

all Boeing Model 747-100, -200, -300, -SP, and -SR series airplanes. That NPRM invites comments concerning the proposed requirement for installation of components for the suppression of electrical transients and/or the installation of shielding and separation of the electrical wiring of the fuel quantity indication system (FQIS). This reopening of the comment period is necessary to afford all interested persons an opportunity to present their views on the proposed requirements of that NPRM.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-272-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Information concerning this NPRM may be obtained from or examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Hartonas, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2864; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 747-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on December 1, 1997 (62 FR 63624). That action proposed to require installation of components for the suppression of electrical transients and/or the installation of shielding and separation of the electrical wiring of the fuel quantity indication system (FQIS). That action invites comments on regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposal.

That action was prompted by testing results, which revealed that excessive energy levels in the electrical wiring and probes of the fuel system could be induced by electrical transients. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent electrical transients induced by electromagnetic interference (EMI) or electrical short circuit conditions from causing arcing of the FQIS electrical wiring or probes in

the fuel tank, which could result in a source of ignition in the fuel tank.

Since the issuance of that proposal, several commenters have raised issues regarding the ability to implement corrective action in a timely manner, particularly because the manufacturer has yet to issue a service bulletin. Based on these and other comments, the FAA has determined that further discussion and input may be beneficial prior to the adoption of a final rule. As a result, the FAA has decided to reopen the comment period for 60 days to receive additional comments.

In addition, the applicability of the proposed rule addresses "All Model 747-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes." However, the FAA's intent was that the proposal also apply to Model 747-SP and -SR series airplanes. Those airplanes are generally considered to be either Model 747-100 or -200 series airplanes. Therefore, the applicability of the proposed rule is clarified as follows:

"All Model 747-100, -200, -300, -SP, and -SR series airplanes; certificated in any category."

The comment period for Rules Docket No. 97-NM-272-AD closes May 26, 1998.

Because only the applicability statement and no other portion of the proposal or other regulatory information has been changed, the entire proposal is not being republished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 23, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-8094 Filed 3-26-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-55-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10 and MD-11 Series Airplanes, and KC-10 (Military) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-

10 and MD-11 series airplanes, and KC-10 (military) series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection for blockage of the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly, and a one-time inspection of the forward trunnion bolt on the left and right main landing gear (MLG) to detect discrepancies; and repair, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by reports of blockage by opposing bushings of the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly, and reports of flaking, galling, and corrosion of the forward trunnion bolt. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct such flaking, galling, and corrosion of the forward trunnion bolt, which could result in premature failure of the forward trunnion bolt and could lead to separation of the MLG from the wing during takeoff and landing.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 11, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-55-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from The Boeing Company, Douglas Products Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5224; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and

be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-NM-55-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-55-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports from two operators indicating that, in five instances on McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 in-service airplanes, the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly on the left and right main landing gear (MLG) were blocked by opposing bushings, and that the forward trunnion bolt on the left and right MLG was flaking, galling, and corroding. Investigations have revealed that the forward trunnion spacer assemblies were manufactured in a way that could block the lubrication holes. If the lubrication holes are blocked, lubricant cannot migrate to the forward trunnion bolt. Without lubrication, the chrome surface of the forward trunnion bolt may flake and gall and the grooves of the bolt may corrode. This condition, if not corrected, could result in premature failure of the forward trunnion bolt, which could lead to separation of the MLG from the wing during takeoff and landing.

Although the forward trunnion spacer assemblies were installed during production on Model MD-11 series airplanes, the spacer assemblies may have been used as spare parts on Model

DC-10 series airplanes and KC-10 (military) series airplanes.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-32-074, dated December 15, 1997, and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10-32-248, dated December 17, 1997, which describe procedures for a one-time visual inspection of the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly on the left and right MLG for blockage by opposing bushings; a one-time visual inspection of the forward trunnion bolt on the left and right MLG for chrome flaking, galling, and corrosion in the grooves; and repair, if necessary. Accomplishment of the actions specified in these service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between the Proposed Rule and the Relevant Service Information

Operators should note that, although the service bulletins recommend accomplishing the visual inspections at the earliest practical maintenance period or within 24 months, the FAA has determined that an interval of 24 months would not address the identified unsafe condition in a timely manner. In developing appropriate compliance times for this AD, the FAA considered not only the manufacturer's recommendation, but the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, the average utilization of the affected fleet, and the time necessary to perform the inspections (less than one work hour). In light of all of these factors, the FAA finds an 18-month compliance time for Model DC-10 series airplanes and Model KC-10 (military) series airplanes, and a 15-month compliance time for Model MD-11 series airplanes for initiating the required actions to be warranted. These compliance times represent appropriate intervals of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 522 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 326 McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10 and MD-11 series airplanes and KC-10 (military) series airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on this figure, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$19,560, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 98–NM–55–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–10 and MD–11 series airplanes, and KC–10 (military) series airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10–32–248, dated December 17, 1997, and in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–32–074, dated December 15, 1997; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct flaking, galling, and corrosion of the forward trunnion bolt as a result of installation of a suspected unapproved part (SUP), and consequent premature failure of the forward trunnion bolt and separation of the main landing gear (MLG) from the wing during takeoff and landing, accomplish the following:

(a) For airplanes listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–32–074, dated December 15, 1997: Within 15 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection of the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly on the MLG for blockage by opposing bushings, and perform a one-time visual inspection of the forward trunnion bolt on the left and right MLG for chrome flaking, galling, and corrosion in the grooves; in accordance with the service bulletin.

(1) Condition 1. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are not blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt does not reveal chrome flaking or galling, and exhibits no corrosion in the grooves, no further work is required by this AD.

(2) Condition 2. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt does not reveal chrome flaking or galling, and exhibits no corrosion in the grooves: Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a

new part in accordance with the service bulletin.

(3) Condition 3. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt reveals chrome flaking, galling, or corrosion in the grooves, accomplish either paragraph (a)(3)(i) or (a)(3)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Option 1. Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a new part, and replace the forward trunnion bolt with a new part in accordance with the service bulletin. Or

(ii) Option 2. Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a new part, and rework the forward trunnion bolt in accordance with the service bulletin.

(b) For airplanes listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10–32–248, dated December 17, 1997: Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection of the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly on the MLG for blockage by opposing bushings, and perform a one-time visual inspection of the forward trunnion bolt on the left and right MLG for chrome flaking, galling, and corrosion in the grooves; in accordance with the service bulletin.

(1) Condition 1. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are not blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt does not reveal chrome flaking, or galling, and exhibits no corrosion in the grooves, no further work is required by this AD.

(2) Condition 2. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt does not reveal chrome flaking or galling, and exhibits no corrosion in the grooves: Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a new part in accordance with the service bulletin.

(3) Condition 3. If the lubrication holes on the forward trunnion spacer assembly are blocked by opposing bushings, and the forward trunnion bolt reveals chrome flaking, galling, or corrosion in the grooves, accomplish either paragraph (b)(3)(i) or (b)(3)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Option 1. Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a new part, and replace the forward trunnion bolt with a new part in accordance with the service bulletin. Or

(ii) Option 2. Prior to further flight, replace the forward trunnion spacer assembly with a new part, and rework the forward trunnion bolt in accordance with the service bulletin.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 23, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–8099 Filed 3–26–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98–NM–34–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB–145 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB–145 series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time visual inspection of the pilot valve harness tubes for bulges and cracks, cleaning the tubes, applying sealant at the tube end opening, and replacing any discrepant tubes with serviceable tubes. This proposal also would require replacement of the pilot valve harness tubes and vent valve tubes with new tubes having improved anti-corrosion protection. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent cracking of the pilot valve harness tubes, which could allow fuel to enter the conduit and leak overboard; this condition could result in increased risk of a fuel tank explosion and fire.

DATES: Comments must be received by April 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM–34–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this