DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Buchanan, Dickenson, and Wise Counties, Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that a draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed transportation corridor between Route 23 in Pound, Virginia and the West Virginia State Line, including the Virginia Counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, and Wise.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bruce Turner, Planning and Environment Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, The Dale Building, Suite 205, 1504 Santa Rosa Road, Richmond, Virginia 23229, Telephone 804–281–5111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), will prepare an Environment Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposed highway from Route 23 in Pound, Virginia to the West Virginia State Line. The National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 extended the Coalfields Expressway from West Virginia into Virginia and defined the roadway as going to Pound, Virginia generally following State route 83 through Buchanan, Dickenson and Wise Counties. The proposed project involves a location study for the Coalfields Expressway in Virginia. The corridor will be developed as a two lane facility on an ultimate four lane design. Improvements could involve existing Route 83, a new highway on new location, or a combination of both. Access control will also be developed as part of this study.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) taking no action (no build); (2) transportation system management (improvement to the existing roadway network); (3) mass transit; and (4) various build alternatives on both new location and combinations of existing and new location. The build alternatives will incorporate variations of vertical and horizontal grade alignments.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, private organizations, and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have an interest in this proposal. The scoping process is anticipated to begin in Fall of 1997. A series of public informational meetings and a public hearing will be held in the near future. Public notice will be given indicating the time and place of the meetings and hearing. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning the proposed action and the Draft EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed action.)

Issued on: December 5, 1997.

Bruce Turner,

Planning and Environment Program Manager, Richmond, Virginia.

[FR Doc. 97–32860 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Roanoke, Franklin and Henry Counties, Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). **ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that a draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in the counties of Roanoke, Franklin and Henry in Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bruce Turner, Planning and Environment Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, The Dale Building, Suite 205, 1504 Santa Rosa Road, Richmond, Virginia 23229, Telephone 804–281–5111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), will prepare an Environment Impact Statement (EIS) to identify a location for a future Interstate (I–73) in Roanoke, Franklin and Henry counties, Virginia. The project would involve the location an construction of a new four-lane interstate facility, interchanges, and

redesign and construction of local access roads and roadway systems between Roanoke, Virginia to a point south of Martinsville, Virginia in the vicinity of the Virginia/North Carolina border for a total distance of approximately 70 miles.

Improvements to the corridor are considered necessary to provide for existing and future traffic demand, to reduce accidents and improve safety in the existing U.S. Route 220 corridor, and to provide a portion of new interstate in accordance with the **Intermodal Surface Transportation** Efficiency Act (ISTEA) passed by the United States Congress in 1991. ISTEA identified I-73 as a highway corridor of national significance and directed the corridor be included on the National Highway System. Congress defined I-73 as a north-south corridor from Charleston, South Carolina to Detroit, Michigan that would pass through Winston-Salem, North Carolina, Portsmouth, Ohio, and Cincinnati, Ohio. Also included in the this proposal is the redesign and reconstruction of affected service roads and local access, including the identification of potential interchange locations along the corridor. Alternatives under consideration include: (1) taking no action (no build); (2) transportation system management (improvement to the existing roadway network) and/or intelligent transportation system methods and techniques; (3) widening the existing U.S. 220 highway to a four-lane, limited access highway; and (4) construction of a new four-lane, limited access highway on new location.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, private organizations, and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have an interest in this proposal. A series of public meetings will be held between now and December 1999. In addition, public hearings will be held. Public notices will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearings. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearings.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning the proposed action and the draft EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed action.)

Issued On: December 8, 1997.

Edward S. Sundra,

Environmental/Air Quality Engineer, Richmond, Virginia.

[FR Doc. 97–32861 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

Block Signal Application (BS-AP)—No. 3438

Applicant: CSX Transportation, Incorporated, Mr. R. M. Kadlick, Chief Engineer Train Control, 500 Water Street (S/C J–350), Jacksonville, Florida 32202.

CSX Transportation, Incorporated seeks approval of the proposed modification of the traffic control system, on the two main tracks, near East Garrett, Indiana, milepost BI–126.1, Garrett Subdivision, Chicago Service Lane, consisting of the discontinuance and removal of controlled signals A34E, B34E, C34E, A34W, and B34W, the discontinuance and removal of the power-operated right turnout on Main Track No. 1, and conversion of the power-operated crossover between Main Tracks No. 1 and 2 to electrically locked hand operation.

The reason given for the proposed changes is the installation of a third main track.

BS-AP-No. 3439

Applicants: SOO Line Railroad Company, Mr. Roscoe VanPelt, District Coordinator Signals & Communications, Canadian Pacific Railway, 105 South 5th Street, Box 530, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440. Burlington Northern Santa Fe, Mr. D. G. Boll, Assistant Vice President Signal Engineering, 1900 Continental Plaza, 777 Main Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102–5384.

Wisconsin Central Limited, Mr. John R. Lamz, Chief Engineer

Communications & Signals, P.O. Box 96, Stevens Point, Wisconsin 54481.

The SOO Line Railroad Company (SOO), Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF), and Wisconsin Central Limited, jointly seek approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the smash boards from the home signals, at Bald Eagle Interlocking, milepost 18.11, Bald Eagle, Minnesota, where the SOO single main track crosses at grade the BNSF single main track, on the SOO's Paynesville Subdivision, including installation of a FPC monitor.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to reduce maintenance associated with smash boards.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the protestant in the proceeding. The original and two copies of the protest shall be filed with the Associate Administrator for Safety, FRA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590 within 45 calendar days of the date of publication of this notice. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on December 10, 1997.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 97–32910 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR Part 236 as detailed below.

Block Signal Application (BS-AP)-No. 3440

Applicant: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. P.M. Abaray, Chief Engineer-Signals/Quality, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179–1000.

The Union Pacific Railroad Company seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the automatic block signal system, on the single main track, between Wellton, milepost 770.8 and Arlington, milepost 861.6, Arizona, on the Gila Subdivision, Phoenix Line, former Southern Pacific Lines, a distance of approximately 91 miles. The proposed changes include removal of signals; switch point, and fouling protection; conversion of Signals 7719 and 8608 to inoperative D signals; conversion of Signals 40RA and 38RB at Wellington to red-lunar aspects; and conversion of Signal 8617 at Arlington to a lunar aspect.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that this portion of the Phoenix Line has been shut down for a period of time and does not have any more rail traffic; the trackage will no longer be a main track, but will be used as a storage track.

BS-AP-No. 3441

Applicant: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. P.M. Abaray, Chief Engineer-Signals/Quality, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179–1000.

The Union Pacific Railroad Company seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the automatic block signal system, on the single main track, between Port Chicago, milepost 40.9 and Tracy, milepost 78.7, California, on the Martinez Subdivision, Mococo Line, former Southern Pacific Lines, a distance of approximately 38 miles. The proposed changes include removal of signals, switch point, and fouling protection; conversion of Signal 433 to an operative D signal; conversion of Signal FA at Port Chicago to redgreen aspect; and designation of the trackage to DTC operations.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that this portion of the Mococo Line does not have rail traffic to warrant the signal system.

BS-AP-No. 3442

Applicant: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. P.M. Abaray, Chief Engineer-Signals/Quality, 1416 Dodge