following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR with applicable supporting documentation may be obtained by calling the Institute of Museum and Library Services, Director of Public and Legislative Affairs, Mamie Bittner (202) 606-8536. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 606-8636 between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday. **COMMENTS:** Comments must be within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn.: OMB Desk Officer for the Institute of Museum and Library Services, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–7316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Agency: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Title: Final Financial Status Report. OMB Number: 3137–0025. Agency Number: 3137. Frequency: Once.

Affected Public: Parties affected by this information collection are museums that have received grants from the Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Number of Respondents: 624. Estimated Time Per Respondent: 1 hour.

Total Burden Hours: 624. Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs: 0.

Description: This form is an abbreviated version of the OMB SF 269 (Financial Status Report). It is needed for use of museums unfamiliar with federal government requirements. Only the information required by IMLS is requested on this form.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Rebecca Danvers, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20506, telephone (202) 606–8539.

Dated: January 24, 1997.

Mamie Bittner,

Director Legislative and Public Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97–2765 Filed 2–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7036–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-400]

Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 63 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, located in New Hill, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) 4.8.1.1.2 to clarify pressure testing requirements for isolable and non-isolable portions of the diesel fuel oil piping.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its

analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Applying ASME Code, Section XI alternative examination/testing will not affect any initiators of any previously evaluated accidents or change the manner in which the emergency diesel generators or any other systems operate. The diesel fuel oil system supports the emergency diesel generators which serve an accident mitigating function. Where portions of piping are non-isolable or where atmospheric tanks are involved, the Section XI ASME alternatives to 110% pressure testing continue to ensure the integrity of the fuel oil system without any impact on analyzed accident scenarios or their consequences. Therefore, the proposed amendment does not result in an increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated

2. The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident

previously evaluated.

The proposed alternative testing and surveillance will not involve any physical alterations or additions to plant equipment or alter the manner in which any safety-related system performs its function. Using ASME Section XI guidance for testing continues to provide assurance that the fuel oil supply system will perform its intended function. Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

There are no changes being made to the safety limits or safety settings that would adversely impact plant safety. Further, there is no impact on the margin of safety as defined in the Technical Specifications. Utilizing ASME Section XI as guidance for determining those sections of piping that should be pressure-tested or tested at atmospheric pressure will ensure proper operation of the diesel generator fuel oil supply system. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the

expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 6, 1997, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27605. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated

by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these

requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mark Reinhart: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to William D. Johnson, Vice President and Senior Counsel, Carolina Power & Light Company, Post Office Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27602, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated January 10, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27605.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of January 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Ngoc B. Le,

Project Manager, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–2689 Filed 2–4–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 50-443 (License No. NPF-86)]

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation and Great Bay Power Corporation, (Seabrook Station, Unit 1); Order Approving Application Regarding the Corporate Restructuring of Great Bay Power Corporation by Establishment of a Holding Company

Ι

Great Bay Power Corporation (Great Bay) is the holder of a 12.1324-percent ownership share in Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1. Its interest in Seabrook Station, Unit 1, is governed by License No. NPF-86 issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), pursuant to Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 50), on March 15, 1990, in Docket No. 50-443. Under this license, only North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (North Atlantic), acting as agent and representative of 11 joint owners listed in the license, has the authority to operate Seabrook Station, Unit 1. Seabrook Station, Unit 1, is located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

II

By letter dated May 8, 1996, North Atlantic informed the Commission that Great Bay was in the process of implementing a corporate restructuring that will result in the creation of a holding company under the name "Great Bay Holdings Corporation," of which Great Bay would become a subsidiary. Under the restructuring, the holders of Great Bay common stock will become holders of common stock of

Great Bay Holdings Corporation. North Atlantic requested the Commission's approval of the corporate restructuring pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80. Notice of this application for approval was published in the Federal Register on November 26. 1996 (61 FR 60121), and an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact was published in the Federal Register on January 22, 1997 (62 FR 3317). Additional information related to this proposed restructuring was submitted by Great Bay through its counsel Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge, by letters dated October 18 and December 9, 1996.

Under 10 CFR 50.80, no license shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. Upon review of the information submitted in the letters of May 8 and October 18, 1996, and other information before the Commission, the NRC staff has determined that the restructuring of Great Bay will not affect the qualifications of Great Bay as a holder of the license, and that the transfer of control of the Seabrook license, to the extent effected by the restructuring of Great Bay, is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission, subject to the conditions set forth herein. These findings are supported by a Safety Evaluation dated January 22, 1997.

III

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 161b, 161i, 161o, and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), 2201(i), 2201(o) and 2234; and 10 CFR 50.80, it is hereby ordered that the Commission approves the application regarding the restructuring of Great Bay subject to the following: (1) Great Bay shall provide the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation a copy of any application, at the time it is filed, to transfer (excluding grants of security interests or liens) from Great Bay to its proposed parent or to any other affiliated company, facilities for the production, transmission, or distribution of electric energy having a depreciated book value exceeding ten percent (10%) of Great Bay's consolidated net utility plant, as recorded on Great Bay's books of account; and (2) should the restructuring of Great Bay not be completed by June 30, 1997, this Order shall become null and void, provided, however, on application and for good cause shown, such date may be extended.

This order is effective upon issuance. \mathbf{w}

By February 21, 1997, any person adversely affected by this Order may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the Order. Any person requesting a hearing shall set forth with particularity how that interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is to be held, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of such hearing.

The issue to be considered at any such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Any request for a hearing must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays, by the above date. Copies should be also sent to the Office of the General Counsel, and to the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Lillian M. Cuoco, Esquire, Northeast Utilities Service Company, Post Office Box 270, Hartford CT 06141-0270, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this Order, see the application for approval of the corporate restructuring dated May 8, 1996, and supplement dated October 18, 1996, and December 9, 1996, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at Exeter Public Library, Founders Park, Exeter, NH 03833.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of January 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Frank J. Miraglia,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–2813 Filed 2–4–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 50-443 (License No. NPF-86)]

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation and Great Bay Power Corporation (Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1); Exemption

I

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (North Atlantic or the