NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Federal Telecommunications Recommendation (FTR) 1063–1997

AGENCY: National Communications System (NCS).

ACTION: Notice of publication.

SUMMARY: Federal Telecommunications System (FTR) 1063–1997, "Procedures for Document Facsimile Transmission" was approved for publication on August 11, 1997. This recommendation establishes procedures which are necessary for document transmission between two facsimile stations operating on voice band analog circuits. The procedures defined in this recommendation include the following: Call establishment and call release, control functions, and supervision of line conditions. This recommendation is based on ANSI/TIA/EIA-466-A-1996, which replaces EIA RS-466 (FIPS PUB 148).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Stephen Perschau at telephone (703) 607–6198 or write to the National Communications System, Attn: N6, 701 South Court House Road, Arlington, VA 22204–2198.

Dennis Bodson,

Chief, Technology and Standards Division. [FR Doc. 97–23215 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–03–M

NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Federal Telecommunications Recommendation (FTR) 1090–1997

AGENCY: National Communications

System (NCS).

ACTION: Notice of Publication.

SUMMARY: Federal Telecommunications Recommendation (FTR) 1090-1997, "Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard" was approved for publication on August 11, 1997. This recommendation specifies minimum requirements for telecommunications cabling within a building and between buildings in a campus environment. The specifications provide for a cabling system with a recommended topology and recommended distances, for copper and optical-fiber transmission media by parameters that determine performance, and for connectors and their pin assignments to ensure interconnectability. This recommendation is based on ANSI/TIA/ EIA-568-A-1995, which replaces

ANSI/EIA/TIA-568-1991 (FIPS PUB 174).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Janet Orndorff at telephone (703) 607–6204 or write to the National Communications System, Attn: N6, 701 South Court House Road, Arlington, VA 22204–2198.

Dennis Bodson,

Chief, Technology and Standards Division. [FR Doc. 97–23216 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–03–M

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR LITERACY

Meetings; Advisory Board; Correction

AGENCY: National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board, National Institute for Literacy.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In notice document 62–163 beginning on page 44726 in the issue of Friday, August 22, 1997, make the following correction:

On page 44726 in the second column the date and time of the Advisory Board meeting is being changed to September 8, 1997, 9:30 AM to 5:00 PM. The meeting on September 9, 1997 has been canceled.

Dated: August 28, 1997.

Carolyn Staley,

Deputy Director, NIFL.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 97\text{--}23346\ Filed\ 8\text{--}29\text{--}97;\ 8\text{:}45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 6055-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. IA 97-065; ASLBP No. 97-731-01-EA]

Aharon Ben-Haim, Ph.D.; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 F.R. 28710 (1972), and Sections 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717, 2.721, and 2.772(j) of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

AHARON BEN-HAIM, Ph.D.

Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) IA 97–065

This Board is being established as a result of a July 31, 1997 NRC Staff Order against Dr. Ben–Haim. The Order prohibits Dr. Ben–Haim from engaging

in NRC-licensed activities, requires him to inform the NRC of any NRC licensed entity or entities where Dr. Ben–Haim is involved, and requires him to provide a copy of the Order to all such NRC-licensed entities. The petitioner, Dr. Aharon Ben–Haim, is requesting a hearing in accordance with 10 CFR § 2.202.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges: Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Atomic

Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

All correspondence, documents and other materials in this proceeding shall be filed with the Judges in accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 2.701.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of August 1997.

B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 97–23206 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company, (Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2); Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering granting an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.J, to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, (the licensee), in connection with the operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin, under Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR–24 and DPR–27.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

By letter dated June 5, 1997, as supplemented on June 13, 1997, the licensee requested an exemption to Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.J. The proposed exemption would allow the use of handheld, portable lighting units in lieu of emergency lighting units with at least an

8-hour battery power supply to traverse between the main plant and exterior support buildings (diesel generator building, 13.8 kV switchgear building, service water and fire pump house, fuel oil pump house, gas turbine building, and warehouse 3) to perform post-fire shutdown tasks.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed for the licensee to avoid the burden of constructing and maintaining outdoor battery-powered lighting units.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed exemption and concludes that allowing the use of hand-held portable lighting units in lieu of 8-hour, battery powered emergency lighting units will not increase the types or amounts of effluents that may be released offsite, nor increase individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, no changes are being made to the authorized power level, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 29, 1997, the staff consulted with the Wisconsin State official, Ms. Sarah Jenkins of the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated June 5, 1997, as supplemented by letter dated June 13, 1997, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at The Lester Public Library, 1001 Adams Street, Two Rivers, WI 54241.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of August 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Linda L. Gundrum,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III–1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–23204 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Procedures for Meetings

Background

This notice describes procedures to be followed with respect to meetings conducted pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW). These procedures are set forth so that they may be incorporated by reference in future notices for individual meetings.

The ACNW advises the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on nuclear waste disposal issues. This includes

facilities covered under 10 CFR Parts 60 and 61 and other applicable regulations and legislative mandates, such as the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act and amendments, and the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act, as amended. The Committee's reports become a part of the public record. The ACNW meetings are normally open to the public and provide opportunities for oral or written statements from members of the public to be considered as part of the Committee's information gathering process. The meetings are not adjudicatory hearings such as those conducted by the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel as part of the Commission's licensing process. ACNW full Committee meetings are conducted in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

General Rules Regarding ACNW Meetings

An agenda is published in the **Federal** Register for each full Committee meeting. There may be a need to make changes to the agenda to facilitate the conduct of the meeting. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his/her judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including making provisions to continue the discussion of matters not completed on the scheduled day during another meeting. Persons planning to attend the meeting may contact the Chief of the Nuclear Waste Branch, ACNW, prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes to the agenda that may have occurred. This individual can be contacted (telephone: 301/415-7366) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., Eastern Time.

The following requirements shall apply to public participation in ACNW meetings:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written comments regarding the agenda items may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy addressed to the Designated Federal Official specified in the Federal Register Notice for the individual meeting in care of the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Comments should be in the possession of the Designated Federal Official at least five days prior to the meeting to allow time for reproduction and distribution. Comments should be limited to areas related to nuclear waste issues within the Committee's purview.

Written comments may also be submitted by providing a readily reproducible copy to the Designated