operation of a frequency of 94 GHz for highest sensitivity. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, September 2, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–069. Applicant: University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095–1569. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Reaction Analyzer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 45397, August 27, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides sequential mixing (multimixing) capability. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, September 2, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–070. Applicant: Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520–8202. Instrument: Signal Conditioner Processor, Model SIGMA–5–DF. Manufacturer: CardioDynamics BV, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 45397, August 27, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides conductance catheter measurement of right and left ventricular volumes. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, September 3, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–071. Applicant: Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401. Instrument: Mass Spectrometer, Model JMS–700T. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 45397, August 27, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides high resolution tandem mass spectrometry for study of pyrolysis products from bacteria. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, September 3, 1997.

The National Institutes of Health advises in its memoranda that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–27994 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

University of California, San Diego; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–066. Applicant: University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA 92121. Instrument: Wave Measurement Equipment. Manufacturer: Datawell by, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 43710, August 15, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) More reliable wave direction estimates at frequencies under 1.0 Hz and over 3.0 Hz with less variability within the range and (2) better wave spread estimates than comparable domestic equipment. Two domestic manufacturers of similar equipment advised on April 23, 1997 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use (comparable case).

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–27995 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

University of Florida; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89– 651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–068. Applicant: University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611. Instrument: IR Mass Spectrometer, Model DELTA<sup>plus</sup>. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 44949, August 25, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) A magnetic sector analyzer with double direction (stigmatic) focusing and (2) a universal triple collector suitable for N2, O2, CO2 and SO<sub>2</sub> measurements. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–27996 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration, Commerce

### **Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance of an Amended Export Trade Certificate Review, Application No. 88–4A013.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has issued an amendment to the Export Trade Certificate of Review granted to CISA Export Trade Group, Inc. ("CISA ETG") on October 19, 1988. Notice of issuance of the Certificate was published in the **Federal Register** on October 26, 1988 (53 FR 43253).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Morton Schnabel, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (1997).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of Commerce to publish a summary of a Certificate in the **Federal Register**. Under section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

# **Description of Amended Certificate**

Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 88–00013 was issued to CISA ETG on October 19, 1988 (53 FR 43253, October 26, 1988) and previously amended on May 31, 1990 (55 FR 23123, June 6, 1990); and December 16, 1991 (57 FR 883, January 9, 1992).

CISA ETG's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended. The only change in the CISA ETG Certificate was in its membership. The members of the CISA ETG Certificate are as follows:

- (a) Ajax Magnethermic Corporation of Warren, OH;
- (b) Allied Minerals Products, Inc. of Columbus, OH;
- (c) American Colloid Company of Arlington Heights, IL;
- (d) Ashland Chemical Company of Columbus, OH, and its controlling entity Ashland Oil, Inc. of Ashland, KY;
- (e) Borden Chemical, Inc. for the activities of its Foundry and Industrial Products Divisions of Westchester, IL;
- (f) Centrifugal Casting Machine Company. Inc. of Tulsa. OK:
- (g) Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc. of Milwaukee, WI;
- (h) Didion Manufacturing Company of St. Peters, MO;
- (i) Eirich Machines, Inc. of Gurnee, IL;
- (j) Equipment Merchants Int'l, Inc. of Cleveland, OH;
  - (k) Fargo Wear, Inc. of Detroit, MI;
- (l) General Kinematics Corporation of Barrington, IL;
- (m) George Fischer Disa, Inc. of Holly, MI;
- (n) Hartley Controls Corporation of Neenah, WI, and its controlling entity the Neenah Corporation of Neenah, WI;
- (o) Hickman, Williams & Company of Livonia, MI;
- (p) Hunter Automated Machinery Corporation of Schaumburg, IL;
- (q) Palmer Manufacturing Company of Springfield, OH;
- (r) Roberts Sinto Corporation of Lansing, MI;
- (s) Sand Mold Systems, Inc. of Newaygo, MI;
- (t) Šimpson Technologies Corp. of Aurora, IL;

- (u) Superior Graphite Company of Chicago, IL;
- (v) Thermtronix Corporation of Adelanto, CA;
- (w) Vulcan Engineering Company of Helena, AL; and
- (x) U.S. Filter/Wheelabrator Corp. of LaGrange, GA;

A copy of the amended certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration's Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility, Room 4102, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: October 16, 1997.

### Morton Schnabel,

Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97–27925 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Binational Panel decision.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 1997 the Binational Panel issued its second decision in the review of the final antidumping duty administrative review made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial de Mexico (SECOFI) respecting Flat Coated Sheet Products from the United States, Secretariat File No. MEX-94-1904-01. The Binational Panel unanimously affirmed in part and remanded in part the agency's remand determination. A copy of the complete Panel decision in Spanish or English is available from the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national

courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The Binational Panel review in this matter was conducted in accordance with these Rules.

BACKGROUND: On September 1, 1994 Inland Steel Company and USX Corporation filed a First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping determination that was published in the Diario Oficial on August 2, 1994. Complaints were filed by Inland, USX, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, LTV Steel Company, New Process Steel Corporation and Industrias Monterrey, S.A. de C.V. (IMSA). Briefs were filed by all participants and oral argument was held in accordance with the Rules.

### **First Panel Decision**

In its first decision, issued on September 27, 1996, the Binational Panel unanimously affirmed in part and remanded in part the final determination. In its Order the panel affirmed all aspects of the final determination except for several specific instructions to SECOFI to take further actions. The Panel Order then enumerated specific actions involving competence and formality requirements, dumping issues, and injury issues. The Panel directed SECOFI, on remand, to comply with the specific instructions within 120 days of the date of the Decision.

### **Second Panel Decision**

On April 30, 1997, SECOFI filed its determination on remand. Challenges were timely filed by two interested parties, New Process Steel Corp. and Inland Steel. New Process challenged certain dumping findings and Inland sought to have its dumping margin conformed to any recalculation of New Process's dumping margin. After review of all relevant information and written arguments made by counsel for the participants, the Panel issued its second decision on September 15, 1997. The Panel remanded the determination on remand to SECOFI to do the following: