

EPA will accept written comments relating to this Agreement for sixty (60) days from the date of publication of this Notice. As noted above, the United States and PADEP may withdraw their consent to the Agreement if comments received during this period disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Agreement is inappropriate, improper or inadequate. In addition, pursuant to Section 7003(d) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), any person may request a public meeting in the area affected by the Agreement. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the offices of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yvette Hamilton-Taylor (3RC32), Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107, (215) 566-2636.

Dated: July 18, 1997.

**Thomas Voltaggio,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III.*  
[FR Doc. 97-20825 Filed 8-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5871-5]

### Extension of Comment Period for Waste Minimization Software and Documents

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Extension of comment period for a draft software package and other draft documents pertaining to priorities for waste minimization.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending the comment period for a draft software package and other draft documents pertaining to priorities for waste minimization. The notice of availability for these materials appeared in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 1997 (62 FR 33868). This extension is necessary to allow commenters time to review additional materials placed in the docket after the comment period began and to provide adequate opportunity for commenters to fully evaluate and prepare comments on the draft software package and other draft documents.

**DATES:** EPA will continue to accept written comments on the draft software package and other draft documents

pertaining to priorities for waste minimization until October 7, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** To obtain copies: Copies of the software package and the documents cited in this notice can be obtained by calling the RCRA/Superfund/CERCLA Hotline at (800) 424-9346, TDD (800) 553-7672 (hearing impaired), or (703) 412-9810 in the Washington, DC metropolitan area, from 9 a.m. until 6 p.m. Eastern time.

The software package and documents are also available in electronic format on the Internet, and can be obtained by accessing:

WWW: <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/minimize>.

FTP: <ftp://ftp.epa.gov>

Login: anonymous

Password: your Internet address

Files are located in /pub/gopher/OSWRCRA.

**TO SUBMIT COMMENTS:** Please send an original and two copies of comments, referencing docket number F-97-MPCA-FFFFF, to: RCRA Docket Information Center, Office of Solid Waste (5305G), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters (EPA, HQ), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Hand deliveries of comments should be made to the Arlington, VA, address listed below. Comments may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail through the Internet to: [rcra-docket@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:rcra-docket@epamail.epa.gov).

Comments in electronic format should also be identified by the docket number F-97-MPCA-FFFFF. All electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

Commenters should not submit electronically any confidential business information (CBI). An original and two copies of CBI must be submitted under separate cover to: RCRA CBI Document Control Officer, Office of Solid Waste (5305W), U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20460.

Public comments and supporting materials are available for viewing in the RCRA Information Center (RIC), located at Crystal Gateway I, First Floor, 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The RIC is open from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. To review docket materials, it is recommended that the public make an appointment by calling (703) 603-9230. The public may copy a maximum of 100 pages from any regulatory docket at no charge. Additional copies cost \$0.15/page.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general questions pertaining to waste minimization, or questions pertaining to specific aspects of this notice, contact

the RCRA/Superfund/EPCRA Hotline at the telephone numbers cited above, or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste, Waste Minimization Branch, 401 M Street, S.W. (5302W), Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (703) 308-8402, fax: (703) 308-8433.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

On June 23, 1997, EPA announced the availability of a beta-test version of a software package which will prioritize chemicals according to their persistence, bioaccumulation, toxicity, and quantity; a draft list of chemicals derived from the software and ranked according to persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity; and a crosswalk identifying which RCRA waste codes are likely to contain these chemicals. These materials have been prepared in order to assist hazardous waste generators, government agencies, technical assistance centers, and others involved in waste minimization in making progress towards the goals of EPA's 1994 Waste Minimization National Plan, which calls for a fifty percent reduction in the presence of the most persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic chemicals in hazardous wastes by the year 2005. See 62 FR 33868 (June 23, 1997) for a more detailed explanation of the materials which were made available.

### II. Extension of the Comment Period

EPA has received at least six written requests to extend the comment period by 60 days or more to allow adequate time for commenters to fully evaluate and prepare comments on the software and accompanying written materials. In requesting an extension, the requestors generally cite the complexity of the technical issues associated with EPA's screening methodology, the difficulty of determining the sources of information used to evaluate specific chemicals, and the quantity of information and materials to be reviewed. Requestors also pointed out that certain materials provided in the docket were incomplete and therefore could not be reviewed at the beginning of the comment period.

EPA has examined the materials in the docket and has determined that two documents, the *Waste Minimization National Plan* and the *Chemical Use Clusters Scoring Methodology*, were incomplete or partially illegible. As of July 29, 1997, EPA replaced both documents with complete, fully legible versions. EPA points out that Appendix D of the *Chemical Use Clusters Scoring*

*Methodology* ends at page D-6, which is a placeholder for a table which was never developed in final form for inclusion in Appendix D. EPA is assembling some of the information that was intended for inclusion in that table and will make it available in the docket by August 7, 1997.

Additionally, EPA is developing materials to assist reviewers in identifying the underlying sources of data used as the basis for scoring chemicals, beyond the explanations already provided in Appendices B and C of the draft *Waste Minimization Prioritization Tool (Beta Test Version 1.0): User's Guide and System Documentation* (EPA530-R-97-019). EPA intends to place these additional materials in the docket by August 7, 1997. EPA determined that it needed to extend the comment period by a total of 60 days to allow commenters to review these additional materials and to provide an adequate opportunity for public participation in the review of this waste minimization prioritization software and accompanying documents.

Dated: July 31, 1997.

**Matthew Hale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.*

[FR Doc. 97-20822 Filed 8-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OW-FRL-5872-5]

### Water Quality Criteria; Ambient Water Quality Criteria

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Ambient Water Quality Criteria Document for Tributyltin (TBT) and Request for Comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announces the availability for public comment of an ambient water quality criteria document for tributyltin (TBT). This document contains ambient water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses. These criteria are guidance to States and others, and in themselves have no binding legal effect. When published in final form, these criteria may form the basis for enforceable State water quality standards. These TBT criteria are published pursuant to Section 304(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted to the person listed directly below by October 6, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** This notice contains a summary of the criteria document for tributyltin (TBT). Copies of the complete document may be obtained from: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Publications and Information, 11029 Kenwood Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45242, phone (513) 489-8190 fax (513) 489-8695.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Comments should be sent to: Dr. Frank Gostonski, Health and Ecological Criteria Division (4304), Office of Science and Technology, Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Section 304 (a) (1) of the Clean Water Act [33 U.S.C. 1314 (a) (1)] requires EPA to publish and periodically update ambient water quality criteria. These criteria are to reflect the latest scientific knowledge on the identifiable effects of pollutants on public health and welfare, aquatic life and recreation. When published in final form, EPA water quality criteria may form the basis for enforceable State water quality standards.

##### Criteria Document

EPA previously issued an ambient water quality criteria document for TBT for public comment on June 1, 1989 [54 FR 23529]. EPA also issued a notice of availability of additional toxicity data for TBT on October 25, 1989 [54 FR 43482]. Today's ambient water quality criteria document for TBT was developed by EPA after consideration of public comment on the 1989 draft criteria and an updated literature search that EPA conducted in January, 1997. EPA intends to issue a final TBT ambient water quality criteria document after consideration of public comment.

Dated: August 1, 1997.

**Robert Perciasepe,**

*Assistant Administrator for Water.*

#### Appendix A—Summary of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for TBT

##### Freshwater Aquatic Life

The procedures described in the "Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses" indicate that, except possibly where a locally important species is very sensitive, freshwater aquatic life and their uses should

not be affected unacceptably if the four-day average concentration of tributyltin does not exceed 0.063 µg/L more than once every three years on the average and if the one-hour average concentration does not exceed 0.46 µg/L more than once every three years on the average.

##### Saltwater Aquatic Life

The procedures described in the "Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses" indicate that, except where a locally important species is very sensitive, saltwater organisms and their uses should not be affected unacceptably if the four-day average concentration of tributyltin does not exceed 0.010 µg/L more than once every three years on the average and if the one-hour average concentration does not exceed 0.37 µg/L more than once every three years on the average.

##### Implementation

As discussed in the Water Quality Standards Regulation (40 CFR Part 131; 48 FR 51400), a water quality criterion for aquatic life has regulatory effect only after it has been adopted in State water quality standards. Such a criterion for a pollutant is to be set at a level protective of a particular designated use. With the approval of EPA, States designate one or more uses for each body of water or segment thereof and adopt criteria that are protective of the use[s]. In each standard, a State may adopt the national recommended criterion, if one exists, or if adequately justified, a site-specific criterion. Site-specific criteria may include not only site-specific criterion concentrations, but also site-specific, and possibly pollutant-specific, durations of averaging periods and frequencies of allowed excursions. The averaging periods of "one hour" and "four days" were selected by EPA on the basis of data concerning how rapidly some aquatic species react to increases in the concentrations of some pollutants.

It is EPA's best scientific judgment that aquatic ecosystems should not be exposed to contaminants in excess of the criterion more often than once every three years. However, various species and ecosystems react and recover at greatly differing rates. Therefore, if adequate justification is provided, site-specific and/or pollutant-specific concentrations, durations, and frequencies may be higher or lower than those given in national water quality criteria for aquatic life. Use of criteria, which have been adopted in state water quality standards, for developing water quality-based permit limits and for designing waste treatment facilities requires selection of an appropriate wasteload allocation model. Although dynamic models are preferred for the application of these criteria, limited data or other considerations might require the use of a steady-state model. Guidance on mixing zones and the design of monitoring programs is also available through EPA.

[FR Doc. 97-20975 Filed 8-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P