

Appendix G—DoD Freedom of Information Act Program Components

- a. Office of the Secretary of Defense/Joint Staff/Unified Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and the DoD Field Activities
 - b. Department of the Army
 - c. Department of the Navy
 - d. Department of the Air Force
 - e. Defense Information Systems Agency
 - f. Defense Contract Audit Agency
 - g. Defense Intelligence Agency
 - h. Defense Investigative Service
 - i. Defense Logistics Agency
 - j. National Imagery and Mapping Agency
 - k. Defense Special Weapons Agency
 - l. National Security Agency
 - m. Office of the Inspector General, Department of Defense
 - n. Defense Finance and Accounting Service
 - o. National Reconnaissance Office
- Dated: February 6, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-3412 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

43 CFR Part 426

[RIN 1006-AA38]

Acreage Limitation Rules and Regulations

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On December 18, 1996, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) published in 61 FR 66827, Dec. 18, 1996, an advance notice of proposed rulemaking requesting public comment on possible revisions to existing rules and regulations regarding the acreage limitation provisions of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (RRA). During a recently completed RRA rulemaking activity, 61 FR 66754, Dec. 18, 1996, the Department of the Interior (Department) received a number of comments regarding the compliance of certain large trusts with the acreage limitation provisions of the RRA. Comments expressed a variety of viewpoints, including the assertion that some trusts with landholdings (owned and leased land) in excess of 960 acres may circumvent the requirements of Federal reclamation law. To help facilitate the examination of the application of the acreage limitation provisions to trusts with large landholdings, Reclamation will host a meeting at which interested parties will convene in a round table

arrangement to explore this issue. The public is invited to attend this session. This meeting is not intended as a formal hearing for the submission of comments. Rather, it is intended as an informal discussion so that those in attendance will be better prepared to submit comments on the advance notice of proposed rulemaking. To further facilitate the submittal of comments, the comment period has been extended to April 17, 1997.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on March 14, 1997, at 10:00 a.m. The comment period on the advance notice of proposed rulemaking is extended to April 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at The Best Western Expo Inn, 1413 Howe Avenue, Sacramento, CA. Written comments are to be mailed to the Commissioner's Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 1849 C Street N.W., Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Richardson, Bureau of Reclamation, Mail Code W-1500, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240, telephone (202) 208-4291.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the December 18, 1996, Federal Register notice, Reclamation requested comments and suggestions on:

- Whether to limit nonfull-cost water deliveries to large trusts with landholdings in excess of 960 acres (or other applicable acreage thresholds under the RRA);
- The criteria used to determine whether landholdings in excess of 960 acres, operated under a trust arrangement, should be eligible to receive nonfull-cost water deliveries;
- Whether nonfull-cost water deliveries to such landholdings are consistent with the principles of Federal reclamation law and sound public policy and, if not, how to implement a limit on such deliveries;
- What procedures might ensure fairness in transition to new rules that would limit large trusts to 960 acres for nonfull-cost water, and what safeguards would be necessary to avoid such trusts from adopting some other, as yet unregulated form, to escape acreage limitations; and
- The extent of the Department's statutory authority to address these issues, including, the extent of the Department's legal authority to regulate: (a) future trusts, (b) trusts established from 1982 to the present, and (c) trusts established prior to 1982.

Dated: February 11, 1997.

J. Austin Burke,

Director, Program Analysis Office.

[FR Doc. 97-3962 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 95

[GEN Docket No. 91-2, DA 97-308]

Interactive Video and Data Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Request for comments on waiver request.

SUMMARY: This action seeks additional comment on waiver of the three year construction benchmark that IVDS lottery license winners must meet by March 29, 1997. It is necessary for the Commission to receive comment on the waiver request in order to determine if the waiver should be granted. The effect of the action will be to seek comment on the requested rule waiver.

DATES: Comments are due February 25, 1997; reply comments are due March 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments should be sent or delivered to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554, Att'n Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William T. Cross of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at (202) 418-0680.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice released February 10, 1997. The full text of this action is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Summary of Public Notice

1. In the Report and Order in GEN Docket No. 91-2, the Commission concluded that a major concern of potential users of this service was that an applicant who obtained a license through the lottery process may not actually build the IVDS system. The IVDS rules require, therefore, that licensees must construct a sufficient

number of stations in three years to cover 30 percent of the population or land area, and in five years to cover 50 percent of the population or land area (see 47 CFR 95.833).

2. Maritime Communications Corporation, an IVDS licensee in the Dallas, TX MSA, recently filed a request for waiver of the rule requiring IVDS licensees to use type accepted equipment or alternatively, a waiver of the three year construction requirement for its IVDS system. Bay Interactive Ventures Ltd., and IVDS licensee in the Los Angeles, CA MSA, also filed a request for waiver of the three year construction requirement for its IVDS system. Both licensees cite the pendency of a petition for rule making, RM-8951, the lack of commercially available equipment and the lack of service applications they could deploy over their systems as reasons that we should waive the three year construction benchmark.

3. Interested parties may file comments concerning these waiver requests on or before February 25, 1997. Reply comments are due on or before March 3, 1997. Comments and reply comments should be sent or delivered to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554, Att'n Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau. A copy should also be sent or delivered to: Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Room 8010, Stop Code 2000-F, Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Finally, a copy should be sent or delivered to the Commission's duplicating contractor: International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037 (telephone number (202) 857-3800). Copies of the filings may be obtained from ITS.

4. A copy is also available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Room 8010, 2025 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR 95

Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-4029 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 225

[DFARS Case 97-D300]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Ball and Roller Bearings; Waiver

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement 10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6), which provides that the Secretary of Defense may waive the domestic source restrictions of 10 U.S.C. 2534(a) for a procurement that is for an amount less than the simplified acquisition threshold, when simplified acquisition procedures are being used.

DATE: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before April 21, 1997, to be considered in the formulation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD(A&T)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telefax number (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 97-D300 in all correspondence related to this issue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, (703) 602-0131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

10 U.S.C. 2534(a) limits the procurement of certain items to domestic sources; 10 U.S.C. 2534(g)(1) provides that this limitation does not apply to a contract or subcontract for an amount that does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold.

10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6) provides that the Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2534(a) for a procurement that is for an amount less than the simplified acquisition threshold, when simplified acquisition procedures are being used.

This DFARS rule proposes to implement the waiver authority of 10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6) with regard to the acquisition of ball and roller bearings, because 10 U.S.C. 2534(g)(2), added by Section 806(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Pub. L. 104-106), makes the broader exception at 10 U.S.C. 2534(g)(1) inapplicable to ball and roller bearings.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed rule may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* Therefore, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has been prepared and is summarized as follows:

The proposed rule is being considered by the agency to implement 10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6) with regard to the acquisition of ball and roller bearings. 10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6) provides that the Secretary of Defense may waive the domestic source restrictions of 10 U.S.C. 2534(a) for a procurement that is for an amount less than the simplified acquisition threshold, when simplified acquisition procedures are being used. Because of other statutory provisions that pertain to the acquisition of ball and roller bearings, the waiver authority in this proposed rule may be used only if (1) ball and roller bearings or bearing components are the end items being purchased, and (2) the ball and roller bearings or bearing components are commercial items, or no fiscal year 1996 or 1997 funds are being used. It is estimated that 11 small businesses could be affected by this rule. The rule imposes no new reporting, recordkeeping, or compliance requirements for contractors or offerors; and does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules. There are no practical alternatives that will fully implement the provisions of 10 U.S.C. 2534(d)(6).

A copy of the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has been submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. Interested parties may obtain a copy of the analysis from the address specified herein. Comments are invited from small businesses and other interested parties. Comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart also will be considered in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 97-D300 in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed rule contains no information collection requirements that require approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*