

State and county	Location	Dates and name of newspaper where notice was published	Chief executive officer of community	Effective date of modification	Community No.
Dallas (FEMA Docket No. 7212).	City of Mesquite ..	Feb. 13, 1997, Feb. 20, 1997, <i>Mesquite News</i> .	The Honorable Cathye Ray, Mayor, City of Mesquite, P.O. Box 850137, Mesquite, Texas 75185-0137.	Jan. 14, 1997	485490
Montgomery (FEMA Docket No. 7212).	Unincorporated areas.	Feb. 11, 1997, Feb. 18, 1997, <i>Conroe Courier</i> .	The Honorable Alan B. Sadler, Montgomery County Judge, 301 North Thompson, Suite 210, Conroe, Texas 77301.	Jan. 22, 1997	480483

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Dated: July 15, 1997.

Michael J. Armstrong,

Associate Director for Mitigation.

[FR Doc. 97-19216 Filed 7-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-04-P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

Final Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are made final for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that each community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

DATES: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) showing base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community. This date may be obtained by contacting the office where the FIRM is available for inspection as indicated in the table below.

ADDRESSES: The final base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the table below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frederick H. Sharrocks, Jr., Chief, Hazard Identification Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2796.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency makes final determinations listed below of base flood elevations and modified

base flood elevations for each community listed. The proposed base flood elevations and proposed modified base flood elevations were published in newspapers of local circulation and an opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal the proposed determinations to or through the community was provided for a period of ninety (90) days. The proposed base flood elevations and proposed modified base flood elevations were also published in the **Federal Register**.

This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR part 67.

FEMA has developed criteria for floodplain management in floodprone areas in accordance with 44 CFR part 60.

Interested lessees and owners of real property are encouraged to review the proof Flood Insurance Study and FIRM available at the address cited below for each community.

The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are made final in the communities listed below. Elevations at selected locations in each community are shown.

National Environmental Policy Act.

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director for Mitigation certifies that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because final or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

Regulatory Classification

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of

September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR Part 67 is amended to read as follows:

PART 67—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 67 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

§ 67.11 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.11 are amended as follows:

Source of flooding and location	# Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)
CALIFORNIA	
Arcata (City), Humboldt County (FEMA Docket No. 7210)	
<i>Janes Creek:</i>	
Just upstream of Samoa Boulevard	*7
Just downstream of U.S. Highway 101	*35
Maps are available for inspection at the City of Arcata Public Works Department, 736 F Street, Arcata, California.	

Source of flooding and location	# Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)	Source of flooding and location	# Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)
LOUISIANA			
Assumption Parish (Unincorporated Areas) (FEMA Docket No. 7210)			
<i>Pierre Pass at Pierre Part:</i>			
At the area surrounding Lake Vevret			
Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 141 Highway 1008, Napoleonville, Louisiana.			
NEBRASKA			
Milford (City), Seward County (FEMA Docket No. 7210)			
<i>Big Blue River:</i>			
Approximately 1.5 miles downstream of Burlington Northern Railroad			
Approximately 3.0 miles upstream of Burlington Northern Railroad			
Maps are available for inspection at the City of Milford City Hall, 505 First Street, Milford, Nebraska.			
OKLAHOMA			
Piedmont (City), Canadian and Kingfisher Counties (FEMA Docket No. 7210)			
<i>Solider Creek South Branch:</i>			
Just above dam located 0.5 mile upstream of 16th Street Northeast	*1,168		
Approximately 3,500 feet upstream of Piedmont Road	*1,205		
<i>Deer Creek Tributary 5A:</i>			
Just upstream of Washington Street	*1,156		
Approximately 2,000 feet upstream of Piedmont Street ...	*1,198		
Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 314 Edmond Road, Piedmont, Oklahoma.			
TEXAS			
Junction (City), Kimble County (FEMA Docket No. 7210)			
<i>Llano River:</i>			
Approximately 500 feet downstream of Interstate Highway 10	*1,695		
At confluence of North and South Llano Rivers	*1,698		
<i>North Llano River:</i>			
At confluence with South Llano River	*1,698		
Approximately 1,000 feet upstream of U.S. Highways 83, 290, and 377	*1,709		
<i>South Llano River:</i>			
At confluence with North Llano River	*1,698		
Approximately 700 feet upstream of Flatrock Lane	*1,711		

Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 102 North Fifth Street, Junction, Texas.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Dated: July 15, 1997.

Michael J. Armstrong,
Associate Director for Mitigation.

[FR Doc. 97-19219 Filed 7-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-04-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 87-268; DA 97-1481]

Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact on the Existing Television Service

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; petitions for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: By this Order, we are denying a request from Hogan and Hartson, L.L.P., that we consolidate the due date for responses to the petitions for reconsideration of the *Sixth Report and Order* in MM Docket No. 87-268, 62 FR 26684, with the due date that will be established for responses to any supplemental filings relating to channel change requests that we may receive under the procedure we established recently for such filings. In denying this request, we are concerned that extending the time for filing responses to the petitions for reconsideration to consolidate those responses with responses any supplemental filings we may receive would serve to delay the final resolution of issues relating to the allotment of DTV channels.

DATES: Responses to petitions for reconsideration of the *Sixth Report and Order* in this proceeding are due July 18, 1997. Supplemental filings relating to petitions for reconsideration of the *Sixth Report and Order* that request changes to DTV allotments are due August 22, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room 222, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce Franca (202-418-2470) or Alan

Stillwell (202-418-2470), Office of Engineering and Technology.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. In the *Sixth Report and Order* in MM Docket No. 87-268, the Commission set forth a Table of Allotments for digital TV (DTV) service, rules for initial DTV allotments, procedures for assigning DTV allotments to eligible broadcasters, and plans for spectrum recovery, 62 FR 26684, adopted April 3, 1997, FCC 97-115 (released April 21, 1997). We received over 200 petitions for reconsideration of various aspects of this decision. Oppositions to these petitions are due July 18, 1997. On July 2, 1997, we issued an *Order*, DA-1377, 62 FR 37145, clarifying our action in that decision with regard to OET Bulletin No. 69 and providing an additional 45-day period for parties requesting reconsideration of individual allotments included in the DTV Table to submit supplemental information relating to their petitions. Supplemental filings relating to those requests are due on or before August 22, 1997. We also released OET Bulletin No. 69 on July 2, 1997, concurrent with our *Order*. As provided under § 1.429(f) of our rules, oppositions to the supplements to the petitions for reconsideration would normally be due 15 days after the date of public notice of the filing of the supplements. See 47 CFR 1.429(f).

2. On July 9, 1997, Hogan and Hartson, L.L.P. (Hogan & Hartson) requested that we consolidate the deadline for filing oppositions to the petitions for reconsideration of the *Sixth Report and Order* with the deadline for the filing of oppositions to supplements to those petitions for reconsideration. Hogan and Hartson argues that consolidation of these two deadlines would streamline the DTV proceeding and avoid the filing of two sets of opposition pleadings (and replies). It states that a consolidated opposition deadline after the date for supplementing petitions would instead permit all parties to prepare (and the Commission's staff to review) a single consolidated opposition to all petitions, as supplemented. It believes that the result would be a more efficient, and less confusing, proceeding.

3. In a statement filed on July 10, 1997, the Association for Maximum Service Television, Inc. (MSTV) and the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) endorse our recent actions releasing OET Bulletin No. 69 and providing for limited supplementary filings. MSTV and NAB state that we have appropriately provided additional time for petitioners that have raised