owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (c) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect cracks on a tail rotor shaft flapping hinge retainer (retainer) that could lead to high tail rotor vibrations, loss of tail rotor control, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to further flight, and thereafter before the first flight of each day, perform a dye penetrant inspection of each retainer for cracks.

(b) If a crack is found on any retainer, replace it with an airworthy retainer.

Note 2: Eurocopter Service Bulletin No. 05.00.41, dated January 29, 1996, pertains to the subject of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in Direction Generale De L'Aviation Civile (France) AD 96–074-057(B), dated March 27, 1996.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on March 24, 1998.

Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–8467 Filed 3–31–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-133-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GmbH Models DG-100 and DG-400 Gliders

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to all Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GmbH (Glaser-Dirks) Models DG-100 and DG-400 gliders. The proposed AD would require repetitively inspecting the airbrakes to assure they retract at their outboard end first, and repairing the airbrakes if they do not retract at their outboard end first; and repetitively inspecting the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage for cracks or deformations, and reinforcing or replacing, as necessary, if cracks or deformations are found in the airbrake torque tube. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent overloading of the airbrake control system caused by free play between the bellcrank and airbrake plate, which could result in failure of the operating lever of the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–133–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH, Postfach 4120, D-76625 Bruchsal 4, Germany; telephone: +49 7257–89-0; facsimile: +49 7257–8922. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201

Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–CE–133–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–133–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Discussion

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all DG-Flugzeugbau Models DG-100 and DG-400 gliders. The LBA reports two weld joint failures of the airbrake torque tube and incidents of free play between the bellcrank and airbrake plate. This freeplay could prevent the airbrake cap from being flush with the wing surface at the outboard wing at the outboard end.

These conditions, if not corrected in a timely manner, could result in overloading of the airbrake control system and failure of the operating lever of the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage.

Relevant Service Information

Glaser-Dirks has issued DG-Flugzeugbau Technical Note No. 301/ 18, No. 323/9, and No. 826/34, dated November 4, 1996, which specifies inspecting the airbrakes to assure they retract at their outboard end first, and repairing the airbrakes if they do not retract at their outboard end first; and repetitively inspecting the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage for cracks or deformations, and reinforcing or replacing, as necessary, if cracks or deformations are found in the airbrake torque tube. The procedures for accomplishing these actions are included in the following

- DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instructions No. 1 for Technical Note No. 301/18, 323/9, and 826/34, dated November 4, 1996, for the airbrake retraction inspection and repair; and
- DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instructions No. 2 for Technical Note No. 301/18, 323/9, and 826/34, dated November 4, 1996, for the airbrake torque tube inspection and reinforcement or replacement.

The LBA classified this service information as mandatory and issued German AD 97–011, dated January 30, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these gliders in Germany.

The FAA's Determination

This glider model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of the Provisions of the Proposed AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Glaser-Dirks Models DG–100 and DG–400 gliders of the same type design registered in the United States, the FAA is proposing AD action. The proposed AD would require repetitively inspecting the airbrakes to

assure they retract at their outboard end first, and repairing the airbrakes if they do not retract at their outboard end first; and repetitively inspecting the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage for cracks or deformations, and reinforcing or replacing, as necessary, if cracks or deformations are found in the airbrake torque tube. Accomplishment of the proposed installation would be required in accordance with the service information previously referenced.

Compliance Time of the Proposed AD

Although the problems identified with the airbrake control system would only be unsafe during flight, this condition is not a result of the number of times the glider is operated. The chance of this situation occurring is the same for a glider with 10 hours time-inservice (TIS) as it is for a glider with 500 hours TIS. For this reason, the FAA has determined that a compliance based on calendar time should be utilized in the proposed AD in order to assure that the unsafe condition is addressed on all gliders in a reasonable time period.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 45 gliders in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 workhours per glider to accomplish the proposed inspections, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$10,800, or \$240 per glider.

These figures are based only on the initial inspections and do not take into account the costs of any repetitive inspections or reinforcements and modifications that would be needed based on the results of the proposed inspections. The FAA has no way of determining the number of repetitive inspections each owner/operator of the affected airplanes would incur, or the number of airbrake control systems that would require modification, reinforcement, or repair.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GMBH: Docket No. 97–CE–133–AD.

Applicability: Models DG-100 and DG-400 gliders, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each glider identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For gliders that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent overloading of the airbrake control system caused by free play between the bellcrank and airbrake plate, which could result in failure of the operating lever of the

airbrake torque tube in the fuselage, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 3 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 12 calendar months, inspect the airbrakes to assure they retract at their outboard end first in accordance with DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instructions No. 1 for Technical Note No. 301/18, 323/9, and 826/34, dated November 4, 1996. If the airbrakes do not retract at their outboard end first, prior to further flight, repair the airbrakes in accordance with the above-referenced working instructions.

(b) Within the next 30 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 12 calendar months, inspect the airbrake torque tube in the fuselage for cracks or deformations in accordance with DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instructions No. 2 for Technical Note No. 301/18, 323/9, and 826/34, dated November 4, 1996. If cracks or deformations are found in the airbrake torque tube, prior to further flight, reinforce or replace, as necessary, in accordance with the above-referenced working instructions.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the glider to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(e) Questions or technical information related to service information referenced in this AD should be directed to DG-Flugzeugbau GmbH, Postfach 4120, D-76625 Bruchsal 4, Germany; telephone: +49 7257-89-0; facsimile: +49 7257-8922. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD 97–011, dated January 30, 1997.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 24, 1998.

Carolanne L. Cabrini,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–8463 Filed 3–31–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-08-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Model PC-12 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. (Pilatus) Model PC-12 airplanes. The proposed action would require replacing and re-routing the power return cables on the starter generator and generator 2, inserting a temporary revision to the pilot operating handbook (POH), and installing a placard near the standby magnetic compass. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Switzerland. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent directional deviation on the standby magnetic compass caused by an overload of electrical current in the airplane structure, which, if not corrected, could result in flight-path deviation during critical phases of flight in icing conditions and instrument meteorologic conditions (IMC).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 4, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–08–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Marketing Support Department, CH–6370 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41–6196 233; facsimile: +41 41–6103 351. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roman T. Gabrys, Aerospace Engineer, Small Airplane Directorate, Airplane Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6932; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–CE–08–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–08–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Discussion

The Federal Office for Civil Aviation (FOCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Switzerland, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Pilatus Model PC-12 airplanes. FOCA reports that directional deviations are occurring on the standby magnetic compass when some systems are in operation during flight. A magnetic field created by additional electric loads caused unreliable readings on the compass while the airplane was flying in IMC and the pilot was relying on the Attitude and Heading Reference Systems (AHRS).

These conditions, if not corrected, could result in a deviation of the