Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) installation of a U.S.model seat belt in the driver's position, or a belt webbing actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor; (b) installation of an ignition switch actuated seat belt warning lamp and buzzer; (c) replacement of the driver's and passenger's side air bags and knee bolsters if these are not U.S.-model components. The petitioner states that the vehicles are equipped with combination lap and shoulder restraints that adjust by means of an automatic retractor and release by means of a single push button in each front designated seating position, with combination lap and shoulder restraints that release by means of a single push button in each rear outboard designated seating position, and with a lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

Standard No. 214 Side Impact Protection: installation of reinforcing beams.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity:* installation of a rollover valve in the fuel tank vent line between the fuel tank and the evaporative emissions collection canister.

Petitioner states that a vehicle identification number plate will be affixed to non-U.S. certified 1994–1998 Mercedes-Benz S320 passenger cars to comply with the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: December 5, 1997.

### Marilynne Jacobs,

Director Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 97–32344 Filed 12–9–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-97-3146]

# Toyota Technical Center, U.S.A., Inc., Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Toyota Technical Center, U.S.A., Inc. (Toyota) of Washington, D.C. on behalf of the Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. has determined that some 1998 model Toyota Sienna vehicles fail to comply with 49 CFR 571.120, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 120, "Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Cars," and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Toyota has also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301—"Motor Vehicle Safety" on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of an application is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the application.

Paragraph S5.3 of FMVSS No. 120 states that the recommended cold inflation pressure for the designated tire must appear either on the certification label or a tire information label.

Toyota produced 8,528 vehicles from May 12, 1997 through October 13, 1997 which do not meet the labeling requirements stated in the standard. The recommended 240KPa (35 PSI) cold inflation pressure for the designated tire (P205/70R15) is misstated on the certification label as 220 KPa (33 PSI). However, the correct tire inflation pressure appears on the voluntary-affixed tire information label and in the vehicle owners manual.

Toyota supports its application for inconsequential noncompliance with the following three statements:

1. On these vehicles, Toyota has applied a voluntary tire information label, on which the correct recommended pressure, "240 KPa/35 PSI" (at maximum loaded vehicle weight) appears, [located at] the door opening portion of the driver side B-pillar. Toyota believes that owners will refer to this tire information label rather than the certification label, making the possibility of confusion due to the different tire inflation pressures quite low.

- 2. The vehicle owner's manual also indicates the correct recommended inflation pressure.
- 3. The Maximum Loaded Vehicle Weight (MLVW)—the weight of the heaviest vehicle of the car line with full accessories, passengers in all designated seating positions, and maximum cargo and luggage load—of the Toyota Sienna is 2,365 kg. In such [a] fully-loaded condition, the rear axle is loaded more than the front [axle], resulting in a rear axle load of 1,204 kg or 602 kg on each rear tire. The load limit of the subject P205/70R15 tire inflated to 220 KPa (33 PSI) is 650 kg. Therefore, there still exists a 48 kg margin under the MLVW. Since the Sienna is a passenger vehicle—as opposed to a cargo vehicle—it is unlikely that the owner will overload it.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on the application of Toyota described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., 20590. It is requested that two copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received after the closing date, will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the application is granted or denied, the notice will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: January 9, 1998.

 $(49\ U.S.C.\ 30118,\ 30120;\ delegations\ of\ authority\ at\ 49\ CFR\ 1.50\ and\ 501.8)$ 

Issued on: December 4, 1997.

## L. Robert Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 97–32266 Filed 12–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Finance Docket No. 33510]

Kansas City Southern Lines, Inc.; Corporate Family Transaction Exemption; KCS Transportation Company and the Kansas City Southern Railway Company

Kansas City Southern Industries, Inc. (KCSI), Kansas City Southern Lines, Inc. (KCSL), the Kansas City Southern

Railway Company (KCSR), and KCS Transportation Company (KCST) have jointly filed a verified notice of exemption for KCSL's common control of KCSR and KCST's rail subsidiaries, Gateway Western Railway Company (GWWR), and Gateway Eastern Railway Company (GWER).<sup>1</sup>

The transaction was expected to be consummated on or about November 21, 1997, at which time KCST was to merge into KCSL with KCSL as the surviving corporation. As a result, KCSR and GWWR will be direct subsidiaries of KCSL and GWER will be its indirect subsidiary. After the transaction, KCSL will commonly control KCSR, GWWR, and GWER. KCSL will also own 49% of the outstanding stock of Mex Rail Corporation, the parent company of the Texas Mexican Railroad Company and 37% of the outstanding stock of Grupo TFM, which in turn owns 80% of the outstanding stock of Transportacion Ferroviaria Mexicana, a Mexican railroad company.

The parties state that the transaction will take place within the KCSI corporate family and will not result in adverse changes in service levels, significant operational changes, or a change in the competitive balance with carriers outside of the corporate family. Thus, it is the type of transaction specifically exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Accordingly, the exemption is subject to the labor protective conditions set forth in *New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern Dist.* 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to reopen will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33510, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423–001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Robert K. Dreiling, Kansas City Southern Industries, 114 West 11th Street, Kansas City, MO 64105 and William A. Mullins, Troutman Sanders LLP, 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 500 East, Washington, DC 20005–3314.

Decided: December 3, 1997.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-32269 Filed 12-9-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Bureau of the Public Debt**

# Proposed Collection: Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently the Bureau of the Public Debt within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Reinvestment Application.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before February 9, 1998, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of the Public Debt, Vicki S. Thorpe, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Vicki S. Thorpe, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328, (304) 480–6553.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Reinvestment Application. *OMB Number:* 1535–0096. *Form Number:* PD F 1993.

Abstract: The information is requested to support a request that proceeds of matured Series H savings bonds be reinvested in Series HH bonds.

Current Actions: None.
Type of Review: Extension.
Affected Public: Individuals.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 270,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 67,500.

# **Request for Comments**

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: December 4, 1997.

# Vicki S. Thorpe,

Manager, Graphics, Printing and Records Branch.

[FR Doc. 97–32257 Filed 12–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

¹ In addition to invoking the class exemption for this transaction, KCSI is asking the Board to grant retroactive authority for a previous transaction—the transfer of the stock of KCSR and KCST to KCSL—which the parties undertook but for which they sought no approval from the Board. If KCSI wants to seek Board approval of that transaction, it should file a separate petition for exemption (no class exemption provides for retroactive application). Such a request may not be "piggybacked" on this paties.