

**5. A determination has been made that the recipient country can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the sensitive technology being released as the U.S. Government. This proposed sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.**

[FR Doc. 98-8704 Filed 4-2-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 5000-04-C

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Group of Advisors to the National Security Education Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Strategy and Requirements.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a forthcoming meeting of the Group of Advisors to the National Security Education Board. The purpose of the meeting is to review and make recommendations to the Board concerning requirements established by the David L. Boren National Security Education Act, Title VIII of Public Law 102-183, as amended.

**DATES:** April 23 and 24, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Oregon State University, International Programs Office, Snell Hall 400, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-1642.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Edmond J. Collier, Deputy Director, National Security Education Program, 1101 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1210, Rosslyn P.O. Box 20010, Arlington, Virginia 22209-2248; (703) 696-1991. Electronic mail address: collier@osd.pentagon.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Group of Advisors meeting is open to the public.

Dated: March 30, 1998.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 98-8701 Filed 4-2-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[FE Docket No. PP-174]

#### Application for Presidential Permit, Imperial Irrigation District

**AGENCY:** Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of application.

**SUMMARY:** Imperial Irrigation District (IID), an instrumentality of the State of California, has applied for a Presidential permit to construct, connect, operate and maintain a new electric transmission facility across the U.S. border with Mexico.

**DATES:** Comments, protests, or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before May 4, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments, protests, or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Import and Export (FE-27), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Mintz (Program Office) 202-586-9506 or Michael T. Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-6667.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of facilities at the international border of the United States for the transmission of electric energy between the United States and a foreign country is prohibited in the absence of a Presidential permit issued pursuant to Executive Order (EO) 10485, as amended by EO 12038.

On February 17, 1998, IID filed an application with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) for a Presidential permit. IID proposes to expand its existing Bravo Substation in the vicinity of Calexico, California, by 5,825 square feet and to construct either 2,100 feet (Option 1) or 200 feet (Option 2) of 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission line from the enlarged substation to the U.S. border with Mexico.

Under Option 1, IID would construct approximately 2,100 feet of new 230-kV transmission line from the expanded Bravo Substation to the U.S. border with Mexico. Construction would occur within the right-of-way of IID's All American Canal and would require placing six to eight transmission support structures within the All American Canal right-of-way. Under Option 2, IID would construct approximately 200 feet of new 230-kV transmission line from the expanded Bravo Substation due south, crossing Anza Road (a rural road) 60 feet north

of the international border. This option would not require the placing of any transmission support structures within the U.S.

IID proposes to enter into a contract with Comision Federal de Electricidad (CFE), the national electric utility of Mexico, to provide electrical services including energy, transmission, and ancillary services to CFE's Aeropuerto Substation load. The electric energy IID proposes to transmit to CFE would be provided from the IID system resources or from energy purchased by IID from other generation sources within the U.S. In providing these services, IID may acquire and take title to energy and sell such acquired energy to CFE. Alternatively, IID may also transmit energy for CFE that CFE acquires directly from a third party.

As IID is an instrumentality of the State of California, it is not jurisdictional to Section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) and, therefore, not required to obtain an electricity export authorization prior to commencing exports to CFE. However, other non-governmental entities providing direct sales of electric energy to CFE using the facilities proposed by IID will require an electricity export authorization from FE.

Since the restructuring of the electric power industry began, resulting in the introduction of different types of competitive entities into the marketplace, DOE has consistently expressed its policy that cross-border trade in electric energy should be subject to the same principles of comparable open access and non-discrimination that apply to transmission in interstate commerce. DOE has stated that policy in export authorizations granted to entities requesting authority to export over international transmission facilities. Specifically, DOE expects transmitting utilities owning border facilities constructed pursuant to Presidential permits to provide access across the border in accordance with the principles of comparable open access and non-discrimination contained in the FPA and articulated in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Nos. 888 and 888-A (Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open Access

Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities). In furtherance of this policy, DOE intends to condition any Presidential permit issued in this proceeding on compliance with these open access principles.

#### Procedural Matters

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest at the address provided above in accordance with section 385.211 or 385.214 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214).

Fifteen copies of such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above.

Additional copies of such petitions to intervene or protest also should be filed directly with: Mr. Joseph H. Rowley, Assistant Manager, Power Department, Imperial Irrigation District, P.O. Box 937, Imperial, CA 922512.

Before a Presidential permit may be issued or amended, the DOE must determine that the proposed action will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system and also consider the environmental impacts of the proposed action pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. DOE also must obtain the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense before taking final action on a Presidential permit application.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 30, 1998.

**Anthony J. Como,**

*Manager, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Fossil Energy.*

[FR Doc. 98-8759 Filed 4-2-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-75-000]

#### George Grenyo; Notice Rescinding Errata Notice and Issuing Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that the March 26, 1998 Errata Notice previously issued in this proceeding with respect to the petition for adjustment filed by George Grenyo, in Docket No. SA98-75-000, is hereby withdrawn.

Also take notice that on March 16, 1998, George Grenyo (Grenyo) filed a petition for adjustment, pursuant to Section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 [15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982)], requesting to be relieved of his obligation to pay Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG) the Kansas ad valorem tax refunds for the royalty interests attributable to Grenyo's working interest in the Beach 2-33 and McGraw Leases, otherwise required by the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals.<sup>2</sup> Grenyo's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Grenyo's petition indicates that he has already paid CIG \$6,879.63, and that this sum includes unspecified amounts attributable to royalty interests in the Beach 2-33 and McGraw Leases.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-8713 Filed 4-2-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-40-001]

#### Hummon Corporation; Notice of Amendment to Petition for Adjustment and Request for Extension of Time

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that, on March 13, 1998, Hummon Corporation (Hummon) filed a supplement, in Docket No. SA98-40-001, amending its March 9, 1998 petition (in Docket No. SA98-40-000) for an adjustment the Commission's refund procedures (to defer payment of principal and interest for one year), an adjustment to its procedures to stop the accruing of interest, and a 90-day extension of time to make refunds to Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern). Hummon's March 9 petition was filed on behalf of Hummon and the working interest owners (First Sellers<sup>1</sup>) for whom Hummon operated. Hummon's March 13 amendment adds two First Sellers to the list of working interest owners covered by Hummon's March 9 petition—Bernard J. Alberts and Elinor B. Amstutz—and deletes three First Sellers—Bernard J. Amstutz, Seymour Roth, and Alan Sturm—from that list. The March 13 amendment also revises the amount reported to be in dispute with Northern. Hummon's March 9 petition and March 13 amendment to the March 9 petition are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Hummon's March 9 petition was filed in response to the Commission's September 10, 1997, order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*,<sup>2</sup> on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,<sup>3</sup> which directed first sellers to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. Hummon's March 9 petition stated that Northern had reduced the

<sup>1</sup> The First Seller, working interest owners originally covered by Hummon's March 9 petition for adjustment included: A.L. Abercrombie, Bernard J. Amstutz, Wilber D. Berg, George C. Berryman, Ralph L. Bradley, Donald M. Brod, Robert A. Clark, E.A. Cook III, Jamie Coulter, Lowell D. Denniston, George C. Hill, Byron E. Hummon, Jr., John L. James, Willard J. Kiser, Enterprises, Jack W. Kowalski, James G. Neuner, Pat Petroleum Company, R.L. Robertson, Seymour Roth, Melva Stockstill, Dwight D. Sutherland, Jr., Dwight D. Sutherland, Sr., Arthur Vara, Kenneth S. White, Wanda L. Yinger, Trustee, and Alan Sturm.

<sup>2</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

<sup>3</sup> Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F. 3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754 (May 12, 1997) (Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230).

<sup>1</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

<sup>2</sup> Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).