initial negative determination and negative determination on reconsideration revealed that the subject firm produced molds for its parent company, Ball-Foster Glass Container. On July 1, 1996, when Penn Mould Industries, Incorporated was purchased by Ross Mould, Inc., the Washington, Pennsylvania plant became a commercial production facility. The workers were laid-off on July 5, 1996. A survey of the principal customer of Penn Mould, which account for the predominate proportion of the subject firm's sales, revealed no import purchases of glass forming molds from Mexico, Canada or other foreign sources through September 1996.

On remand, the Department has further determined that criterion (2) of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 and Section 222 of the group eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Production of molds at the Washington plant had a negligible decline of 0.7 percent prior to the worker separations.

The plaintiffs also question the accuracy and validity of the customer survey conducted during the investigation. Unless it has definitive information to the contrary, the Department must rely on the information provided by the company and the survey responses from the subject firm customers since the company and the responding customers are in the best position to provide reliable information.

#### Conclusion

After reconsideration on remand, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Penn Mould Industries Incorporated, Washington, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 10th day of July 1997.

# Curtis K. Kooser,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–20349 Filed 7–31–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-33,481]

# Abele Knitting Mills, Incorporated, Farmingdale, New York; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 12, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of former workers at Abele Knitting Mills, Incorporated, located in Farmingdale, New York (TA–W–33,481).

The Department of Labor has been unable to locate the company official at the subject firm. Consequently, the Department of Labor cannot conduct an investigation to make a determination as to whether the workers are eligible for adjustment assistance benefits under the Trade Act of 1974.

Therefore, further investigation in this matter would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of July 1997.

#### Curtis K. Kooser,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–20342 Filed 7–31–97; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,289]

# CDR Ridgway, Ridgway, Pennsylvania; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of May 9, 1997, the United Steelworkers of America requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 28, 1997 and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

 If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous; (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration claims that the amount of pigment production in the United States has decreased and that the amount of inks and ink related products being shipped into the United States has affected the employment at

CDR Ridgway.

In order for the Department to issue a worker group certification, all of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act must be met. Review of the investigation findings show that criterion (2) was not met. Layoffs at the subject firm were the result of the consolidation of pigment production from the subject firm into three other company-owned plants located domestically. Corporate wide sales of pigments increased in 1996 compared to 1995.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of July 1997.

## Curtis K. Kooser,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–20351 Filed 7–31–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-33,404]

# Devoe & Raynolds Company, Louisville, Kentucky; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of May 15, 1996, the United Paperworkers International Union (UPIU), Local 7906, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to petition number TA–W–33,404. The denial notice was signed on April 23,

1997 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 1997 (62 FR 25659).

The UPIU asserts that there are company imports of paint from foreign sources.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of July 1997.

#### Curtis K. Kooser,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–20340 Filed 7–31–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-33,256]

# Diversey Corporation, Industry, California; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 3, 1997, in response to a worker petition which was signed February 9, 1997, on behalf of workers at Diversey Corporation located in City of Industry, California (TA-W-33,256).

The Department has been unable to locate the company official in order to obtain the data necessary to issue a worker group determination.

Consequently, further investigation in this case is not possible, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 15th day of July 1997.

## Curtis K. Kooser,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–20348 Filed 7–31–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

# Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Program Manager of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitions or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 11, 1997.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 11, 1997.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 21st day of July, 1997.

### Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

## APPENDIX.—Petitions Instituted On 07/21/97

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
33,666 33,667 33,668 33,670 33,671 33,672 33,674 33,674 33,675 33,676 33,677 33,677	August Embroideries (UFCW) Oak Grigsby (Co.) Kimberly Clark (UPIU) Kimberly Clark (UPIU) Zenith Data Systems (Wkrs) Ithaca Industries (Co.) Lawson Mardon Wheaton (Wrks) Oxford of Luverne, Inc (Wrks) JG Furniture Systems (Wkrs) Federal Mogul Corporation (Wrks) Henry I Siegel Co. (Co.)	Ellwood City, PA	07/10/97 06/25/97 06/30/97 07/04/97 06/23/97 06/20/97 06/27/97 06/25/97 06/30/97 07/10/97	Lamps and Shades. Schiffili Embroidery. Rotary Switches, Encoders. Tissue Paper, Toweling, Wipers. Tissue Paper Products. PC's and Peripherals. Hosiery. Glass Ampoules and Vials. Men's Dress Slacks. Highend Office Furniture. Lighting Products. Denim Jeans. Pen Clips.