exporters need to adjust their sorting and packing lines to meet the higher grade requirement. Therefore, the Department has decided that the effective date of this action should be March 30, 1998. This period of time is reasonable and consistent with the provisions of the Act, and will allow both the domestic and imported tomato industries sufficient time to adjust to the new grade requirement and to ship commodity that is already picked and packed.

In view of all the foregoing, the Department has concluded that the increase in the minimum grade requirement from U.S. No. 3 to U.S. No. 2 will advance the interests of the Florida and foreign tomato industries and should be implemented.

In accordance with section 8e of the Act, the United States Trade Representative has concurred with the issuance of this final rule.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, and the comments received, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because: (1) Florida tomato handlers are aware of this action, which was discussed at various industry and association meetings and was recommended by a majority of the Committee; (2) the Committee meeting was a public meeting and all interested parties had an opportunity to provide input; (3) the grade increase needs to be in place as soon as possible to cover the balance of the 1997-98 shipping season which ends in June; and (4) an adequate amount of time has been provided for handlers and importers to adjust their packing and sorting lines to meet the higher grade requirement.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 966

Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tomatoes.

7 CFR Part 980

Food grades and standards, Imports, Marketing agreements, Onions, Potatoes, Tomatoes.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR parts 966 and 980 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR parts 966 and 980 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

PART 966—TOMATOES GROWN IN FLORIDA

2. In § 966.323, paragraph(a)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 966.323 Handling regulation. * * * * *

(a) Grade, size, container, and inspection requirements. (1) Grade. Tomatoes shall be graded and meet the requirements specified for U.S. No. 1, U.S. Combination, or U.S. No. 2 of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Fresh Tomatoes. When not more than 15 percent of the tomatoes in any lot fail to meet the requirements of U.S. No. 1 grade and not more than one-third of this 15 percent (or 5 percent) are comprised of defects causing very serious damage including not more than 1 percent of tomatoes which are soft or affected by decay, such tomatoes may be shipped and designated as at least 85 percent U.S. No. 1 grade.

PART 980—VEGETABLES; IMPORT REGULATIONS

3. In § 980.212, paragraph (b)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 980.212 Import regulations; tomatoes.

* * * * (b) * * *

(1) From October 10 through June 15 of each season, tomatoes offered for importation shall be at least 2 %2 inches in diameter. Not more than 10 percent, by count, in any lot may be smaller than the minimum specified diameter. All lots of tomatoes shall be at least U.S. No. 2 grade.

Dated: March 9, 1998.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–6618 Filed 3–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

12 CFR Parts 614 and 627

RIN 3052-AB09

Loan Policies and Operations; Title IV Conservators, Receivers, and Voluntary Liquidation; Effective Date

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit Administration (FCA) published a final rule under parts 614 and 627 on February 4, 1998 (63 FR 5721). The final rule amends the regulations governing the funding relationship between a Farm Credit Bank (FCB) or agricultural credit bank (ACB), and a direct lender association or other financing institution (OFI). This rule repealed the requirement that the FCA prior approve the General Financing Agreement between an FCB or ACB and a direct lender association or OFI and eliminated a regulatory direct loan limitation. The rule also amended another regulation to permit the voluntary liquidation of Farm Credit institutions by means of an FCAapproved liquidation plan. In accordance with 12 U.S.C. 2252, the effective date of the final rule is 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register** during which either or both Houses of Congress are in session. Based on the records of the sessions of Congress, the effective date of the regulations is March 13, 1998. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The regulation amending 12 CFR parts 614 and 627 published on February 4, 1998 (63 FR 5721) is effective March 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

S. Robert Coleman, Senior Policy Analyst, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4498;

r

James M. Morris, Senior Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102– 5090, (703) 883–4020, TDD (703) 883– 4444.

(12 U.S.C. 2252(a)(9) and (10)) Dated: March 10, 1998.

Floyd Fithian,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 98–6371 Filed 3–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-278-AD; Amendment 39-10385; AD 98-06-08]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300–600 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes, that requires inspections to detect defects of the flanges of the bleed air ducts of the auxiliary power unit (APU), and to measure the material thickness of the flanges; and repair, replacement of the duct with a new or serviceable duct, or operation of the airplane with the bleed air system of the APU inoperative, if necessary. For certain airplanes, the amendment also requires an inspection to detect cracks of the flanges, and follow-on actions. This amendment is prompted by issuance of mandatory continued airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent rupturing and cracking of the flanges of the bleed air ducts, which could damage the elevator control system and consequently reduce the controllability of the airplane. DATES: Effective April 17, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of April 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model Á300, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on January 8, 1998 (63) FR 1070). That action proposed to require inspections to detect defects of the flanges of the bleed air ducts of the auxiliary power unit (APU), and to measure the material thickness of the flanges; and repair, replacement of the duct with a new or serviceable duct, or operation of the airplane with the bleed air system of the APU inoperative, if

necessary. For certain airplanes, the action also proposed to require an inspection to detect cracks of the flanges, and follow-on actions.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 84 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 9 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$45,360, or \$540 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

98-06-08 Airbus: Amendment 39–10385. Docket 95–NM–278–AD.

Applicability: Model A300, A310, and A300–600 series airplanes on which Airbus Modification 11308 has not been accomplished during manufacture; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent rupturing and cracking of the flanges of the bleed air ducts of the auxiliary power unit (APU), and cracking of the adjacent duct, which could damage the elevator control system and consequently reduce the controllability of the airplane; accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 5,000 total flight cycles, or within 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Perform a visual inspection to detect defects (recesses, sharp edges, or scratches) of the inner and outer surfaces of all flanges of the bleed air ducts of the APU between frames 83 and 93 (for Model A300 series airplanes) or between frames 85 and 93 (for Model A310 and A300-600 series airplanes), as applicable, and measure the material thickness of the flanges; in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300-36-0033 (for Model A300 series airplanes), A300-36-6024 (for Model A300-600 series airplanes), or A310-36-2032(for

Model A310 series airplanes), all dated October 17, 1994; as applicable. If any defect is found, prior to further flight, repair the defect in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

- (1) If the material thickness of the flanges is within the limits [Area 1: greater than or equal to 0.56 mm (0.022 inch); Area 2: greater than or equal to 0.48 mm (0.019 inch)] specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–36–0033 (for Model A300 series airplanes), A300–36–6024 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes), or A310–36–2032 (for Model A310 series airplanes), all dated October 17, 1994; as applicable: Prior to further flight, perform an inspection using a magnifying glass or appropriate gauge to detect cracks of the inner and outer surfaces of the flanges, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.
- (i) If no crack is found, and the material thickness of all flanges is within the limits [Area 1: greater than or equal to 0.9 mm (0.035 inch)] specified in the applicable service bulletin: No further action is required by this AD.
- (ii) If no crack is found, and the material thickness of any flange is outside the limits [Area 1: less than 0.9 mm (0.035 inch)] specified in the applicable service bulletin: Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD at the time specified in the applicable service bulletin.
- (iii) If any crack is found: Prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph(a)(1)(iii)(A) or (a)(1)(iii)(B) of this
- (A) Replace the duct with a new or serviceable duct in accordance with the applicable service bulletin. Or
- (B) Operate the airplane with the bleed air system of the APU inoperative, in accordance with the provisions and limitations specified in the operator's FAA-approvedMaster Minimum Equipment List (MMEL).
- (2) If the material thickness of any flange is outside the limits [Area 1: less than 0.56 mm (0.022 inch); Area 2: less than 0.48 mm (0.019 inch)] specified in AirbusService Bulletin A300–36–0033 (for Model A300 series airplanes), A300–36–6024 (forModel A300–600 series airplanes), and A310–36–2032 (for Model A310 series airplanes), all dated October 17, 1994; as applicable: Prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (a)(1)(iii)(A) or (a)(1)(iii)(B) of this AD.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the

Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to

a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The actions shall be done in accordance with All Operator Telex (AOT) 36-02, dated August 23, 1995; Airbus Service Bulletin A300-36-0033, dated October 17, 1994; Airbus Service Bulletin A300-36-6024, dated October 17, 1994; and Airbus Service Bulletin A310-36-2032, dated October 17, 1994. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington,

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 95–182–184(B), dated September 27, 1995.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on April 17, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 5, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–6333 Filed 3–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-169-AD; Amendment 39-10387; AD 98-06-10]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Israel Aircraft Industries, Ltd., Model 1121, 1121A, 1121B, 1123, 1124, 1124A, 1125 Westwind Astra, and Astra SPX Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Israel Aircraft Industries, Ltd., Model 1121, 1121A, 1121B, 1123, 1124, 1124A, 1125 Westwind Astra, and Astra SPX series airplanes, that requires repetitive functional tests for proper operation of hydraulic fuses installed in the brake system and emergency hydraulic indicating system; and replacement of any discrepant hydraulic fuse with a new, improved unit. This amendment is prompted by the issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are

intended to prevent failure of the hydraulic fuse to operate properly, due to internal corrosion, in the event of an external leak downstream of the fuse, which could result in loss of hydraulic systems.

DATES: Effective April 17, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of April 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Galaxy Aerospace Corporation, One Galaxy Way, Fort Worth Alliance Airport, Fort Worth, Texas 76177. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Israel Aircraft Industries, Ltd., Model 1121, 1121A, 1121B, 1123, 1124, 1124A, 1125 Westwind Astra, and Astra SPX series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on January 13, 1998 (63 FR 1930). That action proposed to require repetitive functional tests for proper operation of hydraulic fuses installed in the brake system and emergency hydraulic indicating system; and replacement of any discrepant hydraulic fuse with a new, improved unit.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Interim Action

This is considered to be interim action until final action is identified, at which time the FAA may consider further rulemaking.