

of previously evaluated accidents are not increased.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The manual isolation system permits the simultaneous isolation of all the autoclaves in the affected facility. Autoclave isolation was previously performed individually. The changes affect the timing of autoclave isolation and create no new operating conditions or new plant configuration that could lead to a new or different type of accident.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The autoclave manual isolation system enhances the ability to isolate the feed autoclave in the event of a leak. The proposed changes cause no reductions in the margins of safety.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs.

The proposed changes enhance the ability to isolate the feed autoclaves in the event of a leak. The changes do not affect any other equipment functions or administrative requirements. The cell trip function is not addressed in the safeguards and security programs. The effectiveness of the safety, safeguards, and security programs is not decreased.

**Effective date:** This amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-1 becomes effective 60 days after being signed by the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

**Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-1:** Amendment will incorporate a new Technical Safety Requirement and safety analysis report changes.

**Local Public Document Room**  
**location:** Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this day of 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl J. Paperiello,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 97-19488 Filed 7-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7001]

### Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-1 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) There is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is shown below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of

the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice.

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the application for amendment and (2) the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room.

**Date of amendment request:** March 17, 1997, as revised June 19, 1997.

**Brief description of amendment:** The amendment proposes to revise the Technical Safety Requirements (TSRs) for the Nuclear Material Control and Accountability (NMC&A) scales used for uranium hexafluoride cylinder weight to allow the pre-heat cylinder weight to be determined on any operable accountability scale that has been calibrated to an adequate range and tolerance for the item being weighed. Similar changes are proposed for the Safety Analysis Report (SAR).

**Basis for finding of no significance:**

1. The proposed amendment will not result in a change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

The proposed change involves revision of the NMC&A scale usage TSRs to permit weighing on any operable scale instead of specified scales. Because there is no effluent release associated with this change, the proposed changes will not affect the effluent.

2. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The proposed changes do not relate to controls used to minimize occupational radiation exposures, therefore, the changes will not increase exposure.

3. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed changes will not result in any construction, therefore, there will be no construction impacts.

4. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

The proposed changes will allow any operable scale, instead of specified scales, to be used for weight verification. The proposed changes do no affect the potential for or radiological or chemical consequences from previously evaluated accidents.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

Changing the TSR requirements for the NMC&A scales allows any operable scale to be used for weight verification prior to heating the uranium hexafluoride cylinder. The accident scenario of heating an overfilled cylinder has already been analyzed. The proposed changes would not create new operating conditions or new plant configuration that could lead to a new or different type of accident.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The margin of safety defined for heating cylinders is the percent ullage or void space required to heat a cylinder. The ullage is not affected by this change. The proposed TSR will still require the use of operable scales, therefore, the ability to verify that the proper amount of ullage will be maintained during heating is not affected. The change to allow any operable scale instead of a specified scale is to provide operational flexibility in case a scale is inoperable. These changes do not decrease the margins of safety.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs.

Implementation of the proposed changes do not change the safety, safeguards, or security programs. Therefore, the effectiveness of the safety, safeguards, and security programs is not decreased.

*Effective date:* The amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-1 becomes effective 30 days after being signed by the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

*Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-1:* Amendment will revise Technical Safety Requirements for the NMC&A scale usage and the SAR discussion on scale usage.

*Local Public Document Room location:* Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of July 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl J. Paperiello,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 97-19490 Filed 7-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Postal Service Board of Governors.

### Sunshine Act Meeting; Notification of Item Added to Meeting Agenda

**DATE OF MEETING:** August 5, 1997.

**STATUS:** Open.

**PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** 62 FR 38331, July 17, 1997.

**CHANGE:** Addition of the following item to the open meeting agenda:

4. Capital Investments.

c. Additional Funding Request for the Northwest Boston Processing and Distribution Center in Waltham, Massachusetts.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Kenneth C. Weaver, Assistant Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20260-1000. Telephone (202) 268-4800.

**Kenneth C. Weaver,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-19639 Filed 7-22-97; 1:02 p.m.]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and purpose of information collection:* Public Service Pension Questionnaires; OMB 3220-0136 Public Law 95-216 amended the Social Security Act of 1977 by providing, in part, that spouse or survivor benefits may be reduced when the beneficiary is in receipt of a pension based on employment with a Federal, State, or local governmental unit.

Initially, the reduction was equal to the full amount of the government pension. Public Law 98-21, changed the reduction to two-thirds of the amount of the government pension. Sections 4(a)(1) and 4(f)(1) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) provides that a spouse or survivor annuity should be equal in amount to what the annuitant would receive if entitled to a like benefit from the Social Security Administration. Therefore, the public service pension (PSP) reduction provision applies to RRA annuities.

Regulations pertaining to the collection of evidence relating to public service pensions or worker's compensation paid to spouse or survivor applicants or annuitants are found in 20 CFR 219.64c.

The RRB utilizes Form G-208, Public Service Pension Questionnaire, and Form G-212, Public Service Monitoring Questionnaire, to obtain information used to determine whether an annuity reduction is in order. Completion is voluntary. However, failure to complete the forms could result in the nonpayment of benefits. One response is requested of each respondent.

The RRB proposes to revise Form G-208 to add an item that requests the