

information from contractors and subcontractors regarding restrictions on the Government's right to use or disclose technical data and computer software. The information is used to identify and protect such data or computer software from unauthorized release or disclosure; to facilitate public use of technical data and computer software developed at Government expense; and to enable contracting officers to determine whether the Government has otherwise paid to obtain rights in the technical data or computer software.

Affected Public: Business or Other-For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Peter N. Weiss.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Weiss at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: August 14, 1997.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-21972 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Record of Decision (ROD) for the Disposal of Kelly Air Force Base (AFB), Texas

On July 24, 1997, the Air Force signed the ROD for the Disposal of Kelly AFB. The decisions included in this ROD have been made in consideration of, but not limited to, the information contained in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and made available to the public on May 30, 1997.

Kelly AFB will close on or before July 13, 2001, pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, Pub. L. 101-510, (10 U.S.C. § 2687 note), and recommendations of the Secretary of Defense's Commission on Base Realignment and Closure. This ROD

documents the Kelly AFB disposal decisions.

Approximately 50% of Kelly AFB and all associated easements consisting of the runway and all property west of the runway will be transferred to Lackland AFB for continued Air Force needs.

Approximately 1876 acres fee will be conveyed by an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC) to the Greater Kelly Development Corporation (GKDC). The remaining 3 acres will be conveyed to the City of San Antonio, for use by representatives of the homeless.

All personal property identified by the GKDC as suitable and necessary to implement the Master Plan will be included in the EDC, except for that which is transferred to representatives of the homeless. Critical, high value, limited assets that are only useable in connection with a special weapon system may be included in the EDC, but any decision to transfer will be deferred until completion of public/private competition for the Kelly AFB maintenance workload associated with this personal property.

A portion of the property in Parcel A contains two buildings and personal property that are under an order of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, dated July 22, 1997, prohibiting the sale, transfer, or in any manner the disposition of those assets as part of the EDC unless and until the Order is dissolved or expires or a subsequent order is issued.

The implementation of the closure and reuse action and associated mitigation measures will proceed with minimal adverse impact to the environment. This action conforms with applicable Federal, State and local statutes and regulations and all reasonable and practical efforts have been incorporated to minimize harm to the local public and environment.

Any questions regarding this matter should be directed to Mr. Charles R. Hatch, Program Manager, Division C. Correspondence should be sent to AFBCA, Division C, 1700 N. Moore Street, Suite 2300, Arlington, VA 22209-2809.

Barbara A. Carmichael,

Alternate Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-21847 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Environmental Assessment for Promulgation of Revised Army Regulation (AR) 200-4 "Cultural Resources Management"

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION:

The Department of the Army has, consistent with the procedures established by the National Environmental Policy Act, the regulations published by the Council on Environmental Quality, and internal AR 200-2, "Environmental Effects of Army Actions," prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to fully consider and disclose the environmental impacts associated with the proposal to adopt a uniform Department of the Army Regulation (AR), AR 200-4, "Cultural Resources Management." AR 200-4 is a revision of AR 420-40, "Historic Preservation," dated 15 May 1984. Upon adoption, AR 200-4 will supersede AR 420-40. The EA considered and disclosed the environmental impacts associated with alternatives to the proposed action, including the "No Action" alternative. The EA is hereby incorporated by reference.

The Department of the Army established and forwarded the proposed action for the following purposes: (1) To develop a uniform Department of the Army policy for management of cultural resources that ensures compliance with all applicable legal requirements including Federal statutes, regulations, Executive Orders, Presidential documents, and best management practices applicable to cultural resource management; (2) to provide a comprehensive approach to cultural resource management that goes beyond the singular focus of AR 420-40, "Historic Preservation," on management of historic properties; and (3) to identify the appropriate roles and responsibilities of Army officials in the cultural resource management process at all levels of the Army.

The proposed action is necessary in order to provide a uniform, up-to-date, Department of the Army cultural resource management policy for distribution to and implementation in the field. It is mandatory for the policy adopted to address cultural resources management on a comprehensive basis, to provide clear direction and guidance for compliance with all applicable legal requirements across resources and to eliminate the present ad hoc approach to management of cultural resources.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The EA considered, evaluated and assessed three alternatives: (1) the "No Action" alternative (continue activities under AR 420-40); (2) rescind AR 420-40 (no policy for cultural resources management); and (3) the proposed action alternative which is adoption of AR 200-4.

ALTERNATIVE CHOSEN: Consideration of the alternatives analyzed in the EA leads the Army to choose adoption of AR 200-4. The "No Action" alternative and the "Rescind AR 420-40" alternative do not meet the purpose and need as expressed in both this document and the EA. The "No Action" alternative would allow a continued ad hoc approach to management of cultural resources without a comprehensive consideration of all cultural resources. The "Rescind AR 420-40" alternative would leave the Army with no policy for management of cultural resources. AR 200-4, on the other hand, provides clear guidance and direction for management of cultural resources on a comprehensive basis. Management in this manner will facilitate overall Army compliance with applicable legal requirements, and will otherwise provide the agency with the ability to act as a more responsible steward of the cultural resources entrusted to its care.

ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: As noted in the EA, the nature and scope of the analysis was programmatic. This analysis is directly related to the nature of the decision being made. The Department of the Army is choosing to adopt AR 200-4, an internal agency policy for management of cultural resources. This decision alone is not likely to result in any quantifiable, concrete, on-the-ground impacts. Rather, its effect will be felt as resource managers develop site-specific cultural resource management plans and implement management activities consistent with the direction and guidance contained in AR 200-4. That second level of planning and decision making will involve additional environmental review which considers on-the-ground impacts. In addition, while AR 200-4 formalizes a comprehensive and uniform policy for managing cultural resources and eliminates the present ad hoc approach, many of the management practices presently applied in the field will continue to be applied. The effect of adoption and implementation of AR 200-4, therefore, should be beneficial for Army cultural resources.

CONCLUSIONS: Based on a review of the EA, and for the reasons stated immediately above, it is not anticipated

that adoption of AR 200-4 will either independently or cumulatively present significant environmental impacts to the quality of the human environment. Further, based on the analysis in the EA, the Army expects that adoption of AR 200-4 will result in beneficial impacts on cultural resources.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Requests for copies of the EA and questions regarding the Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) may be directed by mail to the Commander, U.S. Army Environmental Center, ATTN: SFIM-AEC-PA (Mr. Tom Hankus), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401, or by phone at (410) 671-1267. The Army also solicits written comments on the EA and FNSI.

COMMENTS: Such comments must be submitted by mail to the above address on or before September 18, 1997.

Dated: August 12, 1997.

Raymond J. Fatz,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army,
(Environment, Safety and Occupational
Health), OASA (IL&E).*

[FR Doc. 97-21844 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District's Ordinance No. 15 Establishing General Tariff No. 1 for the Humboldt Harbor and Bay Deepening, California Project

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In previous **Federal Register** notice (Vol. 62, No. 124, pages 34697-34702) Friday, June 27, 1997, make the following correction: On Page 34702 in column one, Section VI. (Designation of Official and Setting Deadline for Receipt of Comments Concerning Proposed Harbor Usage Fee), ninth line, change the date from August 20, 1997 to August 28, 1997. Per 33 U.S.C. 2236(a)(5)(A)(iii), at least a sixty day public comment period is required from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. Accordingly, the public comment period on the proposed tariff is extended to 4 p.m., PDT, August 28, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding the General Tariff may be directed to Mr. David Hull, Chief Executive Officer, Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District (707) 443-0801.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-21967 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-19-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Community Redevelopment Authority and Available Surplus Buildings and Land at Military Installations Designed for Closure: Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California

SUMMARY: This notice provides information regarding the local redevelopment authority that has been established to plan the reuse of the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California and the surplus property that is located at the base closure site.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1995, the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, was designated for closure pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended. Pursuant to this designation, on September 28, 1995, land and facilities at this installation were declared excess to the Department of the Navy and available for use by other Department of Defense components and other federal agencies. It is not anticipated that any land or facilities will be made available to such components or other federal agencies.

Notice of Surplus Property

Pursuant to paragraph (7)(B) of section 2905(b) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, the following information regarding the redevelopment authority and the surplus property at the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California is published in the **Federal Register**:

Redevelopment Authority

The redevelopment authority for the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, for purposes of implementing the provisions of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, is the City of Long Beach. The City has established a local community advisory committee to provide recommendations to the City concerning the redevelopment of the shipyard. This committee is known as the Shipyard Reuse Advisory Committee. Day-to-day operations of the local redevelopment authority are handled by Mr. Gerald Miller, 200 Pine Avenue, Suite 400, Long Beach, CA 90802, telephone (310) 570-3853, facsimile (310) 570-3897.