### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-513-000]

### Texaco Natural Gas Company v. Sea Robin Pipeline Company; Notice of Technical Conference

November 26, 1997.

The filing in the above captioned proceeding raises issues that should be addressed in a technical conference.

Take notice that the technical conference will be held on Thursday, December 11, 1997, at 1:00 p.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All interested parties and Staff are permitted to attend.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31658 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-3113-002]

# Texas Utilities Electric Company; Notice of Filing

November 26, 1997.

Take notice that, on November 14, 1997, Texas Utilities Electric Company ("TU Electric") tendered for filing an open-access Tariff for Transmission Service To, From and Over Certain HVDC Interconnections ("TFO Tariff") and amendments to four transmission service agreements with Central Power and Light Company, West Texas Utilities Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company, previously designated as Rate Schedule FERC Nos. Two through Five, in compliance with the Commission's October 15, 1997 "Order Accepting Revised Transmission Tariffs for Filing, As Modified, and Granting Waiver of Notice Requirements".

Copies of the filing were served on all parties of record.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or

protests should be filed on or before December 9, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31644 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-58-000]

# Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 26, 1997.

Take notice that on November 21, 1997, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing certain revised tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1 which tariff sheets are enumerated in Appendix A attached to the filing. The referenced tariff sheets are proposed to be effective December 21, 1997.

Transco states that the purpose of the instant filing is to revise certain of Transco's currently effective tariff sheets to (i) correct various spelling, punctuation, wording, and reference errors, (ii) provide for a daily capacity release rate for volumetric releases associated with the Maiden Lateral surcharge, (iii) change Section 5.2(g) of the General Terms and conditions to more accurately reflect Transco's practice when making metering adjustments and (iv) update the Form of Service Agreements to include an effective time of 9:00 a.m. Central clock time and to change the effective and termination date fields to be usable for the year 2000 and beyond, all as further described in Attachment 1 to the filing.

Transco states that copies of the filing are being mailed to its affected customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31661 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR98-2-000]

# Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation, Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

November 26, 1997.

Take notice that on November 21, 1997, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Commission, 18 CFR 385.206, Sections 9, 13, and 15 of the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA), 49 U.S.C. app. §§ 9, 13, and 15 (1994), and Section 1803 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation (Ultramar Diamond) filed a complaint against SFPP, L.P. (SFPP), as part of Ultramar Diamond's motion to intervene in Docket No. OR98–1–000.

Ultramar Diamond asserts that SFPP violated and continues to violate Section 1(5), 2, 3(1), 4, 6, and 8 of the ICA by: (a) establishing and charging unjust and unreasonable rates for its jurisdictional services; (b) charging unduly discriminatory or preferential rates and charges for its jurisdictional services; and (c) assessing untariffed rates and charges for jurisdictional interstate services. 49 U.S.C. app. §§ 1(5), 2, 3(1), 4, 6, and 8 (1994).

Ultramar Diamond requests that the Commission (1) examine the rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service, (2) order refunds to Ultramar Diamond to the extent the Commission finds that such rates or charges were unlawful, (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for SFPP's jurisdictional interstate service; and award Ultramar Diamond reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance with the ICA, including Sections 8, 9, 15, and 16

of the ICA, and such other relief as may be appropriate in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before December 22, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before December 22, 1997.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31648 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP95-136-008]

# Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Refund Report

November 26, 1997.

Take notice that on November 21, 1997, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing a report of refunds made to customers on November 6 and 11, 1997.

WNG states that pursuant to Commission order issued October 22, 1997, in Docket No. RP95–136–007, it is filing a report of additional refunds to KMGA and City Utilities of Springfield, including applicable interest through November 6, 1997. In addition to amounts withheld for past due PODB penalties in Docket No. RP95–136, WNG states that it also refunded to KMGA amounts WNG had withheld for past due PODB penalty amounts from the GRI refund made August 22, 1996, in Docket No. RP96–143.

On November 11, 1997, WNG states that it also made refunds to certain other customers whose refund in RP95–136 was offset by past due PODB penalty amounts.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all participants listed on the service list maintained by the Commission in the docket referenced above and on interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before December 4, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31652 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-387-004]

# Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 26, 1997.

Take notice that on November 21, 1997, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 1997:

Substitute First Revised Sheet Nos. 103 and 112

Second Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 229B

WNG states that this filing is being made to comply with the October 22, 1997, order on rehearing in Docket No. RP96–387–002. WNG was required to file within 30 days of the date of issuance of the order revised tariff sheets to: (1) continue the existing 5% tolerance level at receipt points, and (2) continue the existing tariff provision under Rate Schedules TSS and STS that the  $\frac{1}{3}$ – $\frac{2}{3}$  ratio of flowing supplies to storage withdrawals applies only when deliveries are at the MDTQ.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all participants listed on the service list maintained by the Commission in the docket referenced above and on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section

385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commissions Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–31654 Filed 12–2–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. DR98-6-000, et al.]

# Interstate Power Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

November 24, 1997.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Interstate Power Company

[Docket No. DR98-6-000]

Take notice that on November 14, 1997, Interstate Power Company (Interstate), filed an Application for approval of depreciation rates pursuant to Section 302 of the Federal Power Act and Rule 204 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Comment date: December 19, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 2. KLT Power Inc.

[Docket No. EG98-1-000]

On November 21, 1997, KLT Power Inc. (Applicant), whose business address is 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, MO 64106 filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an amendment to its application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: December 15, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the amended application.