

**INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
COOPERATION AGENCY****Overseas Private Investment
Corporation****Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation, IDCA.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), Agencies are required to publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review is summarized below.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *OPIC Agency Submitting Officer:* Lena Paulsen, Manager, Information Center, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20527; 202/336-8565.

Summary of Form Under Review

Type of Request: Revised form.

Title: Application for Political Risk Investment Insurance.

Form Number: OPIC-52.

Frequency of Use: Once per investor per project.

Type of Respondents: Business or other institutions (except farms); individuals.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

Reporting Hours? 6 hours per project plus an additional 2 hours for oil & gas projects.

Number of Responses: 160 per year.

Federal Cost: \$4,000 per year.

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231, 234(a), 239(d), and 240A

of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Uses): The application is the principal document used by OPIC to determine the investor's and project's eligibility, assess the environmental impact and developmental effects of the project, measure the economic effects for the United States and the host country economy, and collect information for underwriting analysis.

Dated: July 2, 1997.

James R. Offutt,

Assistant General Counsel, Department of Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-17793 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-391]

**Certain Toothbrushes and the
Packaging Thereof; Notice of
Commission Determination Not To
Review an Initial Determination
Granting Complainant's Motion for
Partial Termination of the Investigation
Based on Withdrawal of Allegations of
Copyright Infringement**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) in the above-captioned investigation granting complainant The Procter & Gamble Company's motion for partial termination of the investigation based on the withdrawal of allegations concerning infringement of U.S. Copyright Registration No. TX 4-103-537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anjali K. Hansen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3117.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 22, 1996, based on a complaint filed by The Procter & Gamble Company. In addition to allegations of patent infringement, the complaint alleged copyright infringement by the following respondents: Shummi Enterprise Corporation, Shummei Industrial Co. Ltd., Lollipop Imports and Exports, and Giftline International Corporation (copyright respondents). During the

course of discovery, complainant became aware that it was not currently utilizing packaging embodying the copyright at issue. Consequently, on March 3, 1997, complainant moved for partial termination of the investigation with respect to the subject copyright allegations pursuant to Commission rule 210.21(a)(1). The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of complainant's motion. None of the copyright respondents filed a response to the motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR § 210.42.

Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 1, 1997.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-17921 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Bureau of Justice Statistics****Agency Information Collection
Activities: Extension of a Currently
Approved Collection; Comment
Request**

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; National Crime Victimization Survey.

The Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics previously published this notice in the **Federal Register** on April 16, 1997 for 60 days. During this comment period no comments were received by the Department of Justice. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until August 8, 1997.

This information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and comments should be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Ms.

Victoria Wassmer, 202-395-5871, Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530.

Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Additionally, comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530. Additional comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile at 202-514-1590.

Overview of this information collection:

1. *Type of Information collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* National Crime Victimization Survey.

3. *Agency form number and applicable components:*

Forms: NCVS-1; NCVS-1A; NCVS-1A(SP); NCVS-2; NCVS-2(SP); NCVS-7; NCVS-110; NCVS-500; NCVS-541; NCVS-545; NCVS-548; NCVS-551; NCVS-554; NCVS-554(SP); NCVS-572(L)KOR/SP/CHIN(T)/CHIN(m)/VIET; NCVS-573(L); NCVS593(l); and NCVS-594(L). Component: Victimization Statistics Branch, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked to respond:* Primary: US households and individuals age 12 or older.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 111, 100 respondents at 1.95 hours per interview.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 217,000 hours annual burden.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: July 3, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-17957 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Velsicol Chemical Corporation*, No. 4:49-CV-258-HLM, was lodged on June 17, 1997 with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. Under the consent decree the United States is settling claims against Defendant Velsicol Chemical Corporation under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, in connection with the Shaver's Farm Site in northern Georgia. Pursuant to the Consent Decree Velsicol will reimburse the Superfund \$6,280,560.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Velsicol Chemical Corporation*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-886. The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Richard Russell Bldg., Rm. 1800, 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30335; the Region IV Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$5.25 (25 cents per page

reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environmental and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 97-17924 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Anne D. DeBlanco, M.D.; Denial of Application

On January 29, 1997, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Anne D. DeBlanco, M.D., of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, notifying her of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not deny her application, dated May 26, 1995, for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a practitioner pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for reason that her registration would be inconsistent with the public interest, as that term is used in 21 U.S.C. 823(f). Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged that, "(Dr. DeBlanco) submitted a DEA application for registration, dated May 25, 1995, in which (she) materially falsified a response by indicating 'no' to a question which asked in part 'whether (she) had ever had a State professional license or controlled substance registration revoked, suspended, denied, restricted, or placed on probation.'" (Dr. DeBlanco) knew that on May 10, 1995, the State of Florida Board of Medicine had placed (her) state medical license on probation for a period of three years, and that the State of Ohio had revoked (her) license to practice medicine in that state on May 9, 1990." The order also notified Dr. DeBlanco that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, her hearing right would be deemed waived.

The DEA received a signed receipt indicating that the order was received on February 10, 1997. No request for a hearing or any other reply was received by the DEA from Dr. DeBlanco or anyone purporting to represent her in this matter. Therefore, the Acting Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) 30 days have passed since the receipt of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. DeBlanco is deemed to have waived her hearing right. After considering the relevant material from the investigative file in this matter, the Acting Deputy Administrator now enters his final order