braids, part number (P/N) 332A031.1276.00, that have not been modified in accordance with AMS 332A07–66–003 or AMS 33207–66–072, installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required within the next 60 calendar days, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a tail rotor electrical bonding braid (bonding braid) due to fatigue, resulting impact with the tail rotor blades, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove the bonding braids, P/N 332A31.1276.00, and replace them with airworthy bonding braids, P/N 332A31.1276.01 in accordance with paragraphs B and C of the Operating Procedure of Eurocopter France Service Bulletin SA 330 No. 65.73 R3, dated June 22, 1995.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Direction Generale L'Aviation Civile (France) AD 95–153–072(B), dated July 19, 1995

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 29, 1998.

#### Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–12113 Filed 5–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-SW-36-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter France Model AS 332C, L, and L1 Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Eurocopter France Model AS 332C, L, and L1 helicopters. This proposal would require replacing main rotor blades with modified main rotor blades. This proposal is prompted by reports of an investigation that found broken braids on main rotor blade de-icers. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent loss of the deicing capabilities of the main rotor blades, adverse performance during flight in icing conditions, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 6, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–SW–36–Ad, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Forth Worth, Texas 76137. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from American Eurocopter Corporation, 2701 Forum Drive, Grand Prairie, Texas 75053–4005, telephone (972) 641–3460, fax (972) 641–3527. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Forth Worth, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert McCallister, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Forth Worth, Texas 76137, telephone (817) 222–5121, fax (812) 222–5961.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–SW–36–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–SW–36–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

### Discussion

The Direction Generale de L'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on Eurocopter France AS 332C, L, and L1 helicopters. The DGAC advises that replacing the deicers on these helicopters is necessary to prevent loss of the de-icing function due to damaged electric return braids.

Eurocopter France has issued Telex Service Number (No.) 10002, dated January 17, 1994, which specifies modification of the main rotor blade within specified time intervals. The DGAC classified the Technical Directive No. 230 referenced in the telex as mandatory and issued AD 95–029–054(B) in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these helicopters in France.

This helicopter model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other Eurocopter France AS 332C,L, and L1 helicopters of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require replacing main rotor blades with modified main rotor blades. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

The FAA estimates that 3 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 20 work hours per helicopter to accomplished the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will be provided at no cost by the manufacturer. Based on these figures the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1200 per helicopter.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rule Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### **List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

**Eurocopter France:** Docket No. 97–SW–36–AD.

Applicability: Model AS 332C, L, and L1 helicopters, with main rotor blades, part number (P/N) 332A11–030–03 or 332A11–030–04, installed, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicable provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (c) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent loss of the de-icing capabilities of the main rotor blades, adverse performance during flight in icing conditions, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

- (a) From available helicopter records, within the next 10 calendar days, determine the time-in-service (TIS) on each main rotor blade.
- (b) Replace each main rotor blade with a main rotor blade that has been modified and reidentified in accordance with Eurocopter Technical Instruction Number (No.) 230b (referenced in Telex Service No. 10002, dated January 17, 1994) in accordance with the following schedule:
- (1) If the TIS is equal to or greater than 2,000 hours, replace within the next 50 hours TIS
- (2) If the TIS is equal to or greater than 1,850 hours and less than 2,000 hours,

replace on or before attaining 2,050 hours TIS.

- (3) If the TIS is equal to or greater than 1,500 hours and less than 1,850 hours, replace within the next 200 hours TIS.
- (4) If the TIS is equal to or greater than 1,400 hours and less than 1,500 hours, replace on or before attaining 1,700 hours TIS.
- (5) If the TIS is greater than 700 hours and less than 1,400 hours, replace within the next 300 hours TIS.
- (6) If the TIS is equal to or less than 700 hours, replace within the next 1,000 hours TIS
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in DGAC (France) AD 95–029–054(B), dated February 1, 1995.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 29, 1998.

# Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–12112 Filed 5–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

# 29 CFR Part 2700

# **Rules of Procedure**

**AGENCY:** Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission (the "Commission") is an independent adjudicatory agency that provides trial and appellate review of cases arising under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. 801 et seq. (1994) (the "Mine Act"). The Commission's rules of procedure govern practice and procedure in Commission proceedings at both trial and review levels. The Commission is proposing to revise several of its present rules of procedure.

The Commission's present rules of procedure were adopted in June 1979