

been addressed in the following section and/or other sections of this document.

Clarifications requested through written comments: The NPS would consider boat access areas inside and outside the boundary that serve the recreational rivers as replaceable if substantial access was lost as a result of sediment aggradation in the river. Ramps lost outside the recreational river boundary could be replaced within the boundary. Examples of access areas serving the recreational rivers that are lost, or threatened are the Missouri River access areas at Springfield and Running Water, South Dakota, and Niobrara, Nebraska.

The NPS decision to not actively promote recreational use on the river was based on input of the Federal Advisory Commission in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior during the development of the plan, by local representatives on the planning team, and by comments received from the general public during the planning process. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Section 10(a) allows for "management plans for any such component" to "establish varying degrees of intensity for its protection and development, based on the special attributes of the area." In this case the NPS feels there are legitimate safety concerns resulting from shifting sand bars and a significant increase in power boat use on the Missouri National Recreational River. Canoeing danger exists from the high winds that frequent the area and the width of the river. While the NPS has agreed not to actively promote increased use there is recognition in the plan that increased use may occur as the result of actions taken by others. As long as those actions do not threaten river resources or add significant visitor numbers to the river NPS will act to guide such growth rather than restrict it.

While local governments clearly have existing local law enforcement responsibilities and cooperative relations will be sought, all references to law enforcement in the final plan should be understood to mean that the NPS will not delegate Federal law enforcement responsibilities with respect to the water surfaces and on lands it owns, or other inherently Federal responsibilities as described in the statutes related to the administration of the National Park System, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Act establishing the Missouri/Niobrara/Verdigris Creek National Recreational Rivers.

The COE has purchased flood easements on some of the periodically flooded land along the Missouri and

Niobrara rivers. This land remains in private landownership but the COE possesses the right to flood the land. The NPS would not affect the easement relationship between private landowners and the COE. Neither would the NPS boundary alter the payments under the Payment in Lieu of Taxes Act. The periodically flooded land is included within the boundary not because it is COE easement land but because of its contribution to fish and wildlife habitat.

Currently the Yankton Sioux Tribe is challenging the size and location of its reservation boundary. When a final outcome is determined the NPS will honor the final court decision. Until that time any NPS management actions within the disputed area will be minimal and dependent on cooperative agreement with private landowners and the Yankton Sioux Tribe.

The recreational river boundary maps depicted in the final GMP include public lands such as the USFWS Karl Mundt National Wildlife Refuge, as well as easement lands. The Vicinity/Study Area map on page 5 inadvertently portrayed USFWS easement land as a part of the refuge. That easement is not part of the Karl Mundt Refuge and should not have been portrayed as such on that map. The maps on pages 29, 49, 61, 73, and 87 accurately portray the easement land but the arrow points to it as a part of the Karl Mundt Refuge. That arrow should have been pointing to the lower section that is a part of the refuge and not to the easement land. The maps in the final GMP/EIS are designed to be general and for orientation purposes only, and have no formal standing. When the official boundary map for this unit is published the above mentioned errors will be corrected.

Selection of the preferred alternative: All of the action alternatives for management of the rivers are considered acceptable from an environmental standpoint. The boundaries vary with each alternative and preference was placed on the alternatives that included significant wetlands and bottomlands. The preferred alternative was selected because it is considered the most effective alternative for meeting the legislative intent of protecting river values and maintaining the existing economic uses along the river. This alternative will require a minimum of Federal land acquisition, which is consistent with legislative intent. The selected alternative is not expected to have any significant adverse effects on natural or cultural values in the recreational river boundaries.

Dated: October 27, 1997.

David N. Given,

Deputy Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 97-29131 Filed 11-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Dayton Aviation Heritage Commission; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets the schedule for the forthcoming meeting of the Dayton Aviation Heritage Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463).

DATE, TIME, AND ADDRESS: Tuesday, December 2, 1997, 5:15 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Innerwest Priority Board conference room, 1024 West Third Street, Dayton, Ohio 45407.

This business meeting will be open to the public. Space and facilities to accommodate members of the public are limited and persons accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The Chairman will permit attendees to address the Commission, but may restrict the length of presentations. An agenda will be available from the Superintendent, Dayton Aviation, 1 week prior to the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Gibson, Superintendent, Dayton Aviation, National Park Service, P.O. Box 9280, Wright Brothers Station, Dayton, Ohio 45409, or telephone 513-225-7705.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Dayton Aviation Heritage Commission was established by Public Law 102-419, October 16, 1992.

Dated: October 24, 1997.

William W. Schenk,

Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 97-29130 Filed 11-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before October 25, 1997. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written

comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, D.C. 20013-7127. Written comments should be submitted by November 19, 1997.

Carol D. Shull,

Keeper of the National Register.

CALIFORNIA

San Benito County

McCallum, aRoy D., House (Hollister MPS)
1401 San Benito St., Hollister, 97001445

San Diego County

Santa Fe Land Improvement Company
House, 6036 La Flecha, Rancho Santa Fe,
97001460

CONNECTICUT

New Haven County

Southern New England Telephone Company
Administrative Building, 227 Church St.,
New Haven, 97001447

Windham County

Canterbury Center Historic District, Roughly
along Elmdale, Library, N. Canterbury, S.
Canterbury, and Westminster Rds.,
Canterbury, 97001446

FLORIDA

Orange County

Knowles Memorial Chapel, 1000 Holt Ave.,
Winter Park, 97001448

MARYLAND

Charles County

Pleasant Hill, 9205 Marshall's Corner Rd.,
Pomfret vicinity, 97001449

MASSACHUSETTS

Worcester County

Gay, Rev. Samuel, House, 10 Williamsville
Rd., Hubbardston, 97001450

MONTANA

McCone County

Lewis and Clark Bridge, Over the Missouri
R., MT 13, Wolf Point vicinity, 97001451

Phillips County

Saco Mercantile, 201 Taylor St., Saco,
97001452

Ravalli County

Methodist Episcopal Church South, Jct. of
First St. and Eastside Hwy., Corvallis,
97001453

NEW YORK

Monroe County

Maplewood Historic District, Roughly along
Lakeview Park, Lake Ave., Seneca Pkwy.,
and Maplewood Ave., Rochester, 97001454

Orleans County

Main Street Historic District Boundary
Increase, 530 West Ave., Medina, 97001457

Otsego County

All Saints Chapel and Morris Family Burial
Ground, NY 51, 3 mi. S of Morris, Morris
vicinity, 97001455
Zion Episcopal Church Complex and
Harmony Cemetery, E of Morris, NY 51,
Morris vicinity, 97001456

Steuben County

US Post Office—Hornell (US Post Offices in
New York State MPS) 50 Seneca St.,
Hornell, 97001458

Wayne County

Methodist Episcopal Church of Butler, Butler
Center Rd., jct. with Washburn Rd., Butler
Center, 97001459

OHIO

Columbiana County

Harris, Franklin, Farmstead, 3525 Depot Rd.,
Salem vicinity, 97001462

Franklin County

Kahiki, The, 3583 E. Broad St., Columbus,
97001461

TENNESSEE

Knox County

Wilder, Gen. John T., House, 2027 Riverside
Dr., Knoxville, 97001463

UTAH

Sanpete County

Oberg—Metcalf House, 12 N 100 E,
Gunnison, 97001464

Uintah County

Gibson—Sowards House, 3110 N 250 W,
Vernal, 97001465

[FR Doc. 97-29109 Filed 11-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

[DES97-40]

Bureau of Reclamation

East Bay Municipal Utility District Supplemental Water Supply Project, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability and notice
of public hearing of the Draft
Environmental Impact Report/Draft
Environmental Impact Statement (DEIR/
DEIS).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National
Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of
1969 (as amended) and the California
Environmental Policy Act (CEQA), the
East Bay Municipal Utility District
(EBMUD) and the Bureau of
Reclamation (Reclamation) have
prepared a DEIR/DEIS for the proposed
Supplemental Water Supply Project in
Sacramento and the Bay Area service
areas. Public hearings will be held in a

number of sessions to receive oral or
written comments on the DEIR/DEIS by
interested parties, organizations, and
individuals.

DATES: Public comments on the DEIR/
DEIS should be submitted on or before
January 5, 1998. See Supplementary
Information section for public hearing
dates and addresses.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the
DEIR/DEIS, requests for copies of the
DEIR/DEIS, and requests to speak at the
hearing should be addressed to Ms. Ann
Reis, EBMUD P.O. Box 24055, Oakland,
CA 94623-1055.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For
additional information, please contact
Mr. Kurt Ladensack, EBMUD, (510)
287-1197; or Mr. Roderick Hall,
Reclamation, (916) 989-7279.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EBMUD
currently holds a contract with
Reclamation, signed in 1970, for
delivery of up to 150,000 acre-feet per
year from the existing Folsom South
Canal (FSC). The existing contract
specifies a delivery location at an
existing turnout structure near Grant
Line Road in Sacramento County. The
proposed action is for EBMUD to obtain
a supplemental water supply to assist in
reducing customer deficiencies during
droughts, and providing system
reliability and to allow EBMUD to make
use of its existing contract with
Reclamation for delivery of water from
the American River. Two primary
alternatives are under consideration,
and alternate project configurations of
one of these primary alternatives also
are being considered.

The first alternative is an EBMUD-
only project that involves deliveries
from the American River via FSC to a
new pipeline connection between FSC
in south Sacramento County and
EBMUD's Mokelumne Aqueducts in San
Joaquin County. Alternate project
alternatives under consideration involve
pipeline connections from the turnout
location described above, which would
not require an amendment of the
existing water service contract, and from
the terminus of FSC, which would
require an amendment of the water
service contract.

The second alternative involves a
joint project between EBMUD, the City
of Sacramento (City), and the County of
Sacramento (County). Under this project
alternative, water for EBMUD and the
County would be delivered through a
new intake location on the American
River near its confluence with the
Sacramento River. Water for the City
would be diverted under existing
entitlements through an expansion of
existing diversion and treatment