strategic national defense needs and for preparing the report to Congress on the status of the Nation's highway bridges and funding under the HBRRP.

Respondents: Transportation agencies of the 50 States and the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

Average Burden per Response: The average burden is two hours per response.

Éstimated Total Annual Burden: The estimated total annual burden is 540,000 hours.

Frequency: Annually.

Authority: 23 U.S.C. Sections 144 and 151, and 23 C.F.R. 650.307, 650.311, and 650.407. Issued On: October 31, 1997.

George Moore,

Associate Administrator for Administration. [FR Doc. 97–30577 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration [FHWA Docket No. 97–3033]

Notice of Request for Renewal of an Existing Information Collection

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the intention of the FHWA to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to renew the information collection identified below under supplementary information.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All signed, written comments should refer to the docket number that appears in the heading of this document and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard/envelope.

Interested parties are invited to send comments regarding any aspect of this information collection, including, but not limited to: (1) The necessity and utility of the information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the FHWA; (2) the accuracy of the

estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways to minimize the collection burden without reducing the quality of the collected information. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB renewal of this information collection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack Wasley, Office of Engineering, 202–366–4658, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Preparation and execution of the Project Agreement and Modifications. *OMB Number:* 2125–0529.

Background: Under the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 110, a formal agreement between the State highway agency and the FHWA is required for Federal-aid highway projects. This agreement, referred to as the "project agreement," is in essence a written contract between the State and the Federal government defining the extent of the work to be undertaken and commitments made concerning the project.

The requirements covering project agreements are contained in 23 CFR part 630, subpart C.

Respondents: State highway agencies. Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: The estimated annual reporting burden is approximately 12,040 hours.

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 110; 23 CFR 630, subpart C.

Issued On: October 31, 1997.

George Moore,

Associate Administrator for Administration. [FR Doc. 97–30591 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-97-3122; Notice 1]

Dan Hill & Associates, Inc.; Petition for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224

Dan Hill & Associates, Inc., of Norman, Oklahoma, has petitioned for a one-year temporary exemption from Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224 Rear Impact Protection. The basis of the petition is that compliance would cause substantial economic hardship to a manufacturer that has tried in good faith to comply with the standard.

This notice of receipt of the petition is published in accordance with agency regulations on the subject and does not represent any judgment by the agency about the merits of the petition.

The applicant manufactures and sells a horizontal discharge trailer ("Flow Boy") that is used in the road construction industry to deliver asphalt and other road building materials to the construction site. The Flow Boy is designed to connect with and latch onto various paving machines ("pavers"). The Flow Boy, with its hydraulically controlled horizontal discharge system, discharges hot mix asphalt at a controlled rate into a paver which overlays the road surface with asphalt material.

Standard No. 224 requires, effective January 26, 1998, that all trailers with a GVWR of 4536 Kg or more, including Flow Boy trailers, be fitted with a rear impact guard that conforms to Standard No. 223 Rear impact guards. Installation of the rear impact guard will prevent the Flow Boy from connecting to the paver. Thus, Flow Boy trailers will no longer be functional and contractors will be forced to use standard dump body trucks or trailers with their inherent limitations and safety risks.

The applicant, which manufactured 81 Flow Boy trailers in 1996 (plus 21 other trailers), has asked for a year's exemption in order to explore the feasibility of a rear impact guard that will allow the Flow Boy trailer to connect to a conventional paver. In the absence of an exemption, it believes that approximately 60 percent of its work force would have to be laid off. Its gross revenues would decrease by \$6,000,000 (these have averaged \$13,885,000 over its 1994, 1995, and 1996 fiscal years). Present studies show that the placement of the retractable rear impact guard would likely catch excess asphalt as it was discharged into the pavement hopper. Further, the increased cost of the Flow Body would likely cause contractors to choose the cheaper alternative of dump trucks. Finally, the increased weight of the retractable rear impact guard would significantly decrease the payload of the Flow Boy.

Applicant sent its Product Specialist to Germany in 1994 to view underride protection guards installed by a German customer on Flow Boy trailers but the technology proved inapplicable because of differences between German and American pavers. Manufacturers of paving machines are not interested in redesigning their equipment to accommodate a Flow Boy with a rear

impact guard. The applicant has contacted a British manufacturer of a retractable rear impact guard but the information received to date does not look encouraging. If an exemption is granted, the applicant will continue to explore the feasibility of a retractable rear guard that allows connection with a paver.

The applicant believes that an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with traffic safety objectives because the Flow Boy aids in the construction of the national road system. It spends very little of its operating life on the highway and the likelihood of its being involved in a rear-end collision is minimal. In addition, the design of the Flow Boy is such that the rear tires act as a buffer and reduce the likelihood of impact with the trailer.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date, between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: December 11, 1997.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.4.

Issued on November 13, 1997.

L. Robert Shelton,

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards. [FR Doc. 97–30675 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

Release of Waybill Data

The Surface Transportation Board has received a request from Reebie Associates on behalf of Norfolk Southern Railway Company (WB484–1—11/4/97), for permission to use certain data from the Board's Carload

Waybill Samples. A copy of the request may be obtained from the Office of Economics, Environmental Analysis, and Administration.

The waybill sample contains confidential railroad and shipper data; therefore, if any parties object to these requests, they should file their objections with the Director of the Board's Office of Economics, Environmental Analysis, and Administration within 14 calendar days of the date of this notice. The rules for release of waybill data are codified at 49 CFR 1244.8.

Contact: James A. Nash, (202) 565–1542.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–30666 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33503]

Delaware Transportation Group, Inc.— Acquisition Exemption—Delaware Valley Railway Company, Inc.

Delaware Transportation Group, Inc. (DTGI), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire from the Delaware Valley Railway Company, Inc. approximately 23.4 miles of rail line between approximately milepost 31.2, at Gettysburg, PA, and milepost 7.8, at Mt. Holly Springs, PA.

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on or after October 31, 1997.

This transaction is related to two simultaneously filed notices of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 33505, John H. Marino—Continuance in Control Exemption—Delaware Transportation Group, Inc., Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc., and Evansville Terminal Company, Inc., wherein John H. Marino will continue in control of DTGI, upon its becoming a Class III rail carrier and STB Finance Docket No. 33504, Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc.—Lease and Operation Exemption— Delaware Transportation Group, Inc., wherein Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc., will lease and operate the lines being acquired by DTGI.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33503, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Robert A. Wimbish, Esq., Rea, Cross & Auchincloss, 1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 420, Washington, DC 20036.

Decided: November 17, 1997.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-30670 Filed 11-20-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33505]

John H. Marino—Continuance in Control Exemption—Delaware Transportation Group, Inc., Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc., and Evansville Terminal Company, Inc.

John H. Marino (Marino) has filed a notice of exemption to continue in control of the Delaware Transportation Group, Inc. (DTGI), the Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc. (GRCI), and the Evansville Terminal Company, Inc. (ETCI).

DTGI and GRCI will become Class III rail carriers upon conclusion of the transactions covered by two simultaneously filed notices of exemption in (1) STB Finance Docket No. 33503, Delaware Transportation Group—Acquisition Exemption-Delaware Valley Railway Company, Inc., wherein DTGI seeks to acquire certain rail lines from the Delaware Valley Railway Company, Inc., and (2) STB Finance Docket No. 33504, Gettysburg Railway Company, Inc.,-Lease and Operation Exemption— Delaware Transportation Group, Inc., wherein GRCI will lease and operate the rail lines being acquired by DTGI in STB Finance Docket No. 33503. ETCI is an existing Class III rail carrier operating in the States of Indiana and Illinois.1

The transaction was expected to be consummated on or after October 31, 1997.

Marino states that: (i) the rail lines to be controlled do not connect with each other or any other railroads in the corporate family; (ii) the transaction is not part of a series of anticipated

¹ Marino states that he currently possesses an interest in ETCI.