comments on this Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making; OMB comments are due 60 days from date of publication of this Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the Federal Register. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dates

Written comments by the public on the proposed or modified information collections in this *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making* are due on or before December 1, 1997. Written comments must be submitted by OMB on the modified information collections on or before December 1, 1997.

Address

In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725—17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to fain_t@al.eop.gov.

Further Information

For additional information concerning the information collections contained in this Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making contact Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

Supplementary Information

Title: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Regarding Installment Payment Financing for Personal Communications Services (PCS) Licensees.

Type of Review: Proposed or Modified Collection.

Respondents: The Commission estimates that no more than 255 respondents (i.e., previous C block bidders) will participate in this information collection. The Commission estimates that this information collection, that eligibility is based on

previous participation in a C block auction and the bidder identification number from the previous auction, will take 0.5 hours to complete. In addition, the Commission proposes that C block reauction applicants submit more detailed financial information, if necessary. The Commission estimates that this information collection will take 1.0 hours to complete. The Commission estimates that the total burden will be 1.5 hours per respondent or 377.5 total hours.

Estimate of total cost burden to respondents: The Commission estimates that there will be no additional cost burden to respondents.

The cost to the Federal Government is estimated to be:

GS 7 Legal Instrument Examiners at \$14.06 per hour to review the documentation for approximately 0.5 hours per submission, times 255 submissions = \$1,581.75

GS 12 Attorneys to review the financial documentation at \$24.95 per hour, for approximately 2.0 hours per submission, times 255 submissions = \$11,227.50

Total = \$12,809.25

C. Ex Parte Presentations

The Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making is a permit but disclose notice and comment rule making proceeding. Ex parte presentations are permitted, provided they are disclosed as provided in Commission rules. See generally 47 CFR §§ 1.1202, 1.1203, and 1.1206(a).

D. Comments

38. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, interested parties may file comments on or before November 13, 1997, and reply comments on or before November 24, 1997. In addition, a courtesy copy should be delivered to Mark Bollinger, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, Room 5202. Washington, DC 20554. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. To file formally in this proceeding, participants must file an original and five copies of all comments, reply comments, and supporting comments. If participants want each Commissioner to receive a personal copy of their comments, an original plus ten copies must be filed. Comments and reply comments should be sent to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. Comments and reply comments will be available for

public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239) of the Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554.

List of Subjects

47 CFR Part 1

Communications common carriers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

47 CFR Part 24

Communications common carriers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–28222 Filed 10–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

49 CFR Part 10

[Docket No. OST-96-1472; Notice 97-10] RIN 2105-AC60

Privacy Act; Implementation

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: DOT proposes to amend its rules implementing the Privacy Act of 1974 to exempt from certain provisions of the Act the Coast Guard's Vessel Information System. Public comment is invited.

DATES: Comments are due November 24, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Documentary Services Division, Attention: Docket Section, Room PL401, Docket OST-96-1472, Department of Transportation, C-55, Washington, DC 20590. Any person wishing acknowledgment that his/her comments have been received should include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Comments received will be available for public inspection and copying in the Documentary Services Division, Room PL401, Department of Transportation Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC, from 9 AM to 5 PM ET Monday through Friday except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert I. Ross, Office of the General Counsel, C–10, Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590, telephone (202) 366–9156, FAX (202) 366–9170.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Coast Guard's Vessel Information System (VIS) would collect manage the data needed to provide a nationwide pool of vessel and vessel owner information that will help in identification and recovery of stolen vessels, and deter vessel theft and fraud. Establishment of VIS is required by statute. 46 U.S.C. 12501–07.

Because of the capability to retrieve information by the names or other unique identifiers of individuals, VIS is subject to the Privacy Act, which would impose many restrictions on the use and dissemination of information in the system. However, because VIS would be used for law enforcement purposes, it may be exempted from some of these restrictions.

Privacy Act Exemption

Under subsection (k) of the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a(k)), qualifying records may be exempted from various provisions of the Act. Among these provisions are the requirement in subsection (c)(3) to maintain an accounting of disclosures of information from a system of records and make that accounting available on request to the record subject; in subsection (d) to grant to a record subject access to information maintained on him/her under the Act; in subsection (e)(1) to maintain only such information as is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency under statute or Executive Order; in subsection (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I) to advise record subjects of the agency procedures to request if a system of records contains records pertaining to them, how they can gain access to such records and contest their content, and the categories of sources of such records; and in subsection (f) to establish rules governing the procedures above.

Under Subsection (k)(2) of the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2)), investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than material encompassed within Subsection (j)(2), may be exempted from these provisions, and DOT proposes to exempt VIS accordingly; however, if an individual would be denied any right, privilege, or benefit to which he/she would otherwise be entitled by Federal law, of for which he/she would otherwise be eligible, as a result of the maintenance of such material, such material shall be provided to such individual, except to the extent that the disclosure of such material would reveal the identity of a source who furnished information to the Government under an express promise

that the identity of the source would be held in confidence.

Analysis of Regulatory Impacts

This amendment is not a "significant regulatory action" within the meaning of Executive Order 12866. It is also not significant within the definition in DOT's Regulatory Policies and Procedures, 49 FR 11034 (1979), in part because it does not involve any change in important Departmental policies. Because the economic impact should be minimal, further regulatory evaluation is not necessary. Moreover, I certify that this proposal will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This proposal does not significantly affect the environment, and therefore an environmental impact statement is not required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. It has also been reviewed under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, and it has been determined that it does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant preparation of a Federalism Assessment. This rule does not impose any unfunded mandates as defined by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Finally, the proposal does not contain any collection of information requirements, requiring review under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 10

Penalties, Privacy.

Accordingly, DOT proposes to amend 49 CFR part 10 as follows:

PART 10—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation to part 10 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a; 49 U.S.C. 322.

2. Part II.A of the Appendix would be amended by republishing the introductory text and adding a new paragraph 15, to read as follows:

Appendix to Part 10—Exemptions

* * * * *

Part II. Specific exemptions.

A. The following systems of records are exempt from subsection (c)(3) (Accounting of Certain Disclosures), (d) (Access to Records), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I) (Agency Requirements), and (f) (Agency Rules) of 5 U.S.C. 552a, to the extent that they contain investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2):

* * * * *

15. Vessel Information System, maintained by the Operations Systems Center, U.S. Coast Guard (DOT/CG 590). The purpose of this exemption is to prevent persons who are the subjects of criminal investigations from

learning too early in the investigative process that they are subjects, what information there is in Coast Guard files that indicates that they may have committed unlawful conduct, and who provided such information.

* * * * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 15, 1997.

Rodney E. Slater,

 $Secretary\ of\ Transportation.$

[FR Doc. 97–27974 Filed 10–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AE42

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Rule To List the Topeka Shiner as Endangered

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) proposes to list the Topeka shiner (*Notropis topeka*) as an endangered species under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). The Topeka shiner is a small fish presently known from small tributary streams in the Kansas and Neosho river basins in Kansas; the Missouri, Grand, Lamine, Chariton, and Des Moines river basins in Missouri; the North Raccoon River basin in Iowa; the James and Vermillion river watersheds in South Dakota: and, the Rock River watershed in Minnesota. The Topeka shiner is threatened by habitat destruction, degradation, modification, and fragmentation resulting from siltation, reduced water quality, tributary impoundment, stream channelization. and stream dewatering. The species is also impacted by introduced predaceous fishes. This proposal, if made final, will implement Federal protection provided by the Act for *Notropis topeka*. A determination of critical habitat is neither beneficial nor prudent.

DATES: Comments from all interested parties must be received by December 23, 1997. Public hearing requests must be received by December 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials concerning this proposal should be sent to: Field Supervisor, Ecological Services Field Office, 315 Houston Street, Suite E, Manhattan, Kansas 66502. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment,