

government?" and "What is the Role of the Federal Agencies?"

Benefits to Non-Designated Rivers

Respondents were curious about what benefits will go to non-designated rivers. The initiative provides benefits to all people working to revitalize their rivers. See additional discussion under "Benefits to Applicants Who Submit Complete Nomination Packets" and "Improved Services Available to All Communities."

Role of the River Navigator

Some respondents stated that the River Navigator would be of great help to the community; others focused on the possibility that this individual would hold too much power, would add a layer of bureaucracy, and/or would not represent the community's wishes. These issues have been clarified in this **Federal Register** notice. The River Navigator will not be given any new authority over local zoning or local land use decisions. When American Heritage Rivers are selected, members of the relevant communities will have the opportunity to help shape the specific duties of their River Navigator and have a role in choosing one Navigator from several candidates. Although the Administration believes that River Navigators will be extremely helpful, no community will be required to accept a River Navigator. See additional discussion under "Benefits of Designation," subsection "River Navigator."

Private Property Concerns

Many wrote in with concerns about private property rights. While existing laws and programs will continue to apply, the American Heritage Rivers initiative, in and of itself, will have no effect on private property rights. However, several new directives concerning protection of property rights were included in the **Federal Register** notice. See additional discussion under "What is the Role of the Federal Agencies?" and "Protection for Private Property and Other Rights."

Nomination and Selection Process

Many respondents questioned how rivers would be nominated. The term "river community" was confusing to many people. They sought clarification on which groups composed a "river community" and the extent of community support needed for a nomination. Of particular concern was that a group of people who lived outside the "river community" could successfully nominate a river without the support of the people who relied on the river for their livelihood or recreation.

Only those people who live or work in the proposed area may nominate their river. However, people representing all interests should be involved in the process. See discussion under "Summary of American Heritage Rivers Initiative" and "How do communities nominate a river and who may apply?"

Respondents showed considerable interest in particular rivers being designated. As of September 12, 1997, no nominations have been solicited or accepted and no rivers have been selected. Nomination packets are now

available. The nomination period will be open from September 11 to December 10. The President will announce the selected rivers in early 1998. See more detailed discussion under "How do communities nominate a river and who may apply?" and "How will rivers be selected?"

Public Involvement in the Design of the Initiative

Respondents sought information on when and how the public has played a role in designing the initiative. Extensive public involvement has been a cornerstone of the initiative from the beginning. See discussion under "How the initiative was developed."

[FR Doc. 97-24774 Filed 9-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3125-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2224]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

September 12, 1997.

Petitions for reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to this petitions must be filed October 2, 1997. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Changes to Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. (CC Docket No. 97-21). Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96-45).

Number of Petitions Filed: 5.

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96-45).

Number of Petitions Filed: 6.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-24723 Filed 9-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval,

pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than October 9, 1997.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (A. Linwood Gill III, Assistant Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261-4528:

1. *One Valley Bancorp, Inc.*, Charleston, West Virginia; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of One Valley Bank-Central Virginia, N.A., Lynchburg, Virginia. The proposed successor by charter conversion to One Valley Bank-Central Virginia, Lynchburg, Virginia, a subsidiary of One Valley Bancorp, Inc.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2034:

1. *First United Bancshares, Inc.*, El Dorado, Arkansas; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of City Bank & Trust of Shreveport, Shreveport, Louisiana.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (D. Michael Manies, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *FirstBank Holding Company of Colorado, ESOP*, Lakewood, Colorado; to acquire an additional .86 percent for a total of 26.36 percent, of the voting shares of FirstBank Holding Company of Colorado, Lakewood, Colorado, and thereby indirectly acquire FirstBank of

Arvada, N.A., Arvada, Colorado; FirstBank of Aurora, N.A., Aurora, Colorado; FirstBank of Avon, Avon, Colorado; FirstBank of Boulder, N.A., Boulder, Colorado; FirstBank of Breckenridge, N.A., Breckenridge, Colorado; FirstBank of Douglas County, N.A., Castle Rock, Colorado; FirstBank of Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, Colorado; FirstBank of Cherry Creek, N.A., Denver, Colorado; FirstBank of Denver, N.A., Denver, Colorado; FirstBank of Longmont, Longmont, Colorado; FirstBank of Northern Colorado, Fort Collins, Colorado; FirstBank of Greeley, Greeley, Colorado; FirstBank of Tech Center, N.A., Englewood, Colorado; FirstBank of Colorado, N.A., Lakewood, Colorado; FirstBank of South Jeffco, Littleton, Colorado; FirstBank of Lakewood, N.A., Lakewood, Colorado; FirstBank of Littleton, N.A., Littleton, Colorado; FirstBank of Arapahoe County, N.A., Littleton, Colorado; FirstBank of Silverthorne, N.A., Silverthorne, Colorado; FirstBank of Vail, Vail, Colorado; FirstBank North, N.A., Westminster, Colorado; FirstBank of Wheat Ridge, N.A., Wheat Ridge, Colorado; FirstBank of Evergreen, Evergreen, Colorado; and FirstBank, N.A., Palm Desert, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, September 12, 1997.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 97-24701 Filed 9-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research

Contract Review Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) announces the following technical review committee to meet during the month of September 1997:

Name: Technical Review Committee for the AHCPR User Liaison Program Mail Key Support Services Contract.

Date and Time: September 24, 1997, 10 a.m.—3 p.m.

Place: Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Willco Building, 3rd Floor Conference Room 6000 Executive Boulevard Rockville, MD 20852.

This meeting will be closed to the public.

Purpose: The Technical Review Committee's charge is to provide, on behalf of the AHCPR Contracts Review Committee, recommendations to the Administrator,

AHCPR, regarding the technical merit of contract proposals submitted in response to a specific Request for Proposals regarding the User Liaison Program (ULP) Mail Key Support Services contract.

The purpose of this contract is to provide for the timely merging and mailing of letters of invitation to User Liaison Program (ULP) workshops through use of a ULP developed, automated data base. The contractor will use and maintain a data base of State legislators, Governors and their staff, Federal and State executive branch, and local health officials, as well as selected public and private users of health services research including—health care consumers, purchasers, plans, practitioners, and policymakers. The contractor will perform mail merges for all letters of invitation and mail such invitations numbering between 3500 and 6000 as bulk mailings. Using the data in the database, the contractor will generate an annual *User Liaison Program Directory*. These services are required to ensure the timely dissemination of AHCPR research findings and related publications to the research community and general public.

Agenda: The Committee meeting will be devoted entirely to the technical review and evaluation of contract proposals submitted in response to the above referenced Request for Proposals. The Administrator, AHCPR, has made a formal determination that this meeting will not be open to the public. This action is necessary to protect the free and full exchange of views in the contract evaluation process and safeguard confidential proprietary information, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals that may be revealed during the meeting. This action is taken in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2, 5 USC 522(b)(c)(6), 41 CFR 101-6.1023 and Department procurement regulations, 48 CFR 315.604(d).

Anyone wishing to obtain information regarding this meeting should contact Serena Toro, User Liaison Program, Center for Health Information Dissemination, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 2101 East Jefferson Street, Suite 401, Rockville, Maryland 20852, 301/594-6668.

Dated: September 11, 1997.

John M. Eisenberg,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-24651 Filed 9-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-90-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Expert Workshop Regarding Medical Monitoring in Bunker Hill, ID; Meeting

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) announces the following meeting.

Name: Expert Workshop Regarding Medical Monitoring in Bunker Hill, ID.

Times and Dates: 8 a.m.—5 p.m., September 23–24, 1997.

Place: The D. Abbott Turner Center at Emory University, 703 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30329, telephone 404/712-6725.

Status: Open to the public, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 100 people.

Purpose: ATSDR is considering the appropriateness of medical monitoring for populations who lived around the former Bunker Hill lead smelting facility (the Bunker Hill Superfund Site) in the Silver Valley area of Idaho during a time of excess exposures of public health significance. As Page 2 part of this consideration process, ATSDR is convening a series of workshops to examine the appropriateness and feasibility of a medical monitoring program.

The purpose of the medical monitoring program is to provide a public health service to communities affected by exposures to hazardous substances. This is accomplished by screening target populations at significant risk of a specific health effect or outcome, identifying individuals in need of further diagnosis or treatment, and arranging for appropriate referrals.

Section 104(i)(9) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 9604(i)(9)), provides for the Administrator, ATSDR, to initiate a health surveillance program for populations at significantly increased risk of adverse health effects as a result of exposure to hazardous substances released from a facility. A program included under health surveillance is referred to as "medical monitoring or screening" by ATSDR and is defined in the legislation as "the periodic medical testing to screen people at significant increased risk for disease."

ATSDR has established criteria to determine when medical monitoring is an appropriate health activity and the requirements for establishing a medical monitoring program at a site. The legislation requires that a mechanism to refer people for treatment be included in the program. The statute does not authorize ATSDR to provide medical treatment; thus, medical monitoring is performed as a community service, not a health study.

ATSDR is convening three expert workshops to assist in the evaluation of a medical monitoring program at the Bunker Hill site. If a program is deemed appropriate, the Agency will develop a medical monitoring plan for the target population(s). The first workshop, was held on August 19–20, 1997. This notice announces the second workshop.

Matters to be Considered: The objective of this workshop is to use all available relevant data from ATSDR, including that produced by the first workshop, to make individual recommendations and answer questions related to:

(1) The analysis of specific outcomes as candidates for monitoring from the first workshop, (2) further definition of the target populations from the first workshop, (3) the consideration of other heavy metals exposures as moderators of lead-based medical monitoring recommendations, and