

refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval number and should be sent to: Mr. Oliver Walker, Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Room 9116, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Faye Norman, Office of Multifamily Housing Development, 451 7th Street, Southwest, Washington, DC 20410 telephone (202) 708-0624 ext 2482. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of the proposed questionnaire and other available documents may be obtained from Ms. Norman.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

The Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Neighborhood Underwriting Guidelines Questionnaire.

OMB Control Number: 2502-xxxx.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use:

This Notice describes the questionnaire to be used by Applicants for FHA's Neighborhood Underwriting process. The purpose of the questionnaire is to provide additional information to assist FHA in evaluating the effect of the other developments in the neighborhood upon the proposed project and will consider the potential effect of the proposed project upon existing or other proposed developments.

I. Background

Neighborhood Underwriting establishes as official HUD policy an important role for FHA in assuring that any use of mortgage insurance takes into consideration the health of the entire neighborhood. While playing an active

role of participation with local leaders in planning for new housing initiatives, coordinates a wide spectrum of existing resources (relating to both bricks and mortar and other neighborhood amenities), and identifies neighborhood needs for social, educational and economic development initiatives.

Neighborhood Underwriting will encourage FHA field staff to base underwriting decisions, in part, upon a proposal's effect upon the neighborhood and vice versa.

FHA wants to target its efforts (and make its mortgage insurance available) in those communities and neighborhoods which have in place a mechanism for area-wide comprehensive planning, decision making, and problem-solving. FHA feels that where these local processes are ongoing, there is greater likelihood for neighborhood stability, market attractiveness, and long-term financial viability of FHA-insured housing.

The process of Neighborhood Underwriting is expected to bring FHA much closer to local concerns, enhance the positive impact of FHA-insured developments on the neighborhood, and—at the same time—afford greater financial security to the FHA mortgage insurance fund. This process represents a natural and major step forward in FHA's integration of its programs with neighborhood needs, as well as a prudent step forward in protecting the long-term value of FHA's investments.

Neighborhood Underwriting is FHA's way of supporting and enhancing the Department's broader initiatives relative to placebased development and partnerships. Through Neighborhood Underwriting, the Department will encourage and foster coordination across cylinders within HUD. This focus is consistent with the Department's emphasis upon place-based program efforts.

Dated: January 28, 1997.

James E. Schoenberger,

Associate General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 97-2598 Filed 1-31-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

[Docket No. FR-4181-N-02]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below

will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments due: April 4, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Mildred M. Hamman, Reports Liaison Officer, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, S.W., Room 4238, Washington, DC 20410-5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mildred M. Hamman, (202) 708-0846, for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP) Outcome Monitoring System.

OMB Control Number: 2577-0190.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: Housing Agencies (HAS) that receive PHDEP grants are subject to certain reporting requirements (24 CFR 961.28) in connection with grant administration. The Outcome Monitoring System assists grantees in fulfilling their reporting requirements without undue burden. Grantees are required to provide the local HUD Area Office with a semi-annual performance report that

evaluates the grantee's performance against its plan. These reports shall include in summary form (but are not limited to) the following: any change or lack of change in crime statistics. . . ."

In HUD has required grantees to develop an evaluation process to measure the effects of the PHDEP-funded activities on the drug-related problems in the targeted developments. The grantees conduct very diverse activities in their local PHDEP programs. Certain key characteristics and goals are, however, common to most local PHDEP programs.

The Outcome Monitoring System is a structured means of collecting information, from all PHDEP grantees, that will address the changes in drug-related problems at targeted developments.

Agency form numbers: HUD-52356.

Members of affected public: PHAs; IHAs.

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: On a semi-annual

basis, 650 respondents, two responses per respondent, 1300 total responses, 1300 total burden hours.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: January 27, 1997.

Michael B. Janis,
General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

BILLING CODE 4210-33-M

Public Housing Drug Elimination Program Outcome Monitoring Report

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**
Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval No. 2577-0190 (exp. 3/31/96)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 16 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Reports Management Officer, Paperwork Reduction Project (2577-0190), Office of Information Technology, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410-3600. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Do not send this form to the above address.

HUD regulations in 24 CFR 961.28, require HAs that receive Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP) grants to report information for the Outcome Monitoring System. The information includes crime statistics, participation and performance indicators, and linkages with outside agencies. HUD will use the information to monitor data on a comparable basis across different grants, to allow better assessment of local program performance and to provide better identification of patterns across the national program. In addition, HUD intends to use the System to learn about non-traditional indicators being used by PHDEP grantees, so that its ability to assess progress and impact can be improved in the future. Responses to the collection of information are required to obtain a benefit. The information requested does not lend itself to confidentiality.

Complete one set of forms each semi-annual period for all Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP) grant activities combined. If the HA has received more than one PHDEP grant, consolidate counts from all grants and report on one form.

Name of HA:		HA Code:
Total Number of Units in Management: <input type="checkbox"/> 1,250 or more <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 1,250	PHDEP Grant Numbers:	
Contact Person's Name & Phone No: (include area code)	Reporting Period: (report for semi-annual periods) <input type="checkbox"/> 1st: January - June, 19 ____ <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd: July - December, 19 ____	Date of this Report:

List the name, address and population of each PHDEP-targeted development. If a HA has targeted more than four developments, choose the four developments that receive the most PHDEP funds. If you cannot report on targeted developments, define below the area (or combination of developments) for which you are reporting. Please refer to these numbers and these reporting areas in tables 3 and 5.

PHDEP-targeted Developments or Areas				
Name	Location/Boundaries	Total Number of Resident Households	Total Number * of Residents	Month/Year in which PHDEP Grant Activity Began **
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				

* Include all household members.

** For targeted areas, report calendar year in which PHDEP grant activity began in any portion of the reporting area.

**Table 1: Crime Statistics
and Other Crime and Drug-Related Indicators**

Provide crime statistics for PHDEP-targeted developments and the larger community. The larger community should be the same as the local reporting unit for the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting Program. Please identify this larger community below. If development- or area-level statistics are not available, provide crime statistics for the smallest reporting area that includes all of each targeted development or area. Please indicate below the reporting areas used for crime statistics.

Name of the larger Community:	Type of Community: <input type="checkbox"/> City or Municipality <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Population of Community:
-------------------------------	--	--------------------------

Reporting Area for Crime Statistics

Check box if same as page 1 or... if Other: (please define reporting area)

Development or Area 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Development or Area 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Development or Area 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Development or Area 4	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Enter "U" where data are unknown.		Community Wide	Development or Area 1	Development or Area 2	Development or Area 3	Development or Area 4
Crime Statistics (during <i>this period</i> only)						
1. Reported UCR Part 1 Offenses: ¹	Murder					
	Robbery					
	Total					
2. Total Arrests for Drug Offenses:						
3. Total Calls for Service: (to 911 or other emergency service systems)						

Other Crime and Drug-Related Indicators (during *this period* only)

4. Number of Open-Air Drug Markets Observed:					
5. Number of Drive-by Shootings:					
6. Number of Vandalism-related Repairs or Replacements of Outdoor Lighting: (bulbs or fixtures)					
7. Other:					
8. Other:					

¹ Grand total reported in column 4 of the Uniform Crime Report. Includes murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny theft, and motor vehicle theft. Does not include arson.

Table 2: PHDEP Participation/Performance Indicators

Answer all items that apply to your program. Statistics should reflect this quarter only. Report unduplicated numbers of participants in PHDEP-sponsored activities. For example, for a course meeting weekly for six weeks with 10 participants, report 10 attendees, not 60. However, if the same individuals participated in multiple activities, they should be counted as separate participants in each activity. Report all of the indicators for the PHDEP program as a whole.

Enter "U" where data are unavailable or unknown.

Enter "X" if the PHDEP program does not include the listed program or activity.

Indicators (during <i>this period</i> only)	HA-Wide
1. Youth Education/Tutoring Activities (e.g., after-school tutoring programs, GED prep classes, etc.)	
2. Youth Recreational/Cultural Activities (e.g., sports programs, cultural sensitivity or awareness training, etc.)	
3. Youth Job Training / Job Search / Employment Programs (e.g., training on preparing resumes, job skills programs, job placement services, etc.)	
4. Youth Mentoring Programs (e.g. self awareness, positive role models, etc.)	
5. Adult Education/Literacy Programs (e.g., reading programs, ESL, computer skills, etc.)	
6. Adult Job Training/Job Search/Employment Programs (e.g., job placement, job readiness, job skills programs, etc.)	
7. Adult Case Management/Referral (numbers of residents receiving case management services or referrals)	
8. Parenting / Family Support Services (e.g., programs for single mothers, parenting programs for grandparents, etc.)	
9. Substance Abuse Education (e.g., prevention or education programs on over-the-counter drugs, dealing with drug abuse within the family, etc.)	
10. How many Residents are receiving Substance Abuse Counseling/Treatment	
11. How many Residents Participated in Resident Patrols (foot patrols, bike patrols, etc.)	
12. How many Residents Participated in Neighborhood Watches	
13. How many PHDEP Activities were being Run by Residents (organized and/or staffed by residents)	
14. How many PHA/IHA Residents were Employed by the PHDEP program	

Table 3: HA Management Indicators

For HAs with fewer than 1,250 units, report only HA-wide statistics.

For HAs with 1,250 units or more, fill in the table for each targeted development or area, *as well as* HA-wide.

Enter "U" where data are unavailable or unknown.

Indicators (during <i>this period</i> only)	HA-Wide	HAs with More than 1,250 Units Only:			
		Development or Area 1	Development or Area 2	Development or Area 3	Development or Area 4
1. Vacancy Rates:(percentage of total units available for occupancy)	%	%	%	%	%
2. Unit Rejection Rates for					
• Total number of Unit Offers to prospective tenants:					
• Total number of Unit Offers Turned Down by applicants:					
3. Average Number of calendar days between a unit becoming vacant and re-rental (count both week days and weekend days)	days	days	days	days	days
4. Number of Notices of Evictions Issued for Cause:					
5. Total Evictions for Cause Executed: (including lease violations of any sort)					
6. Total Evictions for Non-payment Executed: (30 days in arrears or other guidelines set forth by the HA)					
7. Number of Resident Households Reporting Income from Employment:					
8. Tenant Accounts Receivable (monies owed to HA by residents in possession as a percentage of total rent roll)	%	%	%	%	%

Table 4: **External Linkages**

Indicate which of the following types of outside agencies were actively involved in management or service provision in the PHDEP during this period:

Type of Agency	Check if actively involved during this period
Public Schools / School System	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parks and Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>
Human or Social Services Agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>
Economic Development Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community Development Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community Action Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neighborhood Organization(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Community-Based Organization(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Probation/Court System	<input type="checkbox"/>
Private Industry Council (PIC)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Business / Business Association	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Colleges/Universities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Foundation(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police Department	<input type="checkbox"/>
Weed and Seed Committee	<input type="checkbox"/>
U.S. Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other: (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other: (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Table 5: Suggested Questions for Resident Survey

Development or Area No: 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/>		Name of Development or Area:		Dates of Survey (mo./yr.) from: to:				
Question A Frequency of ...		Number of Respondents to each Question	Percent answering for Each Category					
			Never 1	2	3	4	5	Always 6
• sitting or walking in development (daytime)			%	%	%	%	%	%
• sitting or walking in development (after dark)			%	%	%	%	%	%
• letting children play or walk in development (daytime)			%	%	%	%	%	%
• letting children play or walk in development (after dark)			%	%	%	%	%	%
Question B Compared to 1 year ago, how safe are you while...			Less 1	Same 2	More 3			
• in apartment			%	%	%			
• in development (daytime)			%	%	%			
• in development (after dark)			%	%	%			
Question C Level of drug and crime-related problems in this development...			Hardly any at all			A Great Deal		
			1	2	3	4	5	6
• how much concealed drug dealing occurs?			%	%	%	%	%	%
• how much open-air drug dealing occurs?			%	%	%	%	%	%
• how much drug use by residents?			%	%	%	%	%	%
• how much vandalism or graffiti?			%	%	%	%	%	%
• how much crime?			%	%	%	%	%	%
• how much gang activity is there?			%	%	%	%	%	%
Question D Compared to 1 year ago, what is the change in the amount of...			Less 1	Same 2	More 3			
• concealed drug dealing in development?			%	%	%			
• open-air drug dealing?			%	%	%			
• drug use by residents?			%	%	%			
• vandalism or graffiti?			%	%	%			
• crime?			%	%	%			
• gang activity?			%	%	%			
Question E How much of the crime in your development do you believe is drug-related?			None	Very Little	About Half	Most	All	
			%	%	%	%	%	

Question F Are the following services offered in your development?		Number of Respondents to each Question	Percent Yes	Percent No	
• Education on drugs and alcohol?			%	%	
• Drug counseling for youth?			%	%	
• Drug treatment for youth?			%	%	
• Drug counseling for adults?			%	%	
• Drug treatment for adults?			%	%	
• Police Foot Patrols?			%	%	
• Police Cruiser Patrols?			%	%	
• Resident Patrols?			%	%	