a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order should be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James Lieberman,

Director, Office of Enforcement. [FR Doc. 98–11503 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-213, License No. DPR-61]

### Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by petition dated March 13, 1998, Citizens Awareness Network Inc., has requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action with regard to the Haddam Neck plant. Petitioner requests that NRC immediately suspend the licensee's operating license.

As the basis for this request, the petitioner states that the licensee has failed to adequately exercise radiological controls. The petitioner further states that the licensee's proposal to cool the spent fuel pool by ventilating the spent fuel storage building with ambient air through doors and roof hatches, in the event that the new spent fuel pool cooling system is unavailable, would constitute an

unmonitored and unplanned release of radiation into the environment.

The request is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by Section 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time. A copy of this petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Samuel J. Collins,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–11505 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-199]

Notice of Application for Decommissioning Amendment Manhattan College; Zero Power Research Reactor

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has received an application from Manhattan College dated January 12, 1998, for a license amendment approving the decommissioning plan for the Manhattan College Zero Power Reactor (Facility License No. R–94) located in the Leo Engineering Building, two blocks from the Manhattan College Campus in Riverdale, New York.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 98–11504 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of an Information Collection: SF 3106 and SF 3106A

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of an information collection. SF 3106, Application for Refund of Retirement Deductions, and SF 3106A, Current/Former Spouse's Notification of Application for Refund of Retirement Deductions, are used by former Federal employees who contributed to the Federal Employee's Retirement System to receive a refund of retirement deductions and any other money to their credit in the Retirement fund.

Comments are particularly invited on: whether this information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

There are approximately 81,000 respondents for the SF 3106 and 40,500 respondents for the SF 3106A. It takes approximately 27 minutes to complete SF 3106 and 6 minutes to complete SF 3106A. The annual burden for SF 3106 is 36,450 and 4,050 for the SF 3106A.

For copies of this proposal, contact Jim Farron on (202) 418–3208, or E-mail to jmfarron@opm.gov

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before June 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—John C. Crawford, Chief, FERS Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3313, Washington, DC 20415.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Budget & Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98–11402 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of Revised Information Collections: OPM Form 1496 and 1496A

**AGENCY: Office of Personnel** 

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of a revised information collection. OPM Forms 1496 and 1496A, Application for Deferred Retirement (Separations before October 1, 1956) and Application for Deferred Retirement (Separations on or after October 1, 1956) are used by eligible former Federal employees to apply for a deferred Civil Service annuity. Two forms are needed because there was a major revision in the law effective October 1, 1956; this affects the general information provided with the

Approximately 3,000 OPM Forms 1496 and 1496A will be completed annually. We estimate it takes approximately 1 hour to complete the form. The annual burden is 3,000 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Jim Farron on (202) 418–3208, or E-mail to jmfarron@opm.gov

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before June 1, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments to—

Lorraine E. Dettman, Chief, Operations Support Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415.

and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING
ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT:
Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Budget &

Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

#### Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98–11401 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–39910; File No. SR-CBOE-98-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Trade Match Delayed Submission Fees

April 24, 1998.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 notice is hereby given that on March 4, 1998, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. On April 20, 1998, the CBOE submitted to the Commission Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.2 The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to amend Exchange Rule 2.30, Trade Match Delayed Submission Fee, in order to reduce the amount of time permitted for trade submission before the imposition of fees and to include under the rule, all types of trades executed on the Exchange. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning

the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to expand the scope of Rule 2.30 to include all types of executed trades and to reduce the amount of time under Rule 2.30 in which Exchange members and clearing firms are assessed additional fees for late trade submission.3 As Exchange rules currently stand, market-makers and clearing firms are assessed fees for delayed trade match submission if eighty percent (80%) of market-maker in-person trades are not submitted in less than two (2) hours. The Exchange proposes to amend this rule to include all types of trades (not just marketmaker in-person trades) and to require, by December 1, 1998, that the submission time for fee assessment be reduced from two (2) hours to one (1) hour. The eighty percent (80%) formula will remain the same, as will existing protections for extremely high volume days

The inclusion of all types of trade activity under Rule 2.30 is proposed to begin with the initial reduction of the time requirement below two (2) hours, which the Exchange proposes to start on June 1, 1998. All trades that a member executes and all trades a clearing firm has executed for it will be required to be submitted on a timely basis to avoid additional fees. Under current rules, only in-person market-maker trades are considered under Rule 2.30. In 1991, when Rule 2.30 was implemented, certain in-person market-maker trades were being significantly delayed for submission to the Exchange's trade match system. Over time these delays were reduced and, in general, marketmaker in-person trades now are received within two (2) hours. Non-marketmakers trades originally were not included under Rule 2.30 because virtually all non-market-maker activity at that time met the two (2) hour time requirement. Within the revised time frames, ultimately one (1) hour, the Exchange realizes that a small but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange made technical corrections to the proposed rule change and clarified the date of its implementation. See Letter from Stephanie C. Mullins, Attorney, CBOE, to Ken Rosen, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated April 23, 1998 ("Amendment No. 1").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The CBOE will not begin to implement any of the proposed changes to Rule 2.30 until June 1, 1998. See Amendment No. 1.