Period	Manufacturer/ exporter	Final results margin (percent)
8/1/85-7/31/86	Suzuki	(¹).
8/1/85-7/31/86	Maekawa	(1).
8/1/85–7/31/86	Nissan	(3).
8/1/85–7/31/86	Mazda	(4).
8/1/85-7/31/86	MC Inter-	(3).
	national.	\
8/1/85-7/31/86	Niigata Con-	(²).
	verter.	, ,
8/1/86-7/31/87	Koyo	5 40.89.
8/1/86-7/31/87	NSK	⁵ 15.41.
8/1/86-7/31/87	Toyota	(¹).
8/1/86-7/31/87	Nissan	(¹).
8/1/86-7/31/87	Nachi	(²).
8/1/86-7/31/87	Isuzu	⁶ 40.89.
8/1/89-7/31/90	Koyo	⁵ 15.96.
8/1/89-7/31/90	NSK	⁵ 2.76.
8/1/89-7/31/90	Nachi	(²).
8/1/90-7/31/91	Nachi	¹ 18.07.
8/1/90-7/31/91	Koyo	⁵ 23.97.
8/1/90-7/31/91	NSK	⁵ 17.87.
8/1/91-7/31/92	Nachi	¹ 18.07.
8/1/91-7/31/92	Koyo	5 35.37.
8/1/91-7/31/92	NSK	⁵ 12.66.

For the A-588-604 Case

NTN/Caterpillar	⁵ 10.19.
NSK	⁵ 15.59.
NTN/Caterpillar	57.08.
NSK	⁵ 1.54.
Nachi	(²).
Nachi	⁷ 40.37.
NSK	5 12.17.
NTN	5 16.03.
Nachi	⁷ 40.37.
NSK	⁵ 8.40.
NTN	5 19.25.
	NSK

¹Litigation for period did not result in a change in the final results margin for the firm. The Department will instruct Customs to assess duties pursuant to the final results notice published for the corresponding review period.

²The firm had no entries of subject merchandise during the period.

³The review for this firm was terminated. The Department will assess duties using the rate in effect at the time of entry.

⁴The review for the firm was terminated. The Department will assess duties using the rate in effect at the time of entry and in the manner explained in our 11/10/94 notice of final results for the 1979–86 period.

⁵This is an amended final results margin resulting from recalculations pursuant to Court orders.

⁶In our 1986–87 final results for Isuzu we applied a total BIA margin equal to the highest rate we calculated for any firm for the final results. Because that rate was Koyo's final results margin, and because Koyo's final results margin has been amended pursuant to litigation, we are accordingly amending the BIA rate applied to Isuzu.

⁷Litigation for period did not result in a change in the final results margin for the firm. The Department will instruct Customs to assess duties pursuant to the amended final results notice published for the corresponding review period.

The above rates will become the antidumping duty deposit rates for those firms that have not had a deposit

rate established for them in subsequent reviews.

Accordingly, the Department will determine and Customs will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by the reviews of the periods listed above. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages listed above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

Dated: April 2, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–9546 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 032598C]

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Overfished Fishery for Spiny Dogfish

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notification of an overfished fishery.

summary: In September 1997, NMFS identified overfished stocks or stocks that are approaching an overfished condition, as required by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). As a result of a stock assessment completed since the identification of these fisheries, an additional stock, spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*), has been identified as overfished. The intent of this action is to notify interested persons that the spiny dogfish stock is being added to the list of overfished stocks.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Tokarcik, NMFS, 978-281-9326. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 304(e) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) requires that the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) report annually to Congress and the Regional Fishery Management Councils on the status of fisheries within each Council's geographical area of authority and identify those fisheries that are overfished or are approaching a condition of being overfished. The Councils were notified by letter on September 30, 1997, of the stocks that were overfished or approaching an

overfished condition based on information available at that time. Since that time, an additional stock has been determined to be overfished. The 26th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop assessed the current status of the spiny dogfish resource. This assessment concluded that reproductive biomass and recruitment have declined due to high fishing mortality on mature females. Minimum biomass estimates of mature females have decreased by nearly 50 percent since 1990. Harvest rates of spiny dogfish have exceeded the replacement level of the stock and recruitment has declined. The stock is overexploited. Spiny dogfish are distributed in the Northwest Atlantic between Labrador and Florida and are most abundant between Nova Scotia and Cape Hatteras. Seasonal migrations occur northward in spring/summer and southward in autumn/winter.

Section 304(e) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires that, within 1 year of being notified of the identification of a stock as being overfished, the Councils develop measures to end overfishing and to rebuild the stock. On April 3, 1998, the Mid- Atlantic and New England Fishery Management Councils, which share joint management responsibilities for spiny dogfish, were notified of the overfished status of this stock. The letter to these Councils reads as follows:

Dear Council Chair:

In September of 1997, you received a copy of the Report on the Status of Fisheries of the United States, prepared pursuant to section 304 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), as amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act on October 11, 1996.

Since your receipt of that report, an additional stock has been identified as being overfished. In January 1998, the 26th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop determined that spiny dogfish are over- exploited. This assessment concluded that mean lengths of spiny dogfish are declining rapidly, minimum biomass estimates of mature females have decreased by nearly 50 percent since 1990, and fishing mortality rates are well above sustainable levels. Based on this information, spiny dogfish are being added to the list of overfished stocks.

This letter serves as your official notification of the identification of spiny dogfish as an overfished species. Section 304(e) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act states that a Council will have one year from the identification of a stock as being overfished to develop measures to end overfishing and rebuild the stock. This letter initiates the 1-year period for spiny dogfish.

I am pleased that you have begun work on management measures for this fishery, as it means the time requirement will be more easily satisfied. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, Rolland A. Schmitten Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: April 6, 1998. Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 98–9563 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)

Advisory Committee on Public Interest Obligations of Digital Television Broadcasters; Notice of Open Meeting; Change of Location

ACTION: Notice is hereby given of a change in location of the meeting of the Advisory Committee on Public Interest Obligations of Digital Television Broadcasters on April 14, 1998. This notice also contains an updated agenda for the meeting.

REFERENCE: This notice amends the original notice of open meeting published in the **Federal Register** on March 30, 1998. Citation: 63 FR 15178. **DATES:** The meeting will be held on Tuesday, April 14, 1998 from 9:30 a.m. until 5:30 p.m.

LOCATION: The meeting will be held at the National Association of Broadcasters, 1771 N Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Karen Edwards, Designated Federal
Officer and Telecommunications Policy
Specialist, at the National
Telecommunications and Information
Administration; U.S. Department of

Commerce, Room 4720; 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.; Washington, DC 20230. Telephone:

Washington, DC 20230. Telephone: 202–482–8056; Fax: 202–482–8058; Email: piac@ntia.doc.gov.

MEDIA INQUIRIES: Please contact Paige Darden at the Office of Public Affairs, at 202–482–7002.

Agenda

Tuesday, April 14

Opening remarks
Briefing on the NAB survey of
broadcasters' public service
activities
Committee deliberations
Public comment

Committee business Closing remarks

This agenda is subject to change. For an updated, more detailed agenda, please check the Advisory Committee homepage at www.ntia.doc.gov/ pubintadvcom/pubint.htm.

Shirl Kinney,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, NTIA. [FR Doc. 98–9697 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Grant of Certificate of Interim
Extension of the Term of U.S. Patent
No. 4,177,290: PROVIGIL

AGENCY: Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of interim patent term extension.

SUMMARY: The Patent and Trademark Office has issued a certificate under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) for a one-year interim extension of the term of U.S. Patent No. 4,177,290.

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT: Karin Tyson by telephone at (703) 305–9285; by mail marked to her attention and addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box DAC, Washington, D.C. 20231; by fax marked to her attention at (703) 308–6916, or by e-mail to karin.tyson@uspto.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 156 of Title 35, United States Code, generally provides that the term of a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years if the patent claims a product, or a method of making or using a product, that has been subject to certain defined regulatory review. Under Section 156(e)(1), a patent is eligible for term extension only if regulatory review of the claimed product was completed before the

original patent term expired.
On December 3, 1993, Section 156 was amended by Pub. L. No. 103-179 to provide that if the owner of record of the patent or its agent reasonably expects the applicable regulatory review period to extend beyond the expiration of the patent, the owner or its agent may submit an application to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks for an interim extension of the patent term. If the Commissioner determines that, except for permission to market or use the product commercially, the patent would be eligible for a statutory extension of the patent term, the Commissioner shall issue to the applicant a certificate of

interim extension for a period of not more than one year. The owner of record of the patent or its agent may apply for subsequent one-year interim extensions.

On February 20, 1998, Cephalon, agent of the patent owner Laboratoire L. Lafon, filed an application under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) for interim extension of the term of U.S. Patent No. 4,177,290. The patent claims the active ingredient modafinil in the human drug product "PROVIGIL." The application indicates that the product is currently undergoing a regulatory review before the Food and Drug Administration for permission to market or use the product commercially.

Review of the application suggests that, except for permission to market or use the product commercially, the subject patent would be eligible for an extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. 156. Since it is apparent that the regulatory review period will extend beyond the date of expiration of the patent, and interim extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) is appropriate. Accordingly, an interim extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) of the term U.S. Patent No. 4,177,290 is granted for a period of one-year from the original expiration date of the patent, March 9, 1998.

Dated: April 6, 1998.

Bruce A. Lehman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks. [FR Doc. 98–9535 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–16–M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Romania

April 6, 1998.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 14, 1998. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roy Unger, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927–5850. For information on