.0197 | 16.80 | 240157 | 01.0929 | 14.13 | 250061 | 00.8857 | 17.69 | 260020 | 01.7249 | 20.07 | 260128 | 01.0125 | 10.96 |
| 240073 | 00.9372 | 16.40 | 240160 | 01.0026 | 16.30 | 250063 | 00.8515 | 12.44 | 260021 | 01.4657 | 17.59 | 260129 | 01.2317 | 15.69 |
| 240075 | 01.1813 | 19.91 | 240161 | 00.9970 | 14.99 | 250065 | 00.9231 | 12.61 | 260022 | 01.2879 | 19.05 | 260131 | 01.2494 | 18.04 |
| 240076 | 01.0703 | 21.04 | 240162 | 01.0628 | 16.59 | 250066 | 00.9111 | 13.53 | 260023 | 01.4980 | 34.66 | 260134 | 01.1693 | 15.67 |
| 240077 | 00.9446 | 14.31 | 240163 | 00.9935 | 17.79 | 250067 | 01.1344 | 14.67 | 260024 | 00.9639 | 12.96 | 260137 | 01.7177 | 15.26 |
| 240078 | 01.4829 | 23.66 | 240166 | 01.1120 | 15.60 | 250068 | 00.8476 | 11.36 | 260025 | 01.3101 | 14.68 | 260138 | 01.8700 | 21.26 |
| 240079 | 01.0280 | 15.37 | 240169 | 00.9128 | 15.98 | 250069 | 01.3525 | 17.35 | 260027 | 01.6202 | 21.58 | 260141 | 01.9087 | 19.54 |
| 240080 | 01.5649 | 22.34 | 240170 | 01.1056 | 17.38 | 250071 | 00.9308 | 11.63 | 260029 | 01.2388 | 19.02 | 260142 | 01.1144 | 15.65 |
| 240082 | 01.1936 | 17.03 | 240171 | 01.0726 | 15.79 | 250072 | 01.4199 | 18.43 | 260030 | 01.1850 | 10.36 | 260143 | 00.9985 | 12.75 |
| 240083 | 01.3140 | 17.90 | 240172 | 00.9529 | 15.82 | 250077 | 00.9293 | 11.97 | 260031 | 01.6090 | 18.38 | 260147 | 00.9753 | 13.55 |
| 240084 | 01.2434 | 20.04 | 240173 | 00.8928 | 16.66 | 250078 | 01.4771 | 14.93 | 260032 | 01.6629 | 18.43 | 260148 | 00.9263 | 10.32 |
| 240085 | 00.9719 | 17.41 | 240179 | 01.0132 | 16.66 | 250079 | 00.8824 | 17.44 | 260034 | 01.0573 | 15.99 | 260158 | 01.0224 | 12.65 |
| 240086 | 01.0849 | 17.64 | 240184 | 00.9886 | 13.04 | 250081 | 01.3211 | 16.03 | 260035 | 01.0046 | 11.74 | 260159 | 00.9863 | 19.26 |
| 240087 | 01.2026 | 14.87 | 240187 | 01.1930 | 18.48 | 250082 | 01.4033 | 13.51 | 260036 | 01.0154 | 15.34 | 260160 | 01.0544 | 15.82 |
| 240088 | 01.3869 | 19.81 | 240193 | 01.0223 | 17.61 | 250083 | 00.9515 | 12.27 | 260039 | 01.1258 | 13.86 | 260162 | 01.5557 | 20.64 |
| 240089 | 00.9840 | 17.72 | 240196 | 00.6319 | 22.78 | 250084 | 01.1844 | 17.73 | 260040 | 01.6625 | 15.28 | 260163 | 01.2241 | 14.59 |
| 240090 | 01.0465 | 14.69 | 240200 | 00.8680 | 14.48 | 250085 | 00.9749 | 12.58 | 260042 | 01.2599 | 17.82 | 260164 | 00.9519 | 13.24 |
| 240093 | 01.3293 | 17.64 | 240205 | 00.9138 |  | 250088 | 01.0022 | 16.53 | 260044 | 01.0487 | 15.91 | 260166 | 01.2346 | 19.78 |
| 240094 | 00.9622 | 20.49 | 240206 | 00.8411 |  | 250089 | 01.2121 | 13.89 | 260047 | 01.4767 | 17.20 | 260172 | 00.9986 | 12.55 |
| 240096 | 00.9800 | 17.63 | 240207 | 01.2109 | 21.80 | 250093 | 01.1337 | 14.36 | 260048 | 01.2953 | 20.70 | 260173 | 01.0314 | 12.21 |
| 240097 | 01.0196 | 21.79 | 240210 | 01.2788 | 22.90 | 250094 | 01.3184 | 15.45 | 260050 | 01.0431 | 16.40 | 260175 | 01.1175 | 16.34 |
| 240098 | 00.9533 | 20.33 | 240211 | 00.9038 | 14.75 | 250095 | 01.0053 | 15.92 | 260052 | 01.3352 | 19.75 | 260176 | 01.6500 | 17.62 |
| 240099 | 01.0631 | 13.30 | 250001 | 01.5514 | 17.39 | 250096 | 01.1988 | 17.01 | 260053 | 01.1737 | 11.73 | 260177 | 01.2846 | 20.19 |
| 240100 | 01.2892 | 18.97 | 250002 | 00.9820 | 17.13 | 250097 | 01.3216 | 15.83 | 260054 | 01.3147 | 16.07 | 260178 | 01.4976 | 20.94 |
| 240101 | 01.1825 | 20.41 | 250003 | 01.0084 | 18.40 | 250098 | 00.8380 | 16.66 | 260055 | 00.9908 | 10.97 | 260179 | 01.6431 | 20.52 |
| 240102 | 00.9603 | 12.87 | 250004 | 01.4873 | 17.91 | 250099 | 01.2609 | 14.01 | 260057 | 01.1503 | 16.96 | 260180 | 01.7064 | 18.96 |
| 240103 | 01.0505 | 16.28 | 250005 | 00.9412 | 09.95 | 250100 | 01.2905 | 15.26 | 260059 | 01.2691 | 14.66 | 260183 | 01.5177 | 16.58 |
| 240104 | 01.2301 | 21.81 | 250006 | 00.9862 | 14.60 | 250101 | 00.8850 | 16.65 | 260061 | 01.1020 | 14.06 | 260186 | 01.4347 | 17.27 |
| 240105 | 00.9597 | 13.46 | 250007 | 01.2808 | 19.42 | 250102 | 01.6048 | 17.06 | 260062 | 01.2033 | 18.91 | 260188 | 01.2198 | 18.37 |
| 240106 | 01.4052 | 26.55 | 250008 | 00.9814 | 13.33 | 250104 | 01.4486 | 17.62 | 260063 | 01.0697 | 15.44 | 260189 | 00.8526 | 10.87 |
| 240107 | 00.9916 | 17.31 | 250009 | 01.2300 | 17.50 | 250105 | 00.9434 | 13.40 | 260064 | 01.3240 | 16.92 | 260190 | 01.2045 | 18.00 |
| 240108 | 01.0081 | 17.24 | 250010 | 01.0398 | 12.77 | 250107 | 00.8815 | 14.53 | 260065 | 01.8217 | 18.25 | 260191 | 01.2516 | 18.58 |
| 240109 | 00.9484 | 12.99 | 250012 | 00.9311 | 19.88 | 250109 | 00.8949 | 15.37 | 260066 | 01.0266 | 15.01 | 260193 | 01.2915 | 26.66 |
| 240110 | 00.9668 | 16.33 | 250015 | 01.0847 | 10.44 | 250112 | 00.9717 | 13.07 | 260067 | 00.8671 | 13.74 | 260195 | 01.2198 | 16.53 |
| 240111 | 01.0666 | 19.00 | 250017 | 00.9989 | 16.64 | 250117 | 01.0769 | 14.70 | 260068 | 01.6718 | 20.21 | 260197 | 01.1405 | 25.99 |
| 240112 | 00.9994 | 14.73 | 250018 | 00.9513 | 13.02 | 250119 | 01.1164 | 12.45 | 260070 | 01.0429 | 14.48 | 260198 | 01.3077 | 16.46 |
| 240114 | 00.9257 | 14.74 | 250019 | 01.4335 | 17.00 | 250120 | 01.1106 | 13.09 | 260073 | 01.1387 | 12.89 | 260200 | 01.2666 | 19.43 |
| 240115 | 01.6191 | 21.63 | 250020 | 00.9455 | 13.52 | 250122 | 01.2481 | 16.91 | 260074 | 01.3021 | 13.93 | 260205 | 01.3757 |  |
| 240116 | 00.9343 | 13.96 | 250021 | 00.8815 | 08.57 | 250123 | 01.2786 | 18.73 | 260077 | 01.7307 | 17.13 | 270002 | 01.3026 | 14.15 |
| 240117 | 01.1588 | 18.18 | 250023 | 00.9552 | 12.77 | 250124 | 00.9126 | 11.59 | 260078 | 01.1782 | 14.62 | 270003 | 01.2653 | 21.02 |
| 240119 | 00.8258 | 20.58 | 250024 | 00.9084 | 13.60 | 250125 | 01.3155 | 16.38 | 260079 | 01.0765 | 14.32 | 270004 | 01.6961 | 18.01 |
| 240121 | 00.9397 | 21.27 | 250025 | 01.2071 | 18.06 | 250126 | 00.9754 | 14.17 | 260080 | 01.0516 | 11.77 | 270006 | 00.9221 | 16.35 |
| 240122 | 01.0517 | 18.93 | 250027 | 00.9570 | 11.90 | 250127 | 00.8201 |  | 260081 | 01.6079 | 18.83 | 270007 | 00.8770 | 12.23 |
| 240123 | 01.0109 | 15.03 | 250029 | 00.8773 | 12.96 | 250128 | 01.0941 | 12.06 | 260082 | 01.1768 | 13.93 | 270009 | 01.1201 | 19.32 |
| 240124 | 00.9676 | 18.39 | 250030 | 00.9739 | 14.45 | 250131 | 01.0232 | 11.03 | 260085 | 01.5720 | 19.71 | 270011 | 01.0312 | 18.28 |
| 240125 | 00.9278 | 11.73 | 250031 | 01.3079 | 18.54 | 250134 | 00.9919 | 16.70 | 260086 | 01.0978 | 15.09 | 270012 | 01.5921 | 18.33 |
| 240127 | 01.1171 | 14.25 | 250032 | 01.2608 | 16.21 | 250136 | 00.8821 | 17.66 | 260091 | 01.7219 | 19.76 | 270014 | 01.8294 | 17.81 |
| 240128 | 01.1221 | 15.77 | 250033 | 01.0514 | 15.66 | 250138 | 01.2904 | 17.90 | 260094 | 01.1985 | 16.48 | 270016 | 00.8992 | 15.97 |
| 240129. | 01.0143 | 17.56 | 250034 | 01.6577 | 14.46 | 250141 | 01.2616 | 15.71 | 260095 | 01.4477 | 16.89 | 270017 | 01.2378 | 19.09 |
| 240130 | 00.9625 | 15.66 | 250035 | 00.8681 | 13.84 | 250145 | 00.8232 | 10.04 | 260096 | 01.5927 | 22.03 | 270019 | 01.0001 | 15.86 |
| 240132 | 01.2209 | 22.40 | 250036 | 00.9700 | 14.48 | 250146 | 00.9630 | 13.97 | 260097 | 01.2007 | 14.79 | 270021 | 01.1771 | 16.67 |
| 240133 | 01.1986 | 17.72 | 250037 | 00.9132 | 10.05 | 250148 | 01.0955 | 19.08 | 260100 | 01.0435 | 15.72 | 270023 | 01.3055 | 21.22 |
| 240135 | 00.8725 | 14.11 | 250038 | 00.9700 | 14.37 | 250149 | 00.8930 | 12.04 | 260102 | 01.0442 | 18.57 | 270026 | 00.8850 | 14.97 |
| 240137 | 01.2258 | 18.97 | 250039 | 00.9941 | 13.36 | 260001 | 01.7040 | 18.05 | 260103 | 01.2885 | 17.51 | 270027 | 01.1158 | 12.40 |
| 240138 | 00.9522 | 12.97 | 250040 | 01.3026 | 16.20 | 260002 | 01.4644 | 21.10 | 260104 | 01.7564 | 18.42 | 270028 | 01.1217 | 15.50 |
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| Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 270029 | 00.9579 | 18.18 | 280051 | 01.0812 | 15.15 | 290021 | 01.6244 | 21.94 | 310041 | 01.4067 | 23.71 | 320023 | 01.0840 | 16.73 |
| 270032 | 01.1262 | 16.20 | 280052 | 01.0846 | 13.32 | 290022 | 01.7010 | 17.94 | 310042 | 01.2416 | 23.53 | 320030 | 01.1495 | 16.84 |
| 270033 | 00.8614 | 15.58 | 280054 | 01.2607 | 17.98 | 290027 | 00.9528 | 17.23 | 310043 | 01.1431 | 20.86 | 320031 | 00.8258 | 17.05 |
| 270035 | 01.0099 | 18.28 | 280055 | 00.9182 | 14.40 | 290029 | 00.9833 |  | 310044 | 01.2847 | 20.70 | 320032 | 00.9003 | 17.10 |
| 270036 | 00.8802 | 12.78 | 280056 | 00.9752 | 14.45 | 290032 | 01.4115 | 22.30 | 310045 | 01.4639 | 27.19 | 320033 | 01.1552 | 22.76 |
| 270039 | 01.0024 | 15.36 | 280057 | 00.9835 | 15.40 | 290036 | 00.9391 | 51.78 | 310047 | 01.3682 | 24.34 | 320035 | 01.0299 | 22.89 |
| 270040 | 01.1080 | 18.24 | 280058 | 01.3029 | 18.34 | 290038 | 00.9923 | 19.95 | 310048 | 01.2820 | 22.81 | 320037 | 01.2216 | 23.31 |
| 270041 | 01.1062 | 15.74 | 280060 | 01.5871 | 18.65 | 290039 | 01.3219 |  | 310049 | 01.2927 | 25.66 | 320038 | 01.2326 | 16.83 |
| 270044 | 01.1453 | 13.98 | 280061 | 01.4293 | 17.06 | 300001 | 01.3935 | 21.15 | 310050 | 01.2323 | 23.05 | 320046 | 01.2948 | 20.88 |
| 270046 | 00.9619 | 14.85 | 280062 | 01.0987 | 13.35 | 300003 | 01.9474 | 23.98 | 310051 | 01.3560 | 24.27 | 320048 | 01.2823 | 14.43 |
| 270048 | 01.1003 | 16.41 | 280064 | 01.0290 | 15.52 | 300005 | 01.2963 | 20.28 | 310052 | 01.2951 | 22.60 | 320057 | 00.9566 |  |
| 270049 | 01.7959 | 20.21 | 280065 | 01.2779 | 18.54 | 300006 | 01.1897 | 19.05 | 310054 | 01.3459 | 24.60 | 320058 | 00.7512 |  |
| 270050 | 01.0985 | 17.98 | 280066 | 01.0654 | 12.50 | 300007 | 01.1006 | 18.33 | 310057 | 01.3357 | 21.17 | 320059 | 01.0062 |  |
| 270051 | 01.3389 | 21.08 | 280068 | 00.9650 | 09.45 | 300008 | 01.2856 | 19.44 | 310058 | 01.1060 | 24.61 | 320060 | 00.8691 |  |
| 270052 | 01.0417 | 17.86 | 280070 | 01.0106 | 11.19 | 300009 | 01.1291 | 19.41 | 310060 | 01.2001 | 18.63 | 320061 | 01.1829 |  |
| 270057 | 01.2418 | 18.93 | 280073 | 01.0056 | 13.68 | 300010 | 01.1911 | 19.48 | 310061 | 01.2520 | 21.39 | 320062 | 00.8839 |  |
| 270058 | 00.9052 | 13.38 | 280074 | 01.1152 | 14.02 | 300011 | 01.3744 | 22.78 | 310062 | 01.3076 | 20.98 | 320063 | 01.3049 | 16.68 |
| 270059 | 00.7748 | 15.90 | 280075 | 01.1776 | 13.70 | 300012 | 01.3351 | 21.77 | 310063 | 01.3696 | 21.02 | 320065 | 01.2881 | 16.05 |
| 270060 | 00.9593 | 15.08 | 280076 | 01.0520 | 13.95 | 300013 | 01.1894 | 17.57 | 310064 | 01.3195 | 24.32 | 320067 | 00.8533 | 15.74 |
| 270063 | 00.9957 | 14.82 | 280077 | 01.3183 | 17.95 | 300014 | 01.2855 | 19.49 | 310067 | 01.3185 | 22.76 | 320068 | 00.9287 | 16.40 |
| 270072 | 00.8066 | 13.85 | 280079 | 01.0646 | 10.61 | 300015 | 01.2367 | 18.54 | 310069 | 01.2924 | 22.42 | 320069 | 00.9720 | 10.83 |
| 270073 | 01.1764 | 11.83 | 280080 | 01.1041 | 13.61 | 300016 | 01.2347 | 18.83 | 310070 | 01.4173 | 23.33 | 320070 | 00.9663 |  |
| 270074 | 00.8989 |  | 280081 | 01.7829 | 18.66 | 300017 | 01.3038 | 21.18 | 310072 | 01.3090 | 21.25 | 320074 | 01.0956 | 18.00 |
| 270075 | 00.9172 |  | 280082 | 01.0111 | 13.50 | 300018 | 01.3126 | 20.22 | 310073 | 01.6320 | 25.21 | 320079 | 01.1739 | 17.24 |
| 270076 | 00.7682 |  | 280083 | 01.0442 | 14.26 | 300019 | 01.2127 | 19.97 | 310074 | 01.4198 | 22.66 | 330001 | 01.1965 | 25.94 |
| 270079 | 00.8978 | 13.71 | 280084 | 01.0067 | 11.42 | 300020 | 01.3060 | 20.45 | 310075 | 01.4342 | 24.11 | 330002 | 01.4751 | 25.86 |
| 270080 | 01.1930 | 16.88 | 280088 | 01.7594 |  | 300021 | 01.0885 | 17.07 | 310076 | 01.4454 | 29.78 | 330003 | 01.3224 | 15.68 |
| 270081 | 01.0272 | 12.52 | 280089 | 01.0559 | 17.29 | 300022 | 01.0547 | 17.35 | 310077 | 01.6821 | 25.08 | 330004 | 01.2944 | 19.87 |
| 270082 | 01.0743 | 16.17 | 280090 | 00.9608 | 14.34 | 300023 | 01.3847 | 20.45 | 310078 | 01.3970 | 23.81 | 330005 | 01.8198 | 23.51 |
| 270083 | 01.0915 | 15.30 | 280091 | 01.1064 | 14.54 | 300024 | 01.2611 | 19.20 | 310081 | 01.3268 | 21.63 | 330006 | 01.2708 | 26.60 |
| 270084 | 00.8820 | 14.83 | 280092 | 00.9797 | 13.94 | 300028 | 01.2139 | 17.28 | 310083 | 01.3087 | 22.57 | 330007 | 01.3120 | 18.50 |
| 280001 | 01.1071 | 14.99 | 280094 | 01.1321 | 15.40 | 300029 | 01.3666 | 22.33 | 310084 | 01.3916 | 21.85 | 330008 | 01.1599 | 16.96 |
| 280003 | 02.1164 | 18.85 | 280097 | 00.9649 | 11.94 | 300033 | 01.1353 | 16.28 | 310086 | 01.2187 | 21.24 | 330009 | 01.2889 | 30.94 |
| 280005 | 01.4013 | 17.73 | 280098 | 00.9699 | 10.71 | 300034 | 02.0334 | 22.41 | 310087 | 01.3224 | 20.28 | 330010 | 01.3763 | 12.50 |
| 280009 | 01.7524 | 18.19 | 280101 | 01.1002 | 13.51 | 310001 | 01.8034 | 25.91 | 310088 | 01.2207 | 20.56 | 330011 | 01.3000 | 19.95 |
| 280011 | 00.8691 | 12.42 | 280102 | 00.9272 | 12.45 | 310002 | 01.8222 | 25.58 | 310090 | 01.3629 | 24.24 | 330012 | 01.6985 | 29.74 |
| 280013 | 01.9321 | 21.09 | 280104 | 00.9947 | 13.11 | 310003 | 01.2776 | 23.65 | 310091 | 01.2907 | 20.77 | 330013 | 02.0896 | 17.73 |
| 280014 | 00.9234 | 13.35 | 280105 | 01.2732 | 18.10 | 310005 | 01.2322 | 21.08 | 310092 | 01.3142 | 21.20 | 330014 | 01.3552 | 29.38 |
| 280015 | 01.0353 | 15.29 | 280106 | 00.9818 | 14.48 | 310006 | 01.2754 | 22.66 | 310093 | 01.1662 | 20.42 | 330016 | 01.0658 | 16.94 |
| 280017 | 01.1197 | 14.01 | 280107 | 01.0910 | 11.45 | 310008 | 01.3528 | 23.42 | 310096 | 01.8816 | 23.74 | 330019 | 01.3051 | 27.77 |
| 280018 | 01.0384 | 13.73 | 280108 | 01.1303 | 15.09 | 310009 | 01.3133 | 23.49 | 310105 | 01.3010 | 24.12 | 330020 | 01.0469 | 14.30 |
| 280020 | 01.6464 | 19.60 | 280109 | 00.9214 | 10.58 | 310010 | 01.2849 | 20.79 | 310108 | 01.4365 | 24.39 | 330023 | 01.2634 | 23.47 |
| 280021 | 01.2618 | 16.90 | 280110 | 01.0019 | 11.44 | 310011 | 01.2108 | 21.51 | 310110 | 01.2714 | 20.54 | 330024 | 01.8333 | 31.66 |
| 280022 | 01.0382 | 14.17 | 280111 | 01.2495 | 18.27 | 310012 | 01.6569 | 26.14 | 310111 | 01.3831 | 23.33 | 330025 | 01.1052 | 13.57 |
| 280023 | 01.3988 | 16.83 | 280114 | 00.9200 | 13.00 | 310013 | 01.4193 | 21.54 | 310112 | 01.3408 | 21.93 | 330027 | 01.3596 | 31.94 |
| 280024 | 00.9571 | 11.90 | 280115 | 00.9323 | 16.12 | 310014 | 01.6973 | 25.20 | 310113 | 01.2698 | 21.81 | 330028 | 01.4711 | 25.53 |
| 280025 | 00.9430 | 12.87 | 280117 | 01.0899 | 15.93 | 310015 | 01.9538 | 25.55 | 310115 | 01.3332 | 21.37 | 330029 | 01.0082 | 19.40 |
| 280026 | 01.2113 | 14.79 | 280118 | 00.9335 | 16.45 | 310016 | 01.2558 | 24.30 | 310116 | 01.2758 | 22.74 | 330030 | 01.2557 | 16.43 |
| 280028 | 01.1079 | 15.15 | 280119 | 00.8703 |  | 310017 | 01.3828 | 23.95 | 310118 | 01.2657 | 22.78 | 330033 | 01.2798 | 16.66 |
| 280029 | 01.1344 | 15.52 | 280123 | 00.8938 |  | 310018 | 01.1258 | 21.68 | 310119 | 01.7103 | 30.34 | 330034 | 00.6391 | 30.46 |
| 280030 | 01.7044 | 27.82 | 280125 | 01.2392 |  | 310019 | 01.6672 | 24.86 | 310120 | 01.0971 | 20.79 | 330036 | 01.3056 | 19.62 |
| 280031 | 01.0150 | 13.61 | 290001 | 01.6935 | 23.03 | 310020 | 01.3887 | 22.65 | 320001 | 01.3857 | 17.43 | 330037 | 01.1546 | 15.46 |
| 280032 | 01.3002 | 16.45 | 290002 | 00.9128 | 16.13 | 310021 | 01.3817 | 23.63 | 320002 | 01.3670 | 19.13 | 330038 | 01.2340 | 15.52 |
| 280033 | 01.0406 | 15.69 | 290003 | 01.6810 | 25.76 | 310022 | 01.3156 | 21.10 | 320003 | 01.1238 | 13.29 | 330041 | 01.3043 | 36.69 |
| 280035 | 01.0337 | 13.65 | 290005 | 01.4874 | 20.79 | 310024 | 01.3022 | 23.65 | 320004 | 01.2792 | 14.96 | 330043 | 01.3194 | 33.46 |
| 280037 | 01.0415 | 15.48 | 290006 | 01.2561 | 19.14 | 310025 | 01.2009 | 21.93 | 320005 | 01.3531 | 20.75 | 330044 | 01.3085 | 18.10 |
| 280038 | 01.0023 | 15.49 | 290007 | 01.8502 | 27.93 | 310026 | 01.2043 | 23.19 | 320006 | 01.4170 | 14.55 | 330045 | 01.4176 | 27.45 |
| 280039 | 01.0469 | 15.70 | 290008 | 01.2147 | 19.60 | 310027 | 01.3265 | 21.41 | 320009 | 01.6244 | 17.17 | 330046 | 01.4603 | 30.06 |
| 280040 | 01.6269 | 19.18 | 290009 | 01.6221 | 17.91 | 310028 | 01.2526 | 21.94 | 320011 | 01.0077 | 17.05 | 330047 | 01.1772 | 16.85 |
| 280041 | 00.9134 | 12.05 | 290010 | 01.2399 | 14.00 | 310029 | 01.9458 | 23.14 | 320012 | 00.9924 | 16.53 | 330048 | 01.2917 | 17.45 |
| 280042 | 01.0344 | 15.14 | 290011 | 00.9015 | 15.52 | 310031 | 02.8675 | 22.58 | 320013 | 01.1521 | 17.67 | 330049 | 01.2386 | 17.85 |
| 280043 | 01.0147 | 15.47 | 290012 | 01.3753 | 21.50 | 310032 | 01.3467 | 22.51 | 320014 | 01.1514 | 14.63 | 330053 | 01.1874 | 14.83 |
| 280045 | 01.0969 | 16.10 | 290013 | 01.0527 | 18.62 | 310034 | 01.2580 | 21.58 | 320016 | 01.1211 | 15.17 | 330055 | 01.6244 | 29.81 |
| 280046 | 01.1072 | 12.37 | 290014 | 00.9699 | 17.46 | 310036 | 01.1893 | 19.11 | 320017 | 01.2111 | 16.75 | 330056 | 01.4395 | 30.22 |
| 280047 | 01.0907 | 18.01 | 290015 | 00.9197 | 15.18 | 310037 | 01.3653 | 27.57 | 320018 | 01.5827 | 18.43 | 330057 | 01.6763 | 18.74 |
| 280048 | 01.2131 | 13.82 | 290016 | 01.1837 | 22.67 | 310038 | 01.9545 | 26.13 | 320019 | 01.4848 | 19.57 | 330058 | 01.3057 | 16.66 |
| 280049 | 01.0412 | 15.08 | 290019 | 01.3426 | 19.74 | 310039 . | 01.2827 | 21.22 | 320021 ... | 01.7502 | 17.99 | 330059 | 01.5787 | 33.67 |
| 280050 .... | 00.9263 | 13.71 | 290020 | 01.0445 | 17.29 | 310040 | 01.2393 | 23.99 | 320022 | 01.2213 | 16.24 | 330061 . | 01.3166 | 24.36 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | rovider | Case <br> mix <br> index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 330062 | 01.0733 | 17.10 | 330179 | 00.9045 | 14.60 | 330275 | 01.2903 | 22.06 | 340031 | 01.0066 | 12.83 | 340129 | 01.2985 | 18.11 |
| 330064 | 01.4892 | 32.11 | 330180 | 01.1983 | 16.27 | 330276 | 01.1685 | 17.92 | 340032 | 01.3624 | 18.77 | 340130 | 01.3225 | 19.83 |
| 330065 | 01.2030 | 18.54 | 330181 | 01.3528 | 31.07 | 330277 | 01.1085 | 16.57 | 340035 | 01.1531 | 17.23 | 340131 | 01.5209 | 18.16 |
| 330066 | 01.2766 | 17.98 | 330182 | 02.5453 | 30.48 | 330279 | 01.3577 | 19.05 | 340036 | 01.2139 | 18.25 | 340132 | 01.3256 | 16.27 |
| 330067 | 01.3948 | 20.64 | 330183 | 01.4677 | 19.94 | 330285 | 01.8458 | 22.66 | 340037 | 01.0873 | 14.46 | 340133 | 01.1268 | 14.74 |
| 330072 | 01.4097 | 29.92 | 330184 | 01.3264 | 27.58 | 330286 | 01.3379 | 24.38 | 340038 | 01.1012 | 16.68 | 340137 | 01.1310 | 15.62 |
| 330073 | 01.2255 | 15.82 | 330185 | 01.2827 | 24.72 | 330290 | 01.6841 | 32.27 | 340039 | 01.2681 | 19.88 | 340138 | 01.0625 | 16.94 |
| 330074 | 01.3127 | 17.25 | 330186 | 00.5618 | 20.30 | 330293 | 01.1953 | 15.09 | 340040 | 01.8191 | 18.61 | 340141 | 01.7229 | 20.28 |
| 330075 | 01.0589 | 17.73 | 330188 | 01.1830 | 18.71 | 330304 | 01.2338 | 27.04 | 340041 | 01.2094 | 17.69 | 340142 | 01.2350 | 15.79 |
| 330078 | 01.4268 | 17.96 | 330189 | 01.3232 | 16.54 | 330306 | 01.4286 | 28.10 | 340042 | 01.2260 | 15.70 | 340143 | 01.4228 | 19.62 |
| 330079 | 01.2427 | 17.22 | 330191 | 01.3283 | 18.17 | 330307 | 01.2663 | 19.23 | 340044 | 01.1020 | 18.87 | 340144 | 01.3656 | 18.96 |
| 330080 | 01.3325 | 27.06 | 330193 | 01.3516 | 28.64 | 330314 | 01.3785 | 21.50 | 340045 | 00.9956 | 14.02 | 340145 | 01.4314 | 18.88 |
| 330084 | 01.0696 | 17.68 | 330194 | 01.7808 | 31.20 | 330315 | 16.0413 | 30.36 | 340047 | 01.8288 | 19.42 | 340146 | 01.1145 | 14.28 |
| 330085 | 01.2974 | 18.59 | 330195 | 01.6416 | 31.94 | 330316 | 01.3084 | 22.23 | 340048 | 01.0275 | 05.23 | 340147 | 01.2535 | 19.21 |
| 330086 | 01.2666 | 26.87 | 330196 | 01.2608 | 27.80 | 330327 | 00.9713 | 16.98 | 340049 | 01.0355 | 17.75 | 340148 | 01.4937 | 18.55 |
| 330088 | 01.0531 | 22.43 | 330197 | 01.1287 | 16.79 | 330331 | 01.3121 | 29.10 | 340050 | 01.2003 | 17.95 | 340151 | 01.2078 | 15.67 |
| 330090 | 01.5991 | 17.92 | 330198 | 01.3837 | 23.21 | 330332 | 01.2892 | 26.99 | 340051 | 01.3356 | 16.79 | 340153 | 01.8814 | 19.87 |
| 330091 | 01.3584 | 18.01 | 330199 | 01.3382 | 25.90 | 330333 | 01.2444 | 51.91 | 340052 | 01.0223 | 21.14 | 340155 | 01.3840 | 21.24 |
| 330092 | 01.0542 | 14.25 | 330201 | 01.6866 | 40.72 | 330336 | 01.3094 | 30.29 | 340053 | 01.6440 | 19.44 | 340156 | 00.7966 |  |
| 330094 | 01.2399 | 17.06 | 330202 | 01.3886 | 27.41 | 330338 | 01.2333 | 20.97 | 340054 | 01.2239 | 14.35 | 340158 | 01.1278 | 16.49 |
| 330095 | 01.2452 | 18.40 | 330203 | 01.3959 | 19.61 | 330339 | 00.9320 | 18.87 | 340055 | 01.2769 | 17.40 | 340159 | 01.1375 | 16.21 |
| 330096 | 01.1887 | 15.81 | 330204 | 01.3552 | 28.88 | 330340 | 01.2344 | 22.43 | 340060 | 01.1293 | 17.75 | 340160 | 01.1672 | 14.11 |
| 330097 | 01.2171 | 15.32 | 330205 | 01.1763 | 19.85 | 330350 | 01.6747 | 28.46 | 340061 | 01.7280 | 20.31 | 340162 | 01.1787 | 16.56 |
| 330100 | 00.7936 | 28.03 | 330208 | 01.2263 | 26.41 | 330353 | 01.2772 | 31.43 | 340063 | 01.0171 | 22.75 | 340164 | 01.4579 | 20.69 |
| 330101 | 01.8106 | 30.39 | 330209 | 01.1811 | 24.53 | 330354 | 01.5676 |  | 340064 | 01.2364 | 17.05 | 340166 | 01.2776 | 19.58 |
| 330102 | 01.3312 | 17.00 | 330211 | 01.2029 | 18.46 | 330357 | 01.3809 | 34 | 340065 | 01.2854 | 15.89 | 340168 | 00.4875 | 15.15 |
| 330103 | 01.2449 | 16.63 | 330212 | 01.1468 | 24.26 | 330359 | 00.9373 | 29.31 | 340067 | 01.1587 | 18.20 | 340171 | 01.2031 |  |
| 330104 | 01.4313 | 27.69 | 330213 | 01.1701 | 18.39 | 330372 | 01.1964 | 22.25 | 340068 | 01.2139 | 16.56 | 340173 | 01.2130 |  |
| 330106 | 01.6949 | 34.04 | 330214 | 01.8173 | 31.94 | 330381 | 01.2852 | 29.21 | 340069 | 01.8495 | 20.34 | 350001 | 00.9857 | . 51 |
| 330107 | 01.3314 | 26.04 | 330215 | 01.2026 | 17.11 | 330385 | 01.1940 | 29.15 | 340070 | 01.3026 | 18.49 | 350002 | 01.8548 | 16.86 |
| 330108 | 01.2467 | 16.97 | 330218 | 01.0527 | 20.44 | 330386 | 01.2158 | 23.26 | 340071 | 01.0889 | 15.86 | 350003 | 01.1701 | 16.63 |
| 330111 | 01.0751 | 15.08 | 330219 | 01.6629 | 20.87 | 330387 | 00.7923 | 30.68 | 340072 | 01.1279 | 15.86 | 350004 | 01.9174 | 18.34 |
| 330114 | 00.9490 | 15.82 | 330221 | 01.2904 | 29.07 | 330389 | 01.7245 | 31.92 | 340073 | 01.5386 | 19.84 | 350005 | 01.0598 | 14.07 |
| 330115 | 01.2405 | 16.12 | 330222 | 01.2606 | 18.36 | 330390 | 01.3751 | 31.67 | 340075 | 01.1939 | 16.88 | 350006 | 01.5142 | 16.25 |
| 330116 | 00.9611 | 15.34 | 330223 | 01.0770 | 16.39 | 330393 | 01.7444 | 25.45 | 340080 | 01.0339 | 15.49 | 350007 | 00.8879 | 13.24 |
| 330118 | 01.6591 | 20.00 | 330224 | 01.2569 | 21.50 | 330394 | 01.5407 | 18.21 | 340084 | 01.0889 | 16.12 | 350008 | 00.9420 | 16.74 |
| 330119 | 01.7636 | 32.85 | 330225 | 01.1739 | 24.76 | 330395 | 01.3488 | 33.16 | 340085 | 01.1663 | 16.33 | 350009 | 01.1468 | 17.04 |
| 330121 | 01.0383 | 15.12 | 330226 | 01.2590 | 17.82 | 330396 | 01.1754 | 31.55 | 340087 | 01.1169 | 16.53 | 350010 | 01.1050 | 13.74 |
| 330122 | 01.0650 | 22.97 | 330229 | 01.3257 | 16.25 | 330397 | 01.3150 | 30.46 | 340088 | 01.1258 | 18.13 | 350011 | 01.8836 | 20.64 |
| 330125 | 01.9179 | 20.66 | 330230 | 01.3791 | 29.27 | 330398 | 01.3550 | 29.49 | 340089 | 01.0120 | 13.83 | 350012 | 01.1086 | 13.55 |
| 330126 | 01.1519 | 22.70 | 330231 | 01.0674 | 29.53 | 330399 | 01.2625 | 29.60 | 340090 | 01.1444 | 17.83 | 350013 | 01.1051 | 16.53 |
| 330127 | 01.3403 | 29.65 | 330232 | 01.2445 | 17.76 | 340001 | 01.4796 | 17.91 | 340091 | 01.7002 | 19.89 | 350014 | 00.9841 | 13.14 |
| 330128 | 01.2625 | 29.68 | 330233 | 01.4948 | 30.49 | 340002 | 01.8416 | 18.45 | 340093 | 01.0697 | 13.96 | 350015 | 01.7381 | 16.56 |
| 330132 | 01.2001 | 13.55 | 330234 | 02.3119 | 31.88 | 340003 | 01.1252 | 17.14 | 340094 | 01.4789 | 18.27 | 350016 | 01.0963 | 11.47 |
| 330133 | 01.3701 | 34.67 | 330235 | 01.1204 | 19.21 | 340004 | 01.4483 | 18.79 | 340096 | 01.1483 | 17.40 | 350017 | 01.3990 | 16.68 |
| 330135 | 01.1994 | 19.14 | 330236 | 01.4074 | 28.47 | 340005 | 01.1650 | 14.89 | 340097 | 01.1445 | 17.69 | 350018 | 01.0846 | 17.93 |
| 330136 | 01.2894 | 19.26 | 330238 | 01.1749 | 15.02 | 340006 | 01.0428 | 14.76 | 340098 | 01.6889 | 19.32 | 350019 | 01.6863 | 18.72 |
| 330140 | 01.7769 | 18.58 | 330239 | 01.1666 | 16.21 | 340007 | 01.1704 | 16.96 | 340099 | 01.2134 | 13.03 | 350021 | 01.0260 | 12.00 |
| 330141 | 01.3850 | 24.49 | 330240 | 01.3279 | 27.67 | 340008 | 01.1373 | 17.84 | 340101 | 01.0627 | 11.87 | 350023 | 00.9286 | 15.16 |
| 330144 | 00.9394 | 15.19 | 330241 | 01.9705 | 21.51 | 340010 | 01.2998 | 17.56 | 340104 | 00.9970 | 11.37 | 350024 | 01.0368 | 16.47 |
| 330148 | 01.0767 | 15.47 | 330242 | 01.3423 | 25.14 | 340011 | 01.1622 | 15.71 | 340105 | 01.3725 | 18.85 | 350025 | 01.0095 | 14.00 |
| 330151 | 01.1172 | 14.68 | 330245 | 01.3076 | 17.00 | 340012 | 01.3162 | 17.04 | 340106 | 01.2505 | 20.04 | 350027 | 00.9540 | 14.46 |
| 330152 | 01.4137 | 30.10 | 330246 | 01.3839 | 25.91 | 340013 | 01.2800 | 17.33 | 340107 | 01.3591 | 17.08 | 350029 | 00.8728 | 12.98 |
| 330153 | 01.7338 | 16.97 | 330247 | 00.9015 | 27.38 | 340014 | 01.5587 | 22.23 | 340109 | 01.3186 | 17.38 | 350030 | 01.0496 | 16.65 |
| 330154 | 01.7268 |  | 330249 | 01.1933 | 16.18 | 340015 | 01.3007 | 20.37 | 340111 | 01.1989 | 14.63 | 350033 | 00.9198 | 14.40 |
| 330157 | 01.3501 | 19.72 | 330250 | 01.2870 | 17.98 | 340016 | 01.1912 | 16.24 | 340112 | 00.9917 | 15.24 | 350034 | 00.9924 | 17.45 |
| 330158 | 01.4999 | 20.48 | 330252 | 00.9461 | 16.84 | 340017 | 01.2474 | 14.31 | 340113 | 01.8577 | 20.59 | 350035 | 00.9005 | 10.21 |
| 330159 | 01.2907 | 17.88 | 330254 | 01.1696 | 17.12 | 340018 | 01.2456 | 16.25 | 340114 | 01.5500 | 20.34 | 350038 | 01.0922 | 15.28 |
| 330160 | 01.4736 | 29.42 | 330258 | 01.3355 | 30.01 | 340019 | 01.0224 | 20.26 | 340115 | 01.5723 | 19.35 | 350039 | 01.0288 | 14.75 |
| 330162 | 01.2185 | 27.06 | 330259 | 01.5025 | 23.47 | 340020 | 01.1977 | 19.04 | 340116 | 01.8178 | 19.81 | 350041 | 01.0442 | 17.60 |
| 330163 | 01.1905 | 19.14 | 330261 | 01.2944 | 26.17 | 340021 | 01.2336 | 17.51 | 340119 | 01.2970 | 16.41 | 350042 | 01.1142 | 15.19 |
| 330164 | 01.4954 | 19.87 | 330263 | 01.0305 | 17.91 | 340022 | 01.0586 | 16.91 | 340120 | 01.0817 | 13.56 | 350043 | 01.5670 | 14.65 |
| 330166 | 01.0125 | 13.56 | 330264 | 01.2135 | 21.71 | 340023 | 01.3771 | 17.77 | 340121 | 01.0648 | 15.43 | 350044 | 00.8768 | 11.49 |
| 330167 | 01.6539 | 29.65 | 330265 | 01.3931 | 16.33 | 340024 | 01.1393 | 16.33 | 340123 | 01.0906 | 15.57 | 350047 | 01.1941 | 16.54 |
| 330169 | 01.4639 | 32.41 | 330267 | 01.3643 | 23.95 | 340025 | 01.2234 | 15.47 | 340124 | 01.0127 | 13.98 | 350049 | 01.3354 | 13.86 |
| 330171 | 01.4007 | 23.94 | 330268 | 00.9663 | 15.02 | 340027 | 01.2058 | 16.89 | 340125 | 01.4796 | 16.50 | 350050 | 00.9591 | 11.89 |
| 330175 | 01.1894 | 15.10 | 330270 | 01.9872 | 31.03 | 340028 | 01.5976 | 16.85 | 340126 | 01.3940 | 16.50 | 350051 | 00.9832 | 15.74 |
| 330177 | 00.9633 | 14.78 | 330273 | 01.3059 | 25.72 | 340030 | 02.0173 | 21.06 | 340127 | 01.3339 | 17.51 | 350053 | 01.0118 | 11.88 |
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| rovider |  | Avg. hour wage | Provider |  | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350055 | 00.9999 | 13.76 | 360074 | 01.3337 | 18.00 | 360159 | 01.2116 | 19.84 | 370029 | 01.2602 | 13.51 | 370149 | 01.2900 | 15.69 |
| 350056 | 00.9564 | 13.88 | 360075 | 01.4441 | 21.40 | 360161 | 01.2549 | 13.69 | 370030 | 01.1832 | 16.49 | 370153 | 01.0658 | 14.06 |
| 350058 | 00.9230 | 12.18 | 360076 | 01.3645 | 18.64 | 360163 | 01.8032 | 20.26 | 370032 | 01.5887 | 16.17 | 370154 | 01.0434 | 14.12 |
| 350060 | 00.8587 | 08.80 | 360077 | 01.5831 | 19.38 | 360164 | 00.9634 | 15.60 | 370033 | 01.0599 | 12.34 | 370156 | 01.0577 | 17.29 |
| 350061 | 01.0645 | 15.31 | 360078 | 01.2491 | 19.90 | 360165 | 01.1732 | 17.81 | 370034 | 01.2337 | 14.36 | 370158 | 01.0253 | 12.09 |
| 350063 | 00.8843 |  | 360079 | 01.8666 | 21.04 | 360166 | 01.1873 | 16.01 | 370035 | 01.6429 | 16.77 | 370159 | 01.3951 | 15.05 |
| 350064 | 00.8364 |  | 360080 | 01.1462 | 15.68 | 360170 | 01.3808 | 16.53 | 370036 | 01.0721 | 10.54 | 370163 | 01.0022 | 14.57 |
| 360001 | 01.3790 | 17.65 | 360081 | 01.3761 | 19.70 | 360172 | 01.3455 | 17.89 | 370037 | 01.7160 | 18.63 | 370165 | 01.1291 | 11.97 |
| 360002 | 01.1925 | 17.82 | 360082 | 01.3254 | 23.27 | 360174 | 01.3284 | 18.44 | 370038 | 01.0052 | 11.68 | 370166 | 01.1323 | 15.55 |
| 360003 | 01.7561 | 22.14 | 360084 | 01.6045 | 20.53 | 360175 | 01.1937 | 20.19 | 370039 | 01.2616 | 13.93 | 370169 | 01.0593 |  |
| 360006 | 01.8372 | 20.93 | 360085 | 01.8333 | 21.47 | 360176 | 01.1290 | 15.34 | 370040 | 01.0977 | 15.04 | 370170 | 01.0046 |  |
| 360007 | 01.0627 | 15.95 | 360086 | 01.4331 | 17.81 | 360177 | 01.2931 | 18.27 | 370041 | 00.9733 | 16.47 | 370171 | 01.0182 |  |
| 360008 | 01.2396 | 17.78 | 360087 | 01.4291 | 18.51 | 360178 | 01.2433 | 17.16 | 370042 | 00.8835 | 13.98 | 370172 | 00.9229 |  |
| 360009 | 01.4867 | 17.38 | 360088 | 01.3676 | 19.09 | 360179 | 01.3391 | 19.50 | 370043 | 00.9443 | 15.18 | 370173 | 01.1000 |  |
| 360010 | 01.2461 | 17.09 | 360089 | 01.1769 | 17.84 | 360180 | 02.1577 | 23.00 | 370045 | 00.9900 | 09.83 | 370174 | 00.7547 |  |
| 360011 | 01.3403 | 18.91 | 360090 | 01.2425 | 19.75 | 360184 | 00.4293 | 18.76 | 370046 | 00.9817 | 10.89 | 370176 | 01.2219 | 16.29 |
| 360012 | 01.3150 | 19.72 | 360091 | 01.2836 | 20.40 | 360185 | 01.2259 | 18.13 | 370047 | 01.3904 | 15.04 | 370177 | 00.9737 | 10.48 |
| 360013 | 01.1386 | 18.36 | 360092 | 01.1263 | 19.47 | 360186 | 01.1539 | 10.45 | 370048 | 01.2228 | 15.40 | 370178 | 01.0021 | 11.20 |
| 360014 | 01.2083 | 18.87 | 360093 | 01.1654 | 17.64 | 360187 | 01.4085 | 17.67 | 370049 | 01.3327 | 15.44 | 370179 | 00.7441 | 15.19 |
| 360016 | 01.6147 | 18.36 | 360094 | 01.3940 | 18.15 | 360188 | 00.9725 | 17.11 | 370051 | 00.9867 | 11.30 | 370180 | 00.9135 |  |
| 360017 | 01.8633 | 21.51 | 360095 | 01.2581 | 19.83 | 360189 | 01.1592 | 16.98 | 370054 | 01.4696 | 16.32 | 370183 | 01.0309 | 10.35 |
| 360018 | 01.6285 | 19.87 | 360096 | 01.1266 | 17.46 | 360192 | 01.3663 | 21.31 | 370056 | 01.5245 | 18.44 | 370186 | 00.9921 | 13.32 |
| 360019 | 01.2657 | 21.76 | 360098 | 01.4265 | 18.26 | 360193 | 01.2971 | 16.98 | 370057 | 01.1165 | 15.27 | 370190 | 01.5486 | 26.42 |
| 360020 | 01.4424 | 20.72 | 360099 | 01.0479 | 19.53 | 360194 | 01.2855 | 17.89 | 370059 | 01.0974 | 17.49 | 370192 | 01.2229 | 16.30 |
| 360024 | 01.3762 | 17.75 | 360100 | 01.2888 | 18.00 | 360195 | 01.1587 | 19.33 | 370060 | 01.1260 | 13.90 | 370196 | 00.8240 |  |
| 360025 | 01.3562 | 19.40 | 360101 | 01.3901 | 21.04 | 360197 | 01.1688 | 19.16 | 370063 | 01.1782 | 16.95 | 370197 | 00.9846 |  |
| 360026 | 01.3485 | 16.21 | 360102 | 01.2869 | 19.19 | 360200 | 01.0276 | 15.62 | 370064 | 00.9593 | 10.71 | 370198 | 01.7997 |  |
| 360027 | 01.4597 | 20.14 | 360103 | 01.3578 | 19.87 | 360203 | 01.2094 | 14.41 | 370065 | 00.9924 | 15.36 | 380001 | 01.2902 | 8.13 |
| 360028 | 01.4846 | 17.21 | 360106 | 01.1021 | 16.08 | 360204 | 01.2422 | 19.09 | 370071 | 01.0530 | 10.05 | 380002 | 01.2715 | 18.07 |
| 360029 | 01.1846 | 17.74 | 360107 | 01.2417 | 17.37 | 360210 | 01.2012 | 20.61 | 370072 | 00.8635 | 14.04 | 380003 | 01.2260 | 28.86 |
| 360030 | 01.2891 | 16.67 | 360108 | 01.0913 | 16.45 | 360211 | 01.2671 | 19.64 | 370076 | 01.2612 | 12.45 | 380004 | 01.7003 | 23.04 |
| 360031 | 01.2807 | 19.33 | 360109 | 01.1094 | 18.64 | 360212 | 01.3941 | 20.16 | 370078 | 01.7411 | 16.06 | 380005 | 01.2187 | 22.81 |
| 360032 | 01.0729 | 17.87 | 360112 | 01.8012 | 23.33 | 360213 | 01.2686 | 18.05 | 370079 | 00.9534 | 15.91 | 380006 | 01.2870 | 19.61 |
| 360034 | 01.3225 | 14.77 | 360113 | 01.3630 | 15.36 | 360218 | 01.3047 | 18.29 | 370080 | 00.9738 | 14.18 | 380007 | 01.6852 | 24.92 |
| 360035 | 01.6186 | 20.73 | 360114 | 01.1017 | 17.48 | 360230 | 01.5624 | 21.16 | 370082 | 00.9220 | 13.85 | 380008 | 01.0543 | 19.56 |
| 360036 | 01.3579 | 19.04 | 360115 | 01.2554 | 17.92 | 360231 | 01.1494 | 12.39 | 370083 | 00.9508 | 12.81 | 380009 | 01.8821 | 22.90 |
| 360037 | 02.0580 | 21 | 360116 | 01.0983 | 49 | 360234 | 01.3469 | 16.44 | 370084 | 01.0827 | 13.65 | 380010 | 01.0520 | 22.58 |
| 360038 | 01.5828 | 20.60 | 360118 | 01.3521 | 18.34 | 360236 | 01.2893 | 25.36 | 370085 | 00.8717 | 13.21 | 380011 | 01.0490 | 19.05 |
| 360039 | 01.3135 | 17.40 | 360121 | 01.2409 | 19.22 | 360239 | 01.3034 | 19.65 | 370086 | 01.1713 | 11.51 | 380013 | 01.3177 | 20.62 |
| 360040 | 01.3495 | 17.81 | 360123 | 01.2744 | 19.33 | 360241 | 00.4699 | 21.14 | 370089 | 01.2580 | 15.23 | 380014 | 01.6295 | 22.02 |
| 360041 | 01.3392 | 18.83 | 360125 | 01.0992 | 17.41 | 360242 | 01.8068 |  | 370091 | 01.7259 | 19.16 | 380017 | 01.9390 | 25.87 |
| 360042 | 01.1862 | 18.02 | 360126 | 01.2179 | 20.75 | 360243 | 00.7287 | 26 | 370092 | 01.0247 | 14.09 | 380018 | 01.8034 | 20.94 |
| 360044 | 01.1205 | 15.83 | 360127 | 01.1844 | 17.85 | 360245 | 00.7295 | 15.21 | 370093 | 01.8539 | 17.71 | 380019 | 01.2880 | 21.45 |
| 360045 | 01.4762 | 20.73 | 360128 | 01.1314 | 15.05 | 360247 | 00.4164 |  | 370094 | 01.5130 | 19.25 | 380020 | 01.5022 | 21.41 |
| 360046 | 01.1449 | 17.71 | 360129 | 00.9665 | 15.12 | 360248 | 01.7504 |  | 370095 | 00.9994 | 11.75 | 380021 | 01.2890 | 21.57 |
| 360047 | 01.1368 | 14.51 | 360130 | 01.1237 | 15.93 | 370001 | 01.7845 | 20.06 | 370097 | 01.3708 | 17.38 | 380022 | 01.1715 | 22.57 |
| 360048 | 01.8279 | 21.60 | 360131 | 01.3442 | 18.99 | 370002 | 01.1524 | 13.71 | 370099 | 01.1771 | 14.07 | 380023 | 01.2243 | 18.43 |
| 360049 | 01.1856 | 19.60 | 360132 | 01.4255 | 18.28 | 370004 | 01.2310 | 16.67 | 370100 | 01.0076 | 14.49 | 380025 | 01.3449 | 25.35 |
| 360050 | 01.0987 | 12.40 | 360133 | 01.5948 | 18.70 | 370005 | 01.0032 | 14.07 | 370103 | 00.9320 | 16.27 | 380026 | 01.1604 | 19.09 |
| 360051 | 01.6396 | 23.55 | 360134 | 01.7247 | 20.07 | 370006 | 01.2654 | 15.48 | 370105 | 01.9777 | 18.43 | 380027 | 01.2943 | 22.82 |
| 360052 | 01.7665 | 18.65 | 360136 | 01.0811 | 16.90 | 370007 | 01.2216 | 14.36 | 370106 | 01.5469 | 18.37 | 380029 | 01.1592 | 18.33 |
| 360054 | 01.2934 | 16.53 | 360137 | 01.6532 | 19.95 | 370008 | 01.3784 | 17.77 | 370108 | 01.1298 | 11.81 | 380031 | 00.9808 | 22.48 |
| 360055 | 01.2577 | 19.64 | 360140 | 00.9788 | 16.21 | 370011 | 01.0524 | 12.91 | 370112 | 01.0696 | 14.65 | 380033 | 01.7744 | 24.22 |
| 360056 | 01.4280 | 20.89 | 360141 | 01.5661 | 23.32 | 370012 | 00.8733 | 09.87 | 370113 | 01.1887 | 15.11 | 380035 | 01.2910 | 21.53 |
| 360057 | 01.1603 | 15.46 | 360142 | 01.0197 | 16.62 | 370013 | 01.8435 | 19.24 | 370114 | 01.6464 | 15.79 | 380036 | 01.0585 | 20.79 |
| 360058 | 01.2702 | 17.56 | 360143 | 01.4294 | 19.90 | 370014 | 01.2842 | 19.35 | 370121 | 01.1723 | 16.84 | 380037 | 01.2761 | 20.52 |
| 360059 | 01.6935 | 21.65 | 360144 | 01.3319 | 19.89 | 370015 | 01.2181 | 17.16 | 370122 | 01.1283 | 12.45 | 380038 | 01.3383 | 25.28 |
| 360062 | 01.5157 | 20.52 | 360145 | 01.6848 | 18.18 | 370016 | 01.3747 | 16.52 | 370123 | 01.3288 | 17.25 | 380039 | 01.3184 | 21.50 |
| 360063 | 01.1355 | 18.29 | 360147 | 01.2300 | 16.40 | 370017 | 01.1872 | 11.23 | 370125 | 00.9809 | 12.01 | 380040 | 01.2643 | 21.08 |
| 360064 | 01.6110 | 21.73 | 360148 | 01.1746 | 17.80 | 370018 | 01.3459 | 18.25 | 370126 | 00.9821 | 12.07 | 380042 | 01.0847 | 17.33 |
| 360065 | 01.2978 | 18.23 | 360149 | 01.2144 | 18.68 | 370019 | 01.3577 | 14.79 | 370131 | 00.9568 | 15.71 | 380047 | 01.7005 | 21.15 |
| 360066 | 01.5064 | 18.92 | 360150 | 01.2765 | 20.02 | 370020 | 01.3041 | 11.86 | 370133 | 01.1458 | 11.04 | 380048 | 01.0727 | 15.35 |
| 360067 | 01.1473 | 13.46 | 360151 | 01.3441 | 17.15 | 370021 | 00.9234 | 10.38 | 370138 | 01.0828 | 15.12 | 380050 | 01.4632 | 18.30 |
| 360068 | 01.7403 | 21.49 | 360152 | 01.5138 | 19.73 | 370022 | 01.3220 | 17.34 | 370139 | 01.1101 | 11.70 | 380051 | 01.6000 | 20.79 |
| 360069 | 01.1413 | 17.25 | 360153 | 01.1322 | 13.86 | 370023 | 01.3350 | 16.03 | 370140 | 01.0074 | 11.92 | 380052 | 01.2194 | 17.97 |
| 360070 | 01.6991 | 16.22 | 360154 | 01.0127 | 13.29 | 370025 | 01.3416 | 16.09 | 370141 | 01.3413 | 15.22 | 380055 | 01.0479 | 25.16 |
| 360071 | 01.3655 | 14.35 | 360155 | 01.3655 | 20.38 | 370026 | 01.4980 | 16.66 | 370146 | 01.1663 | 11.23 | 380056 | 01.1095 | 16.82 |
| 360072 | 01.2294 | 17.52 | 360156 | 01.2889 | 18.45 | 370028 | 01.9096 | 20.31 | 370148 | 01.4901 | 27.04 | 380060 | 01.4546 | 22.68 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case <br> mix <br> index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 380061 | 01.5010 | 21.24 | 390054 | 01.1925 | 16.20 | 390138 | 01.3274 | 17.99 | 390242 | 01.3211 | 18.77 | 400120 | 01.3210 | 09.45 |
| 380062 | 01.2271 | 18.32 | 390055 | 01.8803 | 26.53 | 390139 | 01.5292 | 23.00 | 390244 | 00.9008 | 12.10 | 400121 | 00.9061 | 06.57 |
| 380063 | 01.2398 | 18.55 | 390056 | 01.1583 | 16.53 | 390142 | 01.6012 | 28.56 | 390245 | 01.4283 | 21.37 | 400122 | 01.0071 | 07.20 |
| 380064 | 01.3645 | 18.24 | 390057 | 01.3181 | 19.58 | 390145 | 01.3627 | 20.30 | 390246 | 01.2381 | 17.91 | 400123 | 01.1923 | 08.39 |
| 380065 | 01.2612 | 22.48 | 390058 | 01.2736 | 18.64 | 390146 | 01.2696 | 16.85 | 390247 | 01.0888 | 20.42 | 400124 | 02.6899 | 11.00 |
| 380066 | 01.3314 | 20.01 | 390060 | 01.2044 | 16.88 | 390147 | 01.2520 | 20.55 | 390249 | 01.0117 | 12.79 | 410001 | 01.3885 | 21.15 |
| 380068 | 00.9929 | 21.71 | 390061 | 01.5126 | 20.08 | 390150 | 01.1850 | 20.98 | 390256 | 01.8065 | 24.05 | 410004 | 01.3542 | 21.95 |
| 380069 | 01.1237 | 19.35 | 390062 | 01.1873 | 16.43 | 39015 | 01.2236 | 19.88 | 390258 | 01.3894 | 20.71 | 410005 | 01.3893 | 22.97 |
| 380070 | 01.3856 | 25.32 | 390063 | 01.7711 | 20.19 | 390152 | 01.0833 | 17.35 | 390260 | 01.2324 | 23.05 | 410006 | 01.3047 | 21.58 |
| 380071 | 01.2895 | 20.13 | 390065 | 01.2445 | 19.95 | 390153 | 01.2347 | 22.04 | 390262 | 01.8663 | 18.17 | 410007 | 01.6895 | 21.22 |
| 380072 | 00.9525 | 16.03 | 390066 | 01.2979 | 19.58 | 390154 | 01.2149 | 17.37 | 390263 | 01.4746 | 19.75 | 410008 | 01.2641 | 20.03 |
| 380075 | 01.3760 | 19.99 | 390067 | 01.7841 | 19.97 | 390156 | 01.4353 | 20.56 | 390265 | 01.3029 | 19.06 | 410009 | 01.3206 | 23.53 |
| 380078 | 00.9840 | 18.28 | 390068 | 01.3034 | 19.04 | 390157 | 01.3790 | 18.98 | 390266 | 01.2200 | 16.95 | 410010 | 01.0628 | 26.80 |
| 380081 | 01.1300 | 18.28 | 390069 | 01.3386 | 20.08 | 390158 | 01.5582 | 19.47 | 390267 | 01.3089 | 19.01 | 410011 | 01.2360 | 23.92 |
| 380082 | 01.3109 | 21.55 | 390070 | 01.3343 | 19.37 | 390160 | 01.2930 | 19.68 | 390268 | 01.3484 | 21.17 | 410012 | 01.8346 | 21.15 |
| 380083 | 01.2950 | 21.90 | 390071 | 01.0930 | 15.04 | 390161 | 01.1318 | 13.75 | 390270 | 01.3595 | 17.08 | 410013 | 01.2926 | 24.44 |
| 380084 | 01.2579 | 21.98 | 390072 | 01.0866 | 15.49 | 390162 | 01.5617 | 21.02 | 390272 | 00.4562 |  | 420002 | 01.3852 | 21.83 |
| 380087 | 01.0848 | 12.91 | 390073 | 01.6243 | 19.82 | 390163 | 01.2249 | 16.11 | 390277 | 00.5292 | 23.14 | 420004 | 01.8530 | 18.30 |
| 380088 | 01.0227 | 18.65 | 390074 | 01.2608 | 16.62 | 390164 | 02.1585 | 22.59 | 390278 | 00.6728 | 16.94 | 420005 | 01.1718 | 15.14 |
| 380089 | 01.3275 | 23.92 | 390075 | 01.3632 | 17.48 | 390166 | 01.1125 | 18.97 | 390279 | 01.0386 | 14.40 | 420006 | 01.1714 | 17.68 |
| 380090 | 01.2856 | 25.49 | 390076 | 01.4253 | 21.97 | 390167 | 01.3655 | 21.84 | 400001 | 01.2646 | 09.39 | 420007 | 01.5056 | 17.78 |
| 380091 | 01.3021 | 24.95 | 390078 | 01.0805 | 18.92 | 390168 | 01.2845 | 18.12 | 400002 | 01.6156 | 10.99 | 420009 | 01.2431 | 17.01 |
| 390001 | 01.4101 | 21.89 | 390079 | 01.7802 | 17.91 | 390169 | 01.2814 | 18.85 | 400003 | 01.3181 | 08.34 | 420010 | 01.2029 | 15.22 |
| 390002 | 01.2997 | 19.71 | 390080 | 01.3128 | 18.40 | 390170 | 01.8882 | 21.93 | 400004 | 01.1998 | 08.16 | 420011 | 01.1862 | 15.88 |
| 390003 | 01.2251 | 17.48 | 390081 | 01.3443 | 21.33 | 390173 | 01.2026 | 17.81 | 400005 | 01.0804 | 06.50 | 420014 | 01.0521 | 15.49 |
| 390004 | 01.3957 | 17.68 | 390083 | 01.2260 | 17.49 | 390174 | 01.6821 | 28.75 | 400006 | 01.2047 | 07.62 | 420015 | 01.3602 | 17.27 |
| 390005 | 01.0449 | 16.56 | 390084 | 01.1848 | 15.92 | 390176 | 01.1634 | 18.54 | 400007 | 01.1616 | 07.13 | 420016 | 00.9967 | 14.27 |
| 390006 | 01.7963 | 18.43 | 390086 | 01.1623 | 17.91 | 390178 | 01.3125 | 19.14 | 400009 | 01.0382 | 07.64 | 420018 | 01.8076 | 19.64 |
| 390007 | 01.2165 | 20.24 | 390088 | 01.3418 | 21.04 | 390179 | 01.3565 | 21.31 | 400010 | 00.9135 | 10.07 | 420019 | 01.1909 | 14.81 |
| 390008 | 01.1475 | 16.70 | 390090 | 01.7964 | 20.56 | 390180 | 01.4771 | 23.13 | 400011 | 01.0608 | 07.81 | 420020 | 01.2623 | 17.58 |
| 390009 | 01.6945 | 19.72 | 390091 | 01.1404 | 18.52 | 390181 | 01.0478 | 19.10 | 400012 | 01.1906 | 07.69 | 420023 | 01.4452 | 19.27 |
| 390010 | 01.2666 | 16.99 | 390093 | 01.1546 | 15.95 | 390183 | 01.1759 | 18.03 | 400013 | 01.2834 | 08.06 | 420026 | 01.8876 | 18.73 |
| 390011 | 01.2805 | 18.32 | 390095 | 01.2041 | 15.21 | 390184 | 01.1047 | 18.24 | 400014 | 01.3803 | 08.68 | 420027 | 01.3581 | 17.34 |
| 390012 | 01.2209 | 19.43 | 390096 | 01.5027 | 17.87 | 390185 | 01.2232 | 17.20 | 400015 | 01.3729 |  | 420030 | 01.2949 | 17.49 |
| 390013 | 01.2405 | 18.14 | 390097 | 01.2959 | 22.07 | 390189 | 01.1429 | 19.19 | 400016 | 01.3717 | 11.37 | 420031 | 00.9613 | 12.23 |
| 390015 | 01.1529 | 13.06 | 390100 | 01.6655 | 20.58 | 390191 | 01.2270 | 16.80 | 400017 | 01.2069 | 06.56 | 420033 | 01.2721 | 19.24 |
| 390016 | 01.2456 | 17.76 | 390101 | 01.2042 | 17.62 | 390192 | 01.1586 | 15.64 | 400018 | 01.2977 | 09.29 | 420036 | 01.4355 | 18.46 |
| 390017 | 01.2175 | 15.86 | 390102 | 01.3763 | 19.60 | 390193 | 01.2088 | 17.26 | 400019 | 01.7668 | 09.58 | 420037 | 01.1963 | 21.60 |
| 390018 | 01.3160 | 19.26 | 390103 | 01.1383 | 18.62 | 390194 | 01.1410 | 18.95 | 400021 | 01.4606 | 09.43 | 420038 | 01.3331 | 15.74 |
| 390019 | 01.1409 | 16.01 | 390104 | 01.0956 | 14.75 | 390195 | 01.8448 | 22.62 | 400022 | 01.3456 | 11.18 | 420039 | 01.1544 | 16.21 |
| 390022 | 01.3648 | 20.49 | 390106 | 01.0527 | 15.96 | 390196 | 01.3776 |  | 400024 | 01.0267 | 07.45 | 420042 | 01.1022 | 14.56 |
| 390023 | 01.2385 | 18.03 | 390107 | 01.3456 | 19.43 | 390197 | 01.3002 | 17.67 | 400026 | 00.9852 | 06.04 | 420043 | 01.2299 | 18.79 |
| 390024 | 01.0879 | 23.53 | 390108 | 01.3676 | 19.21 | 390198 | 01.2119 | 15.83 | 400027 | 01.1410 | 08.07 | 420048 | 01.2492 | 13.44 |
| 390025 | 00.6397 | 15.37 | 390109 | 01.2783 | 14.91 | 390199 | 01.3245 | 15.86 | 400028 | 01.0099 | 07.98 | 420049 | 01.1743 | 16.46 |
| 390026 | 01.3006 | 21.98 | 390110 | 01.6319 | 19.36 | 390200 | 01.0981 | 17.18 | 400029 | 01.0884 | 10.05 | 420051 | 01.6278 | 17.99 |
| 390027 | 01.8620 | 28.88 | 390111 | 01.8454 | 29.97 | 390201 | 01.2808 | 20.12 | 400031 | 01.2349 | 09.50 | 420053 | 01.1996 | 16.08 |
| 390028 | 01.8946 | 19.73 | 390112 | 01.2860 | 13.72 | 390203 | 01.3856 | 22.12 | 400032 | 01.2495 | 08.99 | 420054 | 01.2953 | 17.01 |
| 390029 | 01.9719 | 18.87 | 390113 | 01.2274 | 17.00 | 390204 | 01.3041 | 20.57 | 400044 | 01.1780 | 09.84 | 420055 | 01.0131 | 15.72 |
| 390030 | 01.2422 | 18.37 | 390114 | 01.2178 | 21.25 | 390206 | 01.3925 | 19.09 | 400048 | 01.1548 | 08.23 | 420056 | 01.0853 | 13.21 |
| 390031 | 01.1866 | 18.45 | 390115 | 01.3792 | 23.95 | 390209 | 01.0699 | 16.37 | 400061 | 01.6558 | 14.42 | 420057 | 01.1687 | 14.71 |
| 390032 | 01.2567 | 19.11 | 390116 | 01.2709 | 23.74 | 390211 | 01.2499 | 18.17 | 400079 | 01.2819 | 10.43 | 420059 | 00.9796 | 15.11 |
| 390035 | 01.2478 | 17.14 | 390117 | 01.1848 | 16.64 | 390213 | 01.1615 | 19.15 | 400087 | 01.4420 | 10.90 | 420061 | 01.1681 | 17.58 |
| 390036 | 01.4518 | 19.18 | 390118 | 01.1802 | 16.48 | 390215 | 01.2938 | 24.51 | 400094 | 01.0401 | 06.88 | 420062 | 01.4640 | 15.61 |
| 390037 | 01.3834 | 19.24 | 390119 | 01.3516 | 18.05 | 390217 | 01.2323 | 20.29 | 400098 | 01.3576 | 08.48 | 420064 | 01.1124 | 14.50 |
| 390039 | 01.1357 | 16.31 | 390121 | 01.3576 | 19.61 | 390219 | 01.3267 | 19.86 | 400102 | 01.1698 | 04.27 | 420065 | 01.3464 | 18.10 |
| 390040 | 00.9663 | 16.73 | 390122 | 01.1007 | 18.49 | 390220 | 01.2025 | 18.22 | 400103 | 01.4518 | 09.30 | 420066 | 00.9577 | 16.65 |
| 390041 | 01.2908 | 18.92 | 390123 | 01.3805 | 20.31 | 390222 | 01.2859 | 20.89 | 400104 | 01.3442 | 09.05 | 420067 | 01.2622 | 18.10 |
| 390042 | 01.5647 | 21.41 | 390125 | 01.2001 | 15.48 | 390223 | 01.5318 | 22.49 | 400105 | 01.2514 | 08.85 | 420068 | 01.4309 | 17.58 |
| 390043 | 01.1558 | 18.18 | 390126 | 01.2793 | 19.94 | 390224 | 00.9047 | 15.35 | 400106 | 01.2522 | 08.61 | 420069 | 01.0556 | 18.03 |
| 390044 | 01.6721 | 19.24 | 390127 | 01.2446 | 21.39 | 390225 | 01.1782 | 17.76 | 400109 | 01.4903 | 09.61 | 420070 | 01.2279 | 16.89 |
| 390045 | 01.8045 | 17.60 | 390128 | 01.2398 | 19.93 | 390226 | 01.7896 | 23.48 | 400110 | 01.0649 | 08.99 | 420071 | 01.3120 | 18.25 |
| 390046 | 01.5550 | 20.26 | 390130 | 01.1635 | 16.56 | 390228 | 01.2819 | 19.19 | 400111 | 01.1917 | 08.80 | 420072 | 00.9800 | 11.63 |
| 390047 | 01.9134 | 30.25 | 390131 | 01.3311 | 16.73 | 390231 | 01.4331 | 24.08 | 400112 | 01.1131 | 08.91 | 420073 | 01.3017 | 20.68 |
| 390048 | 01.1814 | 18.12 | 390132 | 01.2825 | 22.21 | 390233 | 01.3151 | 18.31 | 400113 | 01.2139 | 08.29 | 420074 | 01.0054 | 13.73 |
| 390049 | 01.6700 | 21.29 | 390133 | 01.8226 | 22.97 | 390235 | 01.5371 | 23.51 | 400114 | 01.0730 | 08.19 | 420075 | 00.9408 | 13.75 |
| 390050 | 02.1813 | 22.47 | 390135 | 01.2353 | 21.67 | 390236 | 01.1865 | 16.40 | 400115 | 01.0700 | 08.58 | 420078 | 01.8491 | 21.18 |
| 390051 | 02.1743 | 25.65 | 390136 | 01.1261 | 15.10 | 390237 | 01.6160 | 19.08 | 400117 | 01.1921 | 09.36 | 420079 | 01.5774 | 19.07 |
| 390052 | 01.1794 | 15.47 | 390137 | 01.5138 | 16.40 | 390238 | 01.4870 | 18.78 | 400118 | 01.2634 | 10.06 | 420080 | 01.3760 | 24.17 |
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| ovider |  | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { mix } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 420082 | 01.5220 | 18.32 | 4400 | 01.3887 | 17.79 | 440135 | 01.2276 | 19.84 | 450039 | 01.4508 | 17.93 | 450150 | 00.9615 | 10.86 |
| 420083 | 01.2939 | 19.79 | 440012 | 01.6038 | 18.49 | 440137 | 01.0953 | 13.42 | 450040 | 01.5337 | 17.64 | 450151 | 01.1421 | 15.82 |
| 420085 | 01.4964 | 17.31 | 440014 | 00.9585 | 14.66 | 440141 | 01.0489 | 16.14 | 450042 | 01.7796 | 17.20 | 450152 | 01.2733 | 16.88 |
| 420086 | 01.4475 | 18.16 | 440015 | 01.7375 | 15.39 | 440142 | 01.0746 | 12.75 | 450044 | 01.5602 | 20.09 | 450153 | 01.5917 | 18.67 |
| 420087 | 01.6840 | 18.21 | 440016 | 01.0127 | 12.66 | 440143 | 01.0957 | 17.21 | 450046 | 01.4559 | 12.99 | 450154 | 01.1522 | 14.43 |
| 420088 | 01.1409 | 16.23 | 440017 | 01.7209 | 19.76 | 440144 | 01.2961 | 17.79 | 450047 | 01.1070 | 11.09 | 450155 | 01.0382 | 24.42 |
| 420089 | 01.2826 | 21.79 | 440018 | 01.3665 | 16.68 | 440145 | 00.9607 | 13.88 | 450050 | 00.9968 | 11.53 | 450157 | 01.1365 | 15.32 |
| 420091 | 01.2793 | 16.06 | 440019 | 01.6964 | 20.11 | 440147 | 01.5847 | 16.28 | 450051 | 01.6355 | 19.77 | 450160 | 00.9535 | 15.51 |
| 420093 | 01.0268 |  | 440020 | 01.2407 | 15.60 | 440148 | 01.1655 | 16.26 | 450052 | 01.0576 | 13.42 | 450162 | 01.2604 | 21.24 |
| 430004 | 01.1554 | 16.77 | 440023 | 01.1507 | 14.25 | 440149 | 01.1555 | 14.35 | 450053 | 01.0823 | 14.15 | 450163 | 01.0682 | 16.72 |
| 430005 | 01.3595 | 15.32 | 440024 | 01.3297 | 17.96 | 440150 | 01.3246 | 18.41 | 450054 | 01.6306 | 21.89 | 450164 | 01.2194 | 14.62 |
| 430007 | 01.0638 | 13.91 | 440025 | 01.2064 | 13.85 | 440151 | 01.3017 | 17.69 | 450055 | 01.0921 | 12.18 | 450165 | 01.0931 | 13.25 |
| 430008 | 01.1481 | 16.06 | 440029 | 01.3155 | 17.57 | 440152 | 01.8871 | 18.01 | 450056 | 01.6523 | 16.13 | 450166 | 00.9365 | 10.68 |
| 430010 | 01.1348 | 14.54 | 440030 | 01.2445 | 13.96 | 440153 | 01.2219 | 16.01 | 450058 | 01.6081 | 16.97 | 450169 | 00.7896 | 12.56 |
| 430011 | 01.2481 | 15.59 | 440031 | 01.0365 | 13.97 | 440156 | 01.5838 | 22.45 | 450059 | 01.3520 | 13.67 | 450170 | 00.9586 | 11.25 |
| 430012 | 01.3134 | 16.94 | 440032 | 01.0487 | 14.25 | 440157 | 01.0574 | 15.33 | 450063 | 00.9136 | 12.64 | 450176 | 01.3488 | 14.31 |
| 430013 | 01.2626 | 16.44 | 440033 | 01.1447 | 11.81 | 440159 | 01.3462 | 13.80 | 450064 | 01.4496 | 15.32 | 450177 | 01.2792 | 13.51 |
| 430014 | 01.3447 | 18.19 | 440034 | 01.5652 | 19.30 | 440161 | 01.9004 | 19.94 | 450065 | 01.1111 | 19.22 | 450178 | 00.9692 | 13.80 |
| 430015 | 01.1468 | 16.06 | 440035 | 01.2851 | 17.56 | 440166 | 01.6175 | 18.67 | 450068 | 01.8913 | 24.40 | 450181 | 01.0425 | 19.19 |
| 430016 | 01.8285 | 18.86 | 440039 | 01.7990 | 18.40 | 440168 | 01.0818 | 16.29 | 450072 | 01.2252 | 19.03 | 450184 | 01.5030 | 23.29 |
| 430018 | 00.9273 | 14.23 | 440040 | 01.0268 | 14.47 | 440173 | 01.6639 | 17.92 | 450073 | 01.2014 | 18.74 | 450185 | 01.0475 | 10.84 |
| 430022 | 00.9234 | 11.69 | 440041 | 01.0192 | 12.50 | 440174 | 01.0421 | 15.12 | 450076 | 01.6720 |  | 450187 | 01.2512 | 19.67 |
| 430023 | 00.9009 | 11.59 | 440046 | 01.2308 | 14.28 | 440175 | 01.1542 | 17.31 | 450078 | 00.9841 | 09.74 | 450188 | 01.0367 | 14.02 |
| 430024 | 01.0343 | 14.51 | 440047 | 00.9274 | 16.03 | 440176 | 01.4262 | 19.42 | 450079 | 01.4681 | 20.51 | 450191 | 01.0301 | 19.15 |
| 430027 | 01.7770 | 18.58 | 440048 | 01.8485 | 16.82 | 440178 | 01.2426 | 22.63 | 450080 | 01.2200 | 17.44 | 450192 | 01.2312 | 17.99 |
| 430028 | 01.0635 | 15.50 | 440049 | 01.6623 | 17.56 | 440180 | 01.2421 | 16.19 | 450081 | 01.0655 | 15.61 | 450193 | 02.0166 | 22.67 |
| 430029 | 01.0237 | 15.69 | 440050 | 01.3806 | 16.99 | 440181 | 01.0545 | 10.98 | 450082 | 01.0038 | 13.31 | 450194 | 01.2934 | 20.99 |
| 430031 | 00.9251 | 12.23 | 440051 | 00.9613 | 14.08 | 440182 | 00.9998 | 16.20 | 450083 | 01.7323 | 19.48 | 450196 | 01.4438 | 17.07 |
| 430033 | 00.9805 | 13.99 | 440052 | 01.1465 | 15.14 | 440183 | 01.5912 | 20.71 | 450085 | 01.0847 | 12.24 | 450200 | 01.4043 | 14.95 |
| 430034 | 01.0590 | 12.76 | 440053 | 01.3823 | 17.37 | 440184 | 01.3803 | 19.32 | 450087 | 01.4908 | 17.64 | 450201 | 01.0004 | 17.33 |
| 430036 | 01.0975 | 12.56 | 440054 | 01.1902 | 13.52 | 440185 | 01.2481 | 18.83 | 450090 | 01.2450 | 13.44 | 450203 | 01.2382 | 18.28 |
| 430037 | 00.8770 | 14.57 | 440056 | 01.1204 | 14.40 | 440186 | 01.0953 | 17.87 | 450092 | 01.2228 | 12.47 | 450209 | 01.5951 | 18.25 |
| 430038 | 00.9865 | 11.26 | 440057 | 01.0459 | 12.35 | 440187 | 01.2081 | 15.76 | 450094 | 01.3052 |  | 450210 | 01.1066 | 13.17 |
| 430040 | 01.0299 | 13.59 | 440058 | 01.2301 | 15.98 | 440189 | 01.5755 | 18.56 | 450096 | 01.4605 | 16.91 | 450211 | 01.3831 | 16.37 |
| 430041 | 00.9403 | 14.87 | 440059 | 01.3550 | 13.94 | 440192 | 01.2296 | 16.54 | 450097 | 01.4472 | 18.03 | 450213 | 01.6843 | 16.75 |
| 430043 | 01.1676 | 12.87 | 440060 | 01.2762 | 16.56 | 440193 | 01.2803 | 17.93 | 450098 | 01.1799 | 16.58 | 450214 | 01.3531 | 19.24 |
| 430044 | 00.8239 | 16.48 | 440061 | 01.2361 | 17.43 | 440194 | 01.2787 | 22.50 | 450099 | 01.2415 | 17.53 | 450217 | 01.0704 | 11.12 |
| 430047 | 01.0575 | 14.80 | 440063 | 01.6979 | 18.02 | 440197 | 01.3863 | 19.25 | 450101 | 01.4681 | 16.40 | 450219 | 01.1743 | 12.93 |
| 430048 | 01.2187 | 17.49 | 440064 | 01.1639 | 17.44 | 440200 | 01.1095 | 16.93 | 450102 | 01.7052 | 17.78 | 450221 | 01.2410 | 19.52 |
| 430049 | 00.8976 | 13.24 | 440065 | 01.2574 | 19.20 | 440203 | 00.9488 | 14.18 | 450104 | 01.1807 | 14.62 | 450222 | 01.5738 | 17.18 |
| 430051 | 00.9900 | 16.00 | 440067 | 01.2538 | 17.02 | 440205 | 01.1295 | 14.78 | 450107 | 01.6561 | 19.78 | 45022 | 01.3931 | 21.57 |
| 430054 | 01.0254 | 13.60 | 440068 | 01.2810 | 17.51 | 440206 | 01.0269 | 17.93 | 450108 | 00.9943 | 13.51 | 450229 | 01.6431 | 15.88 |
| 430056 | 00.8484 | 13.33 | 440070 | 01.0737 | 15.47 | 440210 | 00.8638 |  | 450109 | 00.9201 | 14.10 | 450231 | 01.6402 | 17.02 |
| 430057 | 00.8887 | 13.52 | 440071 | 01.3827 | 15.29 | 440211 | 00.8634 |  | 450110 | 01.3519 | 18.61 | 450234 | 01.0158 | 11.70 |
| 430060 | 00.9648 | 09.05 | 440072 | 01.4283 | 17.03 | 450002 | 01.5007 | 67 | 450111 | 01.2674 | 19.21 | 450235 | 01.0278 | 13.81 |
| 430064 | 01.1062 | 13.30 | 440073 | 01.3083 | 18.15 | 450004 | 01.1706 | 13.46 | 450112 | 01.3283 | 14.83 | 450236 | 01.1414 | 12.89 |
| 430066 | 00.9328 | 12.75 | 440078 | 01.0126 | 12.13 | 450005 | 01.2847 | 14.90 | 450113 | 01.2951 | 16.69 | 450237 | 01.5569 | 16.22 |
| 430073 | 01.0259 | 15.30 | 440081 | 01.1637 | 14.99 | 450007 | 01.2371 | 18.19 | 450118 | 01.5992 | 18.24 | 450239 | 01.0932 | 16.23 |
| 430076 | 00.9397 | 11.72 | 440082 | 02.0438 | 21.84 | 450008 | 01.3035 | 15.35 | 450119 | 01.4448 | 19.05 | 450241 | 00.9370 | 17.05 |
| 430077 | 01.6490 | 17.05 | 440083 | 01.1524 | 12.07 | 450010 | 01.3484 | 15.69 | 450121 | 01.5409 | 18.89 | 450243 | 00.9835 | 11.45 |
| 430079 | 00.9894 | 13.32 | 440084 | 01.1534 | 13.82 | 450011 | 01.5105 | 16.02 | 450123 | 01.1160 | 18.35 | 450249 | 00.9517 | 10.86 |
| 430081 | 00.8564 |  | 440091 | 01.6220 | 18.42 | 450014 | 01.0623 | 15.48 | 450124 | 01.7023 | 18.45 | 450250 | 00.9991 | 15.66 |
| 430082 | 00.9185 |  | 440100 | 01.0732 | 14.88 | 450015 | 01.6551 | 16.86 | 450126 | 01.4337 | 17.01 | 450253 | 01.1681 | 12.65 |
| 430083 | 00.7926 |  | 440102 | 01.1389 | 13.79 | 450016 | 01.5914 | 18.01 | 450128 | 01.2114 | 13.18 | 450258 | 01.0492 | 12.74 |
| 430084 | 00.8631 |  | 440103 | 01.2114 | 17.04 | 450018 | 01.4744 | 20.02 | 450130 | 01.4736 | 18.04 | 450264 | 00.8597 | 15.18 |
| 430085 | 00.8586 |  | 440104 | 01.6329 | 18.95 | 450020 | 00.9726 | 16.92 | 450131 | 01.2712 | 20.21 | 450269 | 01.0555 | 15.78 |
| 430087 | 00.7737 | 10.24 | 440105 | 01.5362 | 15.40 | 450021 | 01.8369 | 20.79 | 450132 | 01.6805 | 17.53 | 450270 | 01.2103 | 11.06 |
| 430089 | 00.8702 |  | 440109 | 01.1650 | 13.89 | 450023 | 01.4090 | 17.41 | 450133 | 01.6198 | 14.09 | 450271 | 01.2446 | 15.37 |
| 430090 | 01.6368 |  | 440110 | 01.0533 | 16.25 | 450024 | 01.3806 | 17.30 | 450135 | 01.6577 | 19.58 | 450272 | 01.3032 | 15.86 |
| 430091 | 01.2774 |  | 440111 | 01.3627 | 20.00 | 450025 | 01.4884 | 16.75 | 450137 | 01.5282 | 21.67 | 450276 | 01.0699 | 12.98 |
| 440001 | 01.1359 | 14.55 | 440114 | 01.0912 | 14.77 | 450028 | 01.5646 | 18.21 | 450140 | 00.9498 | 11.63 | 450278 | 00.9644 | 12.52 |
| 440002 | 01.6162 | 17.64 | 440115 | 01.0532 | 15.54 | 450029 | 01.5963 | 15.23 | 450143 | 00.9918 | 12.21 | 450280 | 01.5125 | 18.38 |
| 440003 | 01.2559 | 17.39 | 440120 | 01.5957 | 18.89 | 450031 | 01.4996 | 18.63 | 450144 | 01.0331 | 12.01 | 450283 | 01.0389 | 12.79 |
| 440006 | 01.4841 | 18.92 | 440125 | 01.5453 | 18.50 | 450032 | 01.3522 | 13.79 | 450145 | 00.8532 | 14.34 | 450288 | 01.1750 | 15.16 |
| 440007 | 01.0194 | 10.84 | 440130 | 01.1768 | 14.86 | 450033 | 01.6513 | 17.18 | 450146 | 01.0084 | 23.62 | 450289 | 01.4006 | 17.39 |
| 440008 | 00.9915 | 14.52 | 440131 | 01.1562 | 14.49 | 450034 | 01.6287 | 18.76 | 450147 | 01.3928 | 16.89 | 450292 | 01.1576 | 19.69 |
| 440009 | 01.2565 | 14.35 | 440132 | 01.1233 | 13.67 | 450035 | 01.4187 | 19.20 | 450148 | 01.2800 | 19.65 | 450293 | 00.9323 | 12.72 |
| 440010 | 00.9659 | 12.64 | 440133 | 01.5603 | 19.98 | 450037 | 01.6096 | 18.97 | 450149 | 01.5185 | 19.99 | 450296 | 01.4152 | 19.20 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 450299 | 01.4072 | 17.64 | 508 | 01.3603 | 17.56 | 450666 | 01.3312 | 17.90 | 450795 | 01.1350 | 11 | 470023 | 1.2895 | 20.23 |
| 450303 | 01.0154 | 09.91 | 450514 | 01.1700 | 21.10 | 450668 | 01.5943 | 20.06 | 450796 | 01.1114 | 18.43 | 470024 | 01.1727 | 19.52 |
| 450306 | 01.3057 | 13.64 | 450517 | 00.9399 | 10.56 | 450669 | 01.4186 | 18.58 | 450797 | 00.6077 | 20.39 | 490001 | 01.1946 | 22.18 |
| 450307 | 00.8801 | 14.50 | 450518 | 01.5820 | 18.69 | 450670 | 01.3482 | 19.53 | 450798 | 00.8050 | 13.86 | 490002 | 01.1337 | 13.48 |
| 450309 | 01.0743 | 11.89 | 450523 | 01.5399 | 20.21 | 450672 | 01.6957 | 15.51 | 450801 | 01.4763 | 15.51 | 490003 | 00.6057 | 17.48 |
| 450315 | 01.0586 | 19.19 | 450530 | 01.2367 | 14.42 | 450673 | 01.0679 | 13.71 | 450802 | 01.3938 | 21.70 | 490004 | 01.2252 | 17.71 |
| 450320 | 01.2414 | 18.72 | 450534 | 00.9886 | 15.40 | 450674 | 01.2022 | 19.92 | 450803 | 00.9037 | 14.23 | 490005 | 01.5926 | 15.95 |
| 450321 | 00.9614 | 13.82 | 450535 | 01.2414 | 21.39 | 450675 | 01.4594 | 18.09 | 450804 | 01.7378 | 18.83 | 490006 | 01.1499 | 14.40 |
| 450322 | 00.6639 | 17.10 | 450537 | 01.3383 | 20.33 | 450677 | 01.3331 | 18.92 | 450807 | 00.8978 | 09.72 | 490007 | 02.0606 | 17.85 |
| 450324 | 01.6384 | 16.95 | 450539 | 01.4022 | 16.04 | 450678 | 01.4407 | 20.79 | 450808 | 01.2265 | 20.55 | 490009 | 01.9210 | 21.78 |
| 450327 | 01.0202 | 15.94 | 450544 | 01.2272 | 18.82 | 450683 | 01.3459 | 16.70 | 450809 | 01.6064 | 11.29 | 490010 | 01.1786 | 18.22 |
| 450330 | 01.1889 | 17.95 | 450545 | 01.2791 | 10.16 | 450684 | 01.2082 | 18.70 | 450810 | 00.9015 |  | 490011 | 01.4566 | 17.62 |
| 450334 | 01.0427 | 12.16 | 450547 | 01.1421 | 14.03 | 450686 | 01.5023 | 14.59 | 450811 | 02.1718 |  | 490012 | 01.2121 | 13.77 |
| 450337 | 01.1368 | 15.71 | 450551 | 01.0935 | 11.37 | 450688 | 01.3506 | 18.63 | 450812 | 01.4107 |  | 490013 | 01.2228 | 16.47 |
| 450340 | 01.4648 | 13.10 | 450558 | 01.8402 | 18.19 | 450690 | 01.4263 | 17.85 | 450813 | 00.9625 |  | 490014 | 01.5159 | 22.68 |
| 450341 | 01.0639 | 17.56 | 450561 | 01.6276 | 17.05 | 450694 | 01.1099 | 20.41 | 460001 | 01.7571 | 20.72 | 490015 | 01.4427 | 21.35 |
| 450346 | 01.5308 | 16.52 | 450563 | 01.2546 | 26.74 | 450696 | 01.8786 | 18.73 | 460003 | 01.6596 | 13.31 | 490017 | 01.3665 | 14.05 |
| 450347 | 01.1688 | 17.43 | 450565 | 01.2517 | 16.37 | 450697 | 01.5484 | 15.64 | 460004 | 01.7671 | 21.27 | 490018 | 01.3418 | 17.01 |
| 450348 | 01.0269 | 11.60 | 450570 | 01.0924 | 15.62 | 450698 | 00.9596 | 13.36 | 460005 | 01.6688 | 17.23 | 490019 | 01.2321 | 16.49 |
| 450351 | 01.2346 | 20.05 | 450571 | 01.4622 | 16.04 | 450700 | 01.0540 | 13.52 | 460006 | 01.3436 | 19.96 | 490020 | 01.2247 | 16.07 |
| 450352 | 01.2368 | 17.88 | 450573 | 01.0277 | 13.94 | 450702 | 01.5379 | 17.73 | 460007 | 01.4903 | 20.38 | 490021 | 01.3831 | 18.08 |
| 450353 | 01.2532 | 18.38 | 450574 | 00.9377 | 11.77 | 450703 | 01.5073 | 10.03 | 460008 | 01.4270 | 16.77 | 490022 | 01.4805 | 20.25 |
| 450355 | 01.1328 | 14.56 | 450575 | 01.0523 | 17.94 | 450704 | 01.3187 | 18.39 | 460009 | 01.8533 | 20.44 | 490023 | 01.2675 | 18.77 |
| 450358 | 02.0759 | 22.13 | 450578 | 00.9641 | 14.60 | 450705 | 00.8680 | 17.81 | 460010 | 02.0765 | 21.33 | 490024 | 01.8219 | 17.17 |
| 450362 | 01.0834 | 14.11 | 450580 | 01.1420 | 14.05 | 450706 | 01.3743 | 20.77 | 460011 | 01.4411 | 15.69 | 490027 | 01.1416 | 14.52 |
| 450369 | 01.0290 | 11.76 | 450583 | 01.0040 | 11.81 | 450709 | 01.2530 | 18.28 | 460013 | 01.4727 | 18.36 | 490030 | 01.1740 | 11.44 |
| 450370 | 01.1810 | 09.42 | 450584 | 01.1354 | 12.88 | 450711 | 01.6382 | 26.65 | 460014 | 01.3196 | 16.46 | 490031 | 01.1290 | 13.85 |
| 450371 | 01.3147 | 12.05 | 450586 | 01.0874 | 12.54 | 450712 | 00.7382 | 11.77 | 460015 | 01.2639 | 19.92 | 490032 | 01.7735 | 19.88 |
| 450372 | 01.2321 | 21.35 | 450587 | 01.2170 | 17.55 | 450713 | 01.5244 | 20.73 | 460016 | 00.9270 | 16.64 | 490033 | 01.1962 | 17.39 |
| 450373 | 01.1823 | 18.71 | 450591 | 01.2310 | 17.41 | 450715 | 01.4406 | 18.46 | 460017 | 01.4957 | 17.56 | 490035 | 01.0236 | 07.57 |
| 450374 | 00.9860 | 12.21 | 450596 | 01.3163 | 18.97 | 450716 | 01.3997 | 19.33 | 460018 | 00.9784 | 16.10 | 490037 | 01.1888 | 14.88 |
| 450378 | 01.0667 | 21.41 | 450597 | 01.0268 | 13.68 | 450717 | 01.3232 | 22.11 | 460019 | 01.1733 | 16.25 | 490038 | 01.2703 | 14.98 |
| 450379 | 01.5480 | 20.94 | 450603 | 00.7219 | 14.21 | 450718 | 01.2781 | 17.49 | 460020 | 00.9866 | 17.05 | 490040 | 01.4415 | 21.70 |
| 450381 | 01.0325 | 13.87 | 450604 | 01.3496 | 14.64 | 450723 | 01.4075 | 18.75 | 460021 | 01.3876 | 20.12 | 490041 | 01.2682 | 16.01 |
| 450388 | 01.8150 | 15.21 | 450605 | 01.2166 | 16.69 | 450724 | 01.3091 | 18.28 | 460022 | 00.9246 | 18.19 | 490042 | 01.3042 | 16.38 |
| 450389 | 01.2994 | 14.80 | 450609 | 00.8719 | 12.26 | 450725 | 01.0043 | 19.85 | 460023 | 01.2160 | 20.38 | 490043 | 01.3803 | 19.82 |
| 450393 | 01.3200 | 11.86 | 450610 | 01.4645 | 18.06 | 450727 | 01.0811 | 16.87 | 460025 | 00.8007 | 20.08 | 490044 | 01.3514 | 17.17 |
| 450395 | 01.0597 | 16.54 | 450614 | 01.0531 | 12.79 | 450728 | 00.8837 | 07.46 | 460026 | 01.0552 | 17.32 | 490045 | 01.2228 | 19.98 |
| 450399 | 00.9655 | 11.15 | 450615 | 01.1326 | 12.36 | 450730 | 01.2614 | 21.03 | 460027 | 00.8883 | 20.44 | 490046 | 01.5215 | 17.89 |
| 450400 | 01.1933 | 13.63 | 450617 | 01.3492 | 19.91 | 450733 | 01.6021 | 15.09 | 460029 | 01.0308 | 17.00 | 490047 | 01.1505 | 16.65 |
| 450403 | 01.3197 | 19.63 | 450620 | 01.1109 | 12.27 | 450735 | 00.9833 | 13.78 | 460030 | 01.1423 | 16.55 | 490048 | 01.5931 | 17.94 |
| 450411 | 00.9264 | 13.09 | 450623 | 01.2008 | 18.97 | 450742 | 01.2757 | 20.17 | 460032 | 01.0597 | 19.39 | 490050 | 01.4805 | 20.95 |
| 450417 | 01.2299 | 15.17 | 450626 | 01.0125 | 16.38 | 450743 | 01.4277 | 17.77 | 460033 | 00.9172 | 17.19 | 490052 | 01.6347 | 16.26 |
| 450418 | 01.4876 | 21.54 | 450628 | 00.9890 | 17.19 | 450746 | 01.0074 | 14.71 | 460035 | 00.9441 | 12.43 | 490053 | 01.3129 | 15.12 |
| 450419 | 01.2224 | 20.33 | 450630 | 01.6105 | 19.66 | 450747 | 01.3436 | 17.58 | 460036 | 01.0266 | 20.56 | 490054 | 01.0153 | 15.45 |
| 450422 | 00.8593 | 25.07 | 450631 | 01.6903 | 13.59 | 450749 | 00.9909 | 14.54 | 460037 | 00.9572 | 18.38 | 490057 | 01.5481 | 18.87 |
| 450423 | 01.4768 | 22.62 | 450632 | 01.0398 | 11.43 | 450750 | 01.0134 | 12.54 | 460039 | 01.0909 | 23.84 | 490059 | 01.6281 | 19.99 |
| 450424 | 01.2921 | 16.39 | 450633 | 01.5622 | 12.13 | 450751 | 01.3102 | 19.24 | 460041 | 01.3319 | 20.51 | 490060 | 01.1169 | 18.19 |
| 450429 | 01.0852 | 12.33 | 450634 | 01.7215 | 23.78 | 450754 | 00.9192 | 13.20 | 460042 | 01.4554 | 14.11 | 490063 | 01.7955 | 23.28 |
| 450431 | 01.6026 | 18.46 | 450638 | 01.5546 | 25.20 | 450755 | 01.1391 | 17.26 | 460043 | 00.9829 | 21.91 | 490066 | 01.2905 | 20.77 |
| 450438 | 01.2764 | 13.12 | 450639 | 01.4457 | 23.25 | 450757 | 00.9009 | 13.23 | 460044 | 01.1823 | 20.42 | 490067 | 01.2750 | 16.60 |
| 450446 | 00.7248 | 15.16 | 450641 | 01.0829 | 17.56 | 450758 | 01.9407 | 19.90 | 460046 | 01.9599 | 17.71 | 490069 | 01.4205 | 14.56 |
| 450447 | 01.3800 | 17.19 | 450643 | 01.2095 | 15.10 | 450760 | 01.2017 | 18.55 | 460047 | 01.7392 | 19.91 | 490071 | 01.4266 | 17.71 |
| 450451 | 01.1660 | 15.20 | 450644 | 01.5151 | 18.19 | 450761 | 01.0213 | 11.87 | 460049 | 02.0096 | 19.97 | 490073 | 01.4914 | 22.82 |
| 450457 | 01.7808 | 18.77 | 450646 | 01.5429 | 20.32 | 450763 | 00.9975 | 17.58 | 460050 | 01.3199 | 19.33 | 490074 | 01.4074 | 17.39 |
| 450460 | 01.0157 | 12.81 | 450647 | 01.9096 | 20.84 | 450766 | 02.0886 | 21.59 | 460051 | 01.2227 | 13.29 | 490075 | 01.4408 | 18.79 |
| 450462 | 01.7455 | 16.26 | 450648 | 00.9381 | 12.65 | 450769 | 00.8730 | 11.77 | 470001 | 01.2556 | 20.25 | 490077 | 01.2421 | 19.03 |
| 450464 | 01.0024 | 12.89 | 450649 | 00.9870 | 14.53 | 450770 | 01.0213 | 15.47 | 470003 | 01.8563 | 19.92 | 490079 | 01.3591 | 15.64 |
| 450465 | 01.3399 | 15.41 | 450651 | 01.7586 | 19.35 | 450771 | 01.7967 | 16.42 | 470004 | 01.1211 | 15.87 | 490084 | 01.2514 | 16.34 |
| 450467 | 00.9850 | 17.15 | 450652 | 00.8798 | 14.52 | 450774 | 01.6108 | 20.17 | 470005 | 01.2357 | 21.12 | 490085 | 01.2505 | 15.31 |
| 450469 | 01.4058 | 19.15 | 450653 | 01.1829 | 16.63 | 450775 | 01.3187 | 41.14 | 470006 | 01.2066 | 17.97 | 490088 | 01.1793 | 16.50 |
| 450473 | 01.0205 | 14.61 | 450654 | 00.9596 | 10.61 | 450776 | 00.9848 | 10.16 | 470008 | 01.2542 | 17.91 | 490089 | 01.1277 | 16.41 |
| 450475 | 01.1210 | 13.56 | 450656 | 01.4624 | 18.35 | 450777 | 00.9836 | 16.72 | 470010 | 01.1439 | 19.71 | 490090 | 01.1658 | 16.31 |
| 450484 | 01.4951 | 19.64 | 450658 | 00.9767 | 12.49 | 450779 | 01.2890 | 22.50 | 470011 | 01.1753 | 20.37 | 490091 | 01.2201 | 19.80 |
| 450488 | 01.3238 | 17.72 | 450659 | 01.5010 | 21.19 | 450780 | 01.6074 | 16.21 | 470012 | 01.2872 | 18.28 | 490092 | 01.2429 | 15.01 |
| 450489 | 01.0359 | 13.90 | 450661 | 01.1973 | 21.13 | 450785 | 00.9638 | 18.31 | 470015 | 01.1589 | 19.34 | 490093 | 01.3892 | 15.78 |
| 450497 | 01.1631 | 14.82 | 450662 | 01.6029 | 16.56 | 450788 | 01.5172 | 16.06 | 470018 | 01.2011 | 20.89 | 490094 | 01.1193 | 16.40 |
| 450498 . | 00.9818 | 12.66 | 450665 | 00.9015 | 13.23 | 450794 | 01.4587 | 16.66 | 470020 | 00.9543 | 16.28 | 490095 | 01.4744 | 17.31 |
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| Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage | Provider | Case mix index | Avg. hour wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 490097 | 01.2401 | 15.08 | 500055 | 01.1102 | 22.34 | 510030 | 01.0609 | 15.76 | 520045 | 01.6699 | 18.60 | 520144 | 01.0176 | 16.36 |
| 490098 | 01.2771 | 13.23 | 500057 | 01.2911 | 17.73 | 510031 | 01.4605 | 16.76 | 520047 | 00.9944 | 17.42 | 520145 | 00.9470 | 16.85 |
| 490099 | 00.9704 | 16.66 | 500058 | 01.5107 | 21.64 | 510033 | 01.3690 | 16.31 | 520048 | 01.4624 | 18.04 | 520146 | 01.0694 | 15.76 |
| 490100 | 01.5522 | 18.36 | 500059 | 01.0873 | 22.72 | 510035 | 01.3504 | 18.82 | 520049 | 01.9631 | 19.12 | 520148 | 01.1567 | 16.73 |
| 490101 | 01.2218 | 23.44 | 500060 | 01.4688 | 23.67 | 510036 | 01.0367 | 12.45 | 520051 | 01.8043 | 15.77 | 520149 | 00.9333 | 12.72 |
| 490104 | 00.8484 | 21.14 | 500061 | 01.0054 | 20.43 | 510038 | 01.1249 | 14.36 | 520053 | 01.1564 | 15.87 | 520151 | 01.0435 | 16.58 |
| 490105 | 00.5902 | 30.04 | 500062 | 01.1028 | 19.07 | 510039 | 01.3356 | 15.69 | 520054 | 01.0412 | 19.44 | 520152 | 01.1259 | 17.97 |
| 490106 | 00.8464 | 21.07 | 500064 | 01.6849 | 24.85 | 510043 | 00.9429 | 14.14 | 520057 | 01.1771 | 18.10 | 520153 | 00.9590 | 14.95 |
| 490107 | 01.3556 | 22.35 | 500065 | 01.2258 | 20.87 | 510046 | 01.3048 | 17.25 | 520058 | 01.1268 | 20.40 | 520154 | 01.1615 | 18.07 |
| 490108 | 00.9494 | 19.84 | 500068 | 01.0622 | 18.61 | 510047 | 01.2964 | 18.83 | 520059 | 01.3542 | 19.76 | 520156 | 01.1721 | 19.10 |
| 490109 | 00.9167 | 20.38 | 500069 | 01.1722 | 19.05 | 510048 | 01.1292 | 18.03 | 520060 | 01.4225 | 17.08 | 520157 | 01.0942 | 15.30 |
| 490110 | 01.3455 | 15.76 | 500071 | 01.3952 | 20.91 | 510050 | 01.6030 | 16.38 | 520062 | 01.3120 | 17.21 | 520159 | 00.9415 | 19.52 |
| 490111 | 01.2018 | 15.96 | 500072 | 01.2463 | 24.49 | 510053 | 01.0108 | 14.63 | 520063 | 01.2008 | 19.95 | 520160 | 01.7765 | 19.26 |
| 490112 | 01.6587 | 19.70 | 500073 | 01.0093 | 18.07 | 510055 | 01.2826 | 22.31 | 520064 | 01.5671 | 20.70 | 520161 | 01.0404 | 17.96 |
| 490113 | 01.2995 | 22.73 | 500074 | 01.0970 | 18.46 | 510058 | 01.2636 | 17.21 | 520066 | 01.5292 | 19.84 | 520170 | 01.2542 | 21.23 |
| 490114 | 01.1138 | 15.90 | 500077 | 01.3337 | 22.82 | 510059 | 02.4160 | 15.98 | 520068 | 00.9889 | 18.59 | 520171 | 00.9070 | 14.86 |
| 490115 | 01.1964 | 16.62 | 500079 | 01.3407 | 21.42 | 510060 | 01.0691 | 15.10 | 520069 | 01.1861 | 18.14 | 520173 | 01.1585 | 19.58 |
| 490116 | 01.1887 | 16.24 | 500080 | 00.8399 | 13.35 | 510061 | 01.0314 | 13.59 | 520070 | 01.5734 | 17.44 | 520177 | 01.6324 | 19.38 |
| 490117 | 01.1938 | 10.57 | 500084 | 01.2536 | 21.57 | 510062 | 01.2784 | 17.15 | 520071 | 01.2420 | 18.44 | 520178 | 01.1172 | 16.98 |
| 490118 | 01.7261 | 20.56 | 500085 | 01.0506 | 18.46 | 510066 | 01.1573 | 13.24 | 520074 | 01.0372 | 16.81 | 520187 | 00.2986 |  |
| 490119 | 01.4062 | 17.02 | 500086 | 01.3459 | 21.47 | 510067 | 01.1882 | 16.39 | 520075 | 01.4602 | 18.96 | 530002 | 01.2253 | 21.84 |
| 490120 | 01.3763 | 17.93 | 500088 | 01.3211 | 23.74 | 510068 | 01.1347 | 15.46 | 520076 | 01.1673 | 16.36 | 530003 | 00.8835 | 14.70 |
| 490122 | 01.4040 | 22.46 | 500089 | 01.0985 | 16.55 | 510070 | 01.3876 | 15.31 | 520077 | 00.9774 | 14.51 | 530004 | 00.9574 | 14.14 |
| 490123 | 01.1230 | 15.45 | 500090 | 00.9182 | 14.04 | 510071 | 01.3472 | 15.76 | 520078 | 01.6274 | 18.24 | 530005 | 01.0465 | 14.61 |
| 490124 | 01.1222 | 15.81 | 500092 | 00.9896 | 19.29 | 510072 | 01.0515 | 13.30 | 520082 | 01.2908 | 17.60 | 530006 | 01.1196 | 20.18 |
| 490126 | 01.4055 | 16.47 | 500094 | 00.9176 | 17.96 | 510077 | 01.1535 | 15.63 | 520083 | 01.7091 | 21.38 | 530007 | 01.1095 | 14.87 |
| 490127 | 01.0287 | 16.05 | 500096 | 01.0080 | 18.80 | 510080 | 01.2046 | 16.32 | 520084 | 01.0866 | 17.62 | 530008 | 01.2996 | 13.79 |
| 490129 | 01.0607 | 23.65 | 500097 | 01.1573 | 19.47 | 510081 | 01.1996 | 13.50 | 520087 | 01.7203 | 18.61 | 530009 | 00.9922 | 18.12 |
| 490130 | 01.2347 | 15.72 | 500098 | 01.0903 | 14.96 | 510082 | 01.2149 | 13.50 | 520088 | 01.2637 | 18.97 | 530010 | 01.2158 | 18.65 |
| 490132 | 01.0026 |  | 500101 | 00.9755 | 19.08 | 510084 | 00.9664 | 12.91 | 520089 | 01.4904 | 20.44 | 530011 | 01.1586 | 17.22 |
| 500001 | 01.4111 | 21.97 | 500102 | 00.9657 | 20.71 | 510085 | 01.3282 | 17.98 | 520090 | 01.2889 | 17.51 | 530012 | 01.5605 | 18.08 |
| 500002 | 01.4114 | 21.64 | 500104 | 01.1802 | 22.63 | 510086 | 01.1820 | 13.59 | 520091 | 01.3199 | 19.68 | 530014 | 01.4027 | 19.27 |
| 500003 | 01.4119 | 24.03 | 500106 | 00.9602 | 19.85 | 520002 | 01.2720 | 18.86 | 520092 | 01.1556 | 16.83 | 530015 | 01.2690 | 19.02 |
| 500005 | 01.8033 | 21.24 | 500107 | 01.2297 | 16.68 | 520003 | 01.0633 | 15.78 | 520094 | 00.7870 | 19.19 | 530016 | 01.2999 | 17.19 |
| 500007 | 01.3070 | 23.24 | 500108 | 01.7227 | 20.48 | 520004 | 01.1862 | 18.46 | 520095 | 01.3843 | 19.38 | 530017 | 00.8709 | 15.80 |
| 500008 | 01.9296 | 25.09 | 500110 | 01.1878 | 20.80 | 520006 | 01.0492 | 20.59 | 520096 | 01.3993 | 18.60 | 530018 | 01.0972 | 16.71 |
| 500011 | 01.3263 | 22.98 | 500118 | 01.1808 | 22.66 | 520007 | 01.0781 | 14.87 | 520097 | 01.2965 | 19.05 | 530019 | 01.0350 | 11.26 |
| 500012 | 01.5418 | 22.34 | 500119 | 01.3050 | 21.86 | 520008 | 01.6437 | 22.59 | 520098 | 01.8306 | 20.96 | 530022 | 01.1106 | 17.60 |
| 500014 | 01.5358 | 22.94 | 500122 | 01.2794 | 22.76 | 520009 | 01.6467 | 18.07 | 520100 | 01.2826 | 18.08 | 530023 | 00.8946 | 19.55 |
| 500015 | 01.4382 | 22.41 | 500123 | 00.8946 | 16.33 | 520010 | 01.2081 | 20.01 | 520101 | 01.0947 | 17.84 | 530025 | 01.2196 | 21.13 |
| 500016 | 01.5256 | 24.13 | 500124 | 01.3290 | 23.72 | 520011 | 01.2493 | 19.33 | 520102 | 01.1586 | 09.85 | 530026 | 01.1680 | 21.55 |
| 500019 | 01.3845 | 22.33 | 500125 | 01.1430 | 15.98 | 520013 .. | 01.3654 | 19.29 | 520103 | 01.3295 | 18.39 | 530027 | 00.9464 | 32.50 |
| 500021 | 01.4791 | 18.72 | 500129 | 01.7655 | 23.34 | 520014 ..... | 01.1483 | 16.47 | 520107 | 01.3313 | 18.69 | 530029 | 01.0347 | 14.86 |
| 500023 | 01.2237 | 21.48 | 500132 | 00.9488 | 17.26 | 520015 | 01.1656 | 17.59 | 520109 | 00.9890 | 18.27 | 530031 | 00.8621 | 18.36 |
| 500024 | 01.6929 | 25.17 | 500134 | 00.5730 | 17.47 | 520016 | 01.1202 | 12.53 | 520110 | 01.2401 | 18.59 | 530032 | 01.0887 | 20.69 |
| 500025 | 01.8624 | 25.48 | 500138 | 06.3328 |  | 520017 | 01.1603 | 18.49 | 520111 | 00.9933 | 17.44 |  |  |  |
| 500026 | 01.4298 | 24.13 | 500139 | 01.4946 | 20.62 | 520018 .... | 01.1396 | 17.51 | 520112 | 01.1309 | 17.67 |  |  |  |
| 500027 | 01.6083 | 25.89 | 500141 | 01.3409 | 22.31 | 520019 ..... | 01.3102 | 19.27 | 520113 | 01.2560 | 19.14 |  |  |  |
| 500028 | 01.1018 | 17.84 | 500143 | 00.5980 | 15.77 | 520021 ..... | 01.3145 | 19.71 | 520114 | 01.1466 | 15.59 |  |  |  |
| 500029 | 00.9778 | 17.28 | 500146 | 01.1943 | 17.52 | 520024 | 01.1085 | 13.94 | 520115 | 01.2493 | 17.57 |  |  |  |
| 500030 | 01.4685 | 23.64 | 510001 | 01.8062 | 18.22 | 520025 | 01.1185 | 16.59 | 520116 | 01.2386 | 19.24 |  |  |  |
| 500031 | 01.3076 | 22.42 | 510002 | 01.3476 | 17.07 | 520026 | 01.0738 | 18.95 | 520117 | 01.0212 | 17.30 |  |  |  |
| 500033 | 01.3568 | 20.98 | 510005 | 00.9799 | 14.53 | 520027 | 01.2317 | 20.05 | 520118 | 00.8786 | 12.73 |  |  |  |
| 500036 | 01.3789 | 20.93 | 510006 | 01.2876 | 17.40 | 520028 | 01.4023 | 20.17 | 520120 | 00.8917 | 16.22 |  |  |  |
| 500037 | 01.1777 | 20.35 | 510007 | 01.5321 | 19.91 | 520029 . | 00.9252 | 17.80 | 520121 | 00.9810 | 16.30 |  |  |  |
| 500039 | 01.3856 | 22.97 | 510008 | 01.2363 | 16.30 | 520030 | 01.6637 | 20.22 | 520122 | 01.0140 | 16.52 |  |  |  |
| 500041 | 01.2891 | 24.11 | 510012 | 01.0194 | 15.51 | 520031 | 01.1181 | 15.70 | 520123 | 01.0617 | 17.45 |  |  |  |
| 500042 | 01.4113 | 21.93 | 510013 | 01.1629 | 16.85 | 520032 | 01.1645 | 16.87 | 520124 | 01.0920 | 16.50 |  |  |  |
| 500043 | 01.0687 | 19.43 | 510015 | 01.0179 | 13.81 | 520033 | 01.2055 | 17.42 | 520130 | 01.0256 | 14.89 |  |  |  |
| 500044 | 01.9209 | 23.59 | 510018 | 01.1368 | 14.07 | 520034 | 01.0827 | 17.18 | 520131 | 01.0431 | 17.56 |  |  |  |
| 500045 | 01.0517 | 22.10 | 510020 | 01.0662 | 12.22 | 520035 | 01.3492 | 17.15 | 520132 | 01.1994 | 17.01 |  |  |  |
| 500048 ..... | 00.9665 | 19.03 | 510022 ..... | 01.8733 | 19.32 | 520037 ..... | 01.6601 | 19.33 | 520134 | 01.0791 | 16.37 |  |  |  |
| 500049 ..... | 01.5515 | 22.21 | 510023 ..... | 01.2461 | 15.36 | 520038 ..... | 01.3396 | 17.69 | 520135 | 00.9793 | 24.20 |  |  |  |
| 500050 ..... | 01.3757 | 20.94 | 510024 | 01.4907 | 18.04 | 520039 ..... | 01.0178 | 18.09 | 520136 | 01.5411 | 19.31 |  |  |  |
| 500051 ..... | 01.6476 | 24.14 | 510026 | 01.0369 | 13.05 | 520040 .... | 01.4388 | 19.39 | 520138 | 01.8963 | 19.63 |  |  |  |
| 500052 | 01.2052 |  | 510027 | 00.9899 | 16.49 | 520041 .. | 01.1377 | 15.58 | 520139 | 01.2903 | 20.36 |  |  |  |
| 500053 . | 01.3356 | 21.20 | 510028 | 01.1102 | 14.91 | 520042 ..... | 01.1067 | 17.13 | 520140 | 01.6170 | 19.69 |  |  |  |
| 500054 .... | 01.8578 | 22.51 | 510029 .... | 01.2666 | 16.61 | 520044 .... | 01.4365 | 17.04 | 520142 | 00.8928 | 16.53 |  |  |  |

Note: Case mix indexes do not include discharges from PPS-exempt units.
Case mix indexes include cases received in HCFA Central Office through December 1996.

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas

| Urban area |
| :---: |
| (Constituent counties) |

0040 Abilene, TX . Taylor, TX
0060 Aguadilla, PR ...
Aguada, PR
Aguadilla, PR
Moca, PR
0080 Akron, OH . $\qquad$
Portage, OH
Summit, OH
0120 Albany, GA
Dougherty, GA
Lee, GA
$0160{ }^{2}$ Albany-Sche-nectady-Troy, NY
Albany, NY
Montgomery, NY
Rensselaer, NY
Saratoga, NY
Schenectady, NY
Schoharie, NY
0200 Albuquerque, NM
Bernalillo, NM
Sandoval, NM
Valencia, NM
0220 Alexandria, LA ...
Rapides, LA
0240 Allentown-Beth-lehem-Easton, PA .....
Carbon, PA
Lehigh, PA
Northampton, PA
0280 Altoona, PA .......
Blair, PA
0320 Amarillo, TX .......
Potter, TX
Randall, TX
0380 Anchorage, AK ..
Anchorage, AK
0440 Ann Arbor, MI ....
Lenawee, MI
Livingston, MI
Washtenaw, MI
0450 Anniston, AL ......
Calhoun, AL
0460 Appleton-Osh-
kosh-Neenah, WI ..
Calumet, WI
Outagamie, WI
Winnebago, WI
0470 Arecibo, PR .......
Arecibo, PR
Camuy, PR
Hatillo, PR
0480 Asheville, NC .....
Buncombe, NC
Madison, NC
0500 Athens, GA ..
Clarke, GA
Madison, GA
Oconee, GA
0520 ¹ Atlanta, GA ......
Barrow, GA
Bartow, GA
Carroll, GA
Cherokee, GA
Clayton, GA
Cobb, GA
Coweta, GA
DeKalb, GA
$0.8640 \quad 0.9047$

| 0.8598 | 0.9017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.0219 | 1.0149 |

$$
0.9398
$$

0.8483
1.3088
1.1127
0.8731
0.8899
0.4915
0.9016
0.8746

$$
1.0024
$$

| Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.8081 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$0.8813 \quad 0.9171$
$\square$
.
0.9016

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas-Continued


Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0920 Biloxi-Gulfport- <br> Pascagoula, MS $\qquad$ <br> Hancock, MS <br> Harrison, MS <br> Jackson, MS | 0.8291 | 0.8796 |
| 0960 Binghamton, NY Broome, NY Tioga, NY | 0.9103 | 0.9377 |
| 1000 Birmingham, AL Blount, AL Jefferson, AL St. Clair, AL Shelby, AL | 0.9150 | 0.9410 |
| 1010 Bismarck, ND .... <br> Burleigh, ND <br> Morton, ND | 0.8015 | 0.8594 |
| 1020 Bloomington, IN Monroe, IN | 0.9041 | 0.9333 |
| 1040 Bloomington-Normal, IL McLean, IL | 0.8926 | 0.9251 |
| 1080 Boise City, ID ... | 0.9267 | 0.9492 |

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued
Urban area
(Constituent counties)

Brazos, TX
$1280{ }^{1}$ Buffalo-Niagara
Falls, NY $\qquad$


1303 Burlington,
Franklin, VT
Grand Isle, VT
1310 Caguas
Cayey, PR
Cidra, PR
Gurabo, PR
San Lorenzo, PR
1320 CantonMassillon, OH
Carroll, OH
Stark, OH
1350 Casper,
Natrona, WY
1360 Cedar Rapids, IA Linn, IA
1400 Champaign-Urbana, IL
Champaign, IL
1440 Charleston-North
Charleston, SC
Berkeley, SC

Charleston, SC
Dorchester, SC
1480 Charleston, WV
Kanawha, WV
Putnam, WV
$1520{ }^{1}$ Charlotte-Gas-tonia-Rock Hill, NCSC
Cabarrus, NC
Gaston, NC
Lincoln, NC
Mecklenburg, NC
Rowan, NC
Stanly, NC
Union, NC
York, SC
1540 Charlottesville,
VA..
Albemarle, VA
Charlottesville City, VA
Fluvanna, VA
Greene, VA
1560 Chattanooga, TN-GA .
Catoosa, GA
Dade, GA
Walker, GA
Hamilton, TN
Marion, TN
1580 Cheyenne, WY .. Laramie, WY
$1600{ }^{1}$ Chicago, IL ......
Cook, IL
DeKalb, IL
DuPage, IL
Grundy, IL
Kane, IL

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kendall, IL Lake, IL McHenry, IL Will, IL |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1620 \text { Chico-Paradise, } \\ & \text { CA ........................... } \\ & \text { Butte, CA } \end{aligned}$ | 1.0231 | 1.0158 |
|  | 0.9465 | 0.9630 |

Boone, MO
1760 Columbia, SC .... Lexington, SC Richland, SC
1800 Columbus, GAAL
Russell, AL
Chattahoochee, GA Harris, GA Muscogee, GA
$1840{ }^{1}$ Columbus, OH
Delaware, OH
Fairfield, OH
Franklin, OH
Licking, OH
Madison, OH
Pickaway, OH
1880 Corpus Christi,
Nueces, TX
San Patricio, TX
$1900{ }^{2}$ Cumberland, MD-WV (Maryland Hospitals)
Allegany, MD Mineral, WV
1900 Cumberland, MD-WV (West Virginia Hospital) Allegany, MD

| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral, WV | 0.9149 |  |
| $1920{ }^{1}$ Dallas, TX ....... |  | 0.9409 |
| Collin, TX |  |  |
| Dallas, TX |  |  |
| Denton, TX |  |  |
| Ellis, TX |  |  |
| Henderson, TX |  |  |
| Hunt, TX |  |  |
| Kaufman, TX |  |  |
| Rockwall, TX |  |  |
| 1950 Danville, VA .... | 0.9121 | 0.9389 |
| Danville City, VA |  |  |
| Pittsylvania, VA |  |  |
| 1960 Davenport-Mo- |  |  |
| line-Rock Island, IA- |  |  |
| IL ....................... | 0.8496 | 0.8944 |
| Scott, IA |  |  |
| Henry, IL |  |  |
| Rock Island, IL |  |  |
| 2000 Dayton-Spring- | 0.9670 |  |
| field, OH .............. |  | 0.9773 |
| Clark, OH |  |  |
| Greene, OH |  |  |
| Miami, OH |  |  |
| Montgomery, OH |  |  |
| 2020 Daytona Beach, | 0.9211 |  |
| FL ................... |  | 0.9453 |
| Flagler, FL |  |  |
| Volusia, FL |  |  |
| 2030 Decatur, AL | 0.8302 | 0.8804 |

Lawrence, AL
Morgan, AL
2040 Decatu
Macon, IL

| 0.9469 | 0.9633 | Macon, IL <br> 2080 1 Denver, CO ..... <br> Adams, CO | 1.0532 | 1.0361 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.9678 | 0.9778 | Arapahoe, CO |  |  |

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS-Continued

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) |
| :---: |
| Eau Claire, WI |
| 2320 EI Paso, TX ....... |
| EI Paso, TX |
| 2330 EIkhart-Goshen, |
| IN ........................ |
| EIkhart, IN |
| 2335 Elmira, NY ....... |
| Chemung, NY |
| 2340 Enid, OK ............ |
| Garfield, OK |
| 2360 Erie, PA ............ |
| Erie, PA |
| 2400 Eugene-Spring- |
| field, OR ...................... |
| Lane, OR |
| 2440 Evansville-Hen- |
| derson, IN-KY .......... |
| Posey, IN |
| Vanderburgh, IN |
| Warrick, IN |
| Henderson, KY |
| 2520 Fargo-Moorhead, |
| ND-MN (North Da- |

ND-MN (North Dakota Hospitals) $\qquad$ $0.7905 \quad 0.8513$
Clay, MN
$2520{ }^{2}$ Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN (Minnesota Hospitals) .. Clay, MN
Cass, ND
2560 Fayetteville, NC Cumberland, NC
2580 Fayetteville-
Springdale-Rogers,
AR
Benton, AR
Washington, AR
2620 Flagstaff, AZ-UT Coconino, AZ Kane, UT
2640 Flint, MI Genesee, MI
2650 Florence, AL ...... Colbert, AL
Lauderdale, AL
2655 Florence, SC ..... Florence, SC
2670 Fort CollinsLoveland, CO Larimer, CO
$2680{ }^{1} \mathrm{Ft}$. Lauderdale,
Broward, FL
2700 Fort Myers-Cape
Coral, FL
Lee, FL
2710 Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie, FL Martin, FL
St. Lucie, FL
2720 Fort Smith, AR-
OK
Crawford, AR
Sebastian, AR
Sequoyah, OK
$2750{ }^{2}$ Fort Walton


Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Okaloosa, FL |  |  |
| 2760 Fort Wayne, IN .. | 0.8896 | 0.9230 |
| Adams, IN |  |  |
| Allen, IN |  |  |
| De Kalb, IN |  |  |
| Huntington, IN |  |  |
| Wells, IN |  |  |
| Whitley, IN |  |  |
| 2800 1Forth Worth-Ar- |  |  |
| lington, TX ............... | 0.9192 | 0.9439 |
| Hood, TX |  |  |
| Johnson, TX |  |  |
| Parker, TX |  |  |
| Tarrant, TX |  |  | Jackson, MI


| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson, SC Cherokee, SC Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC |  |  |
| 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD | 1.0268 | 1.0183 |
| 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH | 0.9292 | 0.9510 |
| 3240 Harrisburg-Leb-anon-Carlisle, PA ...... Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA | 0.9572 | 0.9705 |
| $3283{ }^{12}$ Hartford, CT .. <br> Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT | 1.2175 | 1.1443 |
| $3285{ }^{2}$ Hattiesburg, MS <br> Forrest, MS <br> Lamar, MS | 0.7359 | 0.8106 |
| 3290 Hickory-Morgan-ton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC | 0.8687 | 0.9081 |
| 3320 Honolulu, HI ....... Honolulu, HI | 1.1628 | 1.1088 |
| 3350 Houma, LA $\qquad$ Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA | 0.8266 | 0.8777 |
| $3360{ }^{1}$ Houston, TX .... | 1.0017 | 1.0012 |

3520 Jackson, MI
Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS-Continued

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas-Continued
Urban area
(Constituent counties)

3560 Jackson
Hinds, MS
Madison, MS
Madison, MS
Rankin, MS
3580 Jackson,
Madison, TN Chester, TN
$3600{ }^{12}$ Jacksonville,
Clay, FL
Duval, FL
Nassau, FL
St. Johns, FL
3605 2 Jacksonville,
NC .............
$3610{ }^{2}$ Jamestown, NY Chautauqua, NY
3620 Janesville-Beloit, Rock, WI
3640 Jersey City, NJ .. Hudson, NJ
3660 Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol, TNVA
Carter, TN Hawkins, TN
Sullivan, TN
Unicoi, TN Washington, TN Bristol City, VA Scott, VA Washington, VA
3680 Johnstown, PA .. Cambria, PA Somerset, PA
3700 Jonesboro, AR ... Craighead, AR
3710 Joplin, MO Jasper, MO Newton, MO
3720 KalamazooBattlecreek, M Calhoun, MI Kalamazoo, MI Van Buren, MI
3740 Kankakee, IL ..... Kankakee, IL
$3760{ }^{1}$ Kansas City, KS-MO .
Johnson, KS
Leavenworth, KS
Miami, KS
Wyandotte, KS
Cass, MO
Clay, MO
Clinton, MO
Jackson, MO
Lafayette, MO
Platte, MO
Ray, MO
3800 Kenosha, WI ...... Kenosha, WI
3810 Killeen-Temple, TX Bell, TX

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Coryell, TX <br> 3840 Knoxville, TN ..... <br> Anderson, TN <br> Blount, TN <br> Knox, TN <br> Loudon, TN | 0.8569 | 0.8996 |
| Sevier, TN <br> Union, TN |  |  |
| 3850 Kokomo, IN ........ | 0.9350 | 0.9550 |


| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lonoke, AR Pulaski, AR Saline, AR |  |  |
| 4420 Longview-Mar- <br> shall, TX <br> Gregg, TX <br> Harrison, TX <br> Upshur, TX | 0.8583 | 0.9007 |
| $4480{ }^{1}$ Los AngelesLong Beach, CA Los Angeles, CA | 1.2124 | 1.1410 |
| 4520 Louisville, KY-IN <br> Clark, IN <br> Floyd, IN <br> Harrison, IN <br> Scott, IN <br> Bullitt, KY <br> Jefferson, KY <br> Oldham, KY | 0.9212 | 0.9453 |
| 4600 Lubbock, TX ...... Lubbock, TX 4640 Lynchburg, VA | 0.8460 | 0.8918 |

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hunterdon, NJ <br> Middlesex, NJ <br> Somerset, NJ |  |  |
| $5080 \quad{ }^{1}$ Milwaukee- |  |  |
| Waukesha, WI ......... | 0.9470 | 0.9634 |
| Milwaukee, WI |  |  |
| Ozaukee, WI |  |  |
| Washington, WI |  |  |
| Waukesha, WI |  |  |
| $5120 \quad{ }^{1}$ Minneapolis-St. |  |  |
| Paul, MN-WI ............ | 1.0956 | 1.0645 |

Paul, MN-W
Anoka, MN
Carver, MN
Chisago, MN
Dakota, MN
Hennepin, MN
Isanti, MN
Ramsey, MN
Scott, MN
Sherburne, MN
Washington, MN
Wright, MN
Pierce, WI
St. Croix, WI
5160 Mobile, A $\qquad$
Baldwin, AL
Mobile, AL
5170 Modesto, CA ......
Stanislaus, CA
$5190{ }^{1}$ Monmouth-
Ocean, NJ
…...
Ocean, NJ
5200 Monroe, LA .. $\qquad$
Ouachita, LA
5240 Montgomery, AL
Autauga, AL
Elmore, AL
Montgomery, AL
5280 Muncie,
$5330{ }^{2}$ Myrtle Beach,
SC ...
Horry, SC
5345 Naples
Collier, FL
$5360{ }^{1}$ Nashville, TN
Cheatham, TN
Davidson, TN
Dickson, TN
Robertson, TN
Rutherford TN
Sumner, TN
Williamson, TN
Wilson, TN
$5380{ }^{1}$ Nassau-Suffolk,
NY
Nassau, NY
Suffolk, NY
$5483{ }^{12}$ New Haven-Bridgeport-Stamford-
Waterbury-Danbury,
CT ...............
New Haven, CT
$5523{ }^{2}$ New London-
Norwich, CT $\qquad$ 1.2175
$1.3123 \quad 1.2046$

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New London, CT |  |  |
| 5560 1New Orleans, |  |  |
| LA........................ | 0.9397 | 0.9583 |
| Jefferson, LA |  |  |
| Orleans, LA |  |  |
| Plaquemines, LA |  |  |
| St. Bernard, LA |  |  |
| St. Charles, LA |  |  |
| St. James, LA |  |  |
| St. John The Baptist, |  |  |
| LA |  |  |
| St. Tammany, LA |  |  |
| 5600 1New York, NY | 1.4537 | 1.2920 |

$5600{ }^{1}$ New
Bronx, NY
Kings, NY
Kings, NY
New York, NY
Putnam, NY
Queens, NY
Richmond, NY
Rockland, NY
Westchester, NY
$5640{ }^{1}$ Newark, NJ ......
Essex, NJ
Morris, NJ
Sussex, NJ
Union, NJ
Warren, NJ
5660 Newburgh, NYPA.
Orange, NY
Pike, PA
$5720{ }^{1}$ Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News, VA-NC
........ Currituck, NC Gloucester, VA Hampton City, VA sle of Wight, VA James City, VA
Mathews, VA
Newport News City, VA
Norfolk City, VA Poquoson City, VA Portsmouth City, VA Suffolk City, VA Virginia Beach City VA
Williamsburg City, VA
York, VA
$5775{ }^{1}$ Oakland, CA .... Alameda, CA
Contra Costa, CA
5790 Ocala, FL Marion, FL
TX
Odessa-Midland,
Ector, TX
Midland, TX
$5880{ }^{1}$ Oklahoma City,
OK ...
Canadian, OK
Cleveland, OK
Logan, OK McClain, OK Oklahoma, OK

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS-Continued

| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pottawatomie, OK |  |  |
| 5910 Olympia, WA ..... | 1.1605 | 1.1073 |
| Thurston, WA |  |  |
| 5920 Omaha, NE-IA .. | 0.9938 | 0.9958 |
| Pottawattamie, IA |  |  |
| Cass, NE |  |  |
| Douglas, NE |  |  |
| Sarpy, NE |  |  |
| Washington, NE |  |  |
| $5945{ }^{1}$ Orange County, |  |  |
| CA .............. | 1.1153 | 1.0776 |
| Orange, CA |  |  |
| $5960{ }^{1}$ Orlando, FL ..... | 0.9933 | 0.9954 |
| Lake, FL |  |  |
| Orange, FL |  |  |
| Osceola, FL |  |  |
| Seminole, FL |  |  |
| $5990{ }^{2}$ Owensboro, KY | 0.7902 | 0.8511 |

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas-Continued

| Urban area (Constituent counti |
| :---: |
| Bannock, ID |
| 6360 Ponce, PR |
| Guayanilla, PR |
| Juana Diaz, PR |
| Penuelas, PR |
| Ponce, PR |
| Villalba, PR |
| Yauco, PR |
| 6403 Portland, ME |
| Cumberland, ME |
| Sagadahoc, ME |
| York, ME |
| $6440{ }^{1}$ Portland-Van |
| couver, OR-WA |
| Clackamas, OR |
| Columbia, OR |
| Multnomah, OR |
| Washington, OR |
| Yamhill, OR |
| Clark, WA |

6483 1 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI ...........
Kent, RI
Newport, RI
Providence, RI
Washington, RI
6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT
6560 Pueblo, CO ........
Pueblo, CO
6580 Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL
6600 Racine, WI $\qquad$

| Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | Racine, WI

$6640{ }^{1}$ Raleigh-Dur-ham-Chapel Hill, NC
Chatham, NC
Durham, NC
Franklin, NC
Johnston, NC
Orange, NC
Wake, NC
6660 Rapid City, SD ...
Pennington, SD
6680 Reading, PA ...... Berks, PA
6690 Redding, CA ...... Shasta, CA
6720 Reno, NV Washoe, NV
$6740{ }^{2}$ Richland-Kennewick-Pasco, WA
Benton, WA
Franklin, WA
6760 Richmond-Petersburg, VA
Charles City County, VA
Chesterfield, VA Colonial Heights City, VA
Dinwiddie, VA
Goochland, VA
Hanover, VA
0.8785
0.9503
1.0115

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Henrico, VA |  |  |
| Hopewell City, VA |  |  |
| New Kent, VA |  |  |
| Petersburg City, VA |  |  |
| Powhatan, VA |  |  |
| Prince George, VA |  |  |
| Richmond City, VA |  |  |


| 6780 1' Riverside-San |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bernardino, CA ......... | 1.0151 | 1.0103 |

Riverside, CA
San Bernardino, CA
6800 Roanoke, VA ..... 0.8581 0.9005
Botetourt, VA
Roanoke, VA
Roanoke City, VA
Salem City, VA
Salem City, VA
6820 Rochester, MN ..
Olmsted, MN
$6840{ }^{1}$ Rochester, NY
Genesee, NY
Livingston, NY
Monroe, NY
Ontario, NY
Orleans, NY
Wayne, NY
6880 Rockford, IL .......
$0.8703 \quad 0.9093$
Ogle, IL
Winnebago, IL
6895 Rocky Mount,
Edgecombe, NC
Nash, NC
6920 1'Sacramento,
CA
El Dorado, CA
Placer, CA
Sacramento, CA
6960 Saginaw-Bay
City-Midland, MI
Bay, MI
Midland, MI
Saginaw, MI
6980 St. Cloud, MN ....
0.9667

Stearns, MN
7000 St. Joseph, MO
Andrew, MO
Buchanan, MO
$7040{ }^{1}$ St. Louis, MO-
Clinton, IL
Jersey, IL
Madison, IL
Monroe, IL
. Clair, IL
ranklin, MO
Jefferson, MO
St. Charles, MO
St. Louis, MO
St. Louis City, MO
Warren, MO
7080 Salem, OR .........
Marion, OR
Polk, OR
7120 Salinas, CA .....

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas-Continued
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Urban area } \\ \text { (Constituent counties) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Wage } \\ \text { index }\end{array} & \text { GAF } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Monterey, CA }\end{array} & & \\ \text { 7160 1 Salt Lake City- } \\ \text { Ogden, UT .............. } & 0.9458 & 0.9626 \\ \text { Davis, UT } \\ \text { Salt Lake, UT } \\ \text { Weber, UT }\end{array}\right)$

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent cou |
| :---: |
| Los Alamos, NM |
| Santa Fe, NM |
| 7500 Santa Ros |
| Sonoma, CA |
| 7510 Sarasota-B |
| denton, FL |
| Manatee, FL |
| Sarasota, FL |
| 7520 Savannah, |

7520 Bryan, GA
Chatham, GA
Effingham, GA
$7560{ }^{2}$ Scranton-
Wilkes-Barre-Hazle-
ton, PA
a, PA
Columbia, PA
Lackawanna, PA
Luzerne, PA
Wyoming, PA
$7600{ }^{1}$ Seattle-Belle-
vue-Everett, WA $\qquad$
Island, WA
King, WA
Snohomish, WA
7610 Sharon, PA ........
Mercer, PA
$7620{ }^{2}$ Sheboygan, WI
Sheboygan, WI
7640 Sherman-
Denison, TX
Grayson, TX
7680 Shreveport-Bos-
sier City, LA
Bossier, LA
Caddo, LA
Webster, LA
7720 Sioux City, IA-
NE
Woodbury, IA
Dakota, NE
7760 Sioux Falls, SD ..
Lincoln, SD
Minnehaha, SD
7800 South Bend, IN ..
St. Joseph, IN
7840 Spokane, WA. Spokane, WA
7880 Springfield, IL .... Menard, IL
Sangamon, IL
7920 Springfield, MO ..
Christian, MO
Greene, MO
Webster, MO
8003 Springfield, MA ..
Hampden, MA
Hampshire, MA
8050 State College,
PA
Centre, PA
$8080{ }^{2}$ Steubenville-
Weirton, $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{WV}$
(Ohio Hospitals)
Jefferson, OH
Brooke, WV
Hancock, WV

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URBAN Areas-Continued

| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8080 Steubenville- <br> Weirton, OH-WV <br> (West Virginia Hos- <br> pitals) ............................ <br> Jefferson OH | 0.8476 | 0.8929 |


| Urban area <br> (Constituent counties) | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8750 Victoria, TX ........ <br> Victoria, TX <br> 8760 Vineland-Millville- <br> Bridgeton, NJ .......... <br> Cumberland, NJ | 0.8451 | 0.8911 |
| 8780 Visalia-Tulare- <br> Porterville, CA ......... | 1.0460 | 1.0313 |
| Tulare, CA <br> 8800 Waco, TX ........... <br> McLennan, TX <br> 8840 1 Washington, <br> DC-MD-VA-WV ...... | 0.8027 | 1.0863 |

Table 4A.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) FOR URbAN AREAS-Continued

| Urban area (Constituent counties) | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9140{ }^{2} \text { Williamsport, } \\ & \text { PA ........................... } \end{aligned}$ Lycoming, PA | 0.8615 | 0.9029 |
| 9160 Wilmington-Newark, DE-MD New Castle, DE Cecil, MD | 1.1968 | 1.1309 |
| 9200 Wilmington, NC New Hanover, NC Brunswick, NC | 0.9427 | 0.9604 |
| $9260{ }^{2}$ Yakima, WA .... Yakima, WA | 1.0577 | 1.0392 |
| 9270 Yolo, CA ............ <br> Yolo, CA | 1.0702 | 1.0476 |
| 9280 York, PA $\qquad$ <br> York, PA | 0.9509 | 0.9661 |
| 9320 Youngstown- <br> Warren, OH $\qquad$ <br> Columbiana, OH Mahoning, OH Trumbull, OH | 0.9897 | 0.9929 |
| 9340 Yuba City, CA .... <br> Sutter, CA <br> Yuba, CA | 1.0957 | 1.0646 |
| 9360 Yuma, AZ .......... Yuma, AZ | 1.0143 | 1.0098 |

${ }^{1}$ Large Urban Area
${ }^{2}$ Hospitals geographically located in the area are assigned the statewide rural wage index for FY 1999.

Table 4B.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Rural Areas

| Nonurban area | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 0.7385 | 0.8125 |
| Alaska | 1.2534 | 1.1673 |
| Arizona .. | 0.8082 | 0.8643 |
| Arkansas | 0.7274 | 0.8042 |
| California | 0.9976 | 0.9984 |
| Colorado ... | 0.8454 | 0.8914 |
| Connecticut | 1.2175 | 1.1443 |
| Delaware | 0.8590 | 0.9012 |
| Florida | 0.8947 | 0.9266 |
| Georgia . | 0.7933 | 0.8534 |
| Hawaii | 1.1011 | 1.0682 |
| Idaho | 0.8548 | 0.8981 |
| Illinois | 0.7985 | 0.8572 |
| Indiana | 0.8429 | 0.8896 |
| lowa | 0.7846 | 0.8469 |
| Kansas | 0.7334 | 0.8087 |
| Kentucky | 0.7902 | 0.8511 |
| Louisiana | 0.7517 | 0.8225 |
| Maine | 0.8538 | 0.8974 |
| Maryland | 0.8627 | 0.9038 |
| Massachusetts | 1.0917 | 1.0619 |
| Michigan | 0.8988 | 0.9295 |
| Minnesota | 0.8665 | 0.9065 |
| Mississippi | 0.7359 | 0.8106 |
| Missouri | 0.7510 | 0.8219 |
| Montana | 0.8645 | 0.9051 |
| Nebraska | 0.7683 | 0.8349 |
| Nevada | 0.9267 | 0.9492 |

Table 4B.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Rural Areas-Continued

| Nonurban area | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampshire | 1.0324 | 1.0221 |
| New Jersey ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| New Mexico | 0.7927 | 0.8529 |
| New York | 0.8640 | 0.9047 |
| North Carolina | 0.8162 | 0.8702 |
| North Dakota ... | 0.7471 | 0.8190 |
| Ohio | 0.8576 | 0.9001 |
| Oklahoma | 0.7207 | 0.7991 |
| Oregon | 0.9957 | 0.9971 |
| Pennsylvania .. | 0.8615 | 0.9029 |
| Puerto Rico | 0.4083 | 0.5415 |
| Rhode Island ${ }^{1}$.............. |  |  |
| South Carolina . | 0.8110 | 0.8664 |
| South Dakota | 0.7564 | 0.8260 |
| Tennessee | 0.7483 | 0.8199 |
| Texas | 0.7404 | 0.8140 |
| Utah | 0.8851 | 0.9198 |
| Vermont | 0.9489 | 0.9647 |
| Virginia .... | 0.7890 | 0.8502 |
| Washington | 1.0577 | 1.0392 |
| West Virginia | 0.7938 | 0.8537 |
| Wisconsin | 0.8557 | 0.8988 |
| Wyoming ..................... | 0.8763 | 0.9135 |

Table 4C.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Hospitals
That Are Reclassified

| Area | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene, TX | 0.8081 | 0.8642 |
| Albany, GA | 0.7933 | 0.8534 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 0.8813 | 0.9171 |
| Alexandria, LA | 0.8598 | 0.9017 |
| Allentown-BethlehemEaston, PA $\qquad$ | 1.0219 | 1.0149 |
| Amarillo, TX | 0.8483 | 0.8935 |
| Anchorage, AK | 1.3088 | 1.2024 |
| Asheville, NC | 0.9016 | 0.9315 |
| Atlanta, GA | 1.0024 | 1.0016 |
| Augusta-Aiken, GA-SC | 0.9309 | 0.9521 |
| Baltimore, MD | 0.9760 | 0.9835 |
| Barnstable-Yarmouth, | 1.4646 | 1.2986 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 0.8940 | 0.9261 |
| Benton Harbor, MI | 0.8988 | 0.9295 |
| Bergen-Passaic, NJ | 1.1845 | 1.1229 |
| Billings, MT | 0.9220 | 0.9459 |
| Binghamton, NY | 0.8989 | 0.9296 |
| Birmingham, AL | 0.9150 | 0.9410 |
| Bismarck, ND | 0.7838 | 0.8464 |
| Boise City, ID | 0.9267 | 0.9492 |
| Boston-Worcester-Law-rence-Lowell-Brockton, MA-NH | 1.0885 | 1.0598 |
| Brazoria, TX | 0.8895 | 0.9229 |
| Bryan-College Station, | 0.7962 | 0.8555 |
| Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY $\qquad$ | 0.9592 | 0.9719 |
| Burlington, VT | 0.9612 | 0.9733 |
| Caguas, PR | 0.4445 | 0.5739 |

Table 4C.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment FACTOR (GAF) FOR HOSPITALS That Are Reclassified-Continued

| Area | Wage index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anton-Massillon, OH | 0.889 | 0.9229 |
| Casper, WY | 0.922 | 0.9464 |
| Champaign-Urbana, IL .. | 0.8844 | 0.9193 |
| Charleston-North |  |  |
| Charleston, SC | 0.8931 | 0.925 |
| Charleston, WV | 0.8819 | 0.9175 |
| Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock |  |  |
| Hill, NC-SC | 0.956 | 0.9702 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 0.9803 | 0.9865 |
| Chattanooga, TN-GA | 0.88 | 222 |
| Chicago, IL | 1.0 | 1.0344 |
| Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN | 0.9 | 0.9630 |
| Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY $\qquad$ | 0.8204 | 0.8732 |
| Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH | 0.9970 | 0.9979 |
| Columbia, MO | 0.9331 | 0.9537 |
| Columbus, GA-AL | 0.8573 | 0.8999 |
| Columbus, OH | 0.9929 | 0.9951 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 0.81 | 0.8665 |
| Dallas, TX | 0.9149 | 0.9409 |
| Danville, VA | 0.8779 | 0.9147 |
| Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL $\qquad$ | 0. |  |
| Dayton-Springrield, OH | 670 | 0.9773 |
| Denver, CO | . 053 | 1.0361 |
| Des Moines, IA | 0.8576 | 001 |
| Duluth-Superior, MN-WI | 1.013 | 1.0091 |
| Dutchess County, NY | 0.9860 | 0.9904 |
| Elkhart-Goshen, IN | . 9168 | 0.9422 |
| Eugene-Springfield, OR | 1.1141 | 1.0768 |
| Evansville-Henderson, IN-KY ..................... | 0.8505 | 0.8950 |
| Fargo-Moorhead, NDMN (Minnesota Hospital) $\qquad$ | 0.8665 | 0.9065 |
| Fargo-Moorhead, NDMN (South Dakota |  |  |
| Hospital) | 0.7905 | 0.8513 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 0.8460 | 0.8918 |
| Flagstaff, AZ-UT | 0.9602 | 0.9726 |
| Flint, MI | 1.1106 | 745 |
| Fort Collins-Loveland, CO | 1.0383 | 1.0261 |
| Ft. Lauderdale, FL | 1.0534 | 1.0363 |
| Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie, FL $\qquad$ | 0.9847 | 0.9895 |
| Fort Smith, AR-OK | 0.7582 | 0.8273 |
| Fort Walton Beach, FL .. | 0.8694 | 0.9086 |
| Forth Worth-Arlington, TX $\qquad$ | 0.9192 | 0.9439 |
| Gadsden, AL | 0.8854 | 0.9200 |
| Gainesville, FL | 0.9542 | 0.9684 |
| Goldsboro, NC | 0.8366 | 0.8850 |
| Grand Forks, ND-MN ... | 0.8996 | 0.9301 |
| Grand Junction, CO | 0.9110 | 0.938 |
| Grand Rapids-Muske-gon-Holland, MI ..... | 0.9908 | 0.9937 |
| Great Falls, MT . | 0.9362 | 0.9559 |
| Greeley, CO | 0.9663 | 0.9768 |
| Green Bay, WI | 0.9323 | 0.9531 |
| Greenville, NC | 0.8844 | 0.9193 |
| Greenville-SpartanburgAnderson, SC | 0.9318 | 0.95 |
| arrisburg-Lebanon- |  |  |
| Carlisle, PA . | 0.9572 | 0.970 |

Table 4C.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment FActor (GAF) FOR Hospitals That Are Reclassified-Continued


|  | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1.1152 | 1.0775 |

Table 4C.-Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment FActor (GAF) FOR Hospitals That are Reclassified-Continued

| Area | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pittsburgh, PA ............. <br> Pocatello, ID (Idaho <br> Hospital) | 0.9740 | 0.9821 |


| Area | Wage <br> index | GAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Washington ......... <br> Rural Wyoming .......... | 1.0577 <br> 0.8763 | 1.0392 |

Table 4D.-Average Hourly Wage For Urban Areas

| Urban area | Average hourly wage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abilene, | 16.4 |
| Aguadilla, | 9.8 |
| Akron, OH | 20.5582 |
| Albany, GA | 16.6839 |
| Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY | 17.3615 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 18.1579 |
| Alexandria, LA | 17.7146 |
| Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA | 21.0540 |
| Altoona, PA | 19.3623 |
| Amarillo, TX | 17.4756 |
| Anchorage, AK | 26.6324 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 22.9259 |
| Anniston, AL | 17.9884 |
| Appleton-Oshkosh-Neenah, WI | 18.3354 |
| Arecibo, PR | 10.1277 |
| Asheville, NC | 18.5755 |
| Athens, GA | 18.0203 |
| Atlanta, GA | 20.6523 |
| Atlantic-Cape May, NJ | 23.3952 |
| Augusta-Aiken, GA-SC | 19.1799 |
| Austin-San Marcos, TX | 16.8088 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 18.4123 |
| Baltimore, MD | 20.1089 |
| Bangor, ME | 16.5207 |
| Barnstable-Yarmouth, MA | 32.2329 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 18.4192 |
| Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX | 17.8430 |
| Bellingham, WA | 23.6418 |
| Benton Harbor, MI | 17.7241 |
| Bergen-Passaic, NJ | 25.1292 |
| Billings, MT | 18.9960 |
| Biloxi-Gulfport-Pascagoula, MS | 17.0828 |
| Binghamton, NY | 18.7554 |
| Birmingham, AL | 18.8514 |
| Bismarck, ND | 16.5132 |
| Bloomington, IN | 18.6271 |
| Bloomington-Normal, IL | 18.3900 |
| Boise City, ID .... | 19.0323 |
| Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Low-ell-Brockton, MA-NH | 22.3344 |
| Boulder-Longmont, CO | 20.8550 |
| Brazoria, TX . | 18.3273 |
| Bremerton, WA | 22.9686 |
| Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX ........................................ | 17.0823 |
| Bryan-College Station, TX ........... | 16.3918 |
| Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY | 19.7621 |
| Burlington, VT . | 19.7504 |
| Caguas, PR ... | 9.1371 |
| Canton-Massillon, OH | 18.3270 |
| Casper, WY | 18.0774 |
| Cedar Rapids, IA | 18.3134 |
| Champaign-Urbana, IL | 18.1242 |
| Charleston-North Charleston, SC | 18.4009 |
| Charleston, WV | 18.6306 |

Table 4D.-Average Hourly Wage For Urban Areas-Continued

## - Average

| Urban area |
| :---: |
| Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC- | SC

Charlottesville, VA
Chattanooga, TN-GA
Cheyenne, WY
Chicago, IL
Chico-Paradise, CA
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN
Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY
Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH
Colorado Springs, CO
Columbia, MO
Columbia, SC
Columbus, GA-AL
Columbus, OH
Corpus Christi, TX
Cumberland, MD-WV
Dallas, TX
Danville, VA
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL
Dayton-Springfield, OH
Daytona Beach, FL
Decatur, AL
Decatur, IL
Denver, CO
Des Moines, IA
Detroit, MI
Dothan, AL
Dover, DE
Dubuque, IA
Duluth-Superior, MN-WI
Dutchess County, NY
Eau Claire, WI
El Paso, TX
Elkhart-Goshen, IN
Elmira, NY
Enid, OK
Erie, PA
Eugene-Springfield, OR
Evansville, Henderson, IN-KY
Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN
Fayetteville, NC
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR
Flagstaff, AZ-UT
Flint, MI
Florence, AL
Florence, SC
Fort Collins-Loveland, CO
Fort Lauderdale, FL
Fort Myers-Cape Coral, FL
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie, FL .......
Fort Smith, AR-OK
Fort Walton Beach, FL
Fort Wayne, IN
Fort Worth-Arlington, TX
Fresno, CA
Gadsden, AL
Gainesville, FL
Galveston-Texas City, TX
Gary, IN
Glens Falls, NY
Goldsboro, NC
Grand Forks, ND-MN
Grand Junction, CO
Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland,
Great Falls, MT 16.6908 20.5422 19.5098
19.9392 19.3016 17.6626 20.4569 16.6221
17.3219
18.9048
18.7936
17.5045
19.9239
18.9775
17.1051
16.7703
21.6957
17.5941
21.8417
16.1254
19.4527
17.0843
20.7877
21.5269
18.0385
18.4982
18.7060
17.5584
16.5863
19.2498
23.2566
17.5235
15.4103
17.4302
17.8965
19.7008
22.8823
15.9479
17.2402
21.3936
20.3768
18.5790
19.9753
15.8375
17.8995
18.3283
18.8266
21.6143
18.2411
19.6396
19.6738
19.5496
17.6404
17.5612
18.4172
17.0997
20.6411
18.4336

Table 4D.-Average Hourly Wage

For Urban Areas-Continued

- Unan
Greeley, CO ................................ 20.3075

Green Bay, WI
Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC
Greenville, NC
Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC
Hagerstown, MD
Hamilton-Middletown, OH
Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA
Hartford, CT
Hattiesburg, MS
Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC ...
Honolulu, HI
Houma, LA
Houston, TX
Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH
Huntsville, AL
Indianapolis, IN
Iowa City, IA
Jackson, MI
Jackson, MS
Jackson, TN
Jacksonville, FL
Jacksonville, NC
Jamestown, NY
Janesville-Beloit, WI
Jersey City, NJ
Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol,
TN-VA
Johnstown, PA
Jonesboro, AR
Joplin, MO
Kalamazoo-Battlecreek
Kankakee, IL
Kansas City, KS-MO
Kenosha, WI
Killeen-Temple, TX
Knoxville, TN
Kokomo, IN
La Crosse, WI-MN
Lafayette, LA
Lafayette, IN
Lake Charles, LA
Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL ..........
Lancaster, PA
Lansing-East Lansing, MI
Laredo, TX
Las Cruces, NM
Las Vegas, NV-AZ
Lawrence, KS
Lawton, OK
Lewiston-Auburn, ME
Lexington, KY
Lima, OH
Lincoln, NE
Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR
Longview-Marshall, TX
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Louisville, KY-IN
Lubbock, TX
Lynchburg, VA
Macon, GA
Madison, WI
Mansfield, OH
Mayaguez, PR
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX .
Medford-Ashland, OR
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay, FL

Table 4D.-Average Hourly Wage For Urban Areas-Continued

| Urban area | Average hourly wage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Memphis, TN-AR-MS | 17.3550 |
| Merced, CA . | 20.8449 |
| Miami, FL | 20.7248 |
| Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, | 23.1938 |
| Milwaukee-Waukesha, WI | 19.5106 |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI | 22.5733 |
| Mobile, AL | 16.3627 |
| Modesto, CA | 21.4409 |
| Monmouth-Ocean, NJ | 23.2510 |
| Monroe, LA | 17.0762 |
| Montgomery, AL | 16.2493 |
| Muncie, IN | 19.5589 |
| Myrtle Beach, SC | 16.4379 |
| Naples, FL | 21.0253 |
| Nashville, TN | 19.2358 |
| Nassau-Suffolk, NY | 28.5558 |

24.7905
24.1351
19.3612
29.9516
24.1961
23.1287
16.9674
31.0918
19.0159
16.0153
18.0573
23.9108
20.4749
23.1127
20.4664
16.1460
17.6753
16.7267
16.9466
16.7415
23.5434
20.1062
16.4882
20.3893
22.4781
18.0491
9.7656
19.6358
23.2280
22.4328
20.4158
18.1010
18.5303
18.9689
20.4162
17.0546
19.1241
24.7586
20.9521
21.3732
19.0728
21.3055
17.6802
24.3054
19.9396
17.9308
18.5969
24.6188
19.7109
19.9167

Table 4D.-Average Hourly Wage For Urban Areas-Continued

| Urban area | Average hourly wage | Urban area | Average hourly wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Joseph, MO | 20.5465 | Trenton, NJ | 21.4255 |
| St. Louis, MO-IL | 18.6721 | Tucson, AZ | 18.7576 |
| Salem, OR | 20.5776 | Tulsa, OK | 17.5538 |
| Salinas, CA | 31.4614 | Tuscaloosa, AL | 15.8762 |
| Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT | 19.4515 | Tyler, TX | 18.1141 |
| San Angelo, TX | 15.4776 | Utica-Rome, NY | 17.2785 |
| San Antonio, TX | 15.9548 | Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA | 27.9551 |
| San Diego, CA | 25.4297 | Ventura, CA ............. | 22.7487 |
| San Francisco, CA | 28.9991 | Victoria, TX | 17.4131 |
| San Jose, CA | 28.6758 | Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ .... | 21.5511 |
| San Juan-Bayamon, PR ......... | 9.3148 | Visalia-Tulare-Porterville, CA ........ | 20.9493 |
| San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso |  | Waco, TX ................................. | 16.5375 |
| Robles, CA | 22.3026 | Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV ..... | 22.3812 |
| Santa Barbara-Santa Maria- Lompoc, CA ........................... |  | Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA ............. | 16.5347 |
|  | 23.1439 | Wausau, WI | 20.2214 |
| Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA . | 29 | West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL | 21.2686 |
| Santa Fe, NM ... | 19.6247 | Wheeling, $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{WV}$ | 15.8460 |
| Santa Rosa, CA | 28.2324 | Wichita, KS | 18.5231 |
| Sarasota-Bradenton, FL | 19.7119 | Wichita Falls, TX | 16.2020 |
| Savannah, GA | 18.0808 | Williamsport, PA | 17.5305 |
| Scranton-Wilkes Barre-Hazleton, PA $\qquad$ | 17.5663 | Wilmington-Newark, DE-MD | 24.6591 |
| Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA .. | 23.9527 | Wilmington, NC | 19.4232 |
| Sharon, PA | 18.4366 | Yakima, WA | 21.4371 |
| Sheboygan, WI | 17.0899 | Yolo, CA | 22.0507 |
| Sherman-Denison, TX | 16.9538 | York, PA ... | 19.5923 |
| Shreveport-Bossier City, LA | 19.4408 | Youngstown-W | $\begin{aligned} & 20.3921 \\ & 22.5751 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sioux City, IA-NE ....... | 17.5754 | Yuba City, AZ ..... | 22.8977 |
| Sioux Falls, SD | 18.5187 | Yuma, AZ |  |
| South Bend, IN | 20.4772 | Table 4E.-Average Hourly Wage for Rural Areas |  |
| Spokane, WA ............................ | 22.7055 |  |  |
| Springfield, IL ............................ | 18.1176 |  |  |
| Springfield, MO ........................... | 16.7941 |  |  |
| Springfield, MA .......................... | 22.7477 | Nonurban area | Average hourly wage |
| State College, PA ...................... | 19.6319 |  |  |
| Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV ..... Stockton-Lodi, CA | 17.4636 22.9869 |  |  |
| Stockton-Lodi, CA ......................................................... | 22.9869 |  |  |
| Sumter, SC . | 16.8850 | Alabama | 15.1489 |
| Syracuse, NY Tacoma, WA | 19.3881 | Alaska ... | 25.8250 |
| Tacoma, WA .... | 17.5545 | Arizona | 16.6528 |
| Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, |  | Arkansas | 14.9880 |
| FL | 18.7444 | California | 20.5534 |
| Terre Haute, IN | 18.6722 | Colorado | 17.4187 |
| Texarkana, AR-Texarkana, TX | 14.8193 | Connecticut | 25.0854 |
| Toledo, OH | 20.8755 | Delaware | 17.6976 |
| Topeka, KS | 20.3862 | Florida | 18.4340 |

Table 4E.-Average Hourly Wage for Rural Areas-Continued

| Nonurban area | Average hourly wage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Georgia | 16.3451 |
| Hawaii . | 22.6872 |
| Idaho | 17.6124 |
| Illinois | 16.4317 |
| Indiana | 17.3659 |
| lowa | 16.1658 |
| Kansas | 15.1110 |
| Kentucky | 16.2801 |
| Louisiana | 15.4622 |
| Maine | 17.5914 |
| Maryland | 17.7750 |
| Massachusetts | 22.4920 |
| Michigan | 18.5026 |
| Minnesota | 17.8522 |
| Mississippi | 15.1615 |
| Missouri | 15.4743 |
| Montana | 17.8114 |
| Nebraska | 15.8291 |
| Nevada | 19.0933 |
| New Hampshire | 21.2716 |
| New Jersey ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| New Mexico | 16.3322 |
| New York | 17.8012 |
| North Carolina | 16.8177 |
| North Dakota | 15.3932 |
| Ohio | 17.6689 |
| Oklahoma | 14.8488 |
| Oregon | 20.5099 |
| Pennsylvania | 17.7499 |
| Puerto Rico | 8.4134 |
| Rhode Island ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| South Carolina | 16.7085 |
| South Dakota | 15.5851 |
| Tennessee | 15.4168 |
| Texas | 15.2542 |
| Utah | 18.2372 |
| Vermont | 19.5500 |
| Virginia .... | 16.2563 |
| Washington | 21.7931 |
| West Virginia | 16.3543 |
| Wisconsin ... | 17.6308 |
| Wyoming ............................... | 18.0559 |

Table 4F.-Puerto Rico Wage Index and Capital Geogaphic Adjustment Factor (GAF)

| Area | Wage index | GAF | Wage indexReclass. hospitals | GAF- <br> Reclass. hospitals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aguadilla, PR | 1.0534 | 1.0363 | .................. |  |
| Arecibo, PR | 1.0850 | 1.0575 |  |  |
| Caguas, PR | 0.9812 | 0.9871 | 0.9812 | 0.9871 |
| Mayaguez, PR | 0.9624 | 0.9741 | .................. |  |
| Ponce, PR | 1.0462 | 1.0314 | ................. |  |
| San Juan-Bayamon, PR | 0.9980 | 0.9986 | ................ | $\ldots$ |
| Rural Puerto Rico ........................................................................................... | 0.9014 | 0.9314 | ................. | ................ |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 ....... | 01 | SURG | CRANIOTOMY AGE >17 EXCEPT FOR TRAUMA | 3.0645 | 6.8 | 9.6 |
| .... | 01 | SURG | CRANIOTOMY FOR TRAUMA AGE $>17$ | 3.1009 | 7.5 | 10.1 |
| 3 .... | 01 | SURG | *CRANIOTOMY AGE 0-17 | 1.9573 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| 4 ..... | 01 | SURG | SPINAL PROCEDURES | 2.3259 | 5.1 | 7.7 |
| 5 ..... | 01 | SURG | EXTRACRANIAL VASCULAR PROCEDURES | 1.4845 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 6 ...... | 01 | SURG | CARPAL TUNNEL RELEASE | . 7763 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 7 ....... | 01 | SURG | PERIPH \& CRANIAL NERVE \& OTHER NERV SYST PROC W CC | 2.3911 | 6.8 | 10.1 |
| 8 | 01 | SURG | PERIPH \& CRANIAL NERVE \& OTHER NERV SYST PROC W/O CC | 1.2891 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| ..... | 01 | MED | SPINAL DISORDERS \& INJURIES | 1.2867 | 4.8 | 6.6 |
| 10 .... | 01 | MED | NERVOUS SYSTEM NEOPLASMS W CC | 1.2113 | 5.1 | 7.0 |
| $11 . .$. | 01 | MED | NERVOUS SYSTEM NEOPLASMS W/O CC | . 8233 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| 12 ..... | 01 | MED | DEGENERATIVE NERVOUS SYSTEM DISORDERS | . 9034 | 4.8 | 6.7 |
| 13 ..... | 01 | MED | MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS \& CEREBELLAR ATAXIA | . 7792 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| 14 ... | 01 | MED | SPECIFIC CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS EXCEPT TIA | 1.1973 | 4.9 | 6.4 |
| 15 ... | 01 | MED | TRANSIENT ISCHEMIC ATTACK \& PRECEREBRAL OCCLUSIONS | . 7327 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| 16 | 01 | MED | NONSPECIFIC CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS W CC | 1.0715 | 4.5 | 5.9 |
| 17 ..... | 01 | MED | NONSPECIFIC CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS W/O CC | . 6186 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 18 ..... | 01 | MED | CRANIAL \& PERIPHERAL NERVE DISORDERS W CC | . 9285 | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| 19 ..... | 01 | MED | CRANIAL \& PERIPHERAL NERVE DISORDERS W/O CC | . 6463 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 20 ..... | 01 | MED | NERVOUS SYSTEM INFECTION EXCEPT VIRAL MENINGITIS | 2.6134 | 7.9 | 10.5 |
| 21 ..... | 01 | MED | VIRAL MENINGITIS | 1.4785 | 5.1 | 6.8 |
| 22 ... | 01 | MED | HYPERTENSIVE ENCEPHALOPATHY | . 8984 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| 23 | 01 | MED | NONTRAUMATIC STUPOR \& COMA | . 7776 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| 24 | 01 | MED | SEIZURE \& HEADACHE AGE >17 W CC | . 9579 | 3.8 | 5.1 |
| 25 | 01 | MED | SEIZURE \& HEADACHE AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5905 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 26 | 01 | MED | SEIZURE \& HEADACHE AGE 0-17 | 6950 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| 27 ..... | 01 | MED | TRAUMATIC STUPOR \& COMA, COMA >1 HR | 1.3017 | 3.4 | 5.3 |
| 28 ..... | 01 | MED | TRAUMATIC STUPOR \& COMA, COMA <1 HR AGE >17 W CC | 1.1699 | 4.3 | 6.0 |
| 29 ... | 01 | MED | TRAUMATIC STUPOR \& COMA, COMA <1 HR AGE >17 W/O CC | . 6370 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 30 ... | 01 | MED | *TRAUMATIC STUPOR \& COMA, COMA <1 HR AGE 0-17 | . 3310 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 31 ..... | 01 | MED | CONCUSSION AGE >17 W CC | . 8039 | 3.2 | 4 |
| 32 ..... | 01 | MED | CONCUSSION AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5138 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| 33 ..... | 01 | MED | *CONCUSSION AGE 0-17 | . 2080 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 34 ..... | 01 | MED | OTHER DISORDERS OF NERVOUS SYSTEM W CC | 1.0067 | 4.1 | 5.5 |
| 35 ..... | 01 | MED | OTHER DISORDERS OF NERVOUS SYSTEM W/O CC | . 5915 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 36 ..... | 02 | SURG | RETINAL PROCEDURES | . 6873 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 37 | 02 | SURG | ORBITAL PROCEDURES | . 9614 | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| 38 ..... | 02 | SURG | PRIMARY IRIS PROCEDURES | . 4876 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| 39 ..... | 02 | SURG | LENS PROCEDURES WITH OR WITHOUT VITRECTOMY | . 5686 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| 40 ..... | 02 | SURG | EXTRAOCULAR PROCEDURES EXCEPT ORBIT AGE >17 | . 7937 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| 41 ..... | 02 | SURG | *EXTRAOCULAR PROCEDURES EXCEPT ORBIT AGE 0-17 | . 3369 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 42 ..... | 02 | SURG | INTRAOCULAR PROCEDURES EXCEPT RETINA, IRIS \& LENS | . 6034 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 43 ..... | 02 | MED | HYPHEMA | . 4370 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 44 ..... | 02 | MED | ACUTE MAJOR EYE INFECTIONS | . 6100 | 4.2 | 5.1 |
| 45 ..... | 02 | MED | NEUROLOGICAL EYE DISORDERS | . 6822 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| 46 ..... | 02 | MED | OTHER DISORDERS OF THE EYE AGE >17 W CC | . 7546 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| 47 ..... | 02 | MED | OTHER DISORDERS OF THE EYE AGE >17 W/O CC | . 4618 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| 48 ..... | 02 | MED | *OTHER DISORDERS OF THE EYE AGE 0-17 | . 2969 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 49 | 03 | SURG | MAJOR HEAD \& NECK PROCEDURES | 1.7597 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| 50 | 03 | SURG | SIALOADENECTOMY | . 8288 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 51 | 03 | SURG | SALIVARY GLAND PROCEDURES EXCEPT SIALOADENECTOMY | . 8590 | 1.8 | 2.8 |
| 52. | 03 | SURG | CLEFT LIP \& PALATE REPAIR | . 9567 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| 53 ..... | 03 | SURG | SINUS \& MASTOID PROCEDURES AGE >17 | 1.1402 | 2.3 | 3.7 |
| 54 | 03 | SURG | *SINUS \& MASTOID PROCEDURES AGE 0-17 | . 4812 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 55 | 03 | SURG | MISCELLANEOUS EAR, NOSE, MOUTH \& THROAT PROCEDURES .... | . 8886 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| 56 | 03 | SURG | RHINOPLASTY | . 9008 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
|  | 03 | SURG | T\&A PROC, EXCEPT TONSILLECTOMY \&/OR ADENOIDECTOMY ONLY, AGE >17. | . 9381 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
|  | 03 | SURG | *T\&A PROC, EXCEPT TONSILLECTOMY \&/OR ADENOIDECTOMY ONLY, AGE 0-17. | . 2732 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 59 | 03 | SURG | TONSILLECTOMY \&/OR ADENOIDECTOMY ONLY, AGE >17 | . 6750 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| 60 ... | 03 | SURG | *TONSILLECTOMY \&/OR ADENOIDECTOMY ONLY, AGE 0-17 | . 2081 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 61 ... | 03 | SURG | MYRINGOTOMY W TUBE INSERTION AGE >17 | 1.1456 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| 62 | 03 | SURG | *MYRINGOTOMY W TUBE INSERTION AGE 0-17 | . 2946 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 63 | 03 | SURG | OTHER EAR, NOSE, MOUTH \& THROAT O.R. PROCEDURES | 1.3248 | 3.0 | 4.4 |
| 64 ... | 03 | MED | EAR, NOSE, MOUTH \& THROAT MALIGNANCY | 1.2201 | 4.4 | 6.8 |
| 65 ..... | 03 | MED | DYSEQUILIBRIUM | . 5173 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 66 | 03 | MED | EPISTAXIS | . 5418 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| 67 | 03 | MED | EPIGLOTTITIS | . 8230 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 68 ..... | 03 | MED | OTITIS MEDIA \& URI AGE >17 W CC | . 6733 | 3.4 | 4.2 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | 03 | MED | OTITIS MEDIA \& URI AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5076 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| 70 | 03 | MED | OTITIS MEDIA \& URI AGE 0-17 | . 3860 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 71. | 03 | MED | LARYNGOTRACHEITIS | . 7663 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
|  | 03 | MED | NASAL TRAUMA \& DEFORMITY | . 6534 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
|  | 03 | MED | OTHER EAR, NOSE, MOUTH \& THROAT DIAGNOSES AGE >17 | . 7507 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 74 | 03 | MED | *OTHER EAR, NOSE, MOUTH \& THROAT DIAGNOSES AGE 0-17 | . 3347 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 75 ... | 04 | SURG | MAJOR CHEST PROCEDURES | 3.1785 | 8.1 | 10.2 |
| 76 ... | 04 | SURG | OTHER RESP SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES W CC | 2.6860 | 8.4 | 11.3 |
| 77 | 04 | SURG | OTHER RESP SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES W/O CC | 1.1569 | 3.4 | 4.9 |
| 78. | 04 | MED | PULMONARY EMBOLISM | 1.4068 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
|  | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS \& INFLAMMATIONS AGE >17 W CC | 1.6331 | 6.7 | 8.4 |
| 80 ... | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS \& INFLAMMATIONS AGE > $17 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{O}$ CC ... | . 9177 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| 81. | 04 | MED | *RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS \& INFLAMMATIONS AGE 0-17 .............. | 1.5160 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
|  | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY NEOPLASMS | 1.3628 | 5.3 | 7.2 |
| 83 ... | 04 | MED | MAJOR CHEST TRAUMA W CC | . 9508 | 4.4 | 5.6 |
| 84 ... | 04 | MED | MAJOR CHEST TRAUMA W/O CC | . 5041 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
|  | 04 | MED | PLEURAL EFFUSION W CC | 1.2361 | 5.1 | 6.7 |
|  | 04 | MED | PLEURAL EFFUSION W/O CC | . 6843 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| 87 | 04 | MED | PULMONARY EDEMA \& RESPIRATORY FAILURE | 1.3672 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| 88 | 04 | MED | CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE | . 9558 | 4.4 | 5.4 |
| 89 | 04 | MED | SIMPLE PNEUMONIA \& PLEURISY AGE >17 W CC | 1.0865 | 5.2 | 6.3 |
| 90 | 04 | MED | SIMPLE PNEUMONIA \& PLEURISY AGE > 17 W/O CC | . 6669 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
|  | 04 | MED | SIMPLE PNEUMONIA \& PLEURISY AGE 0-17 | . 7210 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
|  | 04 | MED | INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE W CC | 1.2047 | 5.1 | 6.4 |
| 93 | 04 | MED | INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE W/O CC | . 7722 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
|  | 04 | MED | PNEUMOTHORAX W CC | 1.1904 | 4.9 | 6.5 |
| 95 | 04 | MED | PNEUMOTHORAX W/O CC | . 6060 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| 96 | 04 | MED | BRONCHITIS \& ASTHMA AGE >17 W CC | . 7917 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| 97 | 04 | MED | BRONCHITIS \& ASTHMA AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5942 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| 98 | 04 | MED | BRONCHITIS \& ASTHMA AGE 0-17 | . 6921 | 3.6 | 4.9 |
|  | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS W CC | . 6739 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| 100 ... | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS W/O CC | . 5155 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 101 ... | 04 | MED | OTHER RESPIRATORY SYSTEM DIAGNOSES W CC | . 8304 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 102 ... | 04 | MED | OTHER RESPIRATORY SYSTEM DIAGNOSES W/O CC | . 5402 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| 103 ... | 05 | SURG | HEART TRANSPLANT | 16.8723 | 30.4 | 48.1 |
| 104 ... | 05 | SURG | CARDIAC VALVE \& OTH MAJ CARDIOTHORACIC PROC W CARD CATH. | 7.2756 | 9.9 | 12.5 |
| 105 ... | 05 | SURG | CARDIAC VALVE \& OTH MAJ CARDIOTHORACIC PROC W/O CARD CATH. | 5.7011 | 7.9 | 9.7 |
| 106 ... | 05 | SURG | CORONARY BYPASS WITH PTCA | 7.3400 | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| 107 ... | 05 | SURG | CORONARY BYPASS W CARDIAC CATH | 5.4891 | 9.5 | 10.7 |
| 108 ... | 05 | SURG | OTHER CARDIOTHORACIC PROCEDURES | 5.9512 | 8.6 | 11.3 |
| 109 ... | 05 | SURG | CORONARY BYPASS W/O CARDIAC CATH | 4.0670 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| 110 ... | 05 | SURG | MAJOR CARDIOVASCULAR PROCEDURES W CC | 4.1419 | 7.4 | 9.7 |
| 111 ... | 05 | SURG | MAJOR CARDIOVASCULAR PROCEDURES W/O CC | 2.2188 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| 112 ... | 05 | SURG | PERCUTANEOUS CARDIOVASCULAR PROCEDURES | 1.9862 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| 113 ... | 05 | SURG | AMPUTATION FOR CIRC SYSTEM DISORDERS EXCEPT UPPER LIMB \& TOE. | 2.7407 | 9.8 | 13.0 |
| $114 \ldots$ | 05 | SURG | UPPER LIMB \& TOE AMPUTATION FOR CIRC SYSTEM DISORDERS | 1.5023 | 6.0 | 8.4 |
| 115 ... | 05 | SURG | PERM PACE IMPLNT W AMI, HRT FAIL OR SHOCK OR AICD LEAD OR GEN PROC. | 3.5531 | 6.4 | 8.8 |
| 116 ... | 05 | SURG | OTH PERM CARDIAC PACEMAKER IMPLANT OR PTCA W CORONARY ART STENT. | 2.4811 | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| 117 | 05 | SURG | CARDIAC PACEMAKER REVISION EXCEPT DEVICE REPLACEMENT | 1.2368 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| 118 ... | 05 | SURG | CARDIAC PACEMAKER DEVICE REPLACEMENT | 1.5711 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| 119 ... | 05 | SURG | VEIN LIGATION \& STRIPPING | 1.2960 | 3.2 | 5.4 |
| 120 ... | 05 | SURG | OTHER CIRCULATORY SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES | 1.9568 | 4.9 | 8.2 |
| 121 ... | 05 | MED | CIRCULATORY DISORDERS W AMI \& MAJOR COMP DISCH ALIVE | 1.6354 | 5.7 | 7.0 |
| 122 ... | 05 | MED | CIRCULATORY DISORDERS W AMI W/O MAJOR COMP DISCH ALIVE | 1.1299 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| 123 ... | 05 | MED | CIRCULATORY DISORDERS W AMI, EXPIRED | 1.4874 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
| 124 ... | 05 | MED | CIRCULATORY DISORDERS EXCEPT AMI, W CARD CATH \& COMPLEX DIAG. | 1.3790 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| 125 ... | 05 | MED | CIRCULATORY DISORDERS EXCEPT AMI, W CARD CATH W/O COMPLEX DIAG. | 1.0130 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| 126 ... | 05 | MED | ACUTE \& SUBACUTE ENDOCARDITIS | 2.5820 | 9.7 | 12.7 |
| 127 ... | 05 | MED | HEART FAILURE \& SHOCK | 1.0143 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| 128 ... | 05 | MED | DEEP VEIN THROMBOPHLEBITIS | . 7671 | 5.3 | 6.0 |
| 129 ... | 05 | MED | CARDIAC ARREST, UNEXPLAINED | 1.0878 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| 130 ... | 05 | MED | PERIPHERAL VASCULAR DISORDERS W CC | . 9435 | 4.9 | 6.0 |
| 131 ... | 05 | MED | PERIPHERAL VASCULAR DISORDERS W/O CC | . 6077 | 3.9 | 4.7 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132 | 05 | MED | ATHEROSCLEROSIS W CC | . 6711 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| 133 ... | 05 | MED | ATHEROSCLEROSIS W/O CC | . 5562 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 134 ... | 05 | MED | HYPERTENSION | . 5838 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 135 ... | 05 | MED | CARDIAC CONGENITAL \& VALVULAR DISORDERS AGE >17 W CC | . 8519 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 136 ... | 05 | MED | CARDIAC CONGENITAL \& VALVULAR DISORDERS AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5766 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 137 ... | 05 | MED | *CARDIAC CONGENITAL \& VALVULAR DISORDERS AGE 0-17 | . 8168 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 138 ... | 05 | MED | CARDIAC ARRHYTHMIA \& CONDUCTION DISORDERS W CC | . 8012 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 139 ... | 05 | MED | CARDIAC ARRHYTHMIA \& CONDUCTION DISORDERS W/O CC | . 4981 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| 140 ... | 05 | MED | ANGINA PECTORIS | . 5973 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 141 ... | 05 | MED | SYNCOPE \& COLLAPSE W CC | . 7029 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| 142 ... | 05 | MED | SYNCOPE \& COLLAPSE W/O CC | . 5316 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| 143 ... | 05 | MED | CHEST PAIN | . 5265 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| 144 ... | 05 | MED | OTHER CIRCULATORY SYSTEM DIAGNOSES W CC | 1.1123 | 3.8 | 5.3 |
| 145 ... | 05 | MED | OTHER CIRCULATORY SYSTEM DIAGNOSES W/O CC ... | . 6305 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| 146 ... | 06 | SURG | RECTAL RESECTION W CC | 2.7210 | 9.0 | 10.3 |
| 147 ... | 06 | SURG | RECTAL RESECTION W/O CC | 1.5887 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| $148 . .$. | 06 | SURG | MAJOR SMALL \& LARGE BOWEL PROCEDURES W CC | 3.4239 | 10.3 | 12.3 |
| 149 ... | 06 | SURG | MAJOR SMALL \& LARGE BOWEL PROCEDURES W/O CC | 1.5698 | 6.3 | 6.9 |
| 150 ... | 06 | SURG | PERITONEAL ADHESIOLYSIS W CC | 2.7465 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| 151 ... | 06 | SURG | PERITONEAL ADHESIOLYSIS W/O CC | 1.2832 | 4.8 | 5.9 |
| 152 ... | 06 | SURG | MINOR SMALL \& LARGE BOWEL PROCEDURES W CC | 1.9427 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| 153 ... | 06 | SURG | MINOR SMALL \& LARGE BOWEL PROCEDURES W/O CC | 1.1905 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| 154 ... | 06 | SURG | STOMACH, ESOPHAGEAL \& DUODENAL PROCEDURES AGE >17 W CC. | 4.1849 | 10.3 | 13.4 |
| 155 ... | 06 | SURG | STOMACH, ESOPHAGEAL \& DUODENAL PROCEDURES AGE >17 W/ O CC. | 1.3570 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| 156 ... | 06 | SURG | *STOMACH, ESOPHAGEAL \& DUODENAL PROCEDURES AGE 0-17 .. | . 8412 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | 06 | SURG | ANAL \& STOMAL PROCEDURES W CC | 1.2071 | 3.9 | 5.4 |
|  | 06 | SURG | ANAL \& STOMAL PROCEDURES W/O CC | . 6434 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| 159 ... | 06 | SURG | HERNIA PROCEDURES EXCEPT INGUINAL \& FEMORAL AGE >17 W CC. | 1.2873 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| 160 ... | 06 | SURG | HERNIA PROCEDURES EXCEPT INGUINAL \& FEMORAL AGE >17 W/ O CC. | . 7413 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| 161 ... | 06 | SURG | INGUINAL \& FEMORAL HERNIA PROCEDURES AGE >17 W CC ......... | 1.0742 | 2.9 | 4.1 |
| 162 ... | 06 | SURG | INGUINAL \& FEMORAL HERNIA PROCEDURES AGE > 17 W/O CC ...... | . 6129 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 163 ... | 06 | SURG | *HERNIA PROCEDURES AGE 0-17 ................................................. | . 8700 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| $164 .$. | 06 | SURG | APPENDECTOMY W COMPLICATED PRINCIPAL DIAG W CC ............. | 2.3206 | 7.3 | 8.5 |
| 165 ... | 06 | SURG | APPENDECTOMY W COMPLICATED PRINCIPAL DIAG W/O CC .......... | 1.2301 | 4.3 | 5.0 |
| 166 ... | 06 | SURG | APPENDECTOMY W/O COMPLICATED PRINCIPAL DIAG W CC ......... | 1.4518 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| 167 ... | 06 | SURG | APPENDECTOMY W/O COMPLICATED PRINCIPAL DIAG W/O CC ...... | . 8548 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| 168 ... | 03 | SURG | MOUTH PROCEDURES W CC | 1.1593 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| 169 ... | 03 | SURG | MOUTH PROCEDURES W/O CC | . 7155 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 170 ... | 06 | SURG | OTHER DIGESTIVE SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES W CC | 2.8008 | 7.9 | 11.3 |
| $171 \ldots$ | 06 | SURG | OTHER DIGESTIVE SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES W/O CC ................. | 1.1668 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| $172 \ldots$ | 06 | MED | DIGESTIVE MALIGNANCY W CC ......................................................... | 1.3152 | 5.2 | 7.1 |
| 173 ... | 06 | MED | DIGESTIVE MALIGNANCY W/O CC ................................................. | . 7316 | 2.8 | 4.0 |
| $174 \ldots$ | 06 | MED | G.I. HEMORRHAGE W CC | . 9945 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| 175 ... | 06 | MED | G.I. HEMORRHAGE W/O CC | . 5305 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 176 ... | 06 | MED | COMPLICATED PEPTIC ULCER | 1.1068 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| 177 ... | 06 | MED | UNCOMPLICATED PEPTIC ULCER W CC | . 8646 | 3.7 | 4.6 |
| 178 ... | 06 | MED | UNCOMPLICATED PEPTIC ULCER W/O CC ..................................... | . 6344 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| 179 ... | 06 | MED | INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE ................................................. | 1.1084 | 5.0 | 6.4 |
| 180 ... | 06 | MED | G.I. OBSTRUCTION W CC | . 9184 | 4.2 | 5.4 |
| 181 ... | 06 | MED | G.I. OBSTRUCTION W/O CC | . 5254 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| 182 ... | 06 | MED | ESOPHAGITIS, GASTROENT \& MISC DIGEST DISORDERS AGE $>17$ W CC. | . 7709 | 3.4 | 4.4 |
| 183 ... | 06 | MED | ESOPHAGITIS, GASTROENT \& MISC DIGEST DISORDERS AGE >17 W/O CC. | . 5594 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 184 ... | 06 | MED | ESOPHAGITIS, GASTROENT \& MISC DIGEST DISORDERS AGE 0-17 | . 5224 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| 185 ... | 03 | MED | DENTAL \& ORAL DIS EXCEPT EXTRACTIONS \& RESTORATIONS, AGE $>17$. | . 8303 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| 186 ... | 03 | MED | *DENTAL \& ORAL DIS EXCEPT EXTRACTIONS \& RESTORATIONS, AGE 0-17. | . 3207 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 187 ... | 03 | MED | DENTAL EXTRACTIONS \& RESTORATIONS ..................................... | . 7415 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| 188 ... | 06 | MED | OTHER DIGESTIVE SYSTEM DIAGNOSES AGE $>17 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{CC}$ | 1.0758 | 4.1 | 5.6 |
| 189 ... | 06 | MED | OTHER DIGESTIVE SYSTEM DIAGNOSES AGE $>17 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{O}$ CC ............. | . 5600 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 190 ... | 06 | MED | OTHER DIGESTIVE SYSTEM DIAGNOSES AGE 0-17 ..................... | . 7636 | 3.8 | 5.3 |
| 191 ... | 07 | SURG | PANCREAS, LIVER \& SHUNT PROCEDURES W CC | 4.4088 | 10.8 | 14.6 |
| 192 ... | 07 | SURG | PANCREAS, LIVER \& SHUNT PROCEDURES W/O CC ..................... | 1.7111 | 5.4 | 6.7 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193 | 07 | SURG | BILIARY TRACT PROC EXCEPT ONLY CHOLECYST W OR W/O C.D.E. W CC. | 3.3324 | 10.4 | 12.5 |
| 194 | 07 | SURG | BILIARY TRACT PROC EXCEPT ONLY CHOLECYST W OR W/O C.D.E. W/O CC. | 1.6689 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
| 195 | 07 | SURG | CHOLECYSTECTOMY W C.D.E. W CC | 2.7947 | 8.3 | 9.8 |
| 196 | 07 | SURG | CHOLECYSTECTOMY W C.D.E. W/O CC | 1.6378 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| 197 | 07 | SURG | CHOLECYSTECTOMY EXCEPT BY LAPAROSCOPE W/O C.D.E. W CC | 2.3864 | 7.1 | 8.6 |
| 198 ... | 07 | SURG | CHOLECYSTECTOMY EXCEPT BY LAPAROSCOPE W/O C.D.E. W/O CC. | 1.2024 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| 199 ... | 07 | SURG | HEPATOBILIARY DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE FOR MALIGNANCY | 2.3873 | 7.7 | 10.2 |
| 200 ... | 07 | SURG | HEPATOBILIARY DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE FOR NON-MALIGNANCY. | 3.2791 | 7.4 | 11.5 |
| 201 | 07 | SURG | OTHER HEPATOBILIARY OR PANCREAS O.R. PROCEDURES | 3.5903 | 10.4 | 14.4 |
| 202 | 07 | MED | CIRRHOSIS \& ALCOHOLIC HEPATITIS | 1.3123 | 5.1 | 6.8 |
| 203 | 07 | MED | MALIGNANCY OF HEPATOBILIARY SYSTEM OR PANCREAS ... | 1.2979 | 5.1 | 6.9 |
| 204 | 07 | MED | DISORDERS OF PANCREAS EXCEPT MALIGNANCY | 1.2114 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| 205 | 07 | MED | DISORDERS OF LIVER EXCEPT MALIG, CIRR, ALC HEPA W CC | 1.2109 | 4.9 | 6.6 |
| 206 ... | 07 | MED | DISORDERS OF LIVER EXCEPT MALIG, CIRR, ALC HEPA W/O CC .... | . 6932 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 207 | 07 | MED | DISORDERS OF THE BILIARY TRACT W CC | 1.0711 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
| 208 ... | 07 | MED | DISORDERS OF THE BILIARY TRACT W/O CC | . 6178 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| 209 ... | 08 | SURG | MAJOR JOINT \& LIMB REATTACHMENT PROCEDURES OF LOWER EXTREMITY. | 2.1818 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| 210 ... | 08 | SURG | HIP \& FEMUR PROCEDURES EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT AGE >17 W CC | 1.8153 | 6.1 | 7.1 |
| 211 ... | 08 | SURG | HIP \& FEMUR PROCEDURES EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT AGE >17 W/O CC. | 1.2530 | 4.7 | 5.2 |
| 212 | 08 | SURG | HIP \& FEMUR PROCEDURES EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT AGE 0-17 | . 8679 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| 213 ... | 08 | SURG | AMPUTATION FOR MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM \& CONN TISSUE DISORDERS. | 1.6323 | 6.2 | 8.4 |
| 214 | 08 | SURG | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 215 | 08 | SURG | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | 0 | 0 |
| 216 ... | 08 | SURG | BIOPSIES OF MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM \& CONNECTIVE TISSUE. | 2.1241 | 7.0 | 9.8 |
| 217 | 08 | SURG | WND DEBRID \& SKN GRFT EXCEPT HAND,FOR MUSCSKELET \& CONN TISS DIS. | 2.7825 | 8.7 | 13.0 |
| 218 ... | 08 | SURG | LOWER EXTREM \& HUMER PROC EXCEPT HIP, FOOT, FEMUR AGE $>17 \mathrm{~W}$ CC. | 1.4630 | 4.2 | 5.3 |
| 219 | 08 | SURG | LOWER EXTREM \& HUMER PROC EXCEPT HIP, FOOT, FEMUR AGE $>17$ W/O CC. | . 9926 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| 220 ... | 08 | SURG | *LOWER EXTREM \& HUMER PROC EXCEPT HIP, FOOT, FEMUR AGE 0-17. | . 5827 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 221 ... | 08 | SURG | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 222 ... | 08 | SURG | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | 0 | . 0 |
| 223 ... | 08 | SURG | MAJOR SHOULDER/ELBOW PROC, OR OTHER UPPER EXTREMITY PROC W CC. | . 9257 | 2.0 | 2.6 |
| 224 ... | 08 | SURG | SHOULDER, ELBOW OR FOREARM PROC, EXC MAJOR JOINT PROC, W/O CC. | . 7876 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 225 | 08 | SURG | FOOT PROCEDURES | 1.0120 | 3.0 | 4.4 |
| 226 ... | 08 | SURG | SOFT TISSUE PROCEDURES W CC | 1.4076 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
|  | 08 | SURG | SOFT TISSUE PROCEDURES W/O CC | . 7916 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| 228 ... | 08 | SURG | MAJOR THUMB OR JOINT PROC, OR OTH HAND OR WRIST PROC WCC. | 1.0048 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| 229 ... | 08 | SURG | HAND OR WRIST PROC, EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT PROC, W/O CC | . 7055 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| 230 ... | 08 | SURG | LOCAL EXCISION \& REMOVAL OF INT FIX DEVICES OF HIP \& FEMUR. | 1.1097 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
| 231 ... | 08 | SURG | LOCAL EXCISION \& REMOVAL OF INT FIX DEVICES EXCEPT HIP \& FEMUR. | 1.2922 | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| 232 ... | 08 | SURG | ARTHROSCOPY | 1.0895 | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| 233 ... | 08 | SURG | OTHER MUSCULOSKELET SYS \& CONN TISS O.R. PROC W CC ....... | 2.0599 | 5.4 | 7.7 |
| 234 ... | 08 | SURG | OTHER MUSCULOSKELET SYS \& CONN TISS O.R. PROC W/O CC .... | 1.1712 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| 235 ... | 08 | MED | FRACTURES OF FEMUR | 7526 | 3.9 | 5.4 |
| 236 ... | 08 | MED | FRACTURES OF HIP \& PELVIS | . 7260 | 4.1 | 5.3 |
| 237 | 08 | MED | SPRAINS, STRAINS, \& DISLOCATIONS OF HIP, PELVIS \& THIGH ....... | . 5367 | 2.9 | 3.6 |
| 238 ... | 08 | MED | OSTEOMYELITIS | 1.3382 | 6.7 | 8.9 |
| 239 ... | 08 | MED | PATHOLOGICAL FRACTURES \& MUSCULOSKELETAL \& CONN TISS MALIGNANCY. | . 9661 | 5.0 | 6.4 |
| 240 ... | 08 | MED | CONNECTIVE TISSUE DISORDERS W CC | 1.2253 | 5.0 | 6.7 |
| 241 | 08 | MED | CONNECTIVE TISSUE DISORDERS W/O CC | . 5875 | 3.1 | 4.0 |
| 242 | 08 | MED | SEPTIC ARTHRITIS | 1.0391 | 5.2 | 6.8 |
| 243 | 08 | MED | MEDICAL BACK PROBLEMS | . 7159 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| 244 ... | 08 | MED | BONE DISEASES \& SPECIFIC ARTHROPATHIES W CC | . 7056 | 3.9 | 5.0 |

table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 245 | 08 | MED | BONE DISEASES \& SPECIFIC ARTHROPATHIES W/O CC | . 4961 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| 246 | 08 | MED | NON-SPECIFIC ARTHROPATHIES | . 5662 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
|  | 08 | MED | SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS OF MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM \& CONN TISSUE. | . 5542 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| 248 ... | 08 | MED | TENDONITIS, MYOSITIS \& BURSITIS | . 7487 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| 249 ... | 08 | MED | AFTERCARE, MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM \& CONNECTIVE TISSUE | . 6514 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 250 ... | 08 | MED | FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF FOREARM, HAND, FOOT AGE >17 W CC | . 6776 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| 251 ... | 08 | MED | FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF FOREARM, HAND, FOOT AGE >17 W/O CC. | . 4622 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
|  | 08 | MED | *FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF FOREARM, HAND, FOOT AGE 0-17 ...... | . 2532 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 253 ... | 08 | MED | FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF UPARM, LOWLEG EX FOOT AGE >17 W CC. | . 7188 | 3.7 | 4.9 |
| 254 ... | 08 | MED | FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF UPARM, LOWLEG EX FOOT AGE >17 W/ O CC. | . 4315 | 2.7 | 3. |
| 255 | 08 | MED | *FX, SPRN, STRN \& DISL OF UPARM, LOWLEG EX FOOT AGE 0-17 | . 2947 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 256 ... | 08 | MED | OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM \& CONNECTIVE TISSUE DIAGNOSES. | . 7564 | 3.8 | 5.1 |
| 257 | 09 | SURG | TOTAL MASTECTOMY FOR MALIGNANCY W CC .............................. | 9219 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 258 ... | 09 | SURG | TOTAL MASTECTOMY FOR MALIGNANCY W/O CC | . 7237 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 259 .. | 09 | SURG | SUBTOTAL MASTECTOMY FOR MALIGNANCY W CC | . 8840 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| 260 ... | 09 | SURG | SUBTOTAL MASTECTOMY FOR MALIGNANCY W/O CC | . 6238 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 261 ... | 09 | SURG | BREAST PROC FOR NON-MALIGNANCY EXCEPT BIOPSY \& LOCAL EXCISION. | . 9138 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| 262 ... | 09 | SURG | BREAST BIOPSY \& LOCAL EXCISION FOR NON-MALIGNANCY | . 8738 | 2.9 | 4.2 |
| 263 ... | 09 | SURG | SKIN GRAFT \&/OR DEBRID FOR SKN ULCER OR CELLULITIS W CC | 2.0055 | 8.8 | 11.9 |
| 264 ... | 09 | SURG | SKIN GRAFT \&/OR DEBRID FOR SKN ULCER OR CELLULITIS W/O CC. | 1.1061 | 5.4 | 7.2 |
| 265 ... | 09 | SURG | SKIN GRAFT \&/OR DEBRID EXCEPT FOR SKIN ULCER OR CELLULITIS W CC. | 1.4806 | 4.2 | 6.5 |
| 266 ... | 09 | SURG | SKIN GRAFT \&/OR DEBRID EXCEPT FOR SKIN ULCER OR CELLULITIS W/O CC. | . 8252 | 2.5 | 3.4 |
| 267 | 09 | SURG | PERIANAL \& PILONIDAL PROCEDURES | . 9378 | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| 268 ... | 09 | SURG | SKIN, SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE \& BREAST PLASTIC PROCEDURES | 1.0673 | 2.3 | 3.6 |
| 269 ... | 09 | SURG | OTHER SKIN, SUBCUT TISS \& BREAST PROC W CC ....................... | 1.5778 | 5.6 | 7.9 |
| 270 ... | 09 | SURG | OTHER SKIN, SUBCUT TISS \& BREAST PROC W/O CC .. | . 7218 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| 271 ... | 09 | MED | SKIN ULCERS | 1.0023 | 5.7 | 7.2 |
| 272 ... | 09 | MED | MAJOR SKIN DISORDERS W CC | 1.0465 | 4.9 | 6.4 |
| 273 ... | 09 | MED | MAJOR SKIN DISORDERS W/O CC | . 6251 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| 274 ... | 09 | MED | MALIGNANT BREAST DISORDERS W CC | 1.1170 | 4.8 | 6.8 |
| 275 | 09 | MED | MALIGNANT BREAST DISORDERS W/O CC | . 5288 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 276 | 09 | MED | NON-MALIGANT BREAST DISORDERS | . 6416 | 3.6 | 4.5 |
| 277 | 09 | MED | CELLULITIS AGE >17 W CC | . 8345 | 4.8 | 5.9 |
| 278 ... | 09 | MED | CELLULITIS AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5561 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| 279 ... | 09 | MED | CELLULITIS AGE 0-17 | . 6697 | 4.3 | 5.0 |
| 280 | 09 | MED | TRAUMA TO THE SKIN, SUBCUT TISS \& BREAST AGE $>17 \mathrm{WCC} . .$. | . 6624 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| 281 | 09 | MED | TRAUMA TO THE SKIN, SUBCUT TISS \& BREAST AGE >17 W/O CC | . 4540 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| 282 ... | 09 | MED | *TRAUMA TO THE SKIN, SUBCUT TISS \& BREAST AGE 0-17 ............ | . 2563 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 283 | 09 | MED | MINOR SKIN DISORDERS W CC .............................................. | . 6961 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| 284 | 09 | MED | MINOR SKIN DISORDERS W/O CC | . 4419 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| 285 ... | 10 | SURG | AMPUTAT OF LOWER LIMB FOR ENDOCRINE, NUTRIT, \& METABOL DISORDERS. | 2.0445 | 8.1 | 11.0 |
| 286 | 10 | SURG | ADRENAL \& PITUITARY PROCEDURES | 2.2173 | 5.5 | 7.0 |
| 287 | 10 | SURG | SKIN GRAFTS \& WOUND DEBRID FOR ENDOC, NUTRIT \& METAB DISORDERS. | 1.8652 | 8.0 | 11.3 |
| 288 | 10 | SURG | O.R. PROCEDURES FOR OBESITY | 2.0156 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| 289 | 10 | SURG | PARATHYROID PROCEDURES | 1.0132 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| 290 ... | 10 | SURG | THYROID PROCEDURES | . 9181 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 291 ... | 10 | SURG | THYROGLOSSAL PROCEDURES | . 5752 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 292 | 10 | SURG | OTHER ENDOCRINE, NUTRIT \& METAB O.R. PROC W CC | 2.5779 | 7.5 | 10.7 |
| 293. | 10 | SURG | OTHER ENDOCRINE, NUTRIT \& METAB O.R. PROC W/O CC ............. | 1.2954 | 3.9 | 5.5 |
| 294 ... | 10 | MED | DIABETES AGE > 35 ....................................................................... | . 7500 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| 295 ... | 10 | MED | DIABETES AGE 0-35 | . 7234 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| 296 ... | 10 | MED | NUTRITIONAL \& MISC METABOLIC DISORDERS AGE >17 W CC ........ | . 8511 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| 297 ... | 10 | MED | NUTRITIONAL \& MISC METABOLIC DISORDERS AGE >17 W/O CC .... | . 5206 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| 298 ... | 10 | MED | NUTRITIONAL \& MISC METABOLIC DISORDERS AGE 0-17 ............... | . 5479 | 2.4 | 3.7 |
| 299 ... | 10 | MED | INBORN ERRORS OF METABOLISM ............................................... | . 8774 | 3.9 | 5.4 |
| 300 ... | 10 | MED | ENDOCRINE DISORDERS W CC | 1.0807 | 4.8 | 6.3 |
| 301 ... | 10 | MED | ENDOCRINE DISORDERS W/O CC | . 6023 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| 302 ... | 11 | SURG | KIDNEY TRANSPLANT | 3.6251 | 8.6 | 10.1 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303 | 11 | SURG | KIDNEY, URETER \& MAJOR BLADDER PROCEDURES FOR NEOPLASM. | 2.6598 | 7.5 | 9.2 |
| 304 ... | 11 | SURG | KIDNEY, URETER \& MAJOR BLADDER PROC FOR NON-NEOPL W CC. | 2.3331 | 6.5 | 9.0 |
| 305 ... | 11 | SURG | KIDNEY, URETER \& MAJOR BLADDER PROC FOR NON-NEOPL W/O CC. | 1.1358 | 3.2 | 3.9 |
| 306 ... | 11 | SURG | PROSTATECTOMY W CC | 1.2407 | 3.8 | 5.5 |
| 307 ... | 11 | SURG | PROSTATECTOMY W/O CC | 6423 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| 308. | 11 | SURG | MINOR BLADDER PROCEDURES W CC | 1.5218 | 4.1 | 6.0 |
| 309. | 11 | SURG | MINOR BLADDER PROCEDURES W/O CC | 9101 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| 310 ... | 11 | SURG | TRANSURETHRAL PROCEDURES W CC | 1.0630 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| 311. | 11 | SURG | TRANSURETHRAL PROCEDURES W/O CC | . 6087 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 312 ... | 11 | SURG | URETHRAL PROCEDURES, AGE >17 W CC | . 9880 | 2.9 | 4.3 |
| 313 ... | 11 | SURG | URETHRAL PROCEDURES, AGE >17 W/O CC | . 6269 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| 314 ... | 11 | SURG | *URETHRAL PROCEDURES, AGE 0-17 | . 4939 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 315 ... | 11 | SURG | OTHER KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT O.R. PROCEDURES | 2.0691 | 4.6 | 8.0 |
| 316 ... | 11 | MED | RENAL FAILURE | 1.3318 | 5.0 | 6.9 |
| 317 ... | 11 | MED | ADMIT FOR RENAL DIALYSIS | . 6194 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| 318 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT NEOPLASMS W CC | 1.0973 | 4.4 | 6.1 |
| 319 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT NEOPLASMS W/O CC | . 6170 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| 320 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS AGE >17 W CC | . 8675 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| 321 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS AGE >17 W/O CC | . 5826 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| 322 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS AGE 0-17 | 5394 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| 323. | 11 | MED | URINARY STONES W CC, \&/OR ESW LITHOTRIPSY | . 7679 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 324 ... | 11 | MED | URINARY STONES W/O CC | . 4360 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 325 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS AGE >17 W CC | . 6246 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| 326 ... | 11 | MED | KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS AGE >17 W/O CC | . 4152 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| 327 ... | 11 | MED | *KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS AGE 0-17 | . 3532 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 328 ... | 11 | MED | URETHRAL STRICTURE AGE >17 W CC | . 7189 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| 329 | 11 | MED | URETHRAL STRICTURE AGE > 17 W/O CC | . 4911 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| 330 ... | 11 | MED | *URETHRAL STRICTURE AGE 0-17 | . 3182 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 331 ... | 11 | MED | OTHER KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT DIAGNOSES AGE >17 W CC | . 9946 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| 332 ... | 11 | MED | OTHER KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT DIAGNOSES AGE >17 W/O CC .. | . 6236 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 333 ... | 11 | MED | OTHER KIDNEY \& URINARY TRACT DIAGNOSES AGE 0-17 .............. | . 7891 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| 334 ... | 12 | SURG | MAJOR MALE PELVIC PROCEDURES W CC | 1.5998 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| 335 ... | 12 | SURG | MAJOR MALE PELVIC PROCEDURES W/O CC | 1.2055 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 336 ... | 12 | SURG | TRANSURETHRAL PROSTATECTOMY W CC | . 8873 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| 337 ... | 12 | SURG | TRANSURETHRAL PROSTATECTOMY W/O CC | . 6186 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 338 ... | 12 | SURG | TESTES PROCEDURES, FOR MALIGNANCY | 1.0888 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| 339 ... | 12 | SURG | TESTES PROCEDURES, NON-MALIGNANCY AGE > 17 | . 9811 | 2.9 | 4.2 |
| 340 ... | 12 | SURG | *TESTES PROCEDURES, NON-MALIGNANCY AGE 0-17 | 2828 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 341 ... | 12 | SURG | PENIS PROCEDURES | 1.1213 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| $342 \ldots$ | 12 | SURG | CIRCUMCISION AGE > 17 | . 8601 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| 343 ... | 12 | SURG | *CIRCUMCISION AGE 0-17 | . 1536 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| $344 \ldots$ | 12 | SURG | OTHER MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES FOR MALIGNANCY. | 1.0395 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| 345 ... | 12 | SURG | OTHER MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM O.R. PROC EXCEPT FOR MALIGNANCY. | . 8659 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| 346 | 12 | MED | MALIGNANCY, MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM, W CC | . 9541 | 4.3 | 5.8 |
| 347 ... | 12 | MED | MALIGNANCY, MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM, W/O CC | . 5764 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| 348 ... | 12 | MED | BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERTROPHY W CC | . 6894 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| 349 ... | 12 | MED | BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERTROPHY W/O CC | . 4142 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| 350 ... | 12 | MED | INFLAMMATION OF THE MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | . 6931 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| 351 ... | 12 | MED | *STERILIZATION, MALE | . 2358 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| $352 \ldots$ | 12 | MED | OTHER MALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM DIAGNOSES | . 6279 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 353 ... | 13 | SURG | PELVIC EVISCERATION, RADICAL HYSTERECTOMY \& RADICAL VULVECTOMY. | 1.9243 | 5.6 | 6.9 |
| $354 \ldots$ | 13 | SURG | UTERINE, ADNEXA PROC FOR NON-OVARIAN/ADNEXAL MALIG W CC. | 1.4969 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| 355 ... | 13 | SURG | UTERINE, ADNEXA PROC FOR NON-OVARIAN/ADNEXAL MALIG W/O CC. | . 9332 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 356 ... | 13 | SURG | FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTIVE PROCE- DURES. | . 7878 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| 357 ... | 13 | SURG | UTERINE \& ADNEXA PROC FOR OVARIAN OR ADNEXAL MALIGNANCY. | 2.4468 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| 358 ... | 13 | SURG | UTERINE \& ADNEXA PROC FOR NON-MALIGNANCY W CC | 1.2133 | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| 359 ... | 13 | SURG | UTERINE \& ADNEXA PROC FOR NON-MALIGNANCY W/O CC .... | . 8676 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 360 ... | 13 | SURG | VAGINA, CERVIX \& VULVA PROCEDURES | . 8910 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| 361 ... | 13 | SURG | LAPAROSCOPY \& INCISIONAL TUBAL INTERRUPTION | 1.2140 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 362 ... | 13 | SURG | *ENDOSCOPIC TUBAL INTERRUPTION | . 3014 | 1.4 | 1.4 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 363 | 13 | SURG | D\&C, CONIZATION \& RADIO-IMPLANT, FOR MALIGNANCY | . 7481 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| 364 ... | 13 | SURG | D\&C, CONIZATION EXCEPT FOR MALIGNANCY | . 7290 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 365 ... | 13 | SURG | OTHER FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM O.R. PROCEDURES ...... | 1.7398 | 4.6 | 6.9 |
| 366 ... | 13 | MED | MALIGNANCY, FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM W CC | 1.1946 | 4.8 | 6.9 |
| 367 | 13 | MED | MALIGNANCY, FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM W/O CC .. | . 5666 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| 368 ... | 13 | MED | INFECTIONS, FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | 1.0553 | 5.0 | 6.4 |
| 369 ... | 13 | MED | MENSTRUAL \& OTHER FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM DISORDERS. | . 5264 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| 370 ... | 14 | SURG | CESAREAN SECTION W CC | 1.0533 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| 371 ... | 14 | SURG | CESAREAN SECTION W/O CC | . 7197 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 372 ... | 14 | MED | VAGINAL DELIVERY W COMPLICATING DIAGNOSES | . 5679 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 373 ... | 14 | MED | VAGINAL DELIVERY W/O COMPLICATING DIAGNOSES | . 3987 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 374 | 14 | SURG | VAGINAL DELIVERY W STERILIZATION \&/OR D\&C | . 7188 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 375 ... | 14 | SURG | *VAGINAL DELIVERY W O.R. PROC EXCEPT STERIL \&/OR D\&C | . 6840 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 376 ... | 14 | MED | POSTPARTUM \& POST ABORTION DIAGNOSES W/O O.R. PROCEDURE. | . 4925 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 377 ... | 14 | SURG | POSTPARTUM \& POST ABORTION DIAGNOSES W O.R. PROCE- DURE. | 1.4598 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| 378 | 14 | MED | ECTOPIC PREGNANCY | . 8441 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 379 | 14 | MED | THREATENED ABORTION | . 4401 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| 380 | 14 | MED | ABORTION W/O D\&C | . 4235 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 381 | 14 | SURG | ABORTION W D\&C, ASPIRATION CURETTAGE OR HYSTEROTOMY | . 5583 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 382 | 14 | MED | FALSE LABOR | . 1917 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 383 | 14 | MED | OTHER ANTEPARTUM DIAGNOSES W MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS | . 4732 | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| 384 ... | 14 | MED | OTHER ANTEPARTUM DIAGNOSES W/O MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS | . 3576 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| 385 ... | 15 |  | *NEONATES, DIED OR TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER ACUTE CARE FACILITY. | 1.3728 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 386 ... | 15 |  | *EXTREME IMMATURITY OR RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME, NEONATE. | 4.5269 | 17.9 | 17.9 |
| 387 | 15 |  | *PREMATURITY W MAJOR PROBLEMS | 3.0918 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| 388 | 15 |  | *PREMATURITY W/O MAJOR PROBLEMS | 1.8655 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| 389 ... | 15 |  | *FULL TERM NEONATE W MAJOR PROBLEMS | 1.4930 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| 390 ... | 15 |  | NEONATE W OTHER SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS | 1.6281 | 4.2 | 6.0 |
| 391 ... | 15 |  | *NORMAL NEWBORN | . 1522 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 392 ... | 16 | SURG | SPLENECTOMY AGE >17 | 3.2630 | 7.8 | 10.4 |
| 393 ... | 16 | SURG | *SPLENECTOMY AGE 0-17 | 1.3447 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| 394 ... | 16 | SURG | OTHER O.R. PROCEDURES OF THE BLOOD AND BLOOD FORMING ORGANS. | 1.6349 | 4.1 | 7.1 |
| 395 | 16 | MED | RED BLOOD CELL DISORDERS AGE >17 | . 8209 | 3.4 | 4.7 |
| 396 ... | 16 | MED | RED BLOOD CELL DISORDERS AGE 0-17 | 2.2655 | 5.5 | 18.5 |
| 397. | 16 | MED | COAGULATION DISORDERS | 1.2544 | 4.0 | 5.5 |
| 398 ... | 16 | MED | RETICULOENDOTHELIAL \& IMMUNITY DISORDERS W CC | 1.2457 | 4.7 | 6.0 |
| 399 ... | 16 | MED | RETICULOENDOTHELIAL \& IMMUNITY DISORDERS W/O CC ... | . 6933 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| 400 ... | 17 | SURG | LYMPHOMA \& LEUKEMIA W MAJOR O.R. PROCEDURE | 2.6552 | 6.1 | 9.4 |
| 401 ... | 17 | SURG | LYMPHOMA \& NON-ACUTE LEUKEMIA W OTHER O.R. PROC W CC | 2.5729 | 7.7 | 11.0 |
| 402 ... | 17 | SURG | LYMPHOMA \& NON-ACUTE LEUKEMIA W OTHER O.R. PROC W/O CC. | 1.0126 | 2.7 | 3.9 |
| 403 ... | 17 | MED | LYMPHOMA \& NON-ACUTE LEUKEMIA W CC | 1.6817 | 5.8 | 8.2 |
| 404 | 17 | MED | LYMPHOMA \& NON-ACUTE LEUKEMIA W/O CC | . 8288 | 3.2 | 4.5 |
| 405 | 17 |  | *ACUTE LEUKEMIA W/O MAJOR O.R. PROCEDURE AGE 0-17 | 1.9065 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 406 | 17 | SURG | MYELOPROLIF DISORD OR POORLY DIFF NEOPL W MAJ O.R.PROC W CC. | 2.5701 | 6.9 | 9.5 |
| 407 ... | 17 | SURG | MYELOPROLIF DISORD OR POORLY DIFF NEOPL W MAJ O.R.PROC W/O cC. | 1.1786 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| 408 ... | 17 | SURG | MYELOPROLIF DISORD OR POORLY DIFF NEOPL W OTHER O.R.PROC. | 1.8039 | 4.6 | 7.5 |
| 409 ... | 17 | MED | RADIOTHERAPY | 1.0112 | 4.3 | 5.8 |
| 410 ... | 17 | MED | CHEMOTHERAPY W/O ACUTE LEUKEMIA AS SECONDARY DIAGNOSIS. | . 8403 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 411 ... | 17 | MED | HISTORY OF MALIGNANCY W/O ENDOSCOPY ................................. | . 3229 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| 412 ... | 17 | MED | HISTORY OF MALIGNANCY W ENDOSCOPY | . 5222 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 413 ... | 17 | MED | OTHER MYELOPROLIF DIS OR POORLY DIFF NEOPL DIAG W CC | 1.3511 | 5.4 | 7.5 |
| 414 ... | 17 | MED | OTHER MYELOPROLIF DIS OR POORLY DIFF NEOPL DIAG W/O CC | . 7210 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| 415 ... | 18 | SURG | O.R. PROCEDURE FOR INFECTIOUS \& PARASITIC DISEASES .... | 3.5656 | 10.5 | 14.4 |
| 416 ... | 18 | MED | SEPTICEMIA AGE >17 | 1.4885 | 5.7 | 7.4 |
| 417 ... | 18 | MED | SEPTICEMIA AGE 0-17 | 1.3566 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 418 ... | 18 | MED | POSTOPERATIVE \& POST-TRAUMATIC INFECTIONS | . 9882 | 4.9 | 6.2 |
| 419 ... | 18 | MED | FEVER OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN AGE >17 W CC | . 8779 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 420 ... | 18 | MED | FEVER OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN AGE $>17 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{O}$ CC | . 6351 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| 421 ... | 18 | MED | VIRAL ILLNESS AGE >17 | . 6757 | 3.1 | 4.0 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 422 ... | 18 | MED | VIRAL ILLNESS \& FEVER OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN AGE 0-17 | . 5729 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| 423 ... | 18 | MED | OTHER INFECTIOUS \& PARASITIC DISEASES DIAGNOSES | 1.6011 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| 424 ... | 19 | SURG | O.R. PROCEDURE W PRINCIPAL DIAGNOSES OF MENTAL ILLNESS | 2.3280 | 9.0 | 14.3 |
| 425 ... | 19 | MED | ACUTE ADJUST REACT \& DISTURBANCES OF PSYCHOSOCIAL DYSFUNCTION. | . 6791 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| 426 ... | 19 | MED | DEPRESSIVE NEUROSES ............................................................... | . 5537 | 3.5 | 4.9 |
| 427 ... | 19 | MED | NEUROSES EXCEPT DEPRESSIVE | . 5609 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
| 428 ... | 19 | MED | DISORDERS OF PERSONALITY \& IMPULSE CONTROL ...................... | . 7031 | 4.5 | 7.2 |
| 429 ... | 19 | MED | ORGANIC DISTURBANCES \& MENTAL RETARDATION ...................... | . 8721 | 5.2 | 7.4 |
| 430 ... | 19 | MED | PSYCHOSES | . 8073 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
| 431 ... | 19 | MED | CHILDHOOD MENTAL DISORDERS | . 7541 | 4.6 | 7.3 |
| 432 ... | 19 | MED | OTHER MENTAL DISORDER DIAGNOSES | . 7008 | 3.4 | 5.2 |
| 433. | 20 |  | ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE OR DEPENDENCE, LEFT AMA | . 3024 | 2.3 | 3.2 |
| 434 ... | 20 |  | ALC/DRUG ABUSE OR DEPEND, DETOX OR OTH SYMPT TREAT W CC. | . 6998 | 3.9 | 5.2 |
| 435 ... | 20 |  | ALC/DRUG ABUSE OR DEPEND, DETOX OR OTH SYMPT TREAT W/ O CC. | . 4143 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| 436 ... | 20 |  | ALC/DRUG DEPENDENCE W REHABILITATION THERAPY ................. | . 8189 | 11.4 | 14.1 |
| 437 ... | 20 |  | ALC/DRUG DEPENDENCE, COMBINED REHAB \& DETOX THERAPY .. | . 7027 | 7.7 | 9.2 |
| 438 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 439 ... | 21 | SURG | SKIN GRAFTS FOR INJURIES | 1.5601 | 5.0 | 7.7 |
| 440 ... | 21 | SURG | WOUND DEBRIDEMENTS FOR INJURIES | 1.7978 | 5.7 | 8.9 |
| 441. | 21 | SURG | HAND PROCEDURES FOR INJURIES | 1.0114 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| 442 ... | 21 | SURG | OTHER O.R. PROCEDURES FOR INJURIES W CC | 2.2637 | 5.2 | 8.1 |
| 443 ... | 21 | SURG | OTHER O.R. PROCEDURES FOR INJURIES W/O CC | . 9271 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| 444 ... | 21 | MED | TRAUMATIC INJURY AGE >17 W CC | . 7110 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| 445 ... | 21 | MED | TRAUMATIC INJURY AGE >17 W/O CC | . 4790 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| 446 ... | 21 | MED | *TRAUMATIC INJURY AGE 0-17 | . 2955 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 447 | 21 | MED | ALLERGIC REACTIONS AGE > 17 | . 4935 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 448 ... | 21 | MED | *ALLERGIC REACTIONS AGE 0-17 | . 0972 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 449 ... | 21 | MED | POISONING \& TOXIC EFFECTS OF DRUGS AGE >17 W CC | . 7848 | 2.7 | 3.8 |
| 450 ... | 21 | MED | POISONING \& TOXIC EFFECTS OF DRUGS AGE > 17 W/O CC ............ | . 4333 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 451 ... | 21 | MED | *POISONING \& TOXIC EFFECTS OF DRUGS AGE 0-17 ..................... | . 2625 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 452 ... | 21 | MED | COMPLICATIONS OF TREATMENT W CC | . 9785 | 3.6 | 5.0 |
| 453 ... | 21 | MED | COMPLICATIONS OF TREATMENT W/O CC | . 4855 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| 454 ... | 21 | MED | OTHER INJURY, POISONING \& TOXIC EFFECT DIAG W CC | . 8478 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
| 455 ... | 21 | MED | OTHER INJURY, POISONING \& TOXIC EFFECT DIAG W/O CC ............ | . 4694 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| 456 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 457 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 458 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 459. |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 460 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 461 ... | 23 | SURG | O.R. PROC W DIAGNOSES OF OTHER CONTACT W HEALTH SERVICES. | 1.0644 | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| 462 ... | 23 | MED | REHABILITATION | 1.3849 | 10.1 | 12.6 |
| 463 ... | 23 | MED | SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS W CC | . 6757 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 464 ... | 23 | MED | SIGNS \& SYMPTOMS W/O CC | . 5006 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| 465 ... | 23 | MED | AFTERCARE W HISTORY OF MALIGNANCY AS SECONDARY DIAGNOSIS. | . 5238 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| 466 ... | 23 | MED | AFTERCARE W/O HISTORY OF MALIGNANCY AS SECONDARY DIAGNOSIS. | . 6193 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| 467 ... | 23 | MED | OTHER FACTORS INFLUENCING HEALTH STATUS | . 4944 | 2.3 | 4.4 |
| 468 ... |  |  | EXTENSIVE O.R. PROCEDURE UNRELATED TO PRINCIPAL DIAG- NOSIS. | 3.6566 | 9.5 | 13.5 |
| 469 ... |  |  | **PRINCIPAL DIAGNOSIS INVALID AS DISCHARGE DIAGNOSIS .... | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 470 ... |  |  | **UNGROUPABLE | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 471 ... | 08 | SURG | BILATERAL OR MULTIPLE MAJOR JOINT PROCS OF LOWER EXTREMITY. | 3.3201 | 5.3 | 6.1 |
| 472 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID ..................................................... | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 473 ... | 17 |  | ACUTE LEUKEMIA W/O MAJOR O.R. PROCEDURE AGE >17 | 3.4688 | 7.6 | 13.0 |
| 474 ... |  |  | NO LONGER VALID | . 0000 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 475 ... | 04 | MED | RESPIRATORY SYSTEM DIAGNOSIS WITH VENTILATOR SUPPORT | 3.7373 | 8.1 | 11.3 |
| 476 ... |  | SURG | PROSTATIC O.R. PROCEDURE UNRELATED TO PRINCIPAL DIAG- NOSIS. | 2.2226 | 8.9 | 11.9 |
| 477 ... |  | SURG | NON-EXTENSIVE O.R. PROCEDURE UNRELATED TO PRINCIPAL DIAGNOSIS. | 1.7581 2.3334 | 5.3 5.1 | 8.2 7.5 |
|  |  | SURG | OTHER VASCULAR PROCEDURES W CC |  | 5.1 | 7.5 |
| 479 ... | 05 | SURG | LIVER TRANSPLANT ............................... | 1.4224 10.6455 | 3.0 19.4 | 3.8 26.8 |
| 481 ... |  | SURG | BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT | 9.7725 | 24.5 | 27.2 |

Table 5.-List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGS), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay-Continued

|  |  |  |  | Relative weights | Geometric mean LOS | Arithmetic mean LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 482 |  | SURG | TRACHEOSTOMY FOR FACE, MOUTH \& NECK DIAGNOSES | 3.5950 | 10.0 | 12.8 |
| 483 ... |  | SURG | TRACHEOSTOMY EXCEPT FOR FACE, MOUTH \& NECK DIAGNOSES | 16.2677 | 33.9 | 42.1 |
| 484 ... | 24 | SURG | CRANIOTOMY FOR MULTIPLE SIGNIFICANT TRAUMA | 5.3170 | 9.5 | 14.8 |
| 485 ... | 24 | SURG | LIMB REATTACHMENT, HIP AND FEMUR PROC FOR MULTIPLE SIG- NIFICANT TR. | 3.0440 | 7.7 | 9.6 |
| 486 | 24 | SURG | OTHER O.R. PROCEDURES FOR MULTIPLE SIGNIFICANT TRAUMA | 4.9559 | 8.4 | 12.4 |
| 487 | 24 | MED | OTHER MULTIPLE SIGNIFICANT TRAUMA | 1.9036 | 5.4 | 7.5 |
| 488 | 25 | SURG | HIV W EXTENSIVE O.R. PROCEDURE | 4.5576 | 11.9 | 17.2 |
| 489 | 25 | MED | HIV W MAJOR RELATED CONDITION | 1.7700 | 6.2 | 8.9 |
| 490 ... | 25 | MED | HIV W OR W/O OTHER RELATED CONDITION | . 9720 | 3.9 | 5.4 |
| 491 ... | 08 | SURG | MAJOR JOINT \& LIMB REATTACHMENT PROCEDURES OF UPPER EXTREMITY. | 1.6670 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 492 ... | 17 | MED | CHEMOTHERAPY W ACUTE LEUKEMIA AS SECONDARY DIAGNOSIS. | 4.5197 | 11.4 | 17.2 |
| 493 ... | 07 | SURG | LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY W/O C.D.E. W CC | 1.7952 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| 494 ... | 07 | SURG | LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY W/O C.D.E. W/O CC | . 9989 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| 495 ... |  | SURG | LUNG TRANSPLANT | 9.0247 | 13.7 | 17.0 |
| 496 .. | 08 | SURG | COMBINED ANTERIOR/POSTERIOR SPINAL FUSION | 5.4507 | 8.6 | 10.6 |
| 497 ... | 08 | SURG | SPINAL FUSION W CC | 2.7585 | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| 498 ... | 08 | SURG | SPINAL FUSION W/O CC | 1.6870 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| 499 .. | 08 | SURG | BACK \& NECK PROCS EXCEPT SPINAL FUSION W CC | 1.4669 | 3.8 | 5.0 |
| 500 | 08 | SURG | BACK \& NECK PROCS EXCEPT SPINAL FUSION W/O CC | . 9709 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 501 ... | 08 | SURG | KNEE PROC W PDX OF INFECTION W CC | 2.5459 | 8.4 | 10.4 |
| 502 | 08 | SURG | KNEE PROC W PDX OF INFECTION W/O CC | 1.5548 | 5.5 | 6.6 |
| 503 ... | 08 | SURG | KNEE PROCEDURES W/O PDX OF INFECTION | 1.2316 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| 504 ... | 22 | SURG | EXTENSIVE 3RD DEGREE BURN W SKIN GRAFT | 13.9440 | 23.1 | 31.6 |
| 505 ... | 22 |  | EXTENSIVE 3RD DEGREE BURN W/O SKIN GRAFT | 1.7871 | 2.3 | 5.9 |
| 506 ... | 22 |  | FULL THICK BURN W SK GRAFT OR INHAL INJ W CC OR SIG TR | 4.2300 | 12.2 | 16.8 |
| 507 | 22 |  | FULL THICK BURN W SK GRAFT OR INHAL INJ W/O CC OR SIG TR | 1.7017 | 6.5 | 9.0 |
| 508 ... | 22 |  | FULL THICK BURN W/O SK GRAFT OR INHAL INJ W CC OR SIG TR | 1.3792 | 5.2 | 7.8 |
| 509 ... | 22 |  | FULL THICK BURN W/O SK GRAFT OR INHAL INJ W/O CC OR SIG TR. | . 7376 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| 510 ... | 22 |  | NON-EXTENSIVE BURNS W CC OR SIGNIFICANT TRAUMA | 1.1408 | 4.8 | 6.9 |
| $511 \ldots$ | 22 |  | NON-EXTENSIVE BURNS W/O CC OR SIGNIFICANT TRAUMA ............ | . 6001 | 3.5 | 4.8 |

*Medicare data have been supplemented by data from 19 states for low volume DRGs.
** DRGs 469 and 470 contain cases which could not be assigned to valid DRGs.
Note: Geometric mean is used only to determine payment for transfer cases.
Note: Arithmetic mean is used only to determine payment for outlier cases.
Note: Relative weights are based on medicare patient data and may not be appropriate for other patients.
Table 6A.-New Diagnosis Codes

| Diagnosis codes | Description | CC | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 337.3 | Autonomic dysreflexia | N | 1 | 18,19 |
| 438.53 | Other paralytic syndrome, bilateral .................................................... | N | 1 |  |
| 482.40 | Pneumonia due to Staphyloccus, unspecified ...................................... | Y | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 79,80,811 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 15 | $387,389,{ }^{2} 489^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 25 |  |
| 482.41 | Pneumonia due to Staphylococcus aureus | Y | 4 | 79, 80, 81 |
|  |  |  | 5 | $121^{1}$ |
|  |  |  | 15 | 387, $389{ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 25 | 4893 |
| 482.49 | Other Staphylococcus pneumonia ..................................................... | Y | 4 | 79, 80, 81 |
|  |  |  | 5 | $121{ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  | 15 | 387, 3892 |
|  |  |  | 25 | $489{ }^{3}$ |
| 518.83 | Chronic respiratory failure ................................................................ | Y | 4 | 87 |
| 518.84 | Acute and chronic respiratory .......................................................... | Y | 4 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 506, 507 |
| 519.00 | Unspecified tracheostomy complication ............................................. | Y | Pre | 482 |
|  |  |  | 4 | 101, 102 |
| 519.01 | Infection of tracheostomy | Y | Pre | 482 |
|  |  |  | 4 | 101, 102 |
| 519.02 | Mechanical complication of tracheostomy ........................................... | Y | Pre | 482 |
|  |  |  | 4 | 101, 102 |
| 519.09 | Other tracheostomy complication ........................................................... | Y | Pre | $\begin{aligned} & 482 \\ & 101,102 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 6A.-New Diagnosis Codes-Continued

| Diagnosis codes | Description | CC | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 536.40 | Unspecified gastrostomy complication | Y | 6 | 188, 189, 190 |
| 536.41 | Infection of gastrostomy | Y | 6 | 188, 189, 190 |
| 536.42 | Mechanical complication of gastrostomy | Y | 6 | 188, 189, 190 |
| 536.49 | Other gastrostomy complication ............. | Y | 6 | 188, 189, 190 |
| 564.81 | Neurogenic bowel ................... | N | 6 | 182, 183, 184 |
| 564.89 | Other functional disorders of intestine | N | 6 | 182, 183, 184 |
| 569.62 | Mechanical complication of colostomy and enterostomy | Y | 6 | 188, 189, 190 |
| 659.70 | Abnormality in fetal heart rate/rhythm, unspecified as to episode of care or not applicable. | N | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 370,371,372,373,374, \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ |
| 659.71 | Abnormality in fetal heart rate/rhythm, delivered, with or without mention of antepartum condition. | N | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 370,371,372,373,374, \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ |
| 659.73 | Abnormality in fetal heart rate/rhythm, antepartum condition or complication. | N | 14 | 383, 384 |
| 763.81 | Abnormality in fetal heart rate or rhythm before the onset of labor ........... | N | 15 | 390 |
| 763.82 | Abnormality in fetal heart rate or rhythm during labor ........................... | N | 15 | 390 |
| 763.83 | $\checkmark$ Abnormality in fetal heart rate or rhythm, unspecified as to time of onset. | N | 15 | 390 |
| 763.89 | Other specified complications of labor and delivery affecting fetus and newborn. | N | 15 | 390 |
| 780.71 | Chronic fatigue syndrome ................................................................ | N | 23 | 463, 464 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 780.79 | $\checkmark$ Other malaise and fatigue | N | 23 | 463, 464 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 786.03 | Apnea | Y | 4 25 | 99, 100 490 |
| 786.04 | Cheyne-Stokes respiration | Y | 25 | 490, 100 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 786.05 | Shortness of breath | N | 4 | 99, 100 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 786.06 | Tachypnea ................................................................................... | N | 4 | 99, 100 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 786.07 | Wheezing | N | 4 | 99, 100 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 490 |
| 965.61 | Poisoning by propionic acid derivatives .............................................. | N | 21 | 449, 450, 451 |
| 965.69 | Poisoning by other antirheumatics | N | 21 | 449, 450, 451 |
| 995.86 | Malignant hyperthermia | Y | 21 | 454, 455 |
| 996.55 | Mechanical complications due to artificial skin graft and decellularized allodermis. | Y | 21 | 452, 453 |
| 996.56 | Mechanical complications due to peritoneal dialysis catheter .................. | Y | 21 | 452, 453 |
| 996.68 | Infection and inflammatory reaction due to peritoneal dialysis catheter .... | Y | 21 | 452, 453 |
| V02.51 | Carrier or suspected carrier of Group B streptococcus | N | 23 | $467$ |
| V02.52 | Carrier or suspected carrier of other streptococcus | N | 23 | 467 |
| V02.59 | Carrier or suspected carrier of other specified bacterial diseases ................................. | N | 23 | 467 |
| V10.48 | Personal history of malignant neoplasm of epididymis ........................... | N | 17 | 411, 412 |
| V13.61 | Personal history of hypospadias | N | 23 | 467 |
| V13.69 | Personal history other congenital malformation | N | 23 | 467 |
| V16.51 | Family history of malignant neoplasm of kidney | N | 23 | 467 |
| V16.59 | Family history of malignant neoplasm of other urinary organs | N | 23 | 467 |
| V18.61 | Family history of polycystic kidney | N | 23 | 467 |
| V18.69 | Family history of other kidney diseases | N | 23 | 467 |
| V23.81 | Supervision of high-risk pregnancy of elderly primigravida | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V23.82 | Supervision of high-risk pregnancy of elderly multigravida | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V23.83 | Supervision of high-risk pregnancy of young primigravida | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V23.84 | Supervision of high-risk pregnancy of young multigravida | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V23.89 | Supervision of other high-risk pregnancy | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V26.51 | Tubal ligation status ........................................................................ | N | 23 | 467 |
| V26.52 | Vasectomy status ... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V29.3 | Observation for suspected genetic or metabolic condition ..................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V43.83 | Organ or tissue replaced by artificial skin ........................................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V44.50 | Unspecified cystostomy status ......................................................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V44.51 | Cutaneous-vesicostomy status ......................................................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V44.52 | Appendico-vesicostomy status ......................................................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V44.59 | Other cystostomy status ............................................................................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V56.2 | Fitting and adjustment of peritoneal dialysis catheter ............................ | N | 11 | 317 |
| V58.62 | Encounter for aftercare for long-term (current) use of antibiotics ............. | N | 23 | 465, 466 |
| V76.44 | Special screening for malignant neoplasm of prostate ........................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V76.45 | Special screening for malignant neoplasm of testis ............................... | N | 23 | 467 |

[^1]Table 6B.-New Procedure Codes

| Procedure code | Description | OR | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.31 | Open chest transmyocardial revascularization ...................................... | Y | 5 | 108 |
| 36.32 | Other transmyocardial revascularization .............................................. | Y | 5 | 108 |
| 36.39 | Other heart revascularization .............................................................. | Y | 5 | 108 |
| 37.67 | Implantation of cardiomyostimulation system | Y | 5 21 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 110,111 \\ & 442,443 \\ & 486 \end{aligned}$ |
| 75.37 | Amnioinfusion | N |  |  |
| 86.67 | Dermal regenerative graft | Y | 1 3 5 6 8 9 10 21 22 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 63 \\ & 120 \\ & 170,171 \\ & 217 \\ & 263,264,265, \\ & 266 \\ & 287 \\ & 439 \\ & 458,472 \\ & 504,506,507 \\ & 486 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.30 | Stereotactic radiosurgery, not otherwise specified ................................ | N ${ }^{1}$ | 1 10 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 292,293 \\ & 401,402,408 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.31 | Single source photon radiosurgery | N | 1 10 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 292,293 \\ & 401,402,408 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.32 | Multi-source photon radiosurgery | N | 1 10 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 292,293 \\ & 401,402,408 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.33 | Particulate radiosurgery | N | 1 10 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 292,293 \\ & 401,402,408 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.39 | Stereotactic radiosurgery, not elsewhere classified | N | 1 10 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,8 \\ & 292,293 \\ & 401,402,408 \end{aligned}$ |
| 96.29 | Reduction of intussusception of alimentary tract .................................... | N |  |  |
| 99.10 | Injection or infusion of thrombolytic agent ............................................. | N |  |  |
| 99.20 | Injection or infusion of platelet inhibitor ................................................ | N |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Nonoperating room, but affecting DRG
Table 6C.-Invalid Diagnosis Code

| Diagnosis codes | Description | CC | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 482.4 | Pneumonia due to Staphylococcus .................................................... | Y | 4 5 15 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 79,80,81 \\ & 1211^{1} \\ & 387,389^{2} \\ & 489^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| 519.0 | Tracheostomy complication ................................................................ | Y | PRE | $\begin{aligned} & 482 \\ & 101,102 \end{aligned}$ |
| 564.8 | Other specified functional disorders of intestine | N | 6 | 182, 183, 184 |
| 763.8 | Other specified complications of labor and delivery affecting fetus and newborn. | N | 15 | 390 |
| 780.7 | Malaise and fatigue .......................................................................... | N | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 463,464 \\ & 490 \end{aligned}$ |
| 965.6 | Poisoning by antirheumatics [antiphlogistics] ........................................ | N | 21 | 449, 450, 451 |
| V02.5 | Carrier or suspected carrier of other specified bacterial diseases ............ | N | 23 | 467 |
| V13.6 | Personal history of congenital malformations ........................................ | N | 23 | 467 |
| V16.5 | Family history of malignant neoplasm of urinary organs ......................... | N | 23 | 467 |
| V18.6 | Family history of kidney diseases ........................................................ | N | 23 | 467 |
| V23.8 | Supervision of other high-risk pregnancy ............................................. | Y | 14 | 469 |
| V44.5 | Cystostomy status ............................................................................ | N | 23 | 467 |

[^2]Table 6D.-Invalid Procedure Codes

| Procedure code | Description | OR | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.3 | Other heart revascularization ........................................................... | Y | 5 | 108 |
| 92.3 | Stereotactic radiosurgery ................................................................ | $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ | 1 | 7, 8 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 292, 293 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 401, 402, 408 |

${ }^{1}$ Nonoperation room but effecting DRG.
Table 6E.-Revised Diagnosis Code Titles

| Diagnosis code | Description | CC | MDC | DRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 518.81 | Acute respiratory failure | Y | 4 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 506, 507 |
| 659.60 | Elderly multigravida unspecified as to episode of care or not applicable .. | N | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 370,371,372,373,374, \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ |
| 659.61 | Elderly multigravida delivered, with mention of antepartum condition ....... | $N$ | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 370,371,372,373,374, \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ |
| 659.63 | Elderly multigravida with antepartum condition or complication ............... | N | 14 | 383, 384 |
| V56.1 | Fitting and adjustment of extracorporeal dialysis catheter ...................... | N | 11 | 317 |
| V82.4 | Maternal postnatal screening of chromosomal anomalies ........................ | N | 23 | 467 |

Table 6F.-Additions to the CC Exclusions List
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CCs that are added to the list are in Table 6F-Additions to the CC Exclusions List. Each of the principal diagnoses is shown with an asterisk, and the revisions to the CC Exclusions List are provided in an indented column immediately following the affected principal diagnosis.

| *01100 | *01123 | *01146 | *01172 | *01195 | *01281 | *11515 | 48249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *48230 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 |
| *01101 | *01124 | *01150 | *01173 | *01196 | *01282 | *11595 | 48249 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *48231 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 |
| *01102 | *01125 | *01151 | *01174 | *01200 | *01283 | *1221 | 48249 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *48232 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 |
| *01103 | *01126 | *01152 | *01175 | *01201 | *01284 | *1304 | 48249 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *48239 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 |
| *01104 | *01130 | *01153 | *01176 | *01202 | *01285 | *1363 | 48249 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *48240 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 01100 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 01101 |
| *01105 | *01131 | *01154 | *01180 | *01203 | *01286 | *3373 | 01102 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 3350 | 01103 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 33510 | 01104 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 33511 | 01105 |
| *01106 | *01132 | *01155 | *01181 | *01204 | *01790 | 33519 | 01106 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 33520 | 01110 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 33521 | 01111 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 33522 | 01112 |
| *01110 | *01133 | *01156 | *01182 | *01205 | *01791 | 33523 | 01113 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 33524 | 01114 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 33529 | 01115 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 3358 | 01116 |
| *01111 | *01134 | *01160 | *01183 | *01206 | *01792 | 3359 | 01120 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4800 | 01121 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01122 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01123 |
| *01112 | *01135 | *01161 | *01184 | *01210 | *01793 | 48249 | 01124 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4801 | 01125 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01126 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01130 |
| *01113 | *01136 | *01162 | *01185 | *01211 | *01794 | 48249 | 01131 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4802 | 01132 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01133 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01134 |
| *01114 | *01140 | *01163 | *01186 | *01212 | *01795 | 48249 | 01135 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4808 | 01136 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01140 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01141 |
| *01115 | *01141 | *01164 | *01190 | *01213 | *01796 | 48249 | 01142 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4809 | 01143 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01144 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01145 |
| *01116 | *01142 | *01165 | *01191 | *01214 | *0212 | 48249 | 01146 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *481 | 01150 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01151 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01152 |
| *01120 | *01143 | *01166 | *01192 | *01215 | *0310 | 48249 | 01153 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4820 | 01154 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01155 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01156 |
| *01121 | *01144 | *01170 | *01193 | *01216 | *0391 | 48249 | 01160 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4821 | 01161 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01162 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01163 |
| *01122 | *01145 | *01171 | *01194 | *01280 | *11505 | 48249 | 01164 |
| 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | 48240 | *4822 | 01165 |
| 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48241 | 48240 | 01166 |
| 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48249 | 48241 | 01170 |
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| 01171 | 4955 | 01183 | 5078 | 01195 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01172 | 4956 | 01184 | 5080 | 01196 | 48241 | 48249 | *5061 |
| 01173 | 4957 | 01185 | 5081 | 01200 | 48249 | *4950 | 48240 |
| 01174 | 4958 | 01186 | 5171 | 01201 | *48283 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01175 | 4959 | 01190 | *48249 | 01202 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01176 | 5060 | 01191 | 01100 | 01203 | 48241 | 48249 | *5062 |
| 01180 | 5061 | 01192 | 01101 | 01204 | 48249 | *4951 | 48240 |
| 01181 | 5070 | 01193 | 01102 | 01205 | *48284 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01182 | 5071 | 01194 | 01103 | 01206 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01183 | 5078 | 01195 | 01104 | 01210 | 48241 | 48249 | *5063 |
| 01184 | 5080 | 01196 | 01105 | 01211 | 48249 | *4952 | 48240 |
| 01185 | 5081 | 01200 | 01106 | 01212 | *48289 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01186 | 5171 | 01201 | 01110 | 01213 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01190 | *48241 | 01202 | 01111 | 01214 | 48241 | 48249 | *5064 |
| 01191 | 01100 | 01203 | 01112 | 01215 | 48249 | *4953 | 48240 |
| 01192 | 01101 | 01204 | 01113 | 01216 | *4829 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01193 | 01102 | 01205 | 01114 | 0310 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01194 | 01103 | 01206 | 01115 | 11505 | 48241 | 48249 | *5069 |
| 01195 | 01104 | 01210 | 01116 | 11515 | 48249 | *4954 | 48240 |
| 01196 | 01105 | 01211 | 01120 | 1304 | *4830 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01200 | 01106 | 01212 | 01121 | 1363 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01201 | 01110 | 01213 | 01122 | 481 | 48241 | 48249 | *5070 |
| 01202 | 01111 | 01214 | 01123 | 4820 | 48249 | *4955 | 48240 |
| 01203 | 01112 | 01215 | 01124 | 4821 | *4831 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01204 | 01113 | 01216 | 01125 | 4822 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01205 | 01114 | 0310 | 01126 | 48230 | 48241 | 48249 | *5071 |
| 01206 | 01115 | 11505 | 01130 | 48231 | 48249 | *4956 | 48240 |
| 01210 | 01116 | 11515 | 01131 | 48232 | *4838 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01211 | 01120 | 1304 | 01132 | 48239 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01212 | 01121 | 1363 | 01133 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 | *5078 |
| 01213 | 01122 | 481 | 01134 | 48241 | 48249 | *4957 | 48240 |
| 01214 | 01123 | 4820 | 01135 | 48249 | *4841 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 01215 | 01124 | 4821 | 01136 | 48281 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 01216 | 01125 | 4822 | 01140 | 48282 | 48241 | 48249 | *5080 |
| 0310 | 01126 | 48230 | 01141 | 48283 | 48249 | *4958 | 48240 |
| 11505 | 01130 | 48231 | 01142 | 48284 | *4843 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 11515 | 01131 | 48232 | 01143 | 48289 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 1304 | 01132 | 48239 | 01144 | 4829 | 48241 | 48249 | *5081 |
| 1363 | 01133 | 48240 | 01145 | 4830 | 48249 | *4959 | 48240 |
| 481 | 01134 | 48241 | 01146 | 4831 | *4845 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 4820 | 01135 | 48249 | 01150 | 4838 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 4821 | 01136 | 48281 | 01151 | 4841 | 48241 | 48249 | *5088 |
| 4822 | 01140 | 48282 | 01152 | 4843 | 48249 | *496 | 48240 |
| 48230 | 01141 | 48283 | 01153 | 4845 | *4846 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 48231 | 01142 | 48284 | 01154 | 4846 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 48232 | 01143 | 48289 | 01155 | 4847 | 48241 | 48249 | *5089 |
| 48239 | 01144 | 4829 | 01156 | 4848 | 48249 | *500 | 48240 |
| 48240 | 01145 | 4830 | 01160 | 485 | *4847 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 48241 | 01146 | 4831 | 01161 | 486 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 48249 | 01150 | 4838 | 01162 | 4870 | 48241 | 48249 | *5171 |
| 48281 | 01151 | 4841 | 01163 | 4950 | 48249 | *501 | 48240 |
| 48282 | 01152 | 4843 | 01164 | 4951 | *4848 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 48283 | 01153 | 4845 | 01165 | 4952 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 48284 | 01154 | 4846 | 01166 | 4953 | 48241 | 48249 | *5178 |
| 48289 | 01155 | 4847 | 01170 | 4954 | 48249 | *502 | 48240 |
| 4829 | 01156 | 4848 | 01171 | 4955 | *485 | 48240 | 48241 |
| 4830 | 01160 | 485 | 01172 | 4956 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 |
| 4831 | 01161 | 486 | 01173 | 4957 | 48241 | 48249 | *51881 |
| 4838 | 01162 | 4870 | 01174 | 4958 | 48249 | *503 | 51883 |
| 4841 | 01163 | 4950 | 01175 | 4959 | *486 | 48240 | 51884 |
| 4843 | 01164 | 4951 | 01176 | 5060 | 48240 | 48241 | 78603 |
| 4845 | 01165 | 4952 | 01180 | 5061 | 48241 | 48249 | 78604 |
| 4846 | 01166 | 4953 | 01181 | 5070 | 48249 | *504 | *51882 |
| 4847 | 01170 | 4954 | 01182 | 5071 | *4870 | 48240 | 51883 |
| 4848 | 01171 | 4955 | 01183 | 5078 | 48240 | 48241 | 51884 |
| 485 | 01172 | 4956 | 01184 | 5080 | 48241 | 48249 | 78603 |
| 486 | 01173 | 4957 | 01185 | 5081 | 48249 | *505 | 78604 |
| 4870 | 01174 | 4958 | 01186 | 5171 | *4871 | 48240 | *51883 |
| 4950 | 01175 | 4959 | 01190 | *48281 | 48240 | 48241 | 51881 |
| 4951 | 01176 | 5060 | 01191 | 48240 | 48241 | 48249 | 51882 |
| 4952 | 01180 | 5061 | 01192 | 48241 | 48249 | *5060 | 51883 |
| 4953 | 01181 | 5070 | 01193 | 48249 | *494 | 48240 | 51884 |
| 4954 | 01182 | 5071 | 01194 | *48282 | 48240 | 48241 | 78603 |
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| 78604 | 53642 | *99656 | 56962 | V2384 | V2384 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7991 | 53649 | 99655 | *99791 | V2389 | V2389 |
| *51884 | 56962 | 99656 | 53640 | *V230 | V239 |
| 51881 | 9974 | 99659 | 53641 | V2381 | *V2389 |
| 51882 | *53642 | 99660 | 53642 | V2382 | V237 |
| 51883 | 53640 | 99661 | 53649 | V2383 | V2381 |
| 51884 | 53641 | 99662 | 56962 | V2384 | V2382 |
| 78603 | 53642 | 99663 | 99586 | V2389 | V2383 |
| 78604 | 53649 | 99664 | 99655 | *V231 | V2384 |
| 7991 | 56962 | 99665 | 99656 | V2381 | V2389 |
| *51889 | 9974 | 99666 | 99668 | V2382 | V239 |
| 48240 | *53649 | 99667 | *99799 | V2383 | *V239 |
| 48241 | 53640 | 99668 | 53640 | V2384 | V2381 |
| 48249 | 53641 | 99669 | 53641 | V2389 | V2382 |
| *51900 | 53642 | 99670 | 53642 | *V232 | V2383 |
| 51900 | 53649 | 99671 | 53649 | V2381 | V2384 |
| 51901 | 56962 | 99672 | 56962 | V2382 | V2389 |
| 51902 | 9974 | 99673 | 99586 | V2383 |  |
| 51909 | *56960 | 99674 | 99655 | V2384 |  |
| *51901 | 56962 | 99675 | 99656 | V2389 |  |
| 51900 | *56961 | 99676 | 99668 | *V233 |  |
| 51901 | 56962 | 99677 | *9980 | V2381 |  |
| 51902 | *56962 | 99678 | 99586 | V2382 |  |
| 51909 | 56960 | 99679 | *99811 | V2383 |  |
| *51902 | 56961 | *99659 | 99586 | V2384 |  |
| 51900 | 56962 | 99655 | *99812 | V2389 |  |
| 51901 | 56969 | 99656 | 99586 | *V234 |  |
| 51902 | *56969 | 99668 | *99813 | V2381 |  |
| 51909 | 56962 | *99660 | 99586 | V2382 |  |
| *51909 | *74861 | 99655 | *99881 | V2383 |  |
| 51900 | 48240 | 99656 | 53640 | V2384 |  |
| 51901 | 48241 | 99668 | 53641 | V2389 |  |
| 51902 | 48249 | *99668 | 53642 | *V235 |  |
| 51909 | *78603 | 99655 | 53649 | V2381 |  |
| *5191 | 78603 | 99656 | 56962 | V2382 |  |
| 51900 | 78604 | 99659 | 99586 | V2383 |  |
| 51901 | *78604 | 99660 | *99883 | V2384 |  |
| 51902 | 78603 | 99661 | 53640 | V2389 |  |
| 51909 | 78604 | 99662 | 53641 | *V237 |  |
| *5198 | *7991 | 99663 | 53642 | V2381 |  |
| 48240 | 51883 | 99664 | 53649 | V2382 |  |
| 48241 | 51884 | 99665 | 56962 | V2383 |  |
| 48249 | 78603 | 99666 | 99586 | V2384 |  |
| 51883 | 78604 | 99667 | *99889 | V2389 |  |
| 51884 | *9584 | 99668 | 53640 | *V2381 |  |
| 51900 | 99586 | 99669 | 53641 | V237 |  |
| 51901 | *9954 | 99670 | 53642 | V2381 |  |
| 51902 | 99586 | 99671 | 53649 | V2382 |  |
| 51909 | *99586 | 99672 | 56962 | V2383 |  |
| 78603 | 99586 | 99673 | 99586 | V2384 |  |
| 78604 | *99652 | 99674 | *9989 | V2389 |  |
| *5199 | 99655 | 99675 | 53640 | V239 |  |
| 48240 | *99655 | 99676 | 53641 | *V2382 |  |
| 48241 | 99652 | 99677 | 53642 | V237 |  |
| 48249 | 99655 | 99678 | 53649 | V2381 |  |
| 51883 | 99660 | 99679 | 56962 | V2382 |  |
| 51884 | 99661 | *99669 | 99586 | V2383 |  |
| 51900 | 99662 | 99655 | *V220 | V2384 |  |
| 51901 | 99663 | 99656 | V2381 | V2389 |  |
| 51902 | 99665 | 99668 | V2382 | V239 |  |
| 51909 | 99666 | *99670 | V2383 | *V2383 |  |
| 78603 | 99667 | 99655 | V2384 | V237 |  |
| 78604 | 99669 | 99656 | V2389 | V2381 |  |
| *53640 | 99670 | 99668 | *V221 | V2382 |  |
| 53640 | 99671 | *99679 | V2381 | V2383 |  |
| 53641 | 99672 | 99655 | V2382 | V2384 |  |
| 53642 | 99673 | 99656 | V2383 | V2389 |  |
| 53649 | 99674 | 99668 | V2384 | V239 |  |
| 56962 | 99675 | *9974 | V2389 | *V2384 |  |
| 9974 | 99676 | 53640 | *V222 | V237 |  |
| *53641 | 99677 | 53641 | V2381 | V2381 |  |
| 53640 | 99678 | 53642 | V2382 | V2382 |  |
| 53641 | 99679 | 53649 | V2383 | V2383 |  |

Table 6G.-Deletions to the CC Exclusions List
[CCs that are deleted from the list are in Table 6G-Deletions to the CC Exclusions List. Each of the principal diagnoses is shown with an asterisk, and the revisions to the CC Exclusions List are provided in an indented column immediately following the affected principal diagnosis.]

| *01100 | *01146 | *01195 | *11515 | 01143 | 48282 | 4824 | 4824 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01144 | 48283 | *4870 | *5178 |
| *01101 | *01150 | *01196 | *11595 | 01145 | 48284 | 4824 | 4824 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01146 | 48289 | *4871 | *51889 |
| *01102 | *01151 | *01200 | *1221 | 01150 | 4829 | 4824 | 4824 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01151 | 4830 | *494 | *5190 |
| *01103 | *01152 | *01201 | *1304 | 01152 | 4831 | 4824 | 5190 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01153 | 4838 | *4950 | *5191 |
| *01104 | *01153 | *01202 | *1363 | 01154 | 4841 | 4824 | 5190 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01155 | 4843 | *4951 | *5198 |
| *01105 | *01154 | *01203 | *4800 | 01156 | 4845 | 4824 | 4824 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01160 | 4846 | *4952 | 5190 |
| *01106 | *01155 | *01204 | *4801 | 01161 | 4847 | 4824 | *5199 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01162 | 4848 | *4953 | 4824 |
| *01110 | *01156 | *01205 | *4802 | 01163 | 485 | 4824 | 5190 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01164 | 486 | *4954 | *74861 |
| *01111 | *01160 | *01206 | *4808 | 01165 | 4870 | 4824 | 4824 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01166 | 4950 | *4955 | *V220 |
| *01112 | *01161 | *01210 | *4809 | 01170 | 4951 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01171 | 4952 | *4956 | *V221 |
| *01113 | *01162 | *01211 | *481 | 01172 | 4953 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01173 | 4954 | *4957 | *V222 |
| *01114 | *01163 | *01212 | *4820 | 01174 | 4955 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01175 | 4956 | *4958 | *V230 |
| *01115 | *01164 | *01213 | *4821 | 01176 | 4957 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01180 | 4958 | *4959 | *V231 |
| *01116 | *01165 | *01214 | *4822 | 01181 | 4959 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01182 | 5060 | *496 | *V232 |
| *01120 | *01166 | *01215 | *48230 | 01183 | 5061 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01184 | 5070 | *500 | *V233 |
| *01121 | *01170 | *01216 | *48231 | 01185 | 5071 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01186 | 5078 | *501 | *V234 |
| *01122 | *01171 | *01280 | *48232 | 01190 | 5080 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01191 | 5081 | *502 | *V235 |
| *01123 | *01172 | *01281 | *48239 | 01192 | 5171 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01193 | *48281 | *503 | *V237 |
| *01124 | *01173 | *01282 | *4824 | 01194 | 4824 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01100 | 01195 | *48282 | *504 | *V238 |
| *01125 | *01174 | *01283 | 01101 | 01196 | 4824 | 4824 | V237 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01102 | 01200 | *48283 | *505 | V238 |
| *01126 | *01175 | *01284 | 01103 | 01201 | 4824 | 4824 | V239 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01104 | 01202 | *48284 | *5060 | *V239 |
| *01130 | *01176 | *01285 | 01105 | 01203 | 4824 | 4824 | V238 |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01106 | 01204 | *48289 | *5061 |  |
| *01131 | *01180 | *01286 | 01110 | 01205 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01111 | 01206 | *4829 | *5062 |  |
| *01132 | *01181 | *01790 | 01112 | 01210 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01113 | 01211 | *4830 | *5063 |  |
| *01133 | *01182 | *01791 | 01114 | 01212 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01115 | 01213 | *4831 | *5064 |  |
| *01134 | *01183 | *01792 | 01116 | 01214 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01120 | 01215 | *4838 | *5069 |  |
| *01135 | *01184 | *01793 | 01121 | 01216 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01122 | 0310 | *4841 | *5070 |  |
| *01136 | *01185 | *01794 | 01123 | 11505 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01124 | 11515 | *4843 | *5071 |  |
| *01140 | *01186 | *01795 | 01125 | 1304 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01126 | 1363 | *4845 | *5078 |  |
| *01141 | *01190 | *01796 | 01130 | 481 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01131 | 4820 | *4846 | *5080 |  |
| *01142 | *01191 | *0212 | 01132 | 4821 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01133 | 4822 | *4847 | *5081 |  |
| *01143 | *01192 | *0310 | 01134 | 48230 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01135 | 48231 | *4848 | *5088 |  |
| *01144 | *01193 | *0391 | 01136 | 48232 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01140 | 48239 | *485 | *5089 |  |
| *01145 | *01194 | *11505 | 01141 | 4824 | 4824 | 4824 |  |
| 4824 | 4824 | 4824 | 01142 | 48281 | *486 | *5171 |  |

table 7A.-Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V15.0]

|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ...... | 36587 | 9.6084 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| 2 | .......... | 6967 | 10.0350 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 20 |
| 3 | ............ | 3 | 9.3333 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 12 |
| 4 | $\ldots$. | 6322 | 7.7259 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 17 |
| 5 | ......... | 101105 | 3.6387 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 6 |  | 355 | 3.0225 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 7 | ......... | 12601 | 10.0945 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| 8 | $\ldots$ | 3030 | 3.1845 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 9 | $\ldots$ | 1692 | 6.4923 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 10 | ..... | 19727 | 6.8631 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 11 | ....... | 2960 | 4.1365 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 12 |  | 38339 | 6.6619 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 13 |  | 6315 | 5.4716 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 14 |  | 372136 | 6.2938 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 15 | ......... | 145631 | 3.8599 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 16 |  | 13905 | 5.9283 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 17 | $\ldots$ | 3212 | 3.4315 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 18 |  | 27489 | 5.5809 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 19 | ....... | 7294 | 3.8174 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 20 | ..... | 6590 | 10.1862 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 19 |
| 21 | .................. | 1369 | 6.8152 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 22 | $\ldots$ | 2789 | 4.6587 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 23 | ......... | 6884 | 4.2594 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 24 | ...... | 57890 | 5.0641 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 25 | ...... | 22696 | 3.4294 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 26 | .... | 34 | 3.1176 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 27 | .................. | 4153 | 5.4211 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 |
| 28 | ...... | 13896 | 5.9431 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 |
| 29 | ............ | 4266 | 3.5375 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 31 | ....... | 3075 | 4.4062 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 32 | .... | 1343 | 2.9717 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 34 | .... | 20072 | 5.4331 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 35 | ................... | 4264 | 3.5561 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 36 |  | 5393 | 1.5366 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 37 |  | 1685 | 3.7187 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 38 | ..... | 116 | 2.5948 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 39 |  | 1898 | 2.0327 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 40 |  | 2281 | 3.1806 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 42 | ................... | 4026 | 2.0904 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 43 |  | 120 | 3.4250 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 44 |  | 1343 | 5.0551 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 45 |  | 2414 | 3.4731 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 46 |  | 3148 | 4.6436 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 47 |  | 1220 | 3.2975 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 48 |  | 2 | 4.5000 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 49 |  | 2277 | 5.0097 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 50 |  | 3004 | 1.9767 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 51 |  | 299 | 2.8194 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 52 | ................... | 89 | 2.7528 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 53 |  | 2989 | 3.6554 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 54 | .......... | 2 | 6.0000 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 55 | ................... | 1686 | 2.9543 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 56 |  | 684 | 2.8436 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 57 | ........... | 608 | 3.7237 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 59 | ..................... | 120 | 2.4333 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 60 | .................... | 1 | 4.0000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 61 | $\ldots$ | 278 | 4.5144 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| 62 | ................... | 4 | 1.2500 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 63 | .................... | 3676 | 4.4502 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 64 | ................... | 3408 | 6.7183 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 65 | ..................... | 29086 | 2.9715 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 66 | .................... | 6812 | 3.2606 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 67 | $\ldots$ | 489 | 3.7996 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 68 | $\cdots$ | 11522 | 4.1519 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 69 | .................... | 3450 | 3.3183 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 70 | $\ldots$ | 37 | 2.5405 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 71 | ... | 99 | 3.9394 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| 72 | .................... | 817 | 3.7931 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 73 | ............. | 6282 | 4.4062 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| 74 | .................... | 2 | 2.5000 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Table 7A.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V15.0]

|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | ................... | 40757 | 10.2370 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 20 |
| 76 | .................... | 41668 | 11.3195 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 21 |
| 77 | ........... | 2040 | 4.8819 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 78 | .... | 30845 | 7.3107 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| 79 | ........ | 247000 | 8.4030 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 80 | .................... | 8299 | 5.8754 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 81 | ............. | 6 | 12.6667 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| 82 |  | 71035 | 7.1298 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 |
| 83 | ......... | 7249 | 5.5655 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 84 |  | 1290 | 3.3256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 85 |  | 22415 | 6.6640 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 86 | ............ | 1501 | 3.8741 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 87 |  | 73076 | 6.3172 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 88 |  | 388565 | 5.4142 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 89 | ....... | 469073 | 6.2791 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| 90 |  | 38989 | 4.4632 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 91 |  | 48 | 3.9375 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 92 | $\ldots$ | 14464 | 6.3794 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 93 |  | 1314 | 4.3653 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 94 |  | 13391 | 6.4833 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 95 | ..... | 1388 | 3.8739 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 96 |  | 61778 | 4.8513 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 97 |  | 25587 | 3.8266 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 98 |  | 28 | 4.9286 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| 99 | ....... | 26442 | 3.0393 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 100 |  | 10283 | 2.1219 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 101 | ..... | 20140 | 4.4383 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 102 | ....... | 4520 | 2.7914 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 103 | ....... | 490 | 48.0898 | 9 | 14 | 29 | 67 | 115 |
| 104 |  | 29151 | 12.4470 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 23 |
| 105 | ........ | 25542 | 9.6459 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| 106 |  | 106585 | 10.6917 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 17 |
| 107 | ..... | 68972 | 7.9520 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| 108 | ....... | 8075 | 11.7282 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 22 |
| 110 |  | 62245 | 9.6084 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 18 |
| 111 |  | 5581 | 5.8094 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| 112 | ......... | 118470 | 3.9277 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 113 |  | 46689 | 12.2570 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 24 |
| 114 | .... | 8489 | 8.3873 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 115 |  | 15007 | 8.7475 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 116 |  | 208927 | 4.1747 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 117 |  | 3726 | 3.9847 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 |
| 118 |  | 6481 | 2.9303 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 119 |  | 1629 | 5.3640 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 13 |
| 120 |  | 37814 | 8.1649 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 |
| 121 |  | 170012 | 6.6480 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| 122 |  | 83182 | 4.2023 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 123 |  | 43363 | 4.4029 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| 124 | . | 154194 | 4.4587 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 125 | ....... | 62627 | 2.8721 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 126 |  | 5399 | 12.4253 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 25 |
| 127 | ... | 719871 | 5.5133 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 128 | ....... | 16049 | 6.0323 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| 129 |  | 4455 | 2.9495 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 130 | $\ldots$ | 98047 | 5.9926 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 131 | ......... | 24574 | 4.6703 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 132 |  | 174092 | 3.1532 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 133 | ........... | 6631 | 2.4803 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 134 | ............ | 30358 | 3.4496 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 135 |  | 8217 | 4.3269 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 136 |  | 1113 | 2.9695 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 138 |  | 209079 | 4.0464 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 139 | ............ | 67303 | 2.5774 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 140 | $\ldots$ | 107658 | 2.9719 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 141 | ................... | 81733 | 3.8534 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 142 | .................... | 36613 | 2.7911 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 143 | ... | 143826 | 2.2585 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 144 | ... | 78710 | 5.2279 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 145 | ..................... | 6350 | 2.8698 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 146 | .................. | 10372 | 10.2717 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 17 |

Table 7A.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V15.0]

|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \mathrm{th} \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | ........................... | 1779 | 6.7482 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| 148 | ......... | 146892 | 12.2593 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| 149 | ..... | 14387 | 6.8504 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 150 | ... | 23756 | 10.8870 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| 151 | ... | 4149 | 5.8894 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| 152 | ... | 4713 | 8.3393 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 14 |
| 153 | ... | 1604 | 5.6359 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 154 | .... | 34348 | 13.3603 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 25 |
| 155 | ... | 4743 | 4.6884 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 156 | ........................... | 2 | 18.0000 | 6 | 6 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 157 | ............................ | 9287 | 5.3854 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 158 | .......................... | 4110 | 2.6190 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 159 | .......................... | 18320 | 4.9678 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 160 | ......................... | 9765 | 2.6768 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 161 | . | 14601 | 4.0877 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 162 | . | 7065 | 2.0350 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 163 | , | 5 | 11.8000 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 22 |
| 164 | - | 5272 | 8.5277 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 165 | . | 1639 | 4.9555 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 166 | . | 3542 | 5.1256 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 167 | ............................ | 2325 | 2.8456 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 168 | ............................ | 1700 | 4.5476 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 169 | ............................ | 843 | 2.5326 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 170 | ... | 12774 | 11.2370 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 23 |
| 171 | ............................ | 1004 | 4.8337 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 172 | ............................ | 32993 | 7.1114 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 173 | ........................... | 2135 | 3.9611 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 174 | .......................... | 248770 | 4.9263 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 175 |  | 21672 | 3.0085 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 176 | ........................... | 18343 | 5.4925 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 177 |  | 11138 | 4.5572 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 178 | . | 3486 | 3.2114 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 179 | . | 12485 | 6.4200 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 180 | . | 93327 | 5.4284 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 181 |  | 21330 | 3.5057 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 182 | .......................... | 234973 | 4.3571 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 183 |  | 69893 | 3.0179 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 184 |  | 91 | 3.1648 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 185 | . | 4046 | 4.4881 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 187 | . | 870 | 3.9908 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 188 | . | 75257 | 5.5524 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 189 | . | 8618 | 3.2060 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 190 | . | 59 | 5.2712 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 191 | . | 10625 | 14.5648 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| 192 | .......................... | 831 | 6.7088 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| 193 | ............................. | 7334 | 12.5020 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| 194 | ......................... | 773 | 6.9288 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| 195 | - | 7094 | 9.8105 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 17 |
| 196 | ............................ | 1260 | 5.7254 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 197 | ......................... | 25012 | 8.6285 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 198 | .......... | 6357 | 4.5945 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 199 | - | 2037 | 10.1733 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 |
| 200 |  | 1339 | 11.4593 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 23 |
| 201 |  | 1651 | 14.2938 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| 202 | . | 28649 | 6.7440 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 203 | . | 29508 | 6.8400 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 204 | . | 53140 | 6.0853 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 205 | . | 22927 | 6.5500 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 206 | .... | 1614 | 4.0694 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 207 | ........ | 35502 | 5.1397 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 208 | ... | 9472 | 2.8992 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 209 | ........................... | 362634 | 5.4336 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 210 | ............................ | 141586 | 7.0191 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| 211 | ............................ | 26005 | 5.1476 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 212 | ............................ | 13 | 3.7692 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 213 | ............................ | 7496 | 8.4066 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 16 |
| 216 | ........................... | 6117 | 9.8190 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| 217 | ............................ | 20587 | 12.9505 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 27 |
| 218 | ............................ | 23700 | 5.3217 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 219 | ............................ | 18252 | 3.2882 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Table 7A.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V15.0]

|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | 25th percentile | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | ............................ | 5 | 3.2000 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 223 | ............................ | 18540 | 2.6177 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 224 | ............................ | 7682 | 2.0607 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 225 | ........................... | 5644 | 4.3556 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 226 | ............................. | 5540 | 5.9224 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 |
| 227 | ........................... | 4597 | 2.7261 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 228 | ............................. | 2757 | 3.4345 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 229 | ............................ | 1100 | 2.3827 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 230 | ............................ | 2386 | 4.5306 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 231 | ............................. | 10685 | 4.5647 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 232 |  | 496 | 3.8327 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 233 | ............................. | 4903 | 7.6490 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 |
| 234 | ............................. | 2258 | 3.6151 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 235 |  | 5348 | 5.3113 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 236 | ........................... | 39380 | 5.1518 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 237 | .......................... | 1593 | 3.6353 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 238 |  | 7851 | 8.8615 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 239 | ............................. | 59615 | 6.4289 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 240 | $\ldots$ | 13635 | 6.6882 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 241 |  | 2905 | 3.9983 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 242 | ............................. | 2634 | 6.7358 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 243 | ............................ | 81633 | 4.8627 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 244 |  | 12420 | 4.9928 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 245 | ........................... | 4361 | 3.7420 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 246 | ............................. | 1273 | 3.9309 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 247 |  | 12240 | 3.4938 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 248 | ............................. | 8122 | 4.6959 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 249 | ............................ | 10840 | 3.6358 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 250 |  | 3561 | 4.2263 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 251 | ............................ | 2210 | 2.9570 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 252 | ............................. | 1 | 1.0000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 253 | ............................. | 19384 | 4.8629 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 254 | .......................... | 9275 | 3.3439 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 255 | ............................ | 2 | 3.5000 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 256 |  | 5517 | 5.1064 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 257 |  | 21137 | 2.9877 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 258 | ............................ | 16396 | 2.1344 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 259 |  | 3772 | 3.0803 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 260 |  | 4464 | 1.5383 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 261 | ........................... | 1967 | 2.2466 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 262 |  | 659 | 4.2231 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 263 | ............................. | 27474 | 11.3931 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 22 |
| 264 | ............................. | 3318 | 7.0530 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 265 | ............................. | 4309 | 6.5331 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| 266 |  | 2464 | 3.4054 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 267 | ............................ | 250 | 4.6400 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 268 | $\ldots$ | 875 | 3.5783 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 269 |  | 9415 | 7.8786 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| 270 | ... | 2662 | 3.1480 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 271 | ........................... | 22961 | 7.1545 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 |
| 272 | ............................ | 5940 | 6.4330 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 273 | ............................ | 1307 | 4.7980 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 274 | ............................ | 2409 | 6.7430 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 275 | ............................ | 210 | 3.5143 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 276 | $\ldots$ | 932 | 4.4678 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 277 | ........................... | 81663 | 5.9066 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 278 | ............................. | 24598 | 4.4950 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 279 | ............................. | 12 | 5.0000 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| 280 | ........................... | 14156 | 4.3177 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 281 | ........................... | 5945 | 3.1527 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 282 | ............................ | 2 | 2.0000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 283 | ... | 5201 | 4.8029 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 284 | ........................... | 1656 | 3.3255 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 285 | ............................ | 5534 | 11.0193 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 |
| 286 | ........................... | 2141 | 6.9650 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 287 | ............................ | 6161 | 11.2446 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 22 |
| 288 | ............................ | 1478 | 5.9303 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| 289 | ........................... | 5457 | 3.2448 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 290 | ............................. | 8922 | 2.5158 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 291 | .......................... | 66 | 1.7576 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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Table 7A.-Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V15.0]

| DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | 25th percentile | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 443 | 3153 | 3.3321 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 444 | 3425 | 4.5007 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 445 | 1243 | 3.3628 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 446 | 1 | 2.0000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 447 | 4257 | 2.5130 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 449 | 27905 | 3.7822 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 450 | 6171 | 2.0826 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 451 | 9 | 2.7778 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 452 | 22863 | 5.0341 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 453 | 3796 | 2.9236 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 454 | 3855 | 4.6905 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 455 | 758 | 2.7401 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 456 | 194 | 8.5670 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 21 |
| 457 | 128 | 3.5859 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| 458 ............................. | 1526 | 15.0308 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 31 |
| 459 | 480 | 8.9771 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 19 |
| 460 | 2327 | 6.0812 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 12 |
| 461 ............................. | 3047 | 4.4322 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 |
| 462 | 10348 | 12.4504 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 23 |
| 463 | 13983 | 4.4209 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 464 | 3556 | 3.3751 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 465 | 210 | 2.9095 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 466 | 1748 | 4.0955 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 467 | 1332 | 4.3949 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 468 | 61704 | 13.4718 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 27 |
| 471 | 12918 | 6.0694 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 472 ........................... | 179 | 27.2179 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 37 | 55 |
| 473 | 8429 | 12.7713 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 33 |
| 475 | 109339 | 11.1900 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 22 |
| 476 ............................ | 5924 | 11.9158 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| 477 | 28747 | 8.1623 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| 478 | 123286 | 7.4571 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 15 |
| 479 | 18337 | 3.8430 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 480 | 400 | 26.7550 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 32 | 53 |
| 481 | 256 | 27.1133 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 32 | 43 |
| 482 | 6596 | 12.7329 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 23 |
| 483 | 41763 | 40.0560 | 14 | 21 | 33 | 50 | 73 |
| 484 | 391 | 14.6931 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 27 |
| 485 | 3471 | 9.5906 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 486 | 2244 | 12.3382 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 16 | 25 |
| 487 | 4210 | 7.3983 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 |
| 488 | 865 | 17.0532 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 35 |
| 489 | 14894 | 8.9049 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 19 |
| 490 ............................. | 4863 | 5.4148 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 491 | 11011 | 3.6593 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 492 ............................. | 2334 | 17.1418 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 27 | 36 |
| 493 | 56210 | 5.6284 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 494 ............................ | 25155 | 2.4285 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 495 ............................. | 125 | 16.9920 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 31 |
| 496 | 895 | 10.5821 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 20 |
| 497 ............................ | 21969 | 6.2886 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 498 ............................. | 12500 | 3.5058 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 499 | 36205 | 4.9604 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 500 ............................. | 36448 | 2.8726 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 501 ............................. | 1895 | 10.4391 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 19 |
| 502 ............................ | 468 | 6.5876 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 503 ............................ | 6317 | 4.2169 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
|  | 11244775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7B.-Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V16.0]

| DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25th } \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36587 | 9.6084 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| 2 | 6967 | 10.0350 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 20 |
| 3 ...... | 3 | 9.3333 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 12 |

Table 7B.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V16.0]


Table 7B.-Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V16.0]


Table 7B.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V16.0]

|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10th } \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149 | ...... | 14387 | 6.8504 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 150 | ............................ | 23756 | 10.8870 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| 151 | ... | 4149 | 5.8894 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| 152 | ............................. | 4713 | 8.3393 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 14 |
| 153 | ............................ | 1604 | 5.6359 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 154 | ............................ | 34348 | 13.3603 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 25 |
| 155 | ............................. | 4743 | 4.6884 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 156 | ............................. | 2 | 18.0000 | 6 | 6 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 157 | ............................. | 9287 | 5.3854 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 158 | ............................. | 4110 | 2.6190 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 159 |  | 18320 | 4.9678 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 160 | ............................. | 9765 | 2.6768 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 161 | ............................. | 14601 | 4.0877 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 162 | ... | 7065 | 2.0350 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 163 |  | 5 | 11.8000 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 22 |
| 164 | $\ldots$ | 5272 | 8.5277 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 165 | ............................. | 1639 | 4.9555 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 166 | ............................ | 3542 | 5.1256 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 167 |  | 2325 | 2.8456 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 168 | $\ldots$ | 1700 | 4.5476 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 169 | ............................. | 843 | 2.5326 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 170 | $\ldots$ | 12774 | 11.2370 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 23 |
| 171 | ............................ | 1004 | 4.8337 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 172 | ............................. | 32993 | 7.1114 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 173 |  | 2135 | 3.9611 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 174 | ... | 248770 | 4.9263 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 175 | ............................. | 21672 | 3.0085 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 176 | ............................. | 18343 | 5.4925 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 177 | ............................. | 11138 | 4.5572 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 178 |  | 3486 | 3.2114 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 179 | ............................ | 12485 | 6.4200 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 180 | ............................ | 93327 | 5.4284 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 181 |  | 21330 | 3.5057 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 182 |  | 234973 | 4.3571 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 183 | ............................. | 69893 | 3.0179 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 184 | $\ldots \ldots$. | 91 | 3.1648 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 185 |  | 4046 | 4.4881 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 187 |  | 870 | 3.9908 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 188 | $\ldots$ | 75257 | 5.5524 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 189 |  | 8618 | 3.2060 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 190 |  | 59 | 5.2712 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 191 | ........ | 10625 | 14.5648 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| 192 |  | 831 | 6.7088 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| 193 |  | 7334 | 12.5020 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| 194 | ............................. | 773 | 6.9288 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| 195 | $\cdots$ | 7094 | 9.8105 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 17 |
| 196 |  | 1260 | 5.7254 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 197 |  | 25012 | 8.6285 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 198 | ........ | 6357 | 4.5945 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 199 |  | 2037 | 10.1733 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 |
| 200 | ............................. | 1339 | 11.4593 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 23 |
| 201 |  | 1651 | 14.2938 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| 202 | ............................ | 28649 | 6.7440 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 203 | ............................ | 29508 | 6.8400 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 204 |  | 53140 | 6.0853 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 205 | ....... | 22927 | 6.5500 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 206 | $\ldots$ | 1614 | 4.0694 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 207 | ... | 35502 | 5.1397 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 208 | ........................... | 9472 | 2.8992 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 209 | $\ldots$ | 362634 | 5.4336 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 210 | .. | 141586 | 7.0191 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| 211 | ..... | 26005 | 5.1476 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 212 | $\ldots$ | 13 | 3.7692 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 213 | ............................. | 7496 | 8.4066 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 16 |
| 216 | ........................... | 6117 | 9.8190 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| 217 | ............................ | 20587 | 12.9505 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 27 |
| 218 | $\cdots$ | 23700 | 5.3217 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 219 | ............................ | 18252 | 3.2882 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 220 | ............................ | 5 | 3.2000 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 223 | ......................... | 18540 | 2.6177 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
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|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25th } \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 294 | ........................... | 82039 | 4.9200 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 295 | ........................... | 3593 | 3.9585 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 296 | ........................ | 235524 | 5.3934 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 297 | ............................ | 32715 | 3.6521 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 298 | .......................... | 91 | 3.7253 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 299 | .......................... | 968 | 5.3657 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 300 | ............................ | 16820 | 6.2855 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 301 | ........................... | 2395 | 3.8113 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 302 | .... | 7784 | 10.1382 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 18 |
| 303 | ........................... | 19638 | 9.2247 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 16 |
| 304 | $\ldots$ | 12813 | 8.9904 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 305 |  | 2552 | 3.8985 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 306 | ............................ | 10658 | 5.5019 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 |
| 307 | ... | 2355 | 2.3996 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 308 | .......................... | 9167 | 6.0165 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| 309 | ............................ | 3541 | 2.5945 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 310 | $\ldots$ | 26694 | 4.2835 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 311 | ........................... | 7805 | 1.9543 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 312 | ..... | 1731 | 4.3437 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 313 | ............................ | 587 | 2.3799 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 314 |  | 1 | 10.0000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 315 | ............................. | 28283 | 8.0413 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 |
| 316 | ........................... | 93071 | 6.8024 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 317 | ...... | 787 | 2.8666 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 318 | .... | 6194 | 6.1022 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 319 | . | 407 | 2.9902 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 320 | ............................ | 177474 | 5.5698 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 321 | ............................. | 23679 | 4.0416 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 322 | ........................... | 82 | 4.1098 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 323 | ........................... | 16931 | 3.2166 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 324 | .......................... | 7513 | 1.9385 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 325 | ............................ | 7409 | 3.9591 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 326 |  | 2192 | 2.7199 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 327 |  | 9 | 2.8889 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 328 | ............................. | 759 | 3.7167 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 329 | ............................. | 87 | 2.2644 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 331 | ............................ | 43598 | 5.5769 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 332 | ............................ | 4517 | 3.5603 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 333 | ......................... | 306 | 4.9477 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 |
| 334 |  | 18572 | 4.9690 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 335 | ............................ | 10338 | 3.7163 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 336 | $\ldots$ | 54082 | 3.6046 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 337 |  | 31770 | 2.2858 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 338 |  | 2767 | 4.7879 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 339 | ... | 1987 | 4.1726 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 340 |  | 2 | 1.0000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 341 |  | 4909 | 2.9589 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 342 |  | 1007 | 3.4518 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 344 |  | 3882 | 2.6285 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 345 | $\ldots$ | 1343 | 3.6389 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 346 | $\ldots$ | 4844 | 5.8179 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 347 |  | 365 | 3.1370 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 348 | ............................ | 3181 | 4.2521 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 349 | $\ldots$ | 632 | 2.7658 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 350 |  | 6114 | 4.3999 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| 352 | $\ldots$ | 638 | 3.6160 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 353 | $\ldots$ | 2816 | 6.9457 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 354 | ............................ | 9926 | 5.7743 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 355 | ............................. | 5640 | 3.4624 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 356 | ............................ | 28862 | 2.6478 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 357 | ............................ | 6330 | 9.0289 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 358 | $\ldots$ | 27373 | 4.3708 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 359 | ............................ | 27990 | 2.9775 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 360 | ............................. | 17843 | 3.1581 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 361 | ............................ | 540 | 3.3259 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 363 | ... | 3943 | 3.3109 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 364 | ............................ | 1828 | 3.5656 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| 365 | $\ldots$ | 2298 | 6.8903 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 366 | $\ldots$ | 4368 | 6.8116 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 367 | ........................... | 506 | 2.8893 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |

Table 7B.-Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
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|  | DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | 25th percentile | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 368 | $\ldots$ | 2895 | 6.3530 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| 369 | ...... | 2588 | 3.0622 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 370 | ...... | 1154 | 5.4610 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 371 | ............. | 1157 | 3.4754 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 372 | ..... | 975 | 3.1549 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 373 | ......... | 3868 | 2.1171 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 374 | ....... | 147 | 3.0340 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 375 |  | 9 | 5.1111 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 10 |
| 376 | ....... | 214 | 2.9252 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 377 |  | 52 | 4.4808 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 378 |  | 168 | 2.5952 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 379 | ........ | 334 | 3.5868 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 380 | ....... | 87 | 2.0345 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 381 |  | 187 | 2.1283 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 382 | $\ldots$ | 40 | 1.2750 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 383 |  | 1460 | 3.7301 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| 384 |  | 123 | 2.6585 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 385 | .... | 1 | 2.0000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 389 | ...... | 9 | 8.6667 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| 390 |  | 13 | 6.0000 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 17 |
| 392 | ..... | 2513 | 10.3828 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 21 |
| 394 | ....... | 1805 | 7.0853 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| 395 |  | 70948 | 4.7241 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 396 | .... | 15 | 18.4667 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 15 |
| 397 | ...... | 18814 | 5.5200 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 398 | ...... | 18127 | 6.0414 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 399 | ..... | 1322 | 3.7239 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 400 | ..... | 7225 | 9.3664 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 20 |
| 401 |  | 6653 | 11.0137 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 23 |
| 402 | ..... | 1464 | 3.8907 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 403 | $\ldots$ | 38919 | 8.1409 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 |
| 404 |  | 3797 | 4.4464 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 406 | .... | 3308 | 9.5299 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| 407 | ......... | 634 | 4.3202 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| 408 |  | 2667 | 7.5047 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 16 |
| 409 | ..... | 4644 | 5.8404 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 |
| 410 | ......... | 59252 | 3.4182 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 411 |  | 18 | 2.8889 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 412 |  | 24 | 2.3333 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 413 | ..... | 7781 | 7.4429 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 414 |  | 676 | 4.2219 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 415 | .... | 45158 | 14.3432 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 28 |
| 416 | .......... | 230365 | 7.3967 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 14 |
| 417 |  | 41 | 5.9024 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 418 |  | 21184 | 6.1906 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| 419 | ...... | 15269 | 5.0200 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 420 |  | 2680 | 3.9474 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 421 |  | 12113 | 3.9569 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 422 | ...... | 86 | 3.3372 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 423 | ......... | 10723 | 7.7520 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 424 |  | 1621 | 14.2961 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 29 |
| 425 | .......... | 15405 | 4.1352 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 426 | $\ldots$ | 4449 | 4.9020 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 427 | ....... | 1633 | 4.8010 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 428 | $\ldots$ | 940 | 7.1755 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 |
| 429 | ...... | 32769 | 7.1661 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 430 | ... | 56829 | 8.7198 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 431 | ........ | 217 | 7.3088 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| 432 | .......... | 409 | 5.2152 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| 433 | ......... | 6811 | 3.2053 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 434 | ...... | 21537 | 5.1804 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 435 | ........ | 14552 | 4.4078 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| 436 | $\ldots$ | 3322 | 13.9618 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 28 |
| 437 | $\ldots$ | 12779 | 9.2061 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| 439 | ................... | 1138 | 7.7065 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 |
| 440 | ..................... | 5155 | 8.9081 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 19 |
| 441 | $\ldots$ | 570 | 3.4333 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 442 | .................... | 16247 | 8.1177 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 |
| 443 | ..................... | 3153 | 3.3321 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 444 | ................... | 3425 | 4.5007 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |

Table 7B.—Medicare Prospective Payment System; Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay—Continued
[FY97 MEDPAR Update 12/97 Grouper V16.0]

| DRG | Number discharges | Arithmetic mean LOS | 10th percentile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 445 | 1243 | 3.3628 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 446 | 1 | 2.0000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 447 | 4257 | 2.5130 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 449 .. | 27905 | 3.7822 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 450 | 6171 | 2.0826 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 451 | 9 | 2.7778 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 452 | 22863 | 5.0341 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 453 | 3796 | 2.9236 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 454 | 3855 | 4.6905 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 455 | 758 | 2.7401 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 461 ............................. | 3047 | 4.4322 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 |
| 462 .. | 10348 | 12.4504 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 23 |
| 463 | 13983 | 4.4209 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 464 | 3556 | 3.3751 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 465 | 210 | 2.9095 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 466 ........................... | 1748 | 4.0955 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 467 | 1332 | 4.3949 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 468 ............................. | 61704 | 13.4718 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 27 |
| 471 ........................... | 12918 | 6.0694 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| 473 | 8429 | 12.7713 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 33 |
| 475 | 109339 | 11.1900 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 22 |
| 476 | 5924 | 11.9158 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| 477 | 28747 | 8.1623 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| 478 | 123286 | 7.4571 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 15 |
| 479 | 18337 | 3.8430 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 480 | 400 | 26.7550 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 32 | 53 |
| 481 ........................... | 256 | 27.1133 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 32 | 43 |
| 482 | 6596 | 12.7329 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 23 |
| 483 | 41763 | 40.0560 | 14 | 21 | 33 | 50 | 73 |
| 484. | 391 | 14.6931 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 27 |
| 485 | 3471 | 9.5906 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 486 | 2244 | 12.3382 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 16 | 25 |
| 487 . | 4210 | 7.3983 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 |
| 488 ............................ | 865 | 17.0532 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 35 |
| 489 | 14894 | 8.9049 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 19 |
| 490. | 4863 | 5.4148 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 491. | 11011 | 3.6593 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 492. | 2334 | 17.1418 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 27 | 36 |
| 493 | 56210 | 5.6284 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 494 ............................ | 25155 | 2.4285 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 495 ............................. | 125 | 16.9920 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 31 |
| 496 | 895 | 10.5821 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 20 |
| 497 ............................ | 21969 | 6.2886 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| 498 . | 12500 | 3.5058 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 499 | 36205 | 4.9604 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 500 ............................. | 36448 | 2.8726 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 501 | 1895 | 10.4391 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 19 |
| 502 ............................. | 468 | 6.5876 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 503 | 6317 | 4.2169 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 504 ............................ | 157 | 31.5669 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 39 | 57 |
| 505 ............................ | 171 | 5.8421 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| 506 ............................ | 1130 | 16.7522 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 |
| 507 ............................. | 391 | 8.9668 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 17 |
| 508 ............................. | 1206 | 7.7355 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 |
| 509 ............................. | 462 | 4.8528 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 510 ............................ | 1006 | 6.8897 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 511 ........................... | 311 | 4.8135 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
|  | 11244775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8A.-Statewide Average Operating Cost-to-Charge Ratios For Urban and Rural Hospitals (Case Weighted) March 1998

| State | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.373 | 0.446 |
| ALASKA | 0.503 | 0.731 |
| ARIZONA | 0.375 | 0.540 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.515 | 0.457 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.363 | 0.481 |
| COLORADO | 0.467 | 0.565 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.546 | 0.532 |
| DELAWARE | 0.506 | 0.488 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.521 |  |
| FLORIDA | 0.384 | 0.389 |
| GEORGIA | 0.497 | 0.497 |
| HAWAII | 0.430 | 0.559 |
| IDAHO | 0.564 | 0.582 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.445 | 0.546 |
| INDIANA | 0.559 | 0.597 |
| IOWA | 0.513 | 0.640 |
| KANSAS | 0.429 | 0.644 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.496 | 0.519 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.442 | 0.496 |
| MAINE | 0.620 | 0.576 |
| MARYLAND | 0.765 | 0.818 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.540 | 0.571 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.467 | 0.580 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.532 | 0.611 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.478 | 0.499 |
| MISSOURI | 0.441 | 0.516 |
| MONTANA | 0.524 | 0.569 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.482 | 0.639 |
| NEVADA | 0.320 | 0.584 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.573 | 0.586 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.436 |  |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.466 | 0.510 |
| NEW YORK | 0.553 | 0.633 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.523 | 0.461 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.620 | 0.666 |
| OHIO | 0.533 | 0.576 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.460 | 0.529 |
| OREGON | 0.546 | 0.624 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.407 | 0.527 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.481 | 0.569 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.571 |  |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.472 | 0.494 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.537 | 0.620 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.481 | 0.508 |
| TEXAS | 0.427 | 0.536 |
| UTAH | 0.538 | 0.635 |
| VERMONT | 0.615 | 0.577 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.476 | 0.499 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.599 | 0.662 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.592 | 0.573 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.568 | 0.641 |
| WYOMING | 0.495 | 0.6 |

Table 8B.-Statewide Average Capital Cost-to-Charge Ratios (Case Weighted) March 1998

| State | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.047 |
| ALASKA | 0.066 |
| ARIZONA | 0.043 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.054 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.038 |
| COLORADO | 0.052 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.042 |
| DELAWARE | 0.058 |

Table 8B.-Statewide Average Capital Cost-to-Charge Ratios (Case Weighted) March 1998Continued

| State | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.040 |
| FLORIDA | 0.046 |
| GEORGIA | 0.049 |
| HAWAII | 0.045 |
| IDAHO. | 0.054 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.042 |
| INDIANA | 0.059 |
| IOWA | 0.054 |
| KANSAS | 0.052 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.051 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.067 |
| MAINE | 0.040 |
| MARYLAND | 0.013 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.056 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.046 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.056 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.054 |
| MISSOURI | 0.049 |
| MONTANA | 0.052 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.057 |
| NEVADA | 0.068 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.066 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.039 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.047 |
| NEW YORK | 0.053 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.047 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.075 |
| OHIO | 0.053 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.054 |
| OREGON | 0.055 |
| PENNSYLVANIA .......................... | 0.043 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.054 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.033 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.053 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA .......................... | 0.061 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.056 |
| TEXAS | 0.052 |
| UTAH | 0.056 |
| VERMONT | 0.047 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.058 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.066 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.056 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.052 |
| WYOMING .................................... | 0.056 |

Appendix A-Regulatory Impact A nalysis
I. Introduction

We generally prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis that is consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 through 612), unless we certify that a proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, we consider all hospitals to be small entities.
Also, section 1102(b) of the Social Security Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis for any proposed rule that may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. Such an analysis must conform to the provisions of section 603 of the RFA. With the exception of hospitals located in certain New England counties, for purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital with fewer than 100 beds that is located
outside of a Metropolitan Statistical A rea (MSA) or New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA). Section 601(g) of the Social Security Amendments of 1983 (Pub. L. 9821) designated hospitals in certain New England counties as belonging to the adjacent NECMA. Thus, for purposes of the prospective payment system, we classify these hospitals as urban hospitals.
It is clear that the changes being proposed in this document would affect both a substantial number of small rural hospitals as well as other classes of hospitals, and the effects on some may be significant. Therefore, the discussion below, in combination with the rest of this proposed rule, constitutes a combined regulatory impact anal ysis and regulatory flexibility analysis.
In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this proposed rule was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.
II. Objectives

The primary objective of the prospective payment system is to create incentives for hospitals to operate efficiently and minimize unnecessary costs while at the same time ensuring that payments are sufficient to adequately compensate hospitals for their legitimate costs. In addition, we share national goals of deficit reduction and restraints on government spending in general.

We believe the proposed changes would further each of these goals while maintaining the financial viability of the hospital industry and ensuring access to high quality heal th care for Medicare beneficiaries. We expect that these proposed changes would ensure that the outcomes of this payment system are reasonable and equitable while avoiding or minimizing unintended adverse consequences.
III. Limitations of Our Analysis

As has been the case in previously published regulatory impact analyses, the following quantitative analysis presents the projected effects of our proposed policy changes, as well as statutory changes effective for FY 1999, on various hospital groups. We estimate the effects of individual policy changes by estimating payments per case while holding all other payment policies constant. We use the best data available, but we do not attempt to predict behavioral responses to our policy changes, and we do not make adjustments for future changes in such variables as admissions, lengths of stay, or case mix. As we have done in previous proposed rules, we are soliciting comments and information about the anticipated effects of these changes on hospitals and our methodol ogy for estimating them.
IV. GME Payment to Nonhospital Providers

In the past, Medicare only paid hospitals for GME costs. Therefore, FQHCs, RHCs and Medicare+Choice organizations may have been reluctant to train many residents since they would incur costs in training the residents but would not be reimbursed for those costs by Medicare. Under this proposed regulation, where the non-hospital site incurs all or substantially all of the costs of the training at that site, Medicare will reimburse
the provider for Medicare's share of the reasonable costs of the training. The proposal to allow for payments directly to these nonhospital sites for the costs of training residents in approved programs will facilitate more training of residents in settings that will be similar to the settings that many of those residents will ultimately practice after their training is completed. Additionally, this could result in an increase in the number of physicians practicing in underserved areas.

In addition, hospitals are currently allowed to count residents, working in nonhospital sites in their count of residents and the hospital would be paid GME payments, if it paid for all or substantially all of the costs of the program at the non-hospital site. Previously the regulation defined the statutory requirement of "all or substantially all" to mean at least the residents" salaries and fringe benefits. Under the proposal we would redefine "all or substantially all" of the costs of the program at the nonhospital site to also include the GME portion of the teaching physicians' salaries and fringe benefits. This will require hospitals to incur more of the costs of the training at the nonhospital site in order to receive both direct and indirect GME payments for those residents.
Section 4625 of the Balanced Budget Act, which provides for direct graduate medical education payments to nonhospital providers, would have minimal impact in the context of total graduate medical education costs. We believe that the most significant impact resulting from section 4625 will be the movement of resident training from the inpatient setting to the nonhospital setting. We expect that such a shift in the site where resident training occurs will result in little if any additional cost to Medicare. In addition to the expected shift in training from the inpatient setting to the nonhospital setting, in relatively few cases, section 4625 could result in additional resident training being paid by Medicare. However, Medicare's share of costs incurred in those nonhospital sites based on Medicare utilization is often generally low, so we expect the impact of the cost of training of any additional residents to be negliglible.
V. Hospitals Included In and Excluded From the Prospective Payment System

The prospective payment systems for hospital inpatient operating and capitalrelated costs encompass nearly all general, short-term, acute care hospitals that participate in the Medicare program. There were 45 Indian Health Service hospitals in our database, which we excluded from the analysis due to the special characteristics of the prospective payment method for these hospitals. Among other short-term, acute care hospitals, only the 50 such hospitals in Maryland remain excluded from the prospective payment system under the wai ver at section 1814(b)(3) of the Act. Thus, as of March 1998, we have included 4,956 hospitals in our analysis. This represents about 82 percent of all Medicareparticipating hospitals. The majority of this impact analysis focuses on this set of hospitals.

The remaining 18 percent are specialty hospitals that are excluded from the
prospective payment system and continue to be paid on the basis of their reasonable costs (subject to a rate-of-increase ceiling on their inpatient operating costs per discharge). These hospitals include psychiatric, rehabilitation, long-term care, children's, and cancer hospitals. The impacts of our proposed policy changes on these hospitals are discussed below.

## VI. Impact on Excluded Hospitals and Units

As of March 1998, there were 1,082 specialty hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system and instead paid on a reasonable cost basis subject to the rate-of-increase ceiling under § 413.40. In addition, there were 2,393 psychiatric and rehabilitation units in hospitals otherwise subject to the prospective payment system. These excluded units are also paid in accordance with § 413.40.

As required by section 1886(b)(3)(B) of the Act, the update factor applicable to the rate-of-increase limit for excluded hospitals and units for FY 1999 would be between 0 and 2.5 percent, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit.

The impact on excluded hospitals and units of the proposed update in the rate-ofincrease limit depends on the cumulative cost increases experienced by each excluded hospital or unit since its applicable base period. For excluded hospitals and units that have maintained their cost increases at a level below the percentage increases in the rate-of-increase limits since their base period, the major effect will be on the level of incentive payments these hospitals and units receive. Conversely, for excluded hospitals and units with per-case cost increases above the cumulative update in their rate-ofincrease limits, the major effect will be the amount of excess costs that would not be reimbursed.

We note that, under § 413.40(d)(3), an excluded hospital or unit whose costs exceed 110 percent of its rate-of-increase limit receives its rate-of-increase limit plus 50 percent of the difference between its reasonable costs and 110 percent of the limit, not to exceed 110 percent of its limit. In addition, under the various provisions set forth in § 413.40, certain excluded hospitals and units can obtain payment adjustments for justifiable increases in operating costs that exceed the limit. At the same time, however, by generally limiting payment increases, we continue to provide an incentive for excluded hospitals and units to restrain the growth in their spending for patient services.
VII. Quantitative Impact Analysis of the Proposed Policy Changes Under the Prospective Payment System for Operating Costs

## A. Basis and Methodology of Estimates

In this proposed rule, we are announcing policy changes and payment rate updates for the prospective payment systems for operating and capital-related costs. We estimate the total payment impact of these changes on FY 1999 payments compared to FY 1998 payments, to be approximately a $\$ 400$ million reduction. We have prepared separate impact analyses of the proposed
changes to each system. This section deals with changes to the operating prospective payment system.
The data used in developing the quantitative analyses presented bel ow are taken from the FY 1997 MedPAR file and the most current provider-specific file that is used for payment purposes. Although the analyses of the changes to the operating prospective payment system do not incorporate cost data, the most recently available hospital cost report data were used to categorize hospitals. Our analysis has several qualifications. First, we do not make adjustments for behavioral changes that hospitals may adopt in response to these proposed policy changes. Second, due to the interdependent nature of the prospective payment system, it is very difficult to precisely quantify the impact associated with each proposed change. Third, we draw upon various sources for the data used to categorize hospitals in the tables. In some cases, particularly the number of beds, there is a fair degree of variation in the data from different sources. We have attempted to construct these variables with the best available source overall. For individual hospitals, however, some miscategorizations are possible.
Using cases in the FY 1997 MedPAR file, we simulated payments under the operating prospective payment system given various combinations of payment parameters. Any short-term, acute care hospitals not paid under the general prospective payment systems (Indian Health Service hospitals and hospitals in Maryland) are excluded from the simulations. Payments under the capital prospective payment system, or payments for costs other than inpatient operating costs, are not analyzed here. Estimated payment impacts of proposed FY 1999 changes to the capital prospective payment system are discussed below in section VII of this A ppendix.
The proposed changes discussed separately bel ow are the following:

- The effects of implementing the expanded transfer definition enacted by section 4407 of the BBA, which counts as a transfer any discharge from one of 10 DRGs if upon discharge the patient is admitted to an excluded hospital or distinct part unit or a skilled nursing facility, or is provided home heal th care that is rel ated to the hospitalization within 3 days of the date of discharge.
- The effects of the annual reclassification of diagnoses and procedures and the recalibration of the DRG relative weights required by section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act.
- The effects of changes in hospitals' wage index values reflecting the wage index update (FY 1995 data).
- The effects of two proposed changes to the wage index: (1) including the costs associated with Part A physician costs under contract; and (2) removing the overhead costs rel ated to departments excluded from the wage data used to cal culate the wage index (for example, skilled nursing facilities and distinct part units).
- The effects of geographic reclassifications by the Medicare Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB) that will be effective in FY 1999.
- The total change in payments based on FY 1999 policies relative to payments based on FY 1998 policies.
To illustrate the impacts of the FY 1999 proposed changes, our analysis begins with a FY 1999 baseline simulation model using: The FY 1998 GROUPER (version 15.0); the FY 1998 wage index; the transfer definition prior to implementation of section 4407 of the BBA; and no MGCRB reclassifications. Outlier payments are set at 5.1 percent of total DRG payments.
Each proposed and statutory policy change is then added incrementally to this baseline model, finally arriving at an FY 1999 model incorporating all of the changes. This allows us to isolate the effects of each change.
Our final comparison illustrates the percent change in payments per case from FY 1998 to FY 1999. Four factors have significant impacts here. First is the update to the standardized amounts. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(A)(iv) of the Act, we are proposing to update the large urban and the other areas average standardized amounts for FY 1999 using the most recently forecasted hospital market basket increase for FY 1999 of 2.6 percent minus 1.9 percentage points. Similarly, section 1886(b)(3)(C)(ii) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for sole community hospitals (SCHs), essential access community hospitals (EACHs) (which are treated as SCHs for payment purposes), and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals (MDHs) is equal to the market basket increase of 2.6 percent minus 1.9 percentage points (for an update of 0.7 percent).
A second significant factor impacting changes in hospitals' payments per case from FY 1998 to FY 1999 is a change in M GCRB reclassification status from one year to the next. That is, hospitals reclassified in FY 1998 that are no Ionger reclassified in FY 1999 may have a negative payment impact going from FY 1998 to FY 1999; conversely, hospitals not reclassified in FY 1998 that are reclassified in FY 1999 may have a positive impact. In some cases, these impacts can be quite substantial, so if a rel atively small number of hospitals in a particular category lose their reclassification status, the percentage increase in payments for the category may be below the national mean.
A third significant factor is that we currently estimate that actual outlier payments during FY 1998 will be 5.4 percent of actual total DRG payments. When the FY 1998 final rule was published, we projected FY 1998 outlier payments would be 5.1 percent of total DRG payments, and the standardized amounts were reduced correspondingly. The effects of the slightly higher than expected outlier payments during FY 1998 (as discussed in the Addendum to this proposed rule) are reflected in the analyses below comparing our current estimates of FY 1998 payments per case to estimated FY 1999 payments per case.

Fourth, payments per case in FY 1999 are reduced from FY 1998 for hospitals that receive the indirect medical education (IME) or the disproportionate share (DSH) adjustments. Section 1886(d)(5)(B)(ii) of the Act provides that the IME adjustment is reduced from approximately a 7.0 percent increase for every 10 percent increase in a hospital's resident-to-bed ratio in FY 1998, to a 6.5 percent increase in FY 1999. Similarly, in accordance with section 1886(d)(5)(F)(ix) of the Act, the DSH adjustment for FY 1999 is reduced by 2 percent from what would otherwise have been paid, compared to a 1 percent reduction for FY 1998.

Table I demonstrates the results of our anal ysis. The table categorizes hospitals by various geographic and special payment consideration groups to illustrate the varying impacts on different types of hospitals. The top row of the table shows the overall impact on the 4,956 hospitals included in the analysis. This is 132 fewer hospitals than were included in the impact analysis in the FY 1998 final rule with comment period (62 FR 46119).

The next four rows of Table I contain hospitals categorized according to their geographic location (all urban, which is further divided into large urban and other urban, or rural). There are 2,792 hospitals located in urban areas (MSAs or NECMAs) included in our analysis. Among these, there are 1,588 hospitals located in large urban areas (populations over 1 million), and 1,204 hospitals in other urban areas (populations of 1 million or fewer). In addition, there are 2,164 hospital s in rural areas. The next two groupings are by bed-size categories, shown separately for urban and rural hospitals. The final groupings by geographic location are by census divisions, al so shown separately for urban and rural hospitals.

The second part of Table I shows hospital groups based on hospitals' FY 1999 payment classifications, including any
reclassifications under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act. For example, the rows labeled urban, I arge urban, other urban, and rural show the numbers of hospitals paid based on these categorizations (after consideration of geographic reclassifications) are $2,877,1,681$, 1,196, and 2,079, respectively.

The next three groupings examine the impacts of the proposed changes on hospitals grouped by whether or not they have residency programs (teaching hospitals that receive an IME adjustment), recei ve DSH payments, or some combination of these two adjustments. There are 3,875 nonteaching hospitals in our analysis, 841 teaching hospitals with fewer than 100 residents, and 240 teaching hospitals with 100 or more residents.

In the DSH categories, hospitals are grouped according to their DSH payment status, and whether they are considered urban or rural after MGCRB reclassifications. Hospitals in the rural DSH categories, therefore, represent hospitals that were not
reclassified for purposes of the standardized amount or for purposes of the DSH adjustment. (They may, however, have been reclassified for purposes of the wage index.) The next category groups hospitals considered urban after geographic reclassification, in terms of whether they receive the IME adjustment, the DSH adjustment, both, or neither.
The next row separately examines hospitals that available data show may qualify under section 4401(b) of the BBA for the special temporary relief provision, which grants an additional 0.3 percent update to the standardized amounts (in addition to the 0.7 percent update other hospitals would receive during FY 1999), resulting in a 1.0 percent update for this category of hospitals. To be eligible, a hospital must not be an MDH, nor may it receive either IME or DSH payments. It must also experience a negative margin on its operating prospective payments during FY 1999. We estimated el igi ble hospitals based on whether they had a negative operating margin on their FY 1995 cost report (latest available data). Finally, to qualify, a hospital must be located in a State where the aggregate FY 1995 operating prospective payments were less than the aggregate associated costs for all of the non-IME, nonDSH, non-MDH hospitals in the State. There are 356 hospitals in this row.
The next four rows examine the impacts of the proposed changes on rural hospitals by special payment groups (SCHs, rural referral centers (RRCs), MDHs, and EACHs), as well as rural hospitals not receiving a special payment designation. The RRCs (137), SCH/ EACHs (633), MDHs (351), and SCH/EACH and RRCs (54) shown here were not reclassified for purposes of the standardized amount. There is one SCH that will be reclassified for the standardized amount in FY 1999 that, therefore, is not included in these rows. There are six EACH included in our analysis and three EACH/RRCs.

The next two groupings are based on type of ownership and the hospital's Medicare utilization expressed as a percent of total patient days. These data are taken primarily from the FY 1995 Medicare cost report files, if available (otherwise FY 1994 data are used). Data needed to determine ownership status or Medicare utilization percentages were unavai lable for 95 hospitals. For the most part, these are new hospitals.
The next series of groupings concern the geographic reclassification status of hospitals. The first three groupings display hospitals that were reclassified by the MGCRB for both FY 1998 and FY 1999, or for either of those 2 years, by urban/rural status. The next rows illustrate the overall number of FY 1999 reclassifications, as well as the numbers of reclassified hospitals grouped by urban and rural location. The final row in Table I contains hospitals located in rural counties but deemed to be urban under section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act.

Table I.-Impact Analysis of Changes for Fy 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System
[Percent changes in payments per case]

|  | Number of hosps. ${ }^{1}$ <br> (0) | PAC tran. provision ${ }^{2}$ <br> (1) | DRG recalib. ${ }^{3}$ <br> (2) | New wage data ${ }^{4}$ <br> (3) | Contract phys. pt a costs ${ }^{5}$ <br> (4) | Allocated overhead costs ${ }^{6}$ <br> (5) | DRG \& WI changes ${ }^{7}$ <br> (6) | MGCRB recl- assification ${ }^{8}$ <br> (7) | All FY 99 changes ${ }^{9}$ <br> (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (BY GEOGRAPHIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATION): <br> ALL HOSPITALS | 4,956 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.7 |
| URBAN HOS- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PITALS ...... | 2,792 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -1.1 |
| LARGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN ...... | 1,588 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -1.4 |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN ...... | 1,204 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.3 | -0.5 |
| RURAL HOSPITALS | 2,164 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| BED SIZE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (URBAN): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-99 BEDS .... | 690 | -0.8 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.7 |
| 100-199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ......... | 936 | -0.8 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.4 | -1.0 |
| 200-299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ........ | 566 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.9 |
| 300-499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BEDS ......... } \\ & 500 \text { OR } \end{aligned}$ | 448 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -1.2 |
| MORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ......... | 152 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -1.2 |
| BED SIZE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (RURAL): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-49 BEDS .... | 1,135 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 1.3 |
| 50-99 BEDS .. | 635 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 100-149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ......... | 229 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| 150-199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ........ | 91 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| 200 OR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS ........ | 74 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.6 |
| URBAN BY CEN- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUS DIVISION: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAND | 152 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -2.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -2.7 | 0.1 | -3.5 |
| MIDDLE AT- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANTIC ... | 425 | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 0.6 | -0.5 | -0.5 |
| SOUTH AT- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANTIC ...... | 413 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.6 | -0.6 | -0.3 |
| EAST NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 475 | -0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.3 | -1.5 |
| EAST SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 159 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 0.0 | -0.5 | -0.7 |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 186 | -0.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 0.1 |
| WEST SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 350 | -0.9 | 0.1 | -1.1 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -1.4 | -0.1 | -2.0 |
| MOUNTAIN ... | 126 | -0.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.5 | -0.6 | -0.3 |
| PACIFIC ........ | 458 | -0.8 | 0.1 | -0.5 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.7 | -0.3 | -1.4 |
| PUERTO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RICO RURAL BY CEN- | 48 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.3 | -0.5 | 0.3 |
| RURAL BY CENSUS DIVISION: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW ENG- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAND .... | 53 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | -0.4 |
| MIDDLE AT- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANTIC .... | 80 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| SOUTH AT- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANTIC ...... | 286 | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| EAST NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 284 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| EAST SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 269 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 |

Table i.-Impact Analysis of Changes for FY 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System-Continued
[Percent changes in payments per case]

|  | Number of hosps. ${ }^{1}$ <br> (0) | PAC tran. provision ${ }^{2}$ <br> (1) | DRG recalib. ${ }^{3}$ <br> (2) | New wage data ${ }^{4}$ <br> (3) | Contract phys. pt a costs ${ }^{5}$ <br> (4) | Allocated overhead costs ${ }^{6}$ (5) | DRG \& WI changes ${ }^{7}$ <br> (6) | MGCRB recl- assification ${ }^{8}$ <br> (7) | All FY 99 changes ${ }^{9}$ <br> (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 499 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| WEST SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL .. | 341 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| MOUNTAIN ... | 206 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| PACIFIC ....... | 141 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| PUERTO <br> RICO | 5 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| (BY PAYMENT CATEGORIES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PITALS <br> LARGE | 2,877 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -1.0 |
| URBAN ...... | 1,681 | -0.7 | 0.1 | $-0.3$ | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.4 | $-0.3$ | -1.3 |
| OTHER <br> URBAN | 1,196 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.4 | -0.5 |
| RURAL HOSPITALS | 2,079 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| TEACHING STATUS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NON-TEACHING $\qquad$ | 3,875 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| LESS THAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100+ RESI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DENTS ...... | 240 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -1.7 |
| DISPROPORTIO- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HOSPITALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NON-DSH ...... | 3,074 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -0.4 |
| URBAN DSH: 100 BEDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MORE | 1,402 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -1.1 |
| FEWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS | 93 | -0.7 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.7 |
| RURAL DSH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COM- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (SCH) .. | 156 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 1.3 |
| REFER- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEN- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (RRC) .. | 47 | -0.5 | 0.2 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HOSP.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 BEDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEDS .. | 120 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| URBAN TEACH- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ING AND DSH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table I.-Impact Analysis of Changes for FY 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System-Continued
[Percent changes in payments per case]

|  | Number of hosps. ${ }^{1}$ <br> (0) | PAC tran. provision ${ }^{2}$ <br> (1) | DRG recalib. ${ }^{3}$ <br> (2) | New wage data ${ }^{4}$ <br> (3) | Contract phys. pt a costs ${ }^{5}$ <br> (4) | Allocated overhead costs ${ }^{6}$ <br> (5) | DRG \& WI changes ${ }^{7}$ <br> (6) | MGCRB recl- assification ${ }^{8}$ <br> (7) | All FY 99 changes ${ }^{9}$ <br> (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TEACHING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AND DSH | 700 | $-0.7$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -1.4 |
| TEACHING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSH ... | 328 | -0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -1.0 |
| NO TEACHING AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSH ... | 795 | -0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.6 |
| NO TEACH- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ING AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NO DSH .... | 1,054 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.6 |
| SPECIAL UPDATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HOSPITALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (UNDER SEC. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4401(b) OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC LAW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105-33) ............ | 356 | -0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -0.3 |
| RURAL HOSPITAL TYPES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NONSPECIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HOSPITALS | 904 | -0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| RRC ............. | 137 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 2.5 |
| SCH/EACH .... | 633 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| MDH ............. | 351 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| SCH/EACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AND RRC | 54 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| TYPE OF OWNERSHIP: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOLUNTARY | 2,859 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.8 |
| PROPRI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ETARY ..... | 671 | -0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.9 |
| GOVERN- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MENT ......... | 1,331 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | -0.3 |
| UNKNOWN .... | 95 | -0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.7 |
| MEDICARE UTILI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZATION AS A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INPATIENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAYS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-25 .............. | 249 | -0.7 | 0.2 | -0.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -1.0 | 0.1 | -1.6 |
| 25-50 ............ | 1,267 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -1.2 |
| 50-65 ............ | 1,975 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| OVER 65 | 1,370 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| UNKNOWN .... | 95 | -0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.7 |
| HOSPITALS RECLAS- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIFIED BY THE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEDICARE GEO- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRAPHIC REVIEW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOARD: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECLASSIFICATI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ON STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DURING FY 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AND FY 99: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECLASSI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIED DUR- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ING BOTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY98 AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY99 ......... | 311 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 6.6 | -0.1 |
| URBAN ... | 70 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 5.4 | -0.5 |
| RURAL ... | 241 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 0.2 |
| RECLASSI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIED DUR- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ING FY99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ONLY ........ | 178 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |

Table i.-Impact Analysis of Changes for FY 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System-Continued
[Percent changes in payments per case]


[^3]4 This column shows the payment effects of updating the data used to calculate the wage index with data from the FY 1995 cost reports.
5 This column displays the impact of adding contract Part A physician costs to the wage data.
6 This column illustrates the payment impact of removing the overhead costs allocated to departments where the directly assigned costs are already excluded from the wage index calculation (for example, SNFs and distinct part units).

7 This column displays the combined impact of the reclassification and recalibration of the DRGs, the updated and revised wage data used to calculate the wage index, and the budget neutrality adjustment factor for these two changes, in accordance with sections 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) and $1886(\mathrm{~d})(3)(\mathrm{E})$ of the Act. Thus, it represents the combined impacts shown in columns 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the FY 1999 budget neutrality factor of 0.999227.
${ }^{8}$ Shown here are the effects of geographic reclassifications by the Medicare Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB). The effects shown here demonstrate the FY 1999 payment impact of going from no reclassifications to the reclassifications scheduled to be in effect for FY 1999. Reclassification for prior years has no bearing on the payment impacts shown here.

9 This column shows changes in payments from FY 1998 to FY 1999. It incorporates all of the changes displayed in columns 1, 6 , and 7 (the changes displayed in columns 2, 3, 4 and 5 are included in column 6). It also displays the impact of the FY 1999 update, changes in hospitals' reclassification status in FY 1999 compared to FY 1998, the difference in outlier payments from FY 1998 to FY 1999, and the reductions to payments through the IME and DSH adjustments taking effect during FY 1999. The sum of these columns may be different from the percentage changes shown here due to rounding and interactive effects.
B. Impact of the Proposed Implementation of the Expanded Transfer Definition (Column 1)

Section 1886(d)(5)(J) of the Act (added by section 4407 of the BBA) requires the Secretary to select 10 DRGs for which discharges (from any one of these DRGs) to a postacute care provider will be treated as a transfer beginning with discharges on or after October 1, 1998. Column 1 shows the impact of this provision.

Although the expanded definition encompasses only 10 DRGs, they were selected, in accordance with the statute, based upon their large and disproportionate volume of cases receiving postacute care. We estimate that approximately 25 percent of all cases recei ving follow-up postacute care come from these 10 DRGs. Therefore, the overall payment impact of this change is significant (a 0.6 percent decrease in payments per case).

The 10 DRGs that we are proposing to include under this provision are identified in section V.A. of the preamble to this proposed rule. In addition to selecting 10 DRGs, the statute authorizes the Secretary to devel op an al ternative transfer payment methodology for DRGs where a substantial portion of the costs of the cases occur very early in the stay. This is particularly likely to happen in some surgical DRGs because of the high cost of the surgical procedure. Based on our analysis comparing the costs per case for these cases with payments under our current transfer payment methodology, we are proposing to pay the current transfer per diem for all DRGs except DRGs 209, 210, and 211. For those three DRGs, the al ternative payment methodology we are proposing is 50 percent of the full DRG payment amount for the first day of the stay, plus 50 percent of the current per diem transfer payment for each remaining day, up to the full DRG payment.

To simulate the impact of these proposed policies, we adjusted hospitals' transferadjusted discharges and case-mix index values (using version 15 of the GROUPER) to reflect the impact of this expansion in the transfer definition. The transfer-adjusted discharge amount is cal culated one of two ways, depending on the transfer payment methodology. Under our current transfer payment methodology, and for all but the three DRGs receiving special payment consideration, this adjustment is made simply by adding one to the length of stay and dividing that amount by the geometric mean length of stay for the DRG (not to exceed 1.0). For example, a transfer after 3 days from a DRG with a geometric mean
length of stay of 6 days would have a transfer-adjusted discharge weight of 0.667 ( $(3+1) / 6)$.

For transfers from any one of the three DRGs receiving the al ternative payment methodology, the transfer-adjusted discharge amount is 0.5 (to reflect that these cases receive half the full DRG amount the first day), plus one-half of the result of dividing one plus the length of stay prior to transfer by the geometric mean length of stay for the DRG. As with the above adjustment, the result is equal to the lesser of the transferadjusted DRG or 1.

The transfer-adjusted case-mix index values are cal culated by summing the transfer-adjusted DRG weights and dividing by the transfer-adjusted discharges. The transfer-adjusted DRG weights are cal culated by multiplying the DRG weight by the lesser of 1 or the transfer-adjusted discharge for the case, divided by the geometric mean length of stay for the DRG. In this way, simulated payments per case can be compared before and after the change to the transfer policy.

This change has the greatest impact among urban hospitals ( 0.7 percent decrease). Among urban hospitals, smaller hospitals (under 200 beds) are most affected, with a 0.8 percent reduction in payments. For urban hospitals grouped by census division, Puerto Rico and the Middle Atlantic division have the smallest negative impacts, 0.2 and 0.4 percent decreases, respectively. The Middle Atlantic division has traditionally had the Iongest average lengths of stay, therefore, it is not surprising that the impact is smallest here. Transfer cases with a length of stay more than the (geometric) mean length of stay minus one day do not experience any payment impact under this provision. (Full payment is reached one day prior to the mean length of stay due to the double per diem paid for the first day under our current transfer payment methodology.) The small impact in Puerto Rico would indicate that these hospitals al so are not discharging patients to postacute care early in the stay.

Rural hospitals experience a smaller payment impact overall, especially the smallest rural hospitals: Those with fewer than 50 beds (a 0.3 percent decrease). The smallest impacts among rural census divisions are in the Middle Atlantic and the Mountain. The largest rural impact is in the Pacific division, with a 0.6 percent decrease. This change is consistent with the shorter lengths of stay in this geographic region.

The largest negative impact is a 0.9 percent decrease in payments, observed among urban

West South Central hospitals, and proprietary hospitals. The smallest negative impact besides urban Puerto Rico hospitals occurs in SCHs (0.2 percent decrease). Those SCHs paid based on their hospital-specific amount would see no impact related to this change, since there is no transfer adjustment made to the hospital-specific amount.
C. Impact of the Proposed Changes to the DRG Classifications and Rel ative Weights (Column 2)

In column 2 of Table I, we present the combined effects of the DRG reclassifications and recalibration, as discussed in section II of the preamble to this proposed rule. Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(I) of the Act requires us to annually make appropriate classification changes and to recalibrate the DRG weights in order to reflect changes in treatment patterns, technology, and any other factors that may change the relative use of hospital resources.

We compared aggregate payments using the FY 1998 DRG relative weights (GROUPER version 15) to aggregate payments using the proposed FY 1999 DRG relative weights (GROUPER version 16). Overall, payments increase by 0.1 percent due to the DRG changes, although this is prior to applying the budget neutrality factor for DRG and wage index changes (see column 6). Consistent with the minor changes we are proposing for the FY 1999 GROUPER, the redistributional impacts of DRG reclassifications and recalibration across hospital groups are very small (a 0.1 percent increase for large and other urban hospitals, as well as for rural hospitals). Within hospital categories, the net effects for urban hospitals are small positive changes for all hospitals (a 0.2 percent increase for hospitals with fewer than 200 beds and a 0.1 percent increase for larger hospitals). Among rural hospitals, all hospital categories experience an increase of 0.1 percent.

The breakdowns by urban census division show that the increase among urban hospitals is spread across all census categories, with the largest increase ( 0.3 percent) for hospitals in Puerto Rico. For rural hospitals, there is no impact (that is, a 0.0 percent change) for hospitals in the New England, West North Central, and Mountain census divisions. All other divisions experience a 0.1 percent increase.

This pattern of small increases or no change applies to all other hospital categories. Overall, we attribute this change to the increasing severity of illness of
hospital inpatients. That is, as greater numbers of less acutely ill patients are treated outside the inpatient setting, the acuity of the remaining hospital inpatients increases. Although, in the past, this effect was seen more clearly in large urban and very large rural hospitals, which often had more outpatient settings available for patient treatment, hospitals in all areas now appear to be able to take advantage of this practice. Of course, in general, these positive impacts are very minor, with virtually no hospital group experiencing more than a 0.2 percent increase.

## D. Impact of Updating the Wage Data (Column 3)

Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act requires that, beginning October 1, 1993, we annually update the wage data used to cal culate the wage index. In accordance with this requirement, the proposed wage index for FY 1999 is based on data submitted for hospital cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1994 and before October 1, 1995. As with the previous column, the impact of the new data on hospital payments is isolated by holding the other payment parameters constant in the two simulations. That is, column 3 shows the percentage changes in payments when going from a model using the FY 1998 wage index based on FY 1994 wage data before geographic reclassifications to a model using the FY 1999 prereclassification wage index based on FY 1995 wage data.
The wage data collected on the FY 1995 cost reports includes, for the first time, contract labor costs and hours for top management positions as allowable in the wage index calculation. In addition, the changes to wage-related costs associated with hospital and home office sal aries that were discussed in the September 1, 1994 final rule (59 FR 45355) are reflected in the FY 1995 data. These changes are reflected in column 3, as well as other year-to-year changes in hospitals' labor costs.
The results indicate that the new wage data have an overall impact of a 0.1 percent increase in hospital payments (prior to applying the budget neutrality factor, see column 6). Rural hospitals especially appear to benefit from the update. Their payments increase by 0.9 percent. These increases are attributable to rel atively large increases in the wage index values for the rural areas of particular States; South Dakota, Hawaii, Mississippi, Wyoming, New Hampshire, and lowa all had increases greater than 6 percent in their prereclassification wage index values.

Urban hospitals as a group are not significantly affected by the updated wage data. The gains of hospitals in other urban areas ( 0.4 percent increase) are offset by decreases among hospitals in large urban areas ( 0.3 percent decrease). The negative impact among large urban areas appears to be largely due to a 5.8 percent decrease in the wage index values for the Boston MSA. This impact is especially evident in the 2.4 percent decrease for urban New England hospitals. Urban West South Central hospitals experience a 1.1 percent decrease, largely due to 11 Texas MSAs with FY 1999 wage indexes that fall by more than 7 percent. These appear to be primarily related
to large changes in the average hourly wages of individual hospitals in MSAs with only a few hospitals. We would point out that the wage data used for the proposed wage index is not final, and we understand that many hospitals have submitted revision requests. To the extent these requests are granted by hospitals' fiscal intermediaries, these revisions are likely to affect the impacts shown in the final rule. In addition, we continue to verify the accuracy of the data for hospitals with extraordinary changes in their data from the prior year. We anticipate that all these verifications will be completed when we calculate the final FY 1999 wage index.

The largest increases are seen in the rural census divisions. Rural Puerto Rico experiences the greatest positive impact, 2.3 percent. Hospitals in three other census divisions receive positive impacts over 1.0 percent; East South Central at 1.5 percent, New England at 1.3 percent, and West North Central at 1.1 percent. We believe these positive impacts of the new wage data for rural hospital s stem from the expansion of the contract labor definition, specifically to include certain management categories. On average, the hourly cost of contract labor increased for rural hospitals by 5.9 percent. A mong urban hospitals, the increase was 4.2 percent.
E. Impact of Including Contract Physician Part A Costs (Column 4)

As discussed in section III.C. 1 of the preamble, we began collecting separate wage data for both direct and contract physician Part A services on the FY 1995 cost report. This change was made in order to address any potential inequity of including only salaried Part A physician costs in the wage index while some States had laws prohibiting their hospitals from employing physicians directly (forcing hospitals to contract with physicians for administrative services). Based on our analysis, we are proposing to include contract physician Part A costs in the wage index calculation.

Column 4 shows the payment impacts of including these data. Although only two States currently maintain the prohibition against hospitals directly employing physicians (Texas and California), many hospitals in other States reported these costs as well. Thus, the impacts of this proposed change extend well beyond Texas and California. In fact, the urban Middle Atlantic census division shows the largest positive impact from this change ( 0.3 percent).

In general, hospitals in other areas experience either no changes due to this proposed policy, or small ( 0.1 percent) increases or decreases. However, urban hospitals in Puerto Rico and rural hospitals in the East North Central census division experience 0.3 percent decreases. The negative rural East North Central impact is largely due to a negative impact of this change on the rural Wisconsin wage index.

As noted above, the data used to prepare the proposed FY 1999 wage index are subject to revision, and we understand that many hospitals requested changes to their contract physician Part A costs prior to the March 9 deadline for all requests for wage data changes to be submitted to the fiscal
intermediaries. The extent of these requests and the number which are approved by the fiscal intermediaries may change the impacts in the final rule.
F. Impact of Removing Overhead Costs of Excluded Areas (Column 5)
Prior years' wage index cal culations have removed the direct wages and hours associated with certain subprovider components excluded from the prospective payment system; however, the overhead costs associated with these excluded components have not been removed. We revised the FY 1995 cost report to allow hospitals to report separately overhead salaries and hours, and we are proposing to remove the overhead costs and hours allocated to areas of the hospital excluded from the wage index calculation.
Column 5 displays the impacts on FY 1999 payments per case of implementing this change. The overall impact is a 0.1 percent decline in payments; however, once again (as with the impacts of the FY 1995 data), the impact diverges al ong urban and rural lines. Urban hospitals lose 0.2 percent as a result of removing these overhead costs, while rural hospital s gain 0.3 percent. A mong rural hospitals by bed size, the smallest rural hospitals benefit the most, with a 0.5 percent increase for rural hospitals with fewer than 50 beds.

Hospitals in the rural West North Central census division experience the largest percentage increase ( 0.7 percent). The largest negative impacts are in Puerto Rico (urban and rural ), and urban East North Central and urban East South Central.

The combined wage index changes in Table I are determined by summing the individual impacts in columns 3, 4, and 5. For example, the rural West North Central census division gains 1.1 percent from the new wage data, and 0.7 percent from removing the overhead costs allocated to excluded areas. Therefore, the combined impact of the FY 1999 wage index for these hospitals is a 1.8 percent increase.
The following chart compares the shifts in wage index values for labor market areas for FY 1999 relative to FY 1998. This chart demonstrates the impact of the proposed changes for the FY 1999 wage index relative to the FY 1998 wage index. The majority of labor market areas (282) experience less than a 5 percent change. A total of 54 labor market areas experience an increase of more than 5 percent with 13 having an increase greater than 10 percent. A total of 34 areas experience decreases of more than 5 percent (all urban). Of those, 6 decline by 10 percent or more.

| Percentage change in <br> area wage index val- <br> ues | Number of labor <br> market areas |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
| Increase more than <br> 10 percent ........... | 2 | 13 |
| Increase more than 5 <br> percent and less <br> than 10 percent ..... | 24 | 41 |
| Increase or decrease <br> less than 5 percent | 334 | 282 |


|  | Percentage change in <br> area wage index val- <br> ues |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of labor <br> market areas |  |
|  | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
| Decrease more than <br> 5 percent and less <br> than 10 percent.... | 9 |  |
| Decrease more than <br> 10 percent ............ | 1 | 28 |

Among urban hospitals, 164 would experience an increase of more than 5 percent and 29 more than 10 percent. More rural hospitals have increases greater than 5 percent (360), but none greater than 10 percent. On the negative side, 268 urban hospitals but no rural hospitals have decreases in their wage index values of at least 5 percent ( 30 of the urban hospitals have decreases greater than 10 percent). The following chart shows the projected impact for urban and rural hospitals.

| Percentage change in <br> area wage index val- <br> ues | Number of hospitals |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |
| Increase more than <br> 10 percent .......... | 29 | 0 |
| Increase more than <br> percent and less <br> than 10 percent ..... | 164 | 360 |
| Increase or decrease <br> less than 5 percent | 2440 | 1924 |
| Decrease more than <br> 5 percent and less <br> than 10 percent ...... | 238 | 0 |
| Decrease more than <br> 10 percent .......... | 30 | 0 |

G. Combined Impact of DRG and Wage Index Changes-Including Budget Neutrality Adjustment (Column 6)
The impact of DRG reclassifications and recalibration on aggregate payments is required by section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act to be budget neutral. In addition, section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act specifies that any updates or adjustments to the wage index are to be budget neutral. As noted in the Addendum to this proposed rule, we compared aggregate payments using the FY 1998 DRG relative weights and wage index to aggregate payments using the FY 1999 DRG rel ative weights and wage index. Based on this comparison, we computed a wage and recalibration budget neutrality factor of 0.999227. In Table I, the combined overall impacts of the effects of both the DRG reclassifications and recalibration and the updated wage index are shown in column 6. The 0.0 percent impact for All Hospitals demonstrates that these changes, in combination with the budget neutrality factor, are budget neutral.
For the most part, the changes in this column are the sum of the changes in columns $2,3,4$, and 5 , minus approximately 0.1 percent attri butable to the budget neutrality factor. There may, of course, be some variation of plus or minus 0.1 percent due to rounding.

## H. Impact of MGCRB Reclassifications

 (Column 7)Our impact analysis to this point has assumed hospitals are paid on the basis of their actual geographic location (with the exception of ongoing policies that provide that certain hospitals receive payments on bases other than where they are geographically located, such as hospitals in rural counties that are deemed urban under section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act). The changes in column 7 reflect the per case payment impact of moving from this baseline to a simulation incorporating the MGCRB decisions for FY 1999. As noted bel ow, these decisions affect hospitals' standardized amount and wage index area assignments. In addition, rural hospitals reclassified for purposes of the standardized amount qualify to be treated as urban for purposes of the DSH adjustment.

Beginning in 1998, by February 28 of each year, the MGCRB makes reclassification determinations that will be effective for the next fiscal year, which begins on October 1. (In previous years, these determinations were made by March 30.) The MGCRB may approve a hospital's reclassification request for the purpose of using the other area's standardized amount, wage index value, or both or for FYS 1999-2001 for purposes of qualifying for a DSH adjustment or to receive a higher DSH payment.

The proposed FY 1999 wage index values incorporate all of the MGCRB's reclassification decisions for FY 1999. The wage index values al so reflect any decisions made by the HCFA Administrator through the appeals and review process for MGCRB decisions as of February 27, 1998. Additional changes that result from the Administrator's review of MGCRB decisions or a request by a hospital to withdraw its application will be reflected in the final rule for FY 1999.
The overall effect of geographic reclassification is required by section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act to be budget neutral. Therefore, we applied an adjustment of 0.994019 to ensure that the effects of reclassification are budget neutral. (See section II.A. 4 of the Addendum to this proposed rule.)

As a group, rural hospitals benefit from geographic reclassification. Their payments rise 2.4 percent, while payments to urban hospitals decline 0.4 percent. Hospitals in other urban areas see a decrease in payments of 0.3 percent, while large urban hospitals lose 0.4 percent. Among urban hospital groups (that is, bed size, census division, and special payment status), payments generally decline.

A positive impact is evident among all rural hospital groups except the smallest hospitals (under 50 beds), which experience a slight decrease of 0.1 percent. The smallest increase among the rural census divisions is 0.6 percent for New England. The largest increase is in rural South Atlantic, with an increase of 3.3 percent.

Among rural hospitals designated as RRCs, 108 hospitals are reclassified for purposes of the wage index only, leading to the 5.6 percent increase in payments among RRCs overall. This positive impact on RRCs is also reflected in the category of rural hospitals
with 200 or more beds, which has a 4.6 percent increase in payments.
Rural hospitals reclassified for FY 1998 and FY 1999 experience a 6.6 percent increase in payments. This may be due to the fact that these hospitals have the most to gain from reclassification and have been reclassified for a period of years. Rural hospitals reclassified for FY 1999 only experience a 4.4 percent increase in payments, while rural hospitals reclassified for FY 1998 only experience a 0.5 percent decrease in payments. Urban hospitals reclassified for FY 1998 but not FY 1999 experience a 0.6 percent decline in payments overall. Urban hospitals reclassified for FY 1999 but not for FY 1998 experience a 3.1 percent increase in payments.
The FY 1999 Reclassification rows of Table I show the changes in payments per case for all FY 1999 reclassified and nonreclassified hospitals in urban and rural locations for each of the three reclassification categories (standardized amount only, wage index only, or both). The table illustrates that the largest impact for reclassified rural hospitals is for those hospitals reclassified for both the standardized amount and the wage index. These hospitals receive a 9.2 percent increase in payments. In addition, rural hospitals reclassified just for the wage index receive a 6.1 percent payment increase. The overall impact on reclassified hospitals is to increase their payments per case by an average of 5.7 percent for FY 1999.
Among the 27 rural hospitals deemed to be urban under section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act, payments increase 0.7 percent due to MGCRB reclassification. This is because, al though these hospitals are treated as being attached to an urban area in our baseline (their redesignation is ongoing, rather than annual like the MGCRB reclassifications), they are eligible for MGCRB reclassification. For FY 1999, one hospital in this category reclassified to a large urban area.
The reclassification of hospitals primarily affects payment to nonreclassified hospitals through changes in the wage index and the geographic reclassification budget neutral ity adjustment required by section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act. Among hospitals that are not reclassified, the overall impact of hospital reclassifications is an average decrease in payments per case of about 0.4 percent. Rural nonreclassified hospitals decrease slightly more, experiencing a 0.5 percent decrease, and urban nonreclassified hospitals lose 0.6 percent (the amount of the budget neutrality offset).
The number of reclassifications for purposes of the standardized amount, or for both the standardized amount and the wage index, has increased from 149 in FY 1998 to 162 in FY 1999. The number of wage index only reclassifications increased from 284 in FY 1998 to 358 in FY 1999. These increases are mainly attributable to two changes made by the BBA. Section 4202 of the BBA amended section 1886(d)(10)(D) of the Act to allow RRCs to reclassify for wage index purposes based only on comparison of the RRC's average hourly wage to the average hourly wage of the area to which it applies to be reclassified. In addition, section 4203 provides that for FYs 1999-2001, a rural
hospital may be reclassified to an other urban area for the sole purpose of receiving a higher DSH payment.
The foregoing analysis was based on MGCRB and HCFA Administrator decisions made by February 27 of this year. As previously noted, there may be changes to some MGCRB decisions through the appeals, review, and applicant withdrawal process.
The outcome of these cases will be reflected in the analysis presented in the final rule.

## I. All Changes (Column 8)

Column 8 compares our estimate of payments per case, incorporating all changes reflected in this proposed rule for FY 1999 (including statutory changes), to our estimate of payments per case in FY 1998. It includes the effects of the 0.7 percent update to the standardized amounts and the hospitalspecific rates for SCHs, EACHs, and MDHs. It also reflects the 0.3 percentage point difference between the projected outlier payments in FY 1999 (5.1 percent of total DRG payments) and the current estimate of the percentage of actual outlier payments in FY 1998 ( 5.4 percent), as described in the introduction to this A ppendix and the Addendum to this proposed rule.
Additional changes affecting the difference between FY 1998 and FY 1999 payments are the reductions to the IME and DSH adjustments enacted by the BBA. These changes initially went into effect during FY 1998 and include additional decreases in payment for each of several succeeding years. As noted in the introduction to this impact analysis, for FY 1999, IME is reduced to approximately a 6.5 percent rate of increase, and DSH is reduced by 2 percent from what hospitals otherwise would receive. We estimate the overall effect of these statutory changes to be a 0.4 percent reduction in FY 1999 payments. For hospitals receiving both IME and DSH, the impact is estimated to be a 0.9 percent reduction in payments per case
We also note that column 8 includes the impacts of FY 1999 MGCRB reclassifications compared to the payment impacts of FY 1998 reclassifications. Therefore, when comparing FY 1999 payments to FY 1998, the percent changes due to FY 1999 reclassifications shown in column 7 need to be offset by the effects of reclassification on hospitals' FY 1998 payments (column 7 of Table 1, August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period; 62 FR 46119). For example, the impact of MGCRB reclassifications on rural hospitals' FY 1998 payments was approximately a 2.2 percent increase, offsetting much of the 2.4 percent increase in column 7 for FY 1999.

Therefore, the net change in FY 1999 payments due to reclassification for rural hospitals is actually closer to an increase of 0.2 percent relative to $F Y$ 1998. However, last year's anal ysis contained a somewhat different set of hospitals, so this might affect the numbers slightly.

There might al so be interactive effects among the various factors comprising the payment system that we are not able to isolate. For these reasons, the values in column 8 may not equal the sum of the changes in columns 1, 6 , and 7 , plus the other impacts that we are able to identify.
The overall payment change from FY 1998 to FY 1999 for all hospitals is a 0.7 percent decrease. This reflects the 0.6 percent net change in total payments due to the postacute transfer change for FY 1999 shown in column 1; the 0.7 percent update for FY 1999, the 0.3 percent lower outlier payments in FY 1999 compared to FY 1998 ( 5.1 percent compared to 5.4 percent); and the 0.4 percent reduction due to lower IME and DSH payments.

Hospitals in urban areas experience a 1.1 percent drop in payments per case compared to FY 1998. Urban hospitals lose 0.9 percent due to the expanded transfer definition and the DRG and wage index changes combined. The 0.4 percent negative impact due to reclassification is offset by an identical negative impact for FY 1998. The impact of reducing IME and DSH is a 0.6 percent reduction in FY 1999 payments per case. Most of this negative impact is incurred by hospitals in large urban areas, where payments are expected to fall 1.4 percent per case compared to 0.5 percent per case for hospitals in other urban areas.

Hospitals in rural areas, meanwhile, experience a 1.5 percent payment increase. As discussed previously, this is primarily due to a smaller negative impact due to the expanded transfer definition ( 0.4 percent decrease compared to 0.6 percent nationally) and the positive effect due to the wage index and DRG changes ( 1.3 percent increase).

Among census divisions, urban New England displays the largest negative impact, 3.5 percent. This outcome is primarily related to the 2.4 percent decrease due to the new wage data. Similarly, urban West South Central experiences a 2.0 percent drop in payments per case, due to a 1.1 percent drop due to the new wage data. The urban East North Central and the urban Pacific also experience overall payment declines of more than 1.0 percent, with
1.5 and 1.4 percent decreases, respectively. The West North Central is the only urban census category to experience a rise in payments, stemming primarily from a 0.9 percent increase due to the new wage data. Hospitals in this census division al so are less reliant on IME and DSH funding, and are therefore, impacted less by these reductions.

The only rural census division to experience a negative payment impact is New Engl and ( 0.4 percent fall). This appears to result from a much smaller recl assification effect for rural New England hospital s in FY 1999. For FY 1998, the impact of M GCRB recl assification for these hospitals was a 2.1 percent increase (see 62 FR 46119). For FY 1999, the increase is only 0.6 percent. The largest increases by rural census division are in the South Atlantic and the East South Central, both with 2.0 percent increases in their FY 1999 payments per case. In the South Atlantic, this is primarily due to a larger FY 1999 benefit from M GCRB recl assifications. For the East South Central, it is largely due to a 1.5 percent increase from the FY 1995 wage data.

Among special categories of rural hospitals, RRCs have the largest increase, 2.5 percent. This carries over to other categories as well: rural hospitals with between 150 and 200 beds have a 2.7 percent rise in payments (there are 37 RRCs in this category); and RRCs receiving DSH see a 2.9 percent increase.

The largest negative payment impacts from FY 1998 to $F Y 1999$ are among hospitals that were recl assified for FY 1998 and are not reclassified for FY 1999. Overall, these hospitals lose 3.1 percent. The urban hospitals in this category lose 2.2 percent, while the rural hospitals lose 6.1 percent. On the other hand, hospital s recl assified for FY 1999 that were not reclassified for $F Y$ 1998 would experience the greatest payment increases: 4.7 percent overall; 6.1 percent for 153 rural hospitals in this category and 1.9 percent for 25 urban hospitals.
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[Payments per case]


Table il.-Impact Analysis of Changes for Fy 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System-Continued
[Payments per case]

|  |
| ---: | :--- |

table il.—mpact Analysis of Changes for FY 1999 Operating Prospective Payment System—Continued
[Payments per case]


${ }^{1}$ These payment amounts per case do not reflect any estimates of annual case-mix increase.

Table ll presents the projected impact of the proposed changes for FY 1999 for urban and rural hospitals and for the different categories of hospitals shown in Table I. It compares the projected payments per case for FY 1999 with the average estimated per case payments for FY 1998, as cal culated under our models. Thus, this table presents, in terms of the average dollar amounts paid per discharge, the combined effects of the changes presented in Table I. The percentage changes shown in the last column of Table II equal the percentage changes in average payments from column 8 of Table I.

## VIII. Impact of Proposed Changes in the Capital Prospective Payment System

## A. General Considerations

We now have data that were unavail able in previous impact analyses for the capital prospective payment system. Specifically, we have cost report data avail able for the fourth year of the capital prospective payment system (cost reports beginning in FY 1995) available through the December 1997 update of the Heal th Care Provider Cost Report Information System (HCRIS). We al so have updated information on the projected aggregate amount of obligated capital approved by the fiscal intermediaries. However, our impact anal ysis of payment changes for capital-rel ated costs is still limited by the lack of hospital-specific data
on several items. These are the hospital's projected new capital costs for each year, its projected old capital costs for each year, and the actual amounts of obligated capital that will be put in use for patient care and recognized as Medicare old capital costs in each year. The lack of this information affects our impact anal ysis in the following ways:

- Major investment in hospital capital assets (for example in building and major fixed equipment) occurs at irregular intervals. As a result, there can be significant variation in the growth rates of Medicare capital-related costs per case among hospitals. We do not have the necessary hospital-specific budget data to project the hospital capital growth rate for individual hospitals.
- Moreover, our policy of recognizing certain obligated capital as old capital makes it difficult to project future capital-related costs for individual hospitals. Under § 412.302(c), a hospital is required to notify its intermediary that it has obligated capital by the later of October 1, 1992, or 90 days after the beginning of the hospital's first cost reporting period under the capital prospective payment system. The intermediary must then notify the hospital of its determination whether the criteria for recognition of obligated capital have been met by the later of the end of the hospital's first cost reporting period subject to the capital prospective payment system or 9 months after the receipt of the hospital's notification. The amount that is recognized as old capital is limited to the lesser of the actual al lowable costs when the asset is put in use for patient care or the estimated costs of the capital expenditure at the time it was obligated. We have substantial information regarding intermediary determinations of projected aggregate obligated capital amounts. However, we still do not know when these projects will actually be put into use for patient care, the actual amount that will be recognized as obligated capital when the project is put into use, or the Medicare share of the recognized costs. Therefore, we do not know actual obligated capital commitments for purposes of the FY 1999 capital cost projections. In Appendix B of this proposed rule, we discuss the assumptions and computations that we employ to generate the amount of obligated capital commitments for use in the FY 1999 capital cost projections.
In Table III of this section, we present the redistributive effects that are expected to occur between "hold-harmless" hospitals and "fully prospective" hospitals in FY 1999. In addition, we have integrated sufficient hospital-specific information into our actuarial model to project the impact of the proposed FY 1999 capital payment policies by the standard prospective payment system hospital groupings. While we now have actual information on the effects of the transition payment methodology and interim
payments under the capital prospective payment system and cost report data for most hospitals, we still need to randomly generate numbers for the change in old capital costs, new capital costs for each year, and obligated amounts that will be put in use for patient care services and recognized as old capital each year. We continue to be unable to predict accurately FY 1999 capital costs for individual hospitals, but with the most recent data hospitals' experience under the capital prospective payment system, there is adequate information to estimate the aggregate impact on most hospital groupings.


## B. Projected Impact Based on the Proposed

 FY 1999 Actuarial Model1. Assumptions. In this impact analysis, we model dynamically the impact of the capital prospective payment system from FY 1998 to FY 1999 using a capital cost model. The FY 1999 model, as described in Appendix B of this proposed rule, integrates actual data from individual hospitals with randomly generated capital cost amounts. We have capital cost data from cost reports beginning in FY 1989 through FY 1995 as reported on the December 1997 update of HCRIS, interim payment data for hospitals already receiving capital prospective payments through PRICER, and data reported by the intermediaries that include the hospitalspecific rate determinations that have been made through January 1, 1998 in the provider-specific file. We used these data to determine the proposed FY 1999 capital rates. However, we do not have individual hospital data on old capital changes, new capital formation, and actual obligated capital costs. We have data on costs for capital in use in FY 1995, and we age that capital by a formula described in A ppendix $B$. Therefore, we need to randomly generate only new capital acquisitions for any year after FY 1995. All Federal rate payment parameters are assigned to the applicable hospital.

For purposes of this impact analysis, the FY 1999 actuarial model includes the following assumptions:

- Medicare inpatient capital costs per discharge will change at the following rates during these periods:


## Average percentage change in CAPITAL COSTS PER DISCHARGE

| Fiscal Year | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | -2.20 |
| 1998 ............................... | -0.44 |
| 1999 .................................... | 0.61 |

We have reduced our estimate of the growth in Medicare costs per discharge from the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period to this proposed rule based on later cost data. We are now estimating a much smaller increase in costs per discharge.

- The Medicare case-mix index will increase by 1.0 percent in FY 1998 and FY 1999.
- The Federal capital rate and hospitalspecific rate were updated in FY 1996 by an analytical framework that considers changes in the prices associated with capital-related costs, and adjustments to account for forecast error, changes in the case-mix index, allowable changes in intensity, and other factors. The proposed FY 1999 update for inflation is 0.20 percent (see section III of the Addendum).

2. Results. We have used the actuarial model to estimate the change in payment for capital-related costs from FY 1998 to FY 1999. Table III shows the effect of the capital prospective payment system on low capital cost hospitals and high capital cost hospitals. We consider a hospital to be a low capital cost hospital if, based on a comparison of its initial hospital-specific rate and the applicable Federal rate, it will be paid under the fully prospective payment methodology. A high capital cost hospital is a hospital that, based on its initial hospital-specific rate and the applicable Federal rate, will be paid under the hold-harmless payment methodology. Based on our actuarial model, the breakdown of hospitals is as follows:

Capital Transition Payment Methodology for FY 1999

| Type of hospital | Percent of hospitals | Percent of discharges | Percent of capital costs | Percent of capital payments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Cost Hospital .............................................................................................. | 67 | 62 | 53 | 58 |
| High Cost Hospital ............................................................................................ | 33 | 38 | 47 | 42 |

A low capital cost hospital may request to have its hospital-specific rate redetermined based on old capital costs in the current year, through the later of the hospital's cost reporting period beginning in FY 1994 or the first cost reporting period beginning after obligated capital comes into use (within the limits established in § 412.302 (e) for putting obl igated capital in to use for patient care). If the redetermined hospital-specific rate is
greater than the adjusted Federal rate, these hospitals will be paid under the holdharmless payment methodology. Regardless of whether the hospital became a holdharmless payment hospital as a result of a redetermination, we continue to show these hospitals as low capital cost hospitals in Table III.

Assuming no behavioral changes in capital expenditures, Table III displays the percentage change in payments from FY 1998 to FY 1999 using the above described actuarial model. With the proposed Federal rate, we estimate aggregate Medicare capital payments will increase by 2.60 percent in $F Y$ 1999.

Table III.-Impact of Proposed Changes for FY 1999 on Payments per Discharge

|  | Number of hospitals | Discharges | Adjusted federal payment | Average federal percent | Hospital specific payment | Hold harmless payment | Exceptions payment | Total payment | Percent change 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 1998 Payments per Discharge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Cost Hospitals ........... | 3,260 | 6,746,172 | \$458.89 | 72.51 | \$86.07 | \$4.04 | \$8.87 | \$557.88 |  |
| Fully Prospective .... | 3,021 | 6,102,199 | 440.78 | 70.00 | 95.16 |  | 8.21 | 544.15 |  |
| 100\% Federal Rate ........ | 208 | 567,402 | 661.26 | 100.00 |  |  | 11.10 | 672.36 |  |
| Hold Harmless ........... | 31 | 76,570 | 402.65 | 59.69 |  | 355.79 | 45.50 | 803.94 |  |
| High Cost Hospitals ......... | 1,637 | 4,163,057 | 636.32 | 95.82 | ......... | 36.64 | 16.72 | 689.68 |  |
| 100\% Federal Rate | 1,398 | 3,701,256 | 667.50 | 100.00 | .......... |  | 11.65 | 679.14 |  |
| Hold Harmless ............ | 239 | 461,801 | 386.44 | 60.70 |  | 330.33 | 57.34 | 774.12 |  |
| Total Hospitals FY 1999 Payments per Discharge: | 4,897 | 10,909,229 | 526.60 | 81.67 | 53.23 | 16.48 | 11.87 | 608.18 |  |
| Low Cost Hospitals ... | 3,260 | 6,596,003 | \$529.51 | 81.61 | \$58.10 | \$3.38 | \$9.53 | \$597.52 | 7.11 |
| Fully Prospective | 3,021 | 5,966,449 | 513.52 | 80.00 | 64.23 |  | 8.47 | 586.21 | 7.73 |
| 100\% Federal Rate | 211 | 561,909 | 674.19 | 100.00 | ......... |  | 10.98 | 685.17 | 1.91 |
| Hold Harmless ....... | 28 | 67,646 | 445.71 | 64.76 |  | 329.56 | 91.77 | 867.04 | 7.85 |
| High Cost Hospitals | 1,637 | 4,068,306 | 655.17 | 97.22 |  | 25.50 | 23.85 | 704.52 | 2.15 |
| 100\% Federal Rate | 1,417 | 3,678,286 | 681.02 | 100.00 | ..... |  | 16.94 | 697.97 | 2.77 |
| Hold Harmless ... | 220 | 390,020 | 411.40 | 67.81 |  | 265.94 | 88.99 | 766.33 | -1.01 |
| Total Hospitals ............. | 4,897 | 10,664,309 | 575.59 | 87.73 | 35.93 | 11.82 | 15.00 | 638.34 | 4.96 |

We project that low capital cost hospitals paid under the fully prospective payment methodology will experience an average increase in payments per case of 7.73 percent, and high capital cost hospitals will experience an average increase of 2.15 percent.
For hospitals paid under the fully prospective payment methodology, the Federal rate payment percentage will increase from 70 percent to 80 percent and the hospital-specific rate payment percentage will decrease from 30 to 20 percent in FY 1999. The Federal rate payment percentage for hospitals paid under the hold-harmless payment methodology is based on the hospital's ratio of new capital costs to total capital costs. The average Federal rate payment percentage for high cost hospitals recei ving a hold-harmless payment for old capital will increase from 60.70 percent to 67.81 percent. We estimate the percentage of hold-harmless hospitals paid based on 100 percent of the Federal rate will increase from 85.6 percent to 86.8 percent. We estimate that high cost hold-harmless hospitals will experience a decrease in payments of 1.01 percent from FY 1998 to FY 1999. The apparent decrease occurs because we estimate that there will be 19 fewer high-cost
hold-harmless hospitals in FY 1999. These 19 hospitals may have higher payments than the remaining hospitals, hence the apparent decrease when they are removed from the group. This decrease is partially offset by an increase in the Federal portion of the hospital's payments and a projected increase in exceptions payments.

We expect that the average hospitalspecific rate payment per discharge will decrease from $\$ 95.16$ in FY 1998 to $\$ 64.23$ in FY 1999. This is partly due to the decrease in the hospital-specific rate payment percentage from 30 percent in FY 1998 to 20 percent in FY 1999.

We are proposing no changes in our exceptions policies for FY 1999. As a result, the minimum payment levels would be:

- 90 percent for sole community hospitals;
- 80 percent for urban hospitals with 100 or more beds and a disproportionate share patient percentage of 20.2 percent or more; or
- 70 percent for all other hospitals.

We estimate that exceptions payments will increase from 1.95 percent of total capital payments in FY 1998 to 2.35 percent of payments in FY 1999. Since the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period, we have reduced our estimates of capital cost per case based on more recent data. Although we
still estimate that more hospitals will receive exceptions payment in FY 1999 than in FY 1998 fewer hospitals will have costs over the exceptions threshold then we previously estimated. The projected distribution of the exception payments is shown in the table below:

## Estimated FY 1999 Exceptions Payments

| Type of hospital | Number of <br> hospitals | Percent of <br> exceptions <br> payments |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low Capital Cost <br> High Capital <br> Cost ............ | 178 | 39 |
| Total ........... | 200 | 61 |

C. Cross-Sectional Comparison of Capital Prospective Payment Methodologies

Table IV presents a cross-sectional summary of hospital groupings by capital prospective payment methodology. This distribution is generated by our actuarial model.

Table IV.-Distribution by Method of Payment (Hold-Harmless/Fully Prospective) of Hospitals Receiving Capital Payments

|  | (1) Total No. of Hospitals | (2) <br> Hold-harmless |  | (3) Percentage paid fully prospective rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage paid holdharmless <br> (A) | Percentage paid fully federal (B) |  |
| By Geographic Location: |  |  |  |  |
| All hospitals ... | 4,897 | 5.1 | 33.2 | 61.7 |
| Large urban areas (populations over 1 million) | 1,558 | 5.7 | 40.7 | 53.6 |

Table IV.-Distribution by Method of Payment (Hold-Harmless/Fully Prospective) of Hospitals Receiving
Capital Payments-Continued

|  | (1) Total No. of Hospitals | (2) <br> Hold-harmless |  | (3) Percentage paid fully prospective rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage paid holdharmless (A) | Percentage paid fully federal (B) |  |
| Other urban areas (populations of 1 million or fewer) | 1,188 | 6.2 | 40.8 | 52.9 |
| Rural areas | 2,151 | 4.0 | 23.7 | 72.4 |
| Urban hospitals ....................................................................................... | 2,746 | 5.9 | 40.8 | 53.3 |
| $0-99$ beds ..................................................................................... | 653 | 5.8 | 33.8 | 60.3 |
| 100-199 beds .......................................................................... | 928 | 8.5 | 45.9 | 45.6 |
| 200-299 beds | 565 | 5.8 | 40.9 | 53.3 |
| 300-499 beds | 448 | 2.2 | 40.8 | 56.9 |
| 500 or more beds | 152 | 2.0 | 38.2 | 59.9 |
| Rural hospitals. | 2,151 | 4.0 | 23.7 | 72.4 |
| 0-49 beds | 1,124 | 3.5 | 16.1 | 80.4 |
| 50-99 beds | 633 | 4.3 | 28.8 | 67.0 |
| 100-149 beds | 229 | 4.8 | 38.0 | 57.2 |
| 150-199 beds .................................................................................. | 91 | 7.7 | 25.3 | 67.0 |
| 200 or more beds ............................................................................ | 74 | 1.4 | 48.6 | 50.0 |
| By Region |  |  |  |  |
| Urban by Region .......................................................................................... | 2,746 | 5.9 | 40.8 | 53.3 |
| New England | 151 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 72.2 |
| Middle Atlantic | 421 | 4.5 | 34.0 | 61.5 |
| South Atlantic | 409 | 5.4 | 53.5 | 41.1 |
| East North Central | 472 | 5.5 | 30.5 | 64.0 |
| East South Central | 157 | 10.8 | 48.4 | 40.8 |
| West North Central | 183 | 6.0 | 36.6 | 57.4 |
| West South Central | 332 | 13.3 | 55.7 | 31.0 |
| Mountain | 122 | 4.9 | 50.8 | 44.3 |
| Pacific | 451 | 3.3 | 37.7 | 59.0 |
| Puerto Rico | 48 | 6.3 | 22.9 | 70.8 |
| Rural by Region | 2,151 | 4.0 | 23.7 | 72.4 |
| New England | 53 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 77.4 |
| Middle Atlantic | 79 | 5.1 | 25.3 | 69.6 |
| South Atlantic | 282 | 2.5 | 33.0 | 64.5 |
| East North Central | 283 | 3.2 | 19.1 | 77.7 |
| East South Central | 267 | 1.9 | 34.1 | 64.0 |
| West North Central | 498 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 80.3 |
| West South Central | 339 | 3.8 | 27.4 | 68.7 |
| Mountain | 205 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 73.7 |
| Pacific | 140 | 5.0 | 23.6 | 71.4 |
| Large urban areas (populations over 1 million) ................................................ | 1,651 | 5.9 | 40.5 | 53.7 |
| Other urban areas (populations of 1 million or fewer) ....................................... | 1,180 | 5.8 | 41.1 | 53.1 |
| Rural areas | 2,066 | 4.0 | 23.0 | 73.0 |
| Teaching Status: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-teaching | 3,818 | 5.1 | 32.8 | 62.0 |
| Fewer than 100 Residents | 840 | 5.7 | 35.1 | 59.2 |
| 100 or more Residents | 239 | 1.7 | 33.5 | 64.9 |
| Disproportionate share hospitals (DSH): |  |  |  |  |
| Non-DSH | 3,029 | 5.3 | 28.9 | 65.8 |
| Urban DSH: |  |  |  |  |
| 100 or more beds | 1,397 | 5.2 | 43.7 | 51.0 |
| Less than 100 beds | 87 | 1.1 | 29.9 | 69.0 |
| Rural DSH: |  |  |  |  |
| Sole Community (SCH/EACH) | 156 | 5.1 | 22.4 | 72.4 |
| Referral Center (RRC/EACH) . | 47 | 2.1 | 53.2 | 44.7 |
| Other Rural: |  |  |  |  |
| 100 or more beds | 64 | 4.7 | 37.5 | 57.8 |
| Less than 100 beds | 117 | 0.9 | 28.2 | 70.9 |
| Urban teaching and DSH: |  |  |  |  |
| Both teaching and DSH ............................................................................. | 699 | 4.0 | 36.6 | 59.4 |
| Teaching and no DSH | 327 | 6.7 | 31.5 | 61.8 |
| No teaching and DSH | 785 | 5.9 | 48.5 | 45.6 |
| No teaching and no DSH .......................................................................... | 1,020 | 6.8 | 40.5 | 52.7 |
| Rural Hospital Types: |  |  |  |  |
| Non special status hospitals | 894 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 73.9 |
| RRC/EACH | 137 | 2.2 | 40.1 | 57.7 |
| SCH/EACH | 632 | 8.2 | 19.9 | 71.8 |
| Medicare-dependent hospitals (MDH) | 349 | 1.1 | 17.5 | 81.4 |
| SCH, RRC and EACH ....... | 54 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 |

Table IV.-Distribution by Method of Payment (Hold-Harmless/Fully Prospective) of Hospitals Receiving CAPITAL PAYMENTS—Continued


As we explain in Appendix B, we were not able to determine a hospital-specific rate for 59 of the 4,956 hospitals in our database. Consequently, the payment methodology distribution is based on 4,897 hospitals. These data should be fully representative of the payment methodologies that will be applicable to hospitals.
The cross-sectional distribution of hospital by payment methodology is presented by: (1) Geographic location, (2) region, and (3) payment classification. This provides an indication of the percentage of hospitals within a particular hospital grouping that will be paid under the fully prospective payment methodology and the hold-harmless payment methodology.
The percentage of hospitals paid fully Federal (100 percent of the Federal rate) as hold-harmless hospitals is expected to increase to 33.2 percent in FY 1999. We note that the number of hospitals paid fully Federal as hold-harmless hospitals has not increased as quickly as we predicted in the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period because of revised estimates.
Table IV indicates that 61.7 percent of hospitals will be paid under the fully prospective payment methodology. (This figure, unlike the figure of 67 percent for low cost capital hospitals in the previous section, takes account of the effects of redetermi nations. In other words, this figure does not include low cost hospitals that, following a hospital-specific rate redetermination, are now paid under the hold-harmless methodology.) As expected, a relatively higher percentage of rural and governmental hospitals ( 73.0 percent and 75.7 percent, respectively by payment classification) are being paid under the fully prospective methodology. This is a reflection of their lower than average capital costs per case. In contrast, only 31.7 percent of proprietary hospitals are being paid under the fully prospective methodology. This is a reflection of their higher than average capital costs per case. (We found at the time of the August 30, 1991 final rule (56 FR 43430) that 62.7 percent of proprietary hospitals had a capital cost per case above the national average cost per case.)

## D. Cross-Sectional Analysis of Changes in Aggregate Payments

We used our FY 1999 actuarial model to estimate the potential impact of our proposed changes for FY 1999 on total capital payments per case, using a universe of 4,897 hospitals. The individual hospital payment parameters are taken from the best available data, including: The January 1, 1998 update to the provider-specific file, cost report data, and audit information supplied by intermediaries. In Table V we present the results of the cross-sectional analysis using the results of our actuarial model and the aggregate impact of the FY 1999 payment policies. Columns 3 and 4 show estimates of payments per case under our model for FY 1998 and FY 1999. Column 5 shows the total percentage change in payments from FY 1998 to FY 1999. Column 6 presents the percentage change in payments that can be attributed to Federal rate changes al one.

Federal rate changes represented in Column 6 include the 1.5 percent increase in the Federal rate, a 1.0 percent increase in case mix, changes in the adjustments to the Federal rate (for example, the effect of the new hospital wage index on the geographic adjustment factor), and reclassifications by the MGCRB. Column 5 includes the effects of the Federal rate changes represented in Column 6. Column 5 al so reflects the effects of all other changes, including: the change from 70 percent to 80 percent in the portion of the Federal rate for fully prospective hospitals, the hospital-specific rate update, changes in the proportion of new to total capital for hold-harmless hospitals, changes in old capital (for example, obligated capital put in use), hospital-specific rate redeterminations, and exceptions. The comparisons are provided by: (1) Geographic location, (2) region, and (3) payment classification.

The simulation results show that, on average, capital payments per case can be expected to increase 5.0 percent in FY 1999. The results show that the effect of the Federal rate changes al one is to increase payments by 1.5 percent. In addition to the increase attributable to the Federal rate changes, a 3.5 percent increase is attributable to the effects of all other changes.

Our comparison by geographic location shows that urban and rural hospitals will experience slightly different rates of increase in capital payments per case ( 4.8 percent and 6.3 percent, respectively). This difference is due to the lower rate of increase for urban hospitals relative to rural hospitals (1.3 percent and 3.2 percent, respectively) from the Federal rate changes al one. Urban hospitals will gain approximately the same as rural hospitals (3.5 percent versus 3.1 percent) from the effects of all other changes.
All regions are estimated to receive increases in total capital payments per case, partly due to the increased share of payments that are based on the Federal rate (from 70 to 80 percent). Changes by region vary from a low of 3.6 percent increase (West South Central urban region) to a high of 7.8 percent increase (Pacific rural region).

By type of ownership, government hospitals are projected to have the largest rate of increase ( 6.2 percent, 1.9 percent due to Federal rate changes and 4.3 percent from the effects of all other changes). Payments to voluntary hospitals will increase 5.1 percent (a 1.5 percent increase due to Federal rate changes and a 3.6 percent increase from the effects of all other changes) and payments to proprietary hospitals will increase 2.8 percent (a 1.1 percent increase due to Federal rate changes and a 1.7 percent increase from the effects of all other changes).
Section 1886(d)(10) of the Act established the M GCRB. Hospitals may apply for reclassification for purposes of the standardized amount, wage index, or both and for purposes of DSH, for FY 1999-2001. Although the Federal capital rate is not affected, a hospital's geographic classification for purposes of the operating standardized amount does affect a hospital's capital payments as a result of the large urban adjustment factor and the disproportionate share adjustment for urban hospitals with 100 or more beds. Reclassification for wage index purposes affects the geographic adjustment factor since that factor is constructed from the hospital wage index.
To present the effects of the hospitals being reclassified for FY 1999 compared to the effects of reclassification for FY 1998, we show the average payment percentage increase for hospitals reclassified in each
fiscal year and in total. For FY 1999 reclassifications, we indicate those hospitals reclassified for standardized amount purposes only, for wage index purposes only, and for both purposes. The reclassified groups are compared to all other nonreclassified hospitals. These categories are further identified by urban and rural designation.

Hospitals reclassified for FY 1999 as a whole are projected to experience a 6.8 percent increase in payments (a 3.5 percent increase attributable to Federal rate changes and a 3.3 percent increase attri butable to the effects of all other changes). Payments to nonreclassified hospitals will increase slightly less ( 5.1 percent) than reclassified hospitals ( 6.8 percent) overall. Payments to
nonreclassified hospitals will increase less than reclassified hospitals from the Federal rate changes ( 1.5 percent compared to 3.5 percent), but they will gain about the same from the effects of all other changes (3.6 percent compared to 3.3 percent).

## Table V.-Comparison of Total Payments Per Case (FY 1998 Compared to FY 1999)


Table V.-Comparison of Total Payments Per Case (FY 1998 Compared to FY 1999)—Continued


## Appendix B: Technical Appendix on the Capital Cost Model and Required Adjustments

Under section 1886(g)(1)(A) of the Act, we set capital prospective payment rates for FY 1992 through FY 1995 so that aggregate prospective payments for capital costs were projected to be 10 percent lower than the amount that would have been payable on a reasonable cost basis for capital-related costs in that year. To implement this requirement, we devel oped the capital acquisition model to determine the budget neutrality adjustment factor. Even though the budget neutrality requirement expired effective with FY 1996, we must continue to determine the recalibration and geographic reclassification budget neutral ity adjustment factor, and the reduction in the Federal and hospital-specific rates for exceptions payments. To determine these factors, we must continue to project capital costs and payments.
We have used the capital acquisition model since the start of prospective payments for capital costs. We now have 4 years of cost reports under the capital prospective payment system. For FY 1998, we devel oped a new capital cost model to replace the capital acquisition model. This revised model makes use of the data from these cost reports.
The following cost reports are used in the capital cost model for this proposed rule: The December 31, 1997 update of the cost reports for PPS-IX (cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1992), PPS-X (cost reporting periods
beginning in FY 1993), PPS-XI (cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1994), and PPS-XII (cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1995). In addition, to model payments, we use the January 1, 1998 update of the provider-specific file, and the March 1994 update of the intermediary audit file.

Since hospitals under al ternative payment system wai vers (that is, hospitals in Maryland) are currently excluded from the capital prospective payment system, we excluded these hospitals from our model.

We developed FY 1992 through FY 1998 hospital-specific rates using the providerspecific file and the intermediary audit file. (We used the cumulative provider-specific file, which includes all updates to each hospital's records, and chose the latest record for each fiscal year.) We checked the consistency between the provider-specific file and the intermediary audit file. We ensured that increases in the hospitalspecific rates were at least as large as the published updates (increases) for the hospital-specific rates each year. We were able to match hospitals to the files as shown in the following table:

| Source | Number of <br> hospitals |
| ---: | ---: |
| Provider-Specific File Only ....... | 99 |
| Provider-Specific and Audit File | 4857 |
| Total ................................. | 4956 |

Eighty-six of the 4,956 hospitals had unusable or missing data or had no cost reports available. We determined from the cost reports that 27 of the 86 hospitals were paid under the hold-harmless methodology. Since the hospital-specific amount is not used to determine payments for these hospitals, we were able to include these 27 hospitals in the analysis. We used the cost report data of 4,897 hospitals for the analysis. Fifty-nine hospitals could not be used in the analysis because of insufficient information. These hospitals account for approximately 0.3 percent of admissions, therefore, any effects from the elimination of their cost report data should be minimal.
We analyzed changes in capital-related costs (depreciation, interest, rent, leases, insurance, and taxes) reported in the cost reports. We found a wide variance among hospitals in the growth of these costs. For hospitals with more than 100 beds, the distribution and mean of these cost increases were different for large changes in bed-size (greater than $\pm 20$ percent). We al so anal yzed changes in the growth in old capital and new capital for cost reports that provided this information. For old capital, we limited the analysis to decreases in old capital. We did this since the opportunity for most hospitals to treat "obligated" capital put into service as
old capital has expired. Old capital costs should, therefore, decrease as assets become fully depreciated, and as interest costs decrease as the loan is amortized.
The new capital cost model separates the hospitals into three mutually exclusive groups. Hold-harmless hospitals with data on old capital were placed in the first group. Of the remaining hospitals, those hospitals with fewer than 100 beds comprise the second group. The third group consists of all hospitals that did not fit into either of the groups. Each of these groups displayed unique patterns of growth in capital costs. We found that the gamma distribution is useful in explaining and describing the patterns of increase in capital costs. A gamma distribution is a statistical distribution that can be used to describe patterns of growth rates, with greatest proportion of rates being at the low end. We use the gamma distribution to estimate individual hospital rates of increase as follows:
(1) For hold-harmless hospitals, old capital cost changes were fitted to a truncated gamma distribution, that is, a gamma distribution covering only the distribution of cost decreases. New capital costs changes were fitted to the entire gamma distribution al lowing for both decreases and increases.
(2) For hospitals with fewer than 100 beds (small), total capital cost changes were fitted to the gamma distribution al lowing for both decreases and increases.
(3) Other (large) hospitals were further separated into three groups:

- Bed-size decreases over 20 percent (decrease).
- Bed-size increases over 20 percent (increase).
- Other (no-change).

Capital cost changes for Iarge hospitals were fitted to gamma distributions for each bed-size change group, allowing for both decreases and increases in capital costs. We analyzed the probability distribution of increases and decreases in bed-size for large hospitals. We found the probability somewhat dependent on the prior year change in bed-size and factored this dependence into the anal ysis. Probabilities of bed-size change were determined. Separate sets of probability factors were cal culated to reflect the dependence on prior year change in bed-size (increase, decrease, and no change).
The gamma distributions were fitted to changes in aggregate capital costs for the entire hospital. We checked the relationship between aggregate costs and Medicare per discharge costs. For large hospitals, there was a small variance, but the variance was larger for small hospitals. Since costs are used only for the hold-harmless methodology and to determine exceptions, we decided to use the gamma distributions fitted to aggregate cost increases for estimating distributions of cost per discharge increases.
Capital costs per discharge cal culated from the cost reports were increased by random numbers drawn from the gamma distribution to project costs in future years. Old and new capital were projected separately for holdharmless hospitals. A ggregate capital per discharge costs were projected for all other hospitals. Because the distribution of
increases in capital costs varies with changes in bed-size for large hospitals, we first projected changes in bed-size for large hospitals before drawing random numbers from the gamma distribution. Bed-size changes were drawn from the uniform distribution with the probabilities dependent on the previous year bed-size change. The gamma distribution has a shape parameter and a scal ing parameter. (We used different parameters for each hospital group, and for old and new capital.)

We used discharge counts from the cost reports to cal culate capital cost per discharge. To estimate total capital costs for FY 1997 (the MedPAR data year) and later, we use the number of discharges from the MEDPAR data. Some hospitals have considerably more discharges in FY 1997 than in the years for which we cal culated cost per discharge from the cost report data. Consequently, a hospital with few cost report discharges would have a high capital cost per discharge since fixed costs would be allocated over only a few discharges. If discharges increase substantially, the cost per discharge would decrease because fixed costs would be all ocated over more discharges. If the projection of capital cost per discharge is not adjusted for increases in discharges, the projection of exceptions would be overstated. We address this situation by recal culating the cost per discharge with the MedPAR discharges if the MedPAR discharges exceed the cost report discharges by more than 20 percent. We do not adjust for increases of less than 20 percent because we have not received all of the FY 1997 discharges, and we have removed some discharges from the anal ysis because they are statistical outliers. This adjustment reduces our estimate of exceptions payments, and consequently, the reduction to the Federal rate for exceptions is smaller. We will continue to monitor our modeling of exceptions payments and make adjustments as needed.

The average national capital cost per discharge generated by this model is the combined average of many randomly generated increases. This average must equal the projected average national capital cost per discharge, which we projected separately (outside this model). We adjusted the shape parameter of the gamma distributions so that the modeled average capital cost per discharge matches our projected capital cost per discharge. The shape parameter for old capital was not adjusted since we are modeling the aging of "existing" assets. This model provides a distribution of capital costs among hospitals that is consistent with our aggregate capital projections.

Once each hospital's capital-rel ated costs are generated, the model projects capital payments. We use the actual payment parameters (for example, the case-mix index and the geographic adjustment factor) that are applicable to the specific hospital.

To project capital payments, the model first assigns the applicable payment methodology (fully prospective or holdharmless) to the hospital as determined from the provider-specific file and the cost reports. The model simulates Federal rate payments using the assigned payment parameters and hospital-specific estimated outlier payments.

The case-mix index for a hospital is derived from the FY 1997 MedPAR file using the FY 1998 DRG relative weights published in section $V$. of the Addendum to this proposed rule. The case-mix index is increased each year after FY 1997 based on analysis of past experiences in case-mix increases. Based on analysis of recent case-mix increases, we estimate that case-mix will increase 1.0 percent in FY 1998 and 1.0 percent in FY 1999. (Since we are using FY 1997 cases for our analysis, the FY 1997 increase in case mix has no effect on projected capital payments.)
Changes in geographic classification and revisions to the hospital wage data used to establish the hospital wage index affect the geographic adjustment factor. Changes in the DRG classification system and the relative weights affect the case-mix index.
Section 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the estimated aggregate payments for the fiscal year, based on the Federal rate after any changes resulting from DRG reclassifications and recal ibration and the geographic adjustment factor, equal the estimated aggregate payments based on the Federal rate that would have been made without such changes. For FY 1998, the budget neutrality adjustment factor was 1.00015.
Since we implemented a separate geographic adjustment factor for Puerto Rico, we propose to apply separate budget neutral ity adjustments for the national geographic adjustment factor and the Puerto Rico geographic adjustment factor. We propose to apply the same budget neutrality factor for DRG reclassifications and recalibration nationally and for Puerto Rico. Separate adjustments were unnecessary for FY 1998 since the geographic adjustment factor for Puerto Rico was implemented in 1998.

To determine the factors for FY 1999, we first determined the portions of the Federal national and Puerto Rico rates that would be paid for each hospital in FY 1999 based on its applicable payment methodology. Using our model, we then compared, separately for the national rate and the Puerto Rico rate, estimated aggregate Federal rate payments based on the FY 1998 DRG relative weights and the FY 1998 geographic adjustment factor to estimated aggregate Federal rate payments based on the FY 1998 relative weights and the FY 1999 geographic adjustment factor. In making the comparison, we held the FY 1999 Federal rate portion constant and set the other budget neutrality adjustment factor and the exceptions reduction factor to 1.00 . We determined that, to achieve budget neutral ity for the changes in the national geographic adjustment factor, an incremental budget neutrality adjustment of 0.99995 for FY 1999 should be applied to the previous cumulative FY 1998 adjustment of 1.00015 , yiel ding a cumul ative adjustment of 1.00010 through FY 1999. Since this is the first adjustment for Puerto Rico, the incremental and cumulative adjustment for Puerto Rico would be 0.99887 through 1999. We apply these new adjustments then compare estimated aggregate Federal rate payments based on the FY 1998 DRG relative weights and the FY 1999 geographic adjustment factors to estimated aggregate

Federal rate payments based on the FY 1999
DRG relative weights and the FY 1999 geographic adjustment factors. The incremental adjustment for DRG classifications and changes in relative
weights would be 1.00328 nationally and for Puerto Rico. The cumulative adjustments for DRG classifications and changes in relative weights and for changes in the geographic adjustment factors through 1999 would be
1.00338 nationally, and 1.00215 for Puerto Rico. The following table summarizes the adjustment factors for each fiscal year:

## Budget Neutrality Adjustment for DRG Reclassifications and Recalibration and the Geographic Adjustment Factors

| Fiscal year | National |  |  |  | Puerto Rico |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Incremental Adjustment |  |  | Cumulative | Incremental Adjustment |  |  | Cumulative |
|  | Geographic Adjustment Factor | DRG Re-classifications and Recalibration | Combined |  | Geographic Adjustment Factor | DRG Re-classifications and Recalibration | Combined |  |
| 1992 | .................. | $\ldots$ | .... | 1,000.00 | ................. | .................. | $\ldots$ | ................. |
| 1993 | .................. | ................. | 0.998 .00 | 0.998 .00 | ................. | .................. | .................. | $\ldots$ |
| 1994 | .................. | ... | 1.00531 | 1.00330 | ................. | .................. | .................. |  |
| 1995 | .................. | .................. | 0.99980 | 1.00310 | . | . | . |  |
| 1996 | .................. |  | 0.99940 | 1.00250 | ................. | .................. | ... | ... |
| 1997 | ................... |  | 0.99873 | 1.00123 | . | . | . |  |
| 1998 | .................. |  | 0.99892 | 1.00015 |  |  | .................. | 1.00000 |
| 1999 | 0.99995 | 1.00328 | 1.00323 | 1.00338 | 0.99887 | 1.00328 | 1.00215 | 1.00215 |

The methodology used to determine the recalibration and geographic (DRG/GAF) budget neutrality adjustment factor is similar to that used in establishing budget neutrality adjustments under the prospective payment system for operating costs. One difference is that, under the operating prospective payment system, the budget neutrality adjustments for the effect of geographic reclassifications are determined separately from the effects of other changes in the hospital wage index and the DRG relative weights. Under the capital prospective payment system, there is a single DRG/GAF budget neutrality adjustment factor (the national rate and the Puerto Rico rate are determined separately) for changes in the geographic adjustment factor (including geographic reclassification) and the DRG relative weights. In addition, there is no adjustment for the effects that geographic reclassification has on the other payment parameters, such as the payments for serving
low-income patients or the large urban addon payments.

In addition to computing the DRG/GAF budget neutral ity adjustment factor, we used the model to simulate total payments under the prospective payment system.
Additional payments under the exceptions process are accounted for through a reduction in the Federal and hospital-specific rates. Therefore, we used the model to cal culate the exceptions reduction factor. This exceptions reduction factor ensures that aggregate payments under the capital prospective payment system, including exceptions payments, are projected to equal the aggregate payments that would have been made under the capital prospective payment system without an exceptions process. Since changes in the level of the payment rates change the level of payments under the exceptions process, the exceptions reduction factor must be determined through iteration.

In the August 30, 1991 final rule (56 FR 43517), we indi cated that we would publish each year the estimated payment factors generated by the model to determine payments for the next 5 years. The table below provides the actual factors for fiscal years 1992 through 1998, the proposed factors for fiscal year 1999, and the estimated factors that would be applicable through FY 2003. We caution that these are estimates for fiscal years 2000 and later, and are subject to revisions resulting from continued methodological refinements, receipt of additional data, and changes in payment policy changes. We note that in making these projections, we have assumed that the cumulative national DRG/GAF budget neutrality adjustment factor will remain at 1.00338 (1.00215 for Puerto Rico) for FY 1999 and later because we do not have sufficient information to estimate the change that will occur in the factor for years after FY 1999.
The projections are as follows:

${ }^{1}$ Note: The incremental change over the previous year.
${ }^{2}$ Note: OBRA 1993 adjustment.
${ }^{3}$ Note: Adjustment for change in the transfer policy.
${ }^{4}$ Note: Balanced Budget Act of 1997 adjustment.
${ }^{5}$ Note: Future adjustments are, for purposes of this projection, assumed to remain at the same level.
6 Note: We are unable to estimate exceptions payments for the year under the special exceptions provision ( $§ 412.348(\mathrm{~g})$ of the regulations) because the regular exceptions provision (§412.348(e)) expires.

## Appendix C: Report to Congress

THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20201

## MAY 41998

The Honorable Albert Gore, Jr.
President of the Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
Dear Mr. President:
Section 1886(e)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires me to report to Congress the initial estimate of the applicable percentage increase in hospital inpatient payment rates for fiscal year (FY) 1999 that I will recommend for hospitals subject to the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS) and for hospitals and units excluded from PPS. This submission constitutes the required report.

Current law mandates, and the President's FY 1999 budget includes, an update for PPS hospitals equal to the market basket rate of increase minus 1.9 percentage points, or, for certain hospitals under the temporary relief provision of section 4401(b) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, the market basket rate of increase minus 1.6 percentage points. The President's FY 1999 budget estimated the PPS market basket rate of increase for FY 1999 to be 2.7 percent. Based on this estimate, we recommend an update for hospitals in both large urban and other areas of 0.8 percent, and an update for temporary relief hospitals of 1.1 percent.

Sole community hospitals (SCHs) are the sole source of care in their area and are afforded special payment protection to maintain access to services for Medicare beneficiaries. SCHs are paid the higher of a hospital-specific rate or the Federal PPS rate. Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals (MDHs) are a major source of care for Medicare beneficiaries in their area and are afforded special payment protection to maintain access to services for beneficiaries. MDHs are paid the Federal PPS rate, or, if their hospital-specific rate exceeds the Federal PPS rate, the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate. Current law mandates that the FY 1999 update to hospital-specific rates for SCHs and MDHs equal the market basket rate of increase minus 1.9 percentage points. Consistent with the President's FY 1999 budget, we recommend an update to hospital-specific rates equal to our recommended increase for PPS hospitals, that is, the market basket rate of increase of 2.7 percent minus 1.9 percentage points, or 0.8 percent.
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Hospitals and distinct part hospital units excluded from PPS are paid based on their reasonable costs subject to a limit under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) of 1982. Current law mandates that the update for all hospitals and distinct part units excluded from PPS equal the rate of increase in the excluded hospital market basket less a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit. The President's FY 1999 budget incorporates a rate of increase in the TEFRA limit equal to the rate of increase in the excluded hospital market basket ( 2.7 percent) minus a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit. Therefore, we recommend an increase in the TEFRA limit of between 0.2 and 2.7 percent.

My recommendation for the updates is based on cost projections used in the President's FY 1999 budget. A final recommendation on the appropriate percentage increases for FY 1999 will be made nearer the beginning of the new Federal fiscal year based on the most current market basket projection available at that time. The final recommendation will incorporate our analysis of the latest estimates of all relevant factors, including recommendations by the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC). We currently expect that the final estimate of the market basket rate of increase will be lower than the estimate used in the President's FY 1999 budget.

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iv) of the Act also requires that I include in my report recommendations with respect to adjustments to the diagnosis-related group (DRG) weighting factors. At this time I do not anticipate recommending any adjustment to the DRG weighting factors for FY 1999.

I am pleased to provide this recommendation to you. I am also sending a copy of this letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives.


## MAY 41998

The Honorable Newt Gingrich
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
Dear Mr. Speaker:
Section 1886(e)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires me to report to Congress the initial estimate of the applicable percentage increase in hospital inpatient payment rates for fiscal year (FY) 1999 that I will recommend for hospitals subject to the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS) and for hospitals and units excluded from PPS. This submission constitutes the required report.

Current law mandates, and the President's FY 1999 budget includes, an update for PPS hospitals equal to the market basket minus 1.9 percentage points, or, for certain hospitals under the temporary relief provision of section $4401(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, the market basket rate of increase minus 1.6 percentage points. The President's FY 1999 budget estimated the PPS market basket rate of increase for FY 1999 to be 2.7 percent. Based on this estimate, we recommend an update for hospitals in both large urban and other areas of 0.8 percent, and an update for temporary relief hospitals of 1.1 percent.

Sole community hospitals ( SCHs ) are the sole source of care in their area and are afforded special payment protection to maintain access to services for Medicare beneficiaries. SCHs are paid the higher of a hospital-specific rate or the Federal PPS rate. Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals (MDHs) are a major source of care for Medicare beneficiaries in their area and are afforded special payment protection to maintain access to services for beneficiaries. MDHs are paid the Federal PPS rate, or, if their hospital-specific rate exceeds the Federal PPS rate, the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate. Current law mandates that the FY 1999 update to hospital-specific rates for SCHs and MDHs equal the market basket rate of increase minus 1.9 percentage points. Consistent with the President's FY 1999 budget, we recommend an update to hospital-specific rates equal to our recommended increase for PPS hospitals, that is, the market basket rate of increase of 2.7 percent minus 1.9 percentage points, or 0.8 percent.

## Page 2 - The Honorable Newt Gingrich

Hospitals and distinct part hospital units excluded from PPS are paid based on their reasonable costs subject to a limit under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) of 1982. Current law mandates that the update for all hospitals and distinct part units excluded from PPS equal the rate of increase in the excluded hospital market basket less a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit. The President's FY 1999 budget incorporates a rate of increase in the TEFRA limit equal to the rate of increase in the excluded hospital market basket ( 2.7 percent) minus a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit. Therefore, we recommend an increase in the TEFRA limit of between 0.2 and 2.7 percent.

My recommendation for the updates is based on cost projections used in the President's FY 1999 budget. A final recommendation on the appropriate percentage increases for FY 1999 will be made nearer the beginning of the new Federal fiscal year based on the most current market basket projection available at that time. The final recommendation will incorporate our analysis of the latest estimates of all relevant factors, including recommendations by the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC). We currently expect that the final estimate of the market basket rate of increase will be lower than the estimate used in the President's FY 1999 budget.

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iv) of the Act also requires that I include in my report recommendations with respect to adjustments to the diagnosis-related group (DRG) weighting factors. At this time I do not anticipate recommending any adjustment to the DRG weighting factors for FY 1999.

I am pleased to provide this recommendation to you. I am also sending a copy of this letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Sincerely,


## Appendix D: Recommendation of Update Factors for Operating Cost Rates of Payment for Inpatient Hospital Services

## I. Background

Several provisions of the Act address the setting of update factors for inpatient services furnished in FY 1999 by hospitals subject to the prospective payment system and those excluded from the prospective payment system. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i)(XIV) of the Act sets the FY 1999 percentage increase in the operating cost standardized amounts equal to the rate of increase in the hospital market basket minus 1.9 percent for prospective payment hospitals in all areas. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act sets the FY 1999 percentage increase in the hospitalspecific rates applicable to sole community and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals equal to the rate set forth in section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act, that is, the same update factor as all other hospital s subject to the prospective payment system, or the rate of increase in the market basket minus 1.9 percentage points. (We note that, as provided in section 4401(b) of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997, certain hospitals that do not receive indirect medical education or disproportionate share payments and are not designated as Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals will receive an update that is 0.3 percent higher than the update for other prospective payment hospitals. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(ii) of the Act sets the FY 1999 percentage increase in the rate of increase limits for hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system equal to the rate of increase in the excluded hospital market basket minus a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percent percentage points, depending on the hospital's costs in relation to its limit.
In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(A) of the Act, we are proposing to update the standardized amounts, the hospital-specific rates, and the rate-of-increase limits for hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system as provided in section 1886(b)(3)(B) of the Act. Based on the fourth quarter 1997 forecast of the FY 1999 market basket increase of 2.6 percent for hospitals subject to the prospective payment system, the proposed updates to the standardized amounts are 0.7 percent (that is, the market basket rate of increase minus 1.9 percent) for hospitals in both large urban and other areas. The proposed update to the hospital-specific rate applicable to sole community and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals is al so 0.7 percent. The proposed update for hospital sexcluded from the prospective payment system is the percentage increase in the excluded hospital market basket (currently estimated at 2.5 percent) less a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points, or an update equal to between 0 and 2.5 percent.

Section 1886(e)(4) of the Act requires that the Secretary, taking into consideration the recommendations of the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC), recommend update factors for each fiscal year that take into account the amounts necessary for the efficient and effective delivery of medically appropriate and necessary care of high quality. Under section

1886(e)(5) of the Act, we are required to publish the update factors recommended under section 1886(e)(4) of the Act. Accordingly, this appendix provides the recommendations of appropriate update factors, the analysis underlying our recommendations, and our responses to the MedPAC recommendations concerning the update factors.

In its March 1, 1998 report, MedPAC stated that the legislated update of market basket increase minus 1.9 percentage points will provide a reasonable level of payment to hospitals. Although MedPAC suggests that a somewhat lower update could be justified in light of changes in the utilization and provision of hospital inpatient care, the Commission does not believe it is necessary to recommend a lower update for FY 1999. MedPAC did not make a separate recommendation for the hospital-specific rates applicable to sole community and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals. We discuss MedPAC's recommendations concerning the update factors and our responses to these recommendations below.

## II. Secretary's Recommendations

Under section 1886(e)(4) of the Act, we are recommending that an appropriate update factor for the standardized amounts is 0.7 percent for hospitals located in large urban and other areas. We are also recommending an update of 0.7 percent to the hospital specific rate for sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals. These figures are consistent with the President's FY 1999 budget
recommendations, which reflect the update provided by section 4401(a) of the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997. We believe these recommended update factors would ensure that Medicare acts as a prudent purchaser and provide incentives to hospitals for increased efficiency, thereby contributing to the solvency of the Medicare Part A Trust Fund. When the President's budget was submitted, the market basket rate of increase was projected at 2.7 percent. As noted above, this proposed recommendation is based on the most recent forecast of the market basket, 2.6 percent.

We recommend that hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system receive an update of between 0 and 2.5 percent. The update for excluded hospitals and units is equal to the increase in the excluded hospital operating market basket, less a percentage between 0 and 2.5 percentage points depending on the hospital's or unit's costs in relation to its rate-of-increase limit. The market basket rate of increase is currently forecast at 2.5 percentage points. This recommendation is consistent with the President's FY 1999 budget, al though we note that the market basket rate of increase was forecast at 2.7 percent when the budget was submitted.

As required by section 1886(e)(4) of the Act, we have taken into consideration the recommendations of MedPAC in setting these recommended update factors. Our responses to the MedPAC recommendations concerning the update factors are discussed below.
III. MedPAC Recommendation for Updating the Prospective Payment System
Standardized Amounts
For FY 1999, MedPAC's update framework would support an update of the increase in the hospital market basket minus a figure between 4.4 percentage points and 1.1 percentage points. MedPAC notes that costs per case have grown more slowly than payments per case since 1992 and, as a result, overall Medicare operating margins for hospitals have been rising. MedPAC predicts that Medicare operating margins will continue to be quite favorable even with the payment reductions enacted by the Bal anced Budget Act of 1997. MedPAC further notes that Medicare payments are just one of many factors that affect hospital margins. Thus, while MedPAC agrees with the proposed update of market basket increase minus 1.9 percentage points for 1999, that update is closer to the higher end than the lower end of MedPAC's update framework. The Commission emphasizes that, because of uncertainty about the future and the extent of changes in productivity and service delivery, its recommendation applies for only one year. MedPAC's estimate of the market basket increase is 2.5 percent, which is 0.1 percentage points bel ow HCFA's current estimate. MedPAC's market basket estimate focuses on employee compensation changes in the hospital industry and the economy in general, while HCFA's market basket forecast gives less weight to the projected changes in the hospital industry's wages. Thus, MedPAC's update framework reflects a 0.1 percent adjustment for this difference.
Response: We agree with MedPAC's recommendation of an update for FY 1999 for prospective payment system hospital s of market basket minus 1.9 percentage points. Our recommendation is supported by the following analyses that measure changes in hospital productivity, scientific and technol ogical advances, practice pattern changes, and changes in case mix:

## a. Productivity

Service level productivity is defined as the ratio of total service output to full-time equival ent employees (FTEs). While we recognize that productivity is a function of many variables (for example, labor, nonlabor material, and capital inputs), we use a labor productivity measure since this update framework applies to operating payment. To recognize that we are apportioning the short run output changes to the labor input and not considering the nonlabor inputs, we weight our productivity measure for operating costs by the share of direct labor services in the market basket rate of increase to determine the expected effect on cost per case.
Our recommendation for the service productivity component is based on historical trends in productivity and total output for both the hospital industry and the general economy, and projected levels of future hospital service output. MedPAC's predecessor, the Prospective Payment Assessment Commission (ProPAC), estimated cumulative service productivity growth to be 4.9 percent from 1985-1989, or 1.2 percent annually. At the same time, MedPAC estimated total output growth at 3.4 percent
annually, implying a ratio of service productivity growth to output growth of 0.35 .

Since it is not possible at this time to develop a productivity measure specific to Medicare patients, we examined productivity (output per hour) and output (gross domestic product) for the economy. Depending on the exact time period, annual changes in productivity range from 0.3 to 0.35 percent of the change in output (that is, a 1.0 percent increase in output would be correlated with a 0.3 to 0.35 percent change in output per hour).

Under our framework, the recommended update is based in part on expected productivity-that is, projected service output during the year, multiplied by the historical ratio of service productivity to total service output, multiplied by the share of labor in total operating inputs, as cal culated in the hospital market basket rate of increase. This method estimates an expected labor productivity improvement in the same proportion to expected total service growth that has occurred in the past and assumes that, at a minimum, growth in FTEs changes proportionally to the growth in total service output. Thus, the recommendation allows for unit productivity to be smaller than the historical averages in years that output growth is relatively low and larger in years that output growth is higher than the historical averages. Based on the above estimates from both the hospital industry and the economy, we have chosen to employ the range of ratios of productivity change to output change of 0.30 to 0.35 .
The expected change in total hospital service output is the product of projected growth in total admissions (adjusted for outpatient usage), projected real case-mix growth, and expected quality enhancing intensity growth, net of expected decline in intensity due to reduction of cost ineffective practice. Case-mix growth and intensity numbers for Medicare are used as proxies for those of the total hospital, since case-mix increases (used in the intensity measure as well) are unavailable for non-Medicare patients. Thus, expected output growth is simply the sum of the expected change in intensity ( 0.0 percent), projected admissions change ( -2.0 percent for $F Y$ 1999), and projected real case-mix growth ( 0.8 percent), or -1.2 percent. The share of direct labor services in the market basket rate of increase (consisting of wages, sal aries, and employee benefits) is 61.4 percent.

Multiplying the expected change in total hospital service output ( -1.2 percent) by the ratio of historical service productivity change to total service growth of 0.30 to 0.35 and by the direct labor share percentage 61.4, provides our productivity standard of -0.2 to -0.3 percent.

MedPAC believes that the update should al so take into account the effects of product change. MedPAC analysis indicates that between 1992 and 1996, the decline in length of stay and corresponding increase in the intensity of services per day resulted in a net reduction of about 11 percent for services provided per hospital admission. In the past, ProPAC expected hospitals to achieve productivity gains ranging from 0.5 percent to 2.0 percent per year. This year, recognizing
changes in lengths of stay and sites of service, MedPAC believes a product adjustment in the range of -3.0 to -1.0 percentage points is appropriate. In addition, MedPAC's update framework contains a productivity adjustment of between -0.7 to
-0.3 percent, which is slightly more optimistic than our estimate.
b. Intensity

We base our intensity standard on the combined effect of three separate factors: Changes in the use of quality enhancing services, changes in the use of services due to shifts in within-DRG severity, and changes in the use of services due to reductions of cost-ineffective practices. For FY 1999, we recommend an adjustment of 0.0 percent. The basis of this recommendation is discussed below.

We have no empirical evidence that accurately gauges the level of qualityenhancing technology changes. A study published in the Winter 1992 issue of the Heal th Care Financing Review,
"Contributions of case mix and intensity change to hospital cost increases" (p. 151163), suggests that one-third of the intensity change is attributable to high-cost technology. The bal ance was unexplained but the authors speculated that it is attributable to fixed costs in service delivery.

Typically, a specific new technology increases cost in some uses and decreases cost in other uses. Concurrently, heal th status is improved in some situations while in other situations it may be unaffected or even worsened using the same technology. It is difficult to separate out the relative significance of each of the cost increasing effects for individual technologies and new technologies.

All things being equal, per-discharge fixed costs tend to fluctuate in inverse proportion to changes in volume. Fixed costs exist whether patients are treated or not. If volume is declining, per-discharge fixed costs will rise, but the reverse is true if volume is increasing.

Following methods devel oped by HCFA's Office of the Actuary for deriving hospital output estimates from total hospital charges, we have devel oped Medicare-specific intensity measures based on a 5-year average using FY 1993-FY 1997 MedPAR billing data. Case-mix constant intensity is cal culated as the change in total Medicare charges per discharge adjusted for changes in the average charge per unit of service as measured by the Medical CPI hospital component and changes in real case mix. Thus, in order to measure changes in intensity, one must measure changes in real case mix.

For FY 1993-FY 1997, observed case mix index change ranged from a low of 0.8 percent to a high of 1.7 percent, with a 5-year average change of 1.3 percent. Based on evidence from past studies of case-mix change, we estimate that real case mix change fluctuates between 1.0 and 1.4 percent and the observed values generally fall in this range. The average percentage change in charge per discharge was 3.4 percent and the average annual change in the medical CPI was 5.7 percent. Dividing the change in charge per discharge by the
quantity of the real case-mix index change and the medical CPI, yields an average annual change in intensity of -3.4 percent. Assuming the technology/fixed cost ratio still holds, technology would account for a - 1.1 percent annual decline while fixed costs would account for a -2.3 percent annual decline. The decline in fixed costs per discharge makes intuitive sense as volume, measured by total discharges, as increased during the period. Since we estimate that intensity has declined during that period, we are recommending a 0.0 percent intensity adjustment for FY 1999.

## c. Quality Enhancing New Science and Technology

For FY 1999, MedPAC has computed the adjustment for scientific and technological advances to be a future-oriented policy target intended to provide additional funds for hospitals to adopt quality-enhancing, cost increasing heal th care innovations. As in past recommendations, MedPAC has included an adjustment ranging from 0.3 to 1.0 percentage points. MedPAC believes that the costcompetitive environment now faced by hospitals may dampen the adoption of new technologies as they closely evaluate their rel ative costs and benefits. Therefore, MedPAC recommends an adjustment of 0.5 percentage points for the increase in operating costs due to scientific and technological advances.

## d. Change in Case Mix

Our analysis takes into account projected changes in case mix, adjusted for changes attributable to improved coding practices. For our FY 1999 update recommendation, we are projecting a 1.0 percent increase in the case-mix index. We define real case-mix increase as actual changes in the mix (and resource requirements) of Medicare patients as opposed to changes in coding behavior that result in assignment of cases to higherweighted DRGs, but do not reflect greater resource requirements. For FY 1999, we believe that real case-mix increase is equal to our projected change in case mix less 0.2 percent. We estimate that changes in coding behavior account for an increase of 0.2 percentage points in our projected case-mix change. Thus, we are projecting an increase of 0.8 percentage points for the real case-mix index.

Unlike ProPAC's case-mix recommendation in previous years, MedPAC did not make a specific percentage change recommendation but rather estimated a range from -0.2 to 0.2 percentage point change based on changes in the 1998 case mix index. e. Effect of FY 1997 DRG Reclassification and Recalibration
We estimate that DRG reclassification and recalibration for FY 1997 resulted in a 0.0 percent increase in the case-mix index when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the GROUPER. MedPAC does not make an adjustment for DRG reclassification and recalibration in its update recommendation.

## . Correction for M arket Basket Forecast Error

The estimated market basket percentage increase used to update the FY 1997 payment
rates was 2.5 percent. Our most recent data indicate the actual FY 1997 increase was 2.1 percent. The resulting forecast error in the FY 1997 market basket rate of increase is 0.4 percentage points. Under our update
framework, we make a forecast error correction if our estimate is off by 0.25 percentage points or more. Therefore, we are recommending an adjustment of -0.4 percentage points to reflect this
overestimation of the FY 1997 market basket rate of increase. The following is a summary of the update ranges supported by our anal yses compared to MedPAC's framework.

Table 1.-Comparison of FY 1999 Update Recommendations

|  | HHS | MedPAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Basket | MB ................. | MB |
| Difference between HCFA \& MedPAC Market Baskets .......................................... |  | -0.1 |
| Subtotal | MB | MB |
| Policy Adjustments Factors: |  |  |
| Productivity | -0.3 to -0.2 ............ | -0.7 to -0.3 |
| Product | ${ }^{(3)}$.................... | -3.0 to -1.0 |
| Intensity | 0.0 . |  |
| Science \& Technology |  | 0.0 to 0.5 |
| Practice Patterns | ................................. | (1) |
| Real Within DRG Change ..................................................................... |  | (2) |
| Subtotal | -0.3 to -0.2 ......... | -3.7 to -0.8 |
| Case-Mix Adjustment Factors: |  |  |
| Projected Case-Mix Change | -1.0 |  |
| Real Across DRG Change | 0.8 .... | -0.2 to 0.0 |
| Real Within DRG Change |  | 0.0 to 0.2 |
| Subtotal | -0.2 | -0.2 to 0.2 |
| Effect of 1996 Reclassification \& Recalibration | 0.0 |  |
| Forecast Error Correction ............................................................................ | -0.4 ... | -0.4 |
| Total Recommended Update | MB -0.9 to MB -0.8 ..... | MB - 4.4 to MB - 1.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Included in MedPAC's Productivity Measure.
2 Included in MedPAC's Case-Mix Adjustment.
${ }^{3}$ Included in HHS' Intensity Factor.

Because we are not recommending a negative adjustment for intensity (as our methodology would suggest is appropriate), the update suggested by our framework appears to be more generous than the recommendation of MedPAC. While the above framework would support an update of the market basket increase minus 0.9 percentage points, we are recommending an update of the market basket increase minus 1.9 percentage points ( 0.7 percent). We believe that this update factor appropriately adjusts for changes occurring in heal th care delivery including the relative decrease in use of hospital inpatient services and the corresponding increase in use of hospital outpatient and postacute care services. We agree with MedPAC that a 0.7 percent update for FY 1999 would not disadvantage the hospital industry nor harm Medicare
beneficiaries. We also recommend that the hospital-specific rates applicable to sole community and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals be increased by the same update, 0.7 percentage points.
IV. MedPAC Recommendation for Updating the Rate-of-Increase Limits for Excluded Hospitals

MedPAC recommends an update factor equal to a 2.1 percent average increase for TEFRA target amounts for excluded hospitals and units. The update formula enacted by section 4411(a) of the Balanced Budget Act is equal to the increase in the excluded hospital market basket less a percentage point between 0 and 2.5 percent, depending on the hospital's or unit's costs in relation to the target amount. MedPAC's recommendation reflects a reduction of 0.4 percentage points from HCFA's market basket
increase forecast of 2.5 percent. The reduction consists of an adjustment of - 0.4 percentage points to account for the forecast error in the FY 1997 market basket rate of increase, and no allowance for new technology.
Response: We recommend that hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system al so receive a 2.5 percent increase in the market basket used in the update formula for TEFRA target amount updates provided to the prospective payment hospitals. We believe this update would ensure that Medicare acts as a prudent purchaser and would provide incentives to hospitals for increased efficiency, thereby contributing to the sol vency of the Medicare Part A Trust Fund.

## APPENDIX E: DRG Charts

DRG 14
SPECIFIC CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS EXCEPT TIA (MEDICAL)




DRG 113
AMPUTATION FOR CIRCULATORY SYSTEM DISORDERS EXCEPT UPPER LIMB \& TOE (SURGICAL)






## DRG 210

HIP FEMUR PROCEDURES EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT AGE >17 WITH CC (SURGICAL)




DRG 211
HIP FEMUR PROCEDURES EXCEPT MAJOR JOINT AGE >17 W/O CC (SURGICAL)




SKIN GRAFT AND/OR DEBRIDEMENT FOR SKIN ULCER OR CELLULITIS WITH CC (SURGICAL)




DRG 429
ORGANIC DISTURBANCES MENTAL RETARDATION (MEDICAL)



Costs and Payments, by Length of Stay


## DRG 483

TRACHEOSTOMY EXCEPT FOR FACE, MOUTH, NECK DIAGNOSES (SURGICAL)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A single title combined with two DRG numbers is used to signify pairs. Generally, the first DRG is for cases with CC and the second DRG is for cases without CC. If a third number is included, it represents cases with patients who are age 0-17. Occasionally, a pair of DRGs is split between age $>17$ and age 0-17.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Classified as a "major complication" in this DRG.
    ${ }^{2}$ Classified as a "major problem" in these DRGs.
    ${ }^{3}$ HIV major related condition in this DRG.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Classified as a "major complication" in this DRG.
    ${ }^{2}$ Classified as a "major problem" in these DRGs.
    ${ }^{3}$ HIV major related condition in this DRG.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because data necessary to classify some hospitals by category were missing, the total number of hospitals in each category may not equal the national total. Discharge data are from FY 1997, and hospital cost report data are from reporting periods beginning in FY 1994 and FY 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ This column displays the impact of the change enacted by section 4407 of the BBA, which defines discharges from 1 of 10 DRGs to postacute care as transfers. Under our proposed policy, 3 of the 10 DRGs would be paid under an alternative methodology where they would receive 50 percent of the full DRG amount on the first day and 50 percent of the current per diem transfer payment amount for each remaining day of the stay. The remaining seven DRGs would be paid using our current transfer payment methodology.
    ${ }^{3}$ This column displays the payment impact of the recalibration of the DRG weights based on FY 1997 MedPAR data and the DRG classification changes, in accordance with section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act.

