

Dated: February 26, 1997.  
 Ted C. Stubblefield,  
*Forest Supervisor.*  
 [FR Doc. 97-5234 Filed 3-3-97; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings and To Terminate Suspended Investigations

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent To revoke antidumping duty orders and findings and To terminate suspended investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to these revocations and terminations must submit their comments in writing no later than the last day of March 1997.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 4, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by § 353.25(d)(4) of the Department's regulations, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the following antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months:

##### Antidumping Proceeding

###### Australia

Canned Bartlett Pears  
 A-602-039  
 38 FR 7566  
 March 23, 1973  
 Contact: Mathew Rosenbaum at (202)

482-0198  
 Canada  
 Construction Castings  
 A-122-503  
 51 FR 17220  
 March 5, 1986  
 Contact: Laurel LaCivita at (202) 482-4470

###### Chile

Standard Carnations  
 A-337-602  
 52 FR 8939  
 March 20, 1987  
 Contact: Lyn Johnson at (202) 482-5287

###### France

Brass Sheet & Strip  
 A-427-602  
 52 FR 6995  
 March 6, 1987  
 Contact: Thomas Killiam at (202) 482-2704

###### Israel

Oil Country Tubular Goods  
 A-508-602  
 52 FR 7000  
 March 6, 1987  
 Contact: Michael Heaney at (202) 482-4475

###### Italy

Certain Valves and Connections of Brass, for Use in Fire Protection Equipment  
 A-475-401  
 50 FR 8354  
 March 1, 1985  
 Contact: Leon McNeill at (202) 482-4236

###### Italy

Brass Sheet & Strip  
 A-475-601  
 52 FR 6997  
 March 6, 1987  
 Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-2704

###### Japan

Televisions  
 A-588-015  
 36 FR 4597  
 March 10, 1971  
 Contact: Sheila Forbes at (202) 482-5253

###### Sweden

Brass Sheet & Strip  
 A-401-601  
 52 FR 6998  
 March 6, 1987  
 Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-2704

###### Taiwan

Light-Walled Welded Rectangular Carbon Steel Tubing  
 A-583-803  
 54 FR 12467  
 March 27, 1989  
 Contact: Thomas O. Barlow at (202) 482-0410

The People's Republic of China  
 Chloropicrin

A-570-002  
 49 FR 10691  
 March 22, 1984  
 Contact: Andrea Chu at (202) 482-4794

If no interested party requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, and no domestic interested party objects to the Department's intent to revoke or terminate pursuant to this notice, we shall conclude that the antidumping duty orders, findings, and suspended investigations are no longer of interest to interested parties and shall proceed with the revocation or termination.

#### Opportunity To Object

Domestic interested parties, as defined in § 353.2(k) (3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations, may object to the Department's intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations by the last day of March 1997. Any submission to the Department must contain the name and case number of the proceeding and a statement that explains how the objecting party qualifies as a domestic interested party under § 353.2(k) (3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations.

Seven copies of such objections should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. You must also include the pertinent certification(s) in accordance with § 353.31(g) and § 353.31(i) of the Department's regulations. In addition, the Department requests that a copy of the objection be sent to Michael F. Panfeld in Room 4203. This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(i).  
 (Dated: February 25, 1997.

Richard W. Moreland,  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 97-5230 Filed 3-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [A-533-809]

#### Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty new shipper reviews.

**SUMMARY:** On November 25, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel flanges (SSF) from India (61 FR 59861). These reviews cover exports of this merchandise to the United States by two manufacturer/exporters, Isibars Ltd. (Isibars) and Patheja Forgings and Auto Parts Ltd. (Patheja), during the period September 1, 1995 through February 29, 1996.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received comments from respondent Patheja concerning alleged clerical errors. The review indicates the existence of a dumping margin for Patheja for this period.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 4, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Killiam or John Kugelman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2704 or 482-0649, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

**Background**

The antidumping duty order on SSF from India was published February 9, 1994 (59 FR 5994). On November 25, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on SSF from India (61 FR 59861). The Department has now completed these new shipper reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

**Scope of the Review**

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

The reviews cover two Indian manufacturer/exporters, Isibars and Patheja, and the period September 1, 1995 through February 29, 1996.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received comments from Patheja on December 10, 1996, concerning alleged clerical errors.

*Comment 1:* Patheja argues that it provided audited figures on August 22, 1996, to update provisional data submitted earlier, but the Department relied instead on the earlier, provisional data for the preliminary results. Patheja argues that the Department should revise its analysis using the audited figures pertaining to cost of manufacturing, general and administrative expenses, interest expenses and profitability.

*Department's Position:* We agree and have revised our analysis accordingly.

*Comment 2:* Patheja argues that the Department inadvertently added vendor charges, a component of material costs, twice, resulting in double counting of those charges.

*Department's Position:* We agree and have revised our analysis accordingly.

*Comment 3:* Patheja argues that the Department failed to deduct the value of scrap metal from the cost of manufacturing.

*Department's Position:* We agree and have revised our analysis accordingly.

*Comment 4:* Patheja argues that the Department used as an ending date for the credit expense period for U.S. sales the date of October 11, 1996, whereas the correct date of payment is October 30, 1996.

*Department's Position:* We agree and have revised our analysis accordingly.

**Final Results of Reviews**

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, we have determined that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for Isibars and Patheja:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Isibars .....	9/1/95– 2/29/96	0.00
Patheja .....	9/1/95– 2/29/96	1.61

Individual differences between the U.S. price and normal value may vary from the above percentages. The Department shall instruct the Customs Service to liquidate all appropriate entries, and to assess no antidumping duties on Isibars' entries.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all

shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act:

(1) The rate for the reviewed firms will be as listed above;

(2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period;

(3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the

manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in earlier reviews or the original investigation, whichever is the most recent; and

(4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(2)(B)) and 19 CFR § 353.22(h).

Dated: February 24, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,  
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-5229 Filed 3-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

[A-489-807]

### Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Shawn Thompson, Cameron Werker, or  
Fabian Rivelis, Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.,  
Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone:  
(202) 482-1776, (202) 482-3874, or  
(202) 482-3853, respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

#### Final Determination

We determine that certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in § 735 of the Act.

#### Case History

Since the preliminary determination in this investigation (*Notice of Preliminary Determination and Postponement of Final Determination: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey*, 61 FR 53203, (Oct. 10, 1996)), the following events have occurred:

In October 1996, we issued supplemental sales and cost questionnaires to Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. (Colakoglu), Ekinciler Demir Celik A.S. (Ekinciler), and Habas Sinai Ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas), and a supplemental cost questionnaire to Izmir Metalurji Fabrikasi Turk A. S. (Metas). Responses to these questionnaires were also received in October 1996.

From October through December 1996, we verified the questionnaire responses of Colakoglu, Ekinciler, Habas, and Metas. We also verified that the following companies had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI): Cebitas Demir Celik Endustrisi A.S., Cukurova Celik Endustrisi A.S., Icdas Istanbul Celik ve Demir Izabe Sanayii A.S., Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., Diler Dis Ticaret A.S., and Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.

On January 14 and 27, 1997, the Department requested that Colakoglu and Habas submit new computer tapes to include data corrections identified through verification. This information was submitted on January 17 and 29, 1997, respectively.

Petitioners (*i.e.*, AmeriSteel Corporation and New Jersey Steel Corporation) and three of the respondents (*i.e.*, Colakoglu, Ekinciler, and Habas) submitted case briefs on January 22, 1997, and rebuttal briefs on

January 27, 1997. No case or rebuttal briefs were received from any other interested party.

#### Scope of Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is all stock deformed steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths and coils. This includes all hot-rolled deformed rebar rolled from billet steel, rail steel, axle steel, or low-alloy steel. It excludes (i) plain round rebar, (ii) rebar that a processor has further worked or fabricated, and (iii) all coated rebar. Deformed rebar is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7213.10.000 and 7214.20.000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

#### Period of Investigation

The POI is January 1, 1995, through December 31, 1995.

#### Facts Available

One of the respondents in this case, Izmir Demir Celik Sanayi A.S. (IDC), failed to respond completely to the Department's requests for information. Specifically, IDC submitted a response to Sections A, B, and C of the May 9 questionnaire, but did not provide any subsequent information, including a response to the supplemental sales questionnaire and the cost of production (COP) questionnaire.

On August 12, 1996, IDC informed the Department that it would not be able to provide any additional information in a timely manner and requested that the Department use the information already on the record in its analysis. However, we were unable to perform any analysis for IDC without a COP response because COP data is an essential component in our margin calculations. We afforded IDC an opportunity to request additional time for completion of its responses. However, IDC neither requested an extension nor submitted any additional data.

Section 776(a)(2) of the Act provides that if an interested party: (1) Withholds information that has been requested by the Department; (2) fails to provide such information in a timely manner or in the form or manner requested; (3) significantly impedes a determination under the antidumping statute; or (4) provides such information but the information cannot be verified, the Department shall, subject to subsections 782(c)(1) and (e) of the Act, use facts otherwise available in reaching the applicable determination. Because IDC