

information collection by industry and public sector agencies. The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this proposed rule.

Finally, the Committee's meetings were widely publicized throughout the spearmint oil industry and all interested persons were invited to attend and participate on all issues. Interested persons are also invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposal. All written comments received within the comment period will be considered before a final determination is made on this matter.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 985

Marketing agreements, Oils and fats, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Spearmint oil.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 985 is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### **PART 985—MARKETING ORDER REGULATING THE HANDLING OF SPEARMINT OIL PRODUCED IN THE FAR WEST**

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 985 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. A new § 985.216 is added to read as follows:

[Note: This section will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.]

#### **§ 985.216 Salable quantities and allotment percentages—1997–98 marketing year.**

The salable quantity and allotment percentage for each class of spearmint oil during the marketing year beginning on June 1, 1997, shall be as follows:

(a) Class 1 (Scotch) oil—a salable quantity of 996,522 pounds and an allotment percentage of 55 percent.

(b) Class 3 (Native) oil—a salable quantity of 1,125,351 pounds and an allotment percentage of 56 percent.

Dated: December 31, 1996.

Robert C. Keeney,

*Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division.*

[FR Doc. 97–281 Filed 1–6–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 95–NM–215–AD]

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100 and –200 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737–100 and –200 series airplanes, that currently requires various inspections for cracks in the outboard chord of the frame at body station (BS) 727 and in the outboard chord of stringer 18A; and repair or replacement of cracked parts. That AD was prompted by reports of fatigue cracks in those outboard chords. This action would add inspections for certain airplanes, and would revise certain compliance times for all airplanes. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the outboard chords, and subsequent rapid decompression of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by February 18, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM–215–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Della Swartz, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; telephone (206) 227–2785; fax (206) 227–1181.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95–NM–215–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM–215–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

#### **Discussion**

On June 5, 1995, the FAA issued AD 95–12–17, amendment 39–9268 (60 FR 36981, July 19, 1995), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737–100 and –200 series airplanes, to require various inspections for cracks in the outboard chord of the frame at body station (BS) 727 and in the outboard chord of stringer 18A; and repair or replacement of cracked parts. That AD also provides for an optional terminating action for the required inspections. That action was prompted by reports of fatigue cracks in those outboard chords. The requirements of that AD are intended to detect and correct such fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the outboard chords, and subsequent rapid decompression of the airplane.

### Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule

Since the issuance of that AD, the FAA has become aware that certain airplanes that should be subject to the requirements of that AD were omitted inadvertently. At the time AD 95-12-17 was issued, the Boeing service bulletins cited in the AD did not describe initial or repetitive inspections for unmodified airplanes that had accumulated less than 27,000 total flight cycles. The FAA has determined that airplanes that have accumulated less than 27,000 total flight cycles as of August 18, 1995, (the effective date of AD 95-12-17) are subject to the addressed unsafe condition. The FAA finds that these airplanes also must be inspected to detect cracking in the outboard chords in order to address the identified unsafe condition in a timely manner.

Additionally, several operators have expressed their concern with the complexity of the compliance times of AD 95-12-17. The operators have advised the FAA that the currently required "progressive" or "sliding" compliance times are difficult to track and to schedule. These operators maintain that the complexity of the compliance times, in itself, will increase the risk and likelihood of a missed inspection occurring because of an inadvertent scheduling oversight.

The FAA acknowledges the commenters' concern. Since the issuance of AD 95-12-17, the FAA has held further discussions with the manufacturer in an effort to clarify and simplify the compliance times. For airplanes on which the upper outboard chord has been replaced, the compliance times of this proposal reflect a revised initial threshold of "prior to the accumulation of 50,000 flight cycles since replacement of the upper outboard chord, or within 4,500 flight cycles as of the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later." For all other airplanes, the compliance times of this proposal reflect a revised initial threshold of "prior to the accumulation of 50,000 total flight cycles, or within 4,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later." The repetitive inspections would be required at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight cycles for all affected airplanes. The FAA has determined that the revised compliance times will address the unsafe condition in a timely manner.

### Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same

type design, the proposed AD would supersede AD 95-12-17 to continue to require various inspections to detect cracking in the outboard chord of the frame at BS 727 and in the outboard chord of stringer 18A; and repair or replacement of cracked parts. This action would add inspections for certain airplanes. This action also would revise the threshold for accomplishment of the initial inspection and would revise the repetitive inspection interval for all affected airplanes. This action also continues to provide for an optional terminating action for the required inspections. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, which is cited in AD 95-12-17 as the appropriate source of service information.

### Cost Impact

There are approximately 999 Model 737-100 and -200 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 296 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

The actions that are currently required by AD 95-12-17 take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact on U.S. operators of the actions currently required is estimated to be \$71,040, or \$240 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

This proposed AD specifies inspection requirements for airplanes that were omitted inadvertently from the existing AD. However, the costs associated with the inspections for those airplanes were included previously in the cost impact on U.S. operators for accomplishment of AD 95-12-17. Therefore, the FAA estimates that no additional costs would be required for accomplishment of the proposed requirements of this AD.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Should an operator elect to accomplish the optional terminating action that will be provided by this AD action, it will take approximately 50 work hours to accomplish it, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$3,680 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this optional terminating action is estimated to be \$6,680 per airplane.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### **PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### **§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-9268 (60 FR 36981, July 19, 1995), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

Boeing: Docket 95-NM-215-AD. Supersedes AD 95-12-17, Amendment 39-9268.

*Applicability:* Model 737-100 and -200 series airplanes; line numbers 1 through 999 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance

of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (f) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the outboard chords, and subsequent rapid decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) For airplanes on which the body station (BS) 727 frame upper outboard chord has been replaced in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53-1088: Prior to the accumulation of 50,000 flight cycles since replacement of the upper outboard chord, or within 4,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform close visual, pulse echo shear wave (PESW), and high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspections to detect cracks in the outboard chord of the frame at BS 727 and in the outboard chord of stringer 18A. Perform the inspections in accordance with Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of either Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, dated June 30, 1994; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, Revision 1, dated May 25, 1995. Thereafter, repeat these inspections at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight cycles.

(b) For airplanes on which the BS 727 frame outboard chord has not been replaced or on which only the lower outboard chord has been replaced in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53-1088: Prior to the accumulation of 50,000 total flight cycles, or within 4,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform close visual, PESW, and HFEC inspections to detect cracks in the outboard chord of the frame at BS 727 and in the outboard chord of stringer 18A. Perform the inspections in accordance with Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of either Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, dated June 30, 1994; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, Revision 1, dated May 25, 1995. Thereafter, repeat these inspections at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight cycles.

(c) If any crack is found in the outboard chord of stringer 18A during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with either paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD.

(1) Repair in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, Revision 1, dated May 25, 1995; or

(2) Repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, (ACO) FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(d) If any crack is found in the outboard chord of the frame at BS 727 during any

inspection required by this AD: Accomplish paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this AD, as applicable, in accordance with either Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, dated June 30, 1994; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, Revision 1, dated May 25, 1995. Thereafter, repeat the inspections required by either paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, as applicable, at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight cycles.

(1) If any crack extends from the forward edge of the chord or from the forward fastener hole, but does not extend past the second fastener hole, accomplish either paragraph (d)(1)(i) or (d)(1)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Prior to further flight, install the time-limited repair. Prior to the accumulation of 4,500 flight cycles or within 18 months after accomplishment of the repair, whichever occurs first, replace the outboard chord. Or

(ii) Prior to further flight, replace the outboard chord.

Note 2: Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166 references Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53-1088 as an additional source of service information for procedures to replace the chord.

(2) If any crack extends from the forward edge of the chord, or from the forward fastener hole, and extends past the second fastener hole, prior to further flight, replace the outboard chord in accordance with either the original issue or Revision 1 of the service bulletin.

(e) Accomplishment of the following actions in accordance with either Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, dated June 30, 1994, or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-53A1166, Revision 1, dated May 25, 1995, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(1) For airplanes on which no crack is found: Install the preventative modification in accordance with either the original issue or Revision 1 of the service bulletin.

(2) For airplanes on which any crack is found: Prior to further flight, replace the cracked chord and install the preventative modification in accordance with either the original issue or Revision 1 of the service bulletin.

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 31, 1996.

S. R. Miller,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 97-254 Filed 1-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-207-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. This proposal would require interchanging the location of the hydraulic fuse and the flow limiter of the standby hydraulic system of the leading edge. The proposed AD also would require replacing the existing hydraulic fuses in the standby hydraulic system with new fuses. This proposal is prompted by reports of a performance test of the hydraulic fuses, which revealed that the positioning of the flow limiter in the existing configuration, and excessive fusing volumes of some of the fuses, can adversely affect the operation of the fuse. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such adversely affected operation of the fuse, which could result in the loss of all hydraulic system pressure and consequent severely reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by February 18, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-207-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport