Effective date: December 2, 1997. Amendment No.: 216

Facility Operating License No. NPF-3: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: March 12, 1997 (62 FR 11498). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 2, 1997.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: University of Toledo, William Carlson Library, Government Documents Collection, 2801 West Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, Ohio 43606.

Toledo Edison Company, Centerior Service Company, and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Docket No. 50–346, Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, Ottawa County, Ohio

Date of application for amendment: September 17, 1996, as supplemented by letters dated November 27, 1996, and October 14, 1997.

Brief description of amendment: This amendment revises the surveillance interval from 18 months to less than or equal to 730 days, nominally 24 months, for Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.5.2, "Emergency Core Cooling Systems— ECCS Subsystems—Tayg greater than or equal to 280 degrees F'; TS 3/4.6.5.1, "Containment Systems—Shield Building—Emergency Ventilation System"; TS 3/4.7.6.1, "Plant Systems—Control Room Emergency Ventilation System"; TS 3/4.7.7, "Plant Systems—Snubbers"; TS 3/4.9.12, "Refueling Operations—Storage Pool Ventilation"; and TS Bases 3/4.7.7—"Snubbers."

Date of issuance: December 2, 1997. Effective date: Immediately, and shall be implemented no later than 120 days after issuance.

Amendment No.: 217.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-3: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 9, 1996 (61 FR 52972). The supplemental information submitted by the licensees did not impact the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 2,

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: University of Toledo, William Carlson Library, Government Documents Collection, 2801 West Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, Ohio 43606. Toledo Edison Company, Centerior Service Company, and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Docket No. 50-346, Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, Ottawa County, Ohio

Date of application for amendment: December 11, 1996 (as supplemented by letter dated January 6, 1997), January 30, 1997 (as supplemented by letter dated September 15, 1997), and April 18, 1997.

Brief description of amendment: This amendment extends surveillance requirement intervals from 18 to 24 months, revises setpoints, and revises TS 2.2, "Limiting Safety System Settings." Administrative changes have also been made.

Date of issuance: December 2, 1997. Effective date: December 2, 1997. Amendment No.: 218.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-3: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Dates of initial notice in Federal Register: January 15, 1997 (62 FR 2194), March 12, 1997 (62 FR 11498) and June 4, 1997 (62 FR 30654). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 2, 1997.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No. The supplemental information provided by the licensees did not affect the proposed no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: University of Toledo, William Carlson Library, Government Documents Collection, 2801 West Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, OH 43606.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of December 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Elinor G. Adensam**,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects— III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 97–32763 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316]

American Electric Power Company; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by Petition dated October 9, 1997, David A. Lochbaum, on behalf of the Union of Concerned Scientists, has requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action with regard to Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, operated by American Electric Power Company (the Licensee).

The Petition requests that the operating licenses for D.C. Cook, Units 1 and 2, be modified, revoked, or suspended until there is reasonable assurance that the Licensee's systems are in conformance with design-and licensing-bases requirements. The Petition requests that systems with a safety function at D.C. Cook be qualified and capable of performing their required function under all design conditions before restart. The Petition also requests that a public hearing into this matter be held in the Washington, DC, area before the first unit at D.C. Cook is authorized to restart.

As the basis for these requests, the Petition states that the NRC recently completed an architect/engineer design inspection at D.C. Cook. The Licensee had previously reviewed the same systems as part of its design-basis documentation reconstitution program. Findings by the NRC inspection team led to a shutdown of both units and has necessitated changes to the plant's physical configuration. Therefore, the Petition asserts that the Licensee's design-basis documentation reconstitution and updated final safety analysis report validation programs lack the necessary rigor and focus. The Petition further asserts that deficiencies in the Licensee's design control programs may also be responsible for similar problems in its safety systems, which were not examined by the NRC.

The request is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this Petition within a reasonable time. A copy of the Petition is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of December 1997.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Collins,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–32878 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange

Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension:

Rule 17Ad–2(c), (d), and (h), SEC File No. 270–149 OMB Control No. 3235–0130 Rule 17Ad–10, SEC File No. 270–265 OMB Control No. 3235–0273

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget requests for extension of the previously approved collections of information discussed below.

Rule 17Ad-2(c), (d) and (h) Transfer Agent Turnaround, Processing and Forwarding Requirements

Rule 17Ad–2(c), (d), and (h), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, enumerate the requirements with which transfer agents must comply to inform the Commission or the appropriate regulator of a transfer agent's failure to meet the minimum performance standards set by the Commission rule by

filing a notice.

While it is estimated there are 1,326 transfer agents, approximately ten notices pursuant to 17Ad-2(c), (d), and (h) are filed annually. In view of (a) the readily available nature of most of the information required to be included in the notice (since that information must be compiled and retained pursuant to other Commission rules); (b) the summary fashion that such information must be presented in the notice (most notices are one page or less in length); and (c) the experience of the staff regarding the notices, the Commission staff estimates that, on the average, most Notices require approximately one-half hour to prepare. The Commission staff estimates a cost of approximately \$30.00 for each half hour spent preparing the notices per year, transfer agents spend an average of five hours per year complying with the rule at a cost of

Rule 17Ad-10 Prompt Posting of Certificate Detail to Master Securityholder Files; Maintenance of Accurate Securityholder Files and Control Book; and Retention of Certificate Detail

Rule 17Ad–10, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, requires approximately 1,326 registered transfer agent to create and maintain minimum information on securityholders' ownership of an issue of securities for which it performs transfer agent functions, including the purchase, transfer and redemptions of securities.

In addition, the rule also requires transfer agents that maintain securityholder records to keep certificate detail that has been cancelled from those records for a minimum of six years and to maintain and keep current an accurate record of the number of shares or principle dollar amount of debt securities that the issuer has authorized to be outstanding (a "control book"). These recordkeeping requirements assist in the creation and maintenance of accurate securityholder records, the ability to research errors, and ensure the transfer agent is aware of the number of securities that are properly authorized by the issuer, thereby avoiding overissuance.

The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary for each transfer agent to comply with Rule 17Ad–10 is approximately 20 hours per year, totalling 26,520 hours industry-wide. The average cost is approximately \$20 per hour, with the industry-wide cost estimated at approximately \$530,400. However, the information required by Rule 17Ad–10 generally already is maintained by registered transfer agents. The amount of time devoted to compliance with Rule 17Ad–10 varies according to differences in business activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; and (ii) Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: December 8, 1997.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–32826 Filed 12–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Ballantyne of Omaha, Inc., Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value) File No. 1–13906

December 11, 1997.

Ballantyne of Omaha, Inc. ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2–2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange").

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the

ollowing:

The Security recently was listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") pursuant to a Registration Statement which became effective December 1, 1997. Trading in the Security commenced on the NYSE on December 5, 1997. The Company's Board of Directors determined that in order to avoid the costs and the division of the market resulting from a duallisting, the Security should be withdrawn from listing and registration on the Amex.

The Company has complied with Amex Rule 18 by filing with the Exchange a certified copy of the resolutions adopted by the Company's Board of Directors authorizing the withdrawal of the Security from listing and registration on the Amex, and by setting forth in detail to the Exchange the reasons and facts supporting the withdrawal.

In making the decision to withdraw its Security from listing and registration on the Amex, the Company also considered the increased visibility and liquidity that a listing on the NYSE may provide.

By letter dated November 14, 1997, the Amex informed the Company that it had no objection to the withdrawal of the Company's Security from listing and registration on the Amex.

By reason of Section 12(b) of the Act and the rules thereunder, the Company shall continue to be obligated to file reports with the Commission and the Exchange under Section 13 of the Act.

Any interested person may, on or before January 6, 1998, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street,