DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OA96-70-001]

Boston Edison Company; Notice of Filing

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on July 9, 1997, Boston Edison Company of Boston, Massachusetts tendered for filing an index of its service agreements under its open-access transmission tariff pursuant to the Commission's order in Allegheny Power System, Inc., 80 FERC ¶61,143 (1997).

Boston Edison states that copies of this filing have been served on the affected customers and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 19, 1997. Protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–24361 Filed 9–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM98-1-123-000]

Crossroads Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on September 3, 1997, Crossroads Pipeline Company (Crossroads) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Tariff Sheet No. 6. Crossroads asserts that this filing is being made to comply with the Commission's notice of August 20, 1997 and Section 154.402 of the Commission's regulations.

Crossroads states that the purpose of the filing is to add an Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) unit charge to its tariff. Crossroads requests an effective date of October 1, 1997 for the proposed tariff sheet and a waiver of Section 154.207 of the Commission's regulations.

Crossroads states further that copies of the filing were served on its current firm and interruptible customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of Crossroads' filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–24371 Filed 9–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-363-001]

Egan Hub Partners, L.P.; Notice of Compliance Filing

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on September 4, 1997, Egan Hub Partners, L.P. (Egan Hub), filed tariff sheets to reflect compliance with Order No. 587 et seq. and an "Order on Compliance Filing" issued on June 30, 1997, 79 FERC ¶ 61,423 (1997), (hereinafter the "June 30 Order"). In addition to filing tariff sheets that have been revised to comply with changes ordered by the Commission in the June 30 Order, Egan Hub states that it is also filing those tariff sheets that were approved by the Commission in the June 30 Order. In addition to the foregoing changes, Egan Hub states that it has also corrected spelling and similar errors.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. A copy of this filing is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection in the public reference room. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–24366 Filed 9–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-514-000]

Huntsville Utilities Gas System City of Huntsville, Alabama v. Midcoast Interstate Gas Transmission, Inc.; Notice of Complaint and Motion for Expedited Relief

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on September 4, 1997, Huntsville Utilities Gas System, City of Huntsville, Alabama, (Huntsville) tendered for filing a complaint against Midcoast Gas Transmission, Inc. (Midcoast) and a motion for expedited relief, pursuant to Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act, Order No. 636–A, and Rules 206 and 212 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure

Huntsville submits its complaint against the alleged unlawful auction procedures of Midcoast and the improper bids by Midcoast's marketing affiliate, Midcoast Marketing, Inc. (MMI), with respect to certain firm transportation capacity Huntsville has on Midcoast that is subject to expiring service agreements for which Huntsville has a tariff right of first refusal (ROFR). Midcoast Gas Tariff, General Terms and Conditions, Section 3.14(e).

Among other things, Huntsville contends that the terms of the auction were inconsistent with the Commission's May 30, 1997, Order in docket No. RP97–331, which among other things stays abandonment authority relating to service to Huntsville until April 1, 1998. Huntsville also contends that MMI, which is the sole bidder on its expiring capacity, bid on the Huntsville capacity, without having market support for its bids, in an effort to manipulate the auction and force Huntsville to exercise

its ROFR for nearly the maximum rate, for the full amount of the expiring Huntsville capacity, for the maximum matching term, thereby discouraging Huntsville's pursuit of alternative transportation service on the Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) North Alabama Project that was approved in Docket No. CP96–153.

Huntsville requests that the Commission: (i) Void the Midcoast auction and MMI bids; (ii) do so on an expedited basis, or order a stay of the September 18, 1997 date for the exercise of the Huntsville ROFR, pending further Commission action on the Huntsville complaint; (iii) investigate Midcoast and MMI's activities with respect to the expiring Huntsville capacity; and (iv) grant other relief as the Commission deems appropriate.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 15, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before September 15, 1997.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–24364 Filed 9–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM98-1-65-000]

Jupiter Energy Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on September 4, 1997, Jupiter Energy Corporation (Jupiter) tendered for filing the following sheets of effective date of October 1, 1997:

Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 4A Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 5A Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 6A

Jupiter states that the filed tariff sheets reflect the implementation of Jupiter's Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) surcharge. The proposed surcharge rate is 0.22¢ per Dth.

Jupiter states that a copy of the filing has been served on its jurisdictional customer.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of Jupiter's filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–24370 Filed 9–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-718-000]

K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 9, 1997.

Take notice that on August 29, 1997, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (KNI), Post Office Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, filed in docket No. CP97-718-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to install and operate eighteen new delivery taps, under an existing transportation agreement with K N Energy, Inc. (KNE), a local distribution customer. KNI also request authorization to install and operate one new tap for Mid-America Pipeline Company (MAPC), an industrial transportation service customer, under an existing transportation agreement. KNI is requesting authorization to install the taps in various counties in Nebraska and in two counties in Kansas, to facilitate the delivery of natural gas for agricultural, commercial, domestic and industrial uses. KNI makes such request under its blanket certificate

issued in Docket No. CP83–140–000 and CP83–140–001 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, KNI proposed to install the following eighteen delivery points to KNE:

1. Two delivery points in Thayer County, Nebraska each to deliver approximately 29 Mcf of natural gas on a peak day and 950 Mcf annually to serve separate irrigation customers, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,810 each.

2. A delivery point in Gosper County, Nebraska to deliver approximately 24 Mcf on a peak day and 792 Mcf annually to serve an irrigation customer, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,550.

3. A delivery point in Clay County, Nebraska to deliver approximately 19 Mcf on a peak day and 634 Mcf annually to serve an irrigation customer, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,550.

4. Two delivery points in Boone County, Nebraska to deliver gas to serve two separate irrigation customers, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,810 each. Approximately 36 Mcf is proposed to be delivered through one of the points on a peak day and 1,188 Mcf annually, while approximately 26 Mcf is proposed to be delivered on a peak day and 871 Mcf annually at the other point.

5. Two delivery points in Buffalo County, Nebraska. One point to deliver approximately 6 Mcf on a peak day and 198 Mcf annually to serve an irrigation customer, at an estimate facility cost of \$1,500. The other point would be to deliver approximately 53 Mcf on a peak day and 43,423 Mcf annually to serve a commercial customer, at an estimated facility cost of \$2,000.

6. Two delivery points in Fillmore County, Nebraska to deliver gas to serve two separate irrigation customers, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,810 each. Approximately 29 Mcf is proposed to be delivered through one of the points on a peak day and 950 Mcf annually, while approximately 26 Mcf is proposed to be delivered on a peak day and 871 Mcf annually at the other point.

7. A delivery point in Madison County, Nebraska to deliver approximately 24 Mcf on a peak day and 792 Mcf annually to serve an irrigation customer, at an estimated facility cost of \$1.550.

8. A delivery point in Norton County, Kansas to deliver approximately 6 Mcf on a peak day and 360 Mcf annually, to serve a domestic customer, at an estimated facility cost of \$1,500.

9. Two delivery points in Pierce County, Nebraska to deliver gas to serve two separate irrigation customers, at an