determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 97\text{--}22432\ Filed\ 8\text{--}22\text{--}97;\ 8\text{:}45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-355-002]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Compliance Tariff Filing

August 19, 1997.

Take notice that on August 14, 1997, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, with an effective date of June 1, 1997:

Second Sub. Original Sheet No. 209 Substitute Original Sheet No. 211

CNG states that the purpose of this filing is to further revise CNG's mainline pooling service in two respects. As directed by the Commission in its July 30 Order, slip op. at 4, CNG omits Section 4.3 of Rate Schedule MPS from Sheet No. 209, which had referred to negotiation of the imbalance fee established by Section 4.1.A. CNG has also revised the treatment of imbalances in Section 6 of Rate Schedule MPS, "to reflect the assessment of imbalance penalties comparable to the penalties under Rate Schedules FT, IT, and MCS." July 30 Order, at 6. Specifically, CNG has revised Sections 4.1.A and Section 6.5 so that unresolved MPSbased imbalances will be subject to the same imbalance management provisions that are currently applicable to Wheeling Service under CNG's Rate Schedule MCS.

CNG states that copies of its filing have been mailed to the parties to the captioned proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the

appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–22444 Filed 8–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-448-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

August 19, 1997.

Take notice that on August 15, 1997, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), filed Second Revised Sheet No. 116. East Tennessee states that this filing is in compliance with Ordering Paragraph (B) of the Commission's February 27, 1997 Order on Remand in Docket Nos. RM91–11–006 and RM87–34–072. Order No. 636–C, 78 FERC ¶ 61,186 (1997).

East Tennessee further states that the revised tariff sheet establishes a new contract term cap of five years for its right-of-first-refusal tariff provisions consistent with the new cap established in Order No. 636–C. East Tennessee requests an effective date of September 15, 1997.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR Section 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–22449 Filed 8–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-444-000]

Notice of Complaint

August 19, 1997.

Horsehead Resource Development Co., Inc. v. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation.

Take notice that on August 8, 1997, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 18 CFR Section 385.207 (1996) and Order No. 636–C, Horsehead Resource Development Co., Inc. (Horsehead) tendered for filing a petition for relief to modify the term of a firm transportation contract it has entered into with Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco).

Horsehead respectfully requests that the Commission shorten the length of a firm transportation contract it has entered into with Transco from twenty years to five years. Horsehead states that it is currently entitled to 2,200 Mcf per day of firm capacity from Transco under a contract which was renewed for a twenty-year term effective for the period November 16, 1995 through November 16, 2015. Horsehead states that the contract was renewed at a time when the twenty-year term-matching cap set forth in Order No. 636 was in effect. Since then, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit overturned the Commission's decision to impose a twenty-year cap.

On remand, the Commission substituted a five-year cap to be effective prospectively and stated that it will entertain on a case-by-case basis requests to shorten a contract term if a customer renewed a contract under the right-of-first-refusal process since Order No. 636 and can show that it agreed to a longer term renewal contract than it otherwise would have because of the twenty-year cap. Horsehead states that it would have entered into a contract extension with Transco for the far shorter duration of five years had the twenty-year term matching cap under Order No. 636 not been in effect.

Horsehead respectfully requests that the Commission grant its petition for relief to shorten the term of its firm transportation contract with Transco from twenty years to five years from November 16, 1995 (expiring November 16, 2000).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 8, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before September 8, 1997.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–22446 Filed 8–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-3887-000]

Long Island Lighting Company; Notice of Filing

August 19, 1997.

Take notice that on July 28, 1997, Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) filed Service Agreements for Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between:

- (1) LILCO and ProMark Energy (Transmission Customer); and
- (2) LILCO and PECO Energy Company-Power Team (Transmission Customer).

The Service Agreements specify that the Transmission Customer has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the LILCO open access transmission tariff filed on July 9, 1996, in Docket No. OA96–38–000.

LILCO requests waiver of the Commission's sixty (60) day notice requirements and an effective date of July 8, 1997, for the ProMark Energy and the PECO Company-Power Team Service Agreement. LILCO has served copies of the filing on the New York State Public Service Commission and on the Transmission Customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before August 29, 1997. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–22439 Filed 8–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-699-000]

Midcoast Interstate Transmission, Inc.; Notice of Application

August 19, 1997.

Take notice that on August 18, 1997, Midcoast Interstate Transmission, Inc. (MIT), formerly Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company, 3230 Second Street, Muscle Shoals, AL 35661, filed an application under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a limited term certificate with pregranted abandonment authority, authorizing it to operate, for a limited period commencing November 1, 1997 and ending November 1, 1998, two 350 horsepower Clark compressor units and related facilities, which are located at its Sheffield Compressor Station in Colbert County, Alabama, that are currently used for standby purposes, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to the public inspection.

MIT requests that the Commission issue the requested limited term authorization no later than October 15, 1997 to provide the necessary firm service entitlements of its customers commencing November 1, 1997. MIT states that during June 1997, it conducted an open season for new firm service. MIT contends that in response it obtained new contracts for firm service totaling 25,342 Dth/d. In Docket No. RP97-331-000 the Commission required MIT to continue service to the Cities of Decatur and Huntsville, Alabama, for one year beyond their respective contract expiration dates. 1 MIT states that as a result, it is obligated by Commission order to provide firm service to Decatur until November 1, 1998, and to Huntsville until April 1, 1999. MIT asserts that with the required continuation of firm service to Decatur

and Huntsville, it will require additional peak day capacity in order to provide the new firm service that its open season customers have contracted for commencing November 1, 1997.

MIT states that because the compressor facilities currently serve its system in a standby capacity, there are no additional construction costs associated with this proposal. MIT will provide the additional firm service that is contracted to commence on November 1, 1997, at its existing Part 284 tariff rates and pursuant to its existing Part 284 Blanket Certificate authority. MIT requests that the Commission grant it temporary authorization to operate the two compressor units no later than October 15, 1997, if permanent certificate authorization cannot be issued by such date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 29, 1997, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

¹ 79 FERC ¶ 61,282 (1997).