### FAA's Conclusions

These airplane models are manufactured in the Netherlands and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the RLD has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the RLD, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United

# **Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

# **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 127 Fokker Model F28 Mark 0100 series airplanes and 4 Fokker Model F28 Mark 0070 series airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$23,580, or \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

# Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action"

under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

# The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

## Fokker: Docket 97-NM-245-AD.

Applicability: Model F28 Mark 0070 and Mark 0100 series airplanes, as listed in Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100–52–060, dated October 10, 1995; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To ensure that the operating handles of the overwing emergency exits are clearly visible during an emergency evacuation, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, remove the operating handle assemblies of the overwing emergency exits,

having part number (P/N) D32965–403, and install new self-illuminating handle assemblies, having P/N D32965–407, in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100–52–060, dated October 10, 1995.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Netherlands airworthiness directive BLA 1995–104 (A), dated October 31, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 10, 1997.

## James V. Devany,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 97–27580 Filed 10–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-SW-01-AD]

# Airworthiness Directives; Robinson Helicopter Company Model R44 Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Robinson Helicopter Company (Robinson) Model R44 helicopters. This proposal would require removing and replacing the cyclic control pilot's grip assembly (grip assembly) with an airworthy grip assembly. This proposal is prompted by a report of a crack in the welded corner of a grip assembly. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent use of a grip assembly that may crack, resulting in failure of the grip assembly and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by December 16, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Assistant Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–SW–01–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Robinson Helicopter Company, 2901 Airport Drive, Torrance, California 90505. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Fred Guerin, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5232, fax (562) 627–5210.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–SW–01–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–SW–01–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

### Discussion

This action proposes the adoption of a new AD that is applicable to Robinson Model R44 helicopters. The proposed AD would require removing the grip assembly, part number (P/N) A756-6 Revision N (or prior), and replacing it with an airworthy grip assembly, P/N A756–6 Revision M (or later), within 25 hours time-in-service (TIS) or 30 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first. This proposal is prompted by one report of a crack in the welded corner of the grip assembly. The actions specified in this proposal are intended to prevent use of a grip assembly that may crack, which could result in failure of the grip assembly and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The FAA has reviewed Robinson Helicopter Company KI–112 R44 Pilot's Grip Assembly Upgrade Kit instructions, dated December 20, 1996, which describes procedures for replacement of the grip assembly.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other Robinson Model R44 helicopters of the same type design, the proposed AD would require removing the grip assembly, P/N A756–6 Revision N (or prior), and replacing it with an airworthy grip assembly, P/N A765–6 Revision M (or later), within 25 hours TIS or 30 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the kit instructions described previously.

The FAA estimates that 5 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 work hours per helicopter to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$576 per helicopter. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$4,080.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order

12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

# § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

**Robinson Helicopter Company:** Docket No. 97–SW–01–AD.

Applicability: Model R44 helicopters, serial numbers (S/N) 0001 through 0159, except S/N 0143, 0150, and 0156, with cyclic control pilot's grip assembly (grip assembly), part number (P/N) A756–6 Revision N or prior, installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the

unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Within 25 hours time-inservice or 30 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.

To prevent use of a grip assembly that may crack, resulting in failure of the grip assembly and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

- (a) Remove the grip assembly, P/N A756–6 Revision N (or prior), and replace it with an airworthy grip assembly, P/N A756–6 Revision M (or later), in accordance with KI–112 R44 Pilot's Grip Assembly Upgrade Kit instructions, dated December 20, 1996.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 9, 1997.

## Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97–27585 Filed 10–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-SW-21-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH (ECD) Model BO 105 C and BO 105 S Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH (ECD) (Eurocopter Deutschland) Model BO 105 C and BO 105 S helicopters. That action would have required modifying the main relay box by replacing the voltage regulator; modifying the cockpit overhead panel

by installing two additional switches; and performing a functional test of the new voltage regulator, generators, and new switches. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has determined that the modification proposed is only necessary for Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) configurations, and since there is no IFR FAA type-design approval for the affected models, it is unnecessary to

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Lance Gant, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137, telephone (817) 222–5114, fax (817) 222–5961.

issue an AD.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Eurocopter Deutschland Model BO 105 C and BO 105 S helicopters, was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 1997 (62 FR 6746). The proposed rule would have required modifying the main relay box 1VE; modifying the cockpit overhead panel, and performing a functional test of the new voltage regulator, generators, and new switches for the affected helicopters. That action was prompted by an in-service report of a helicopter that experienced a generator overvoltage. The proposed actions were intended to prevent failure of essential electrical equipment that could result in spatial disorientation and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the FAA has determined that the need for overvoltage protection is associated with the IFR requirement to have certain avionics available; however, there is no FAA IFR type-design approval for the affected models, therefore there is no type design model on which to issue an AD.

Upon further consideration and review of this new data, the FAA has determined that the unsafe condition no longer exists and is extremely unlikely to develop. Accordingly, the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this notice of proposed rulemaking constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore, is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket No. 96–SW–21–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 1997 (62 FR 6746), is withdrawn.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 7, 1997.

### Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97–27584 Filed 10–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 97-ASO-22]

# Proposed Establishment of Class D Airspace; Hickory, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice or proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would establish Class D airspace at Hickory, NC. A non-federal control tower will open at Hickory Regional Airport, Hickory, NC, on or about October 1, 1997. Class D surface area airspace is required when the control tower is open to accommodate current Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 97–ASO–22, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO–520, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 305–5586. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the address listed above.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy B. Shelton, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5491.