this analysis. Parties that expressed interest previously have been informed individually by mail that this analysis is continuing. No additional public meetings are planned, however, the Forest Service is willing to consider any party's request for additional field tours or public meetings.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: June 23, 1997.

Robert L. Storch,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-17927 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Southwest Washington Provincial Advisory Committee Meeting Notice

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Southwest Washington Provincial Advisory Committee will meet on Wednesday, July 23, 1997, in Woodland, Washington, at the Oak Tree Restaurant, near Exit No. 21 on Interstate 5. The meeting will begin at 9:30 a.m. and continue until 4:30 p.m. The purpose of the meeting is to: (1) Present draft alternatives on the Cispus Adaptive Management Area, followed by discussion, recommendations and option decision, (2) discussion on **Advisory Committee meeting** attendance and meeting schedule, (3) Present NWFP Monitoring Program and discussion on Committee participation, and (4) Public Open Forum. All Southwest Washington Provincial Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend. The "open forum" provides opportunity for the public to bring issues, concerns, and discussion topics to the Advisory Committee. The "open forum" is scheduled as part of agenda item (4) for this meeting. Interested speakers will need to register prior to the open forum period. The committee welcomes the public's written comments on committee business at any time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Sue Lampe, Public Affairs, at (360) 891–5091, or write Forest Headquarters Office, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, 10600 N.E. 51st Circle, Vancouver, WA 98682.

Dated: July 2, 1997.

Robert L. Yoder,

Engineering/Timber Staff Officer. [FR Doc. 97–17853 Filed 7–8–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Regulations and Procedures Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

A meeting of the Regulations and Procedures Technical Advisory Committee will be held July 29, 1997, 9:00 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 3884, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues, N.W., Washington, D.C. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on implementation of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and provides for continuing review to update the EAR as needed.

Agenda

Open Session

- 1. Opening remarks by the Chairman.
- 2. Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
- 3. Update on Bureau of Export Administration initiatives.
 - 4. Election of Committee Officers.
- 5. Discussion on the Automated Export System and extension of the Automated Export Reporting Program.
- 6. Review of the Foreign Trade Statistics Regulations.
- 7. Discussion on the "deemed export" rule and case processing.
- 8. Discussion on the "is informed" process and additions to the Entities List.
- 9. Presentation on export control legislation.
- 10. Update on implementation of The Wassenaar Arrangement.
- 11. Discussion on information sharing and end-use controls.
- 12. Presentation on revisions to the Export Administration Regulations.

Closed Session

13. Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 12958, dealing with the U.S. export control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The General Session of the meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate the distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting date to the following address:

Ms. Lee Ann Carpenter, TAC Unit/OAS/ EA MS: 3886C, Bureau of Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on December 2, 1996, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any Subcommittees thereof, dealing with the classified materials listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in section 10 (a)(1) and (a)(3), of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The remaining series of meetings or portions thereof will be open to the public. A copy of the Notice of Determination to close meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee is available for public inspection and copying in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. For further information, call Lee Ann Carpenter at (202) 482–2583.

Dated: July 2, 1997.

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit. [FR Doc. 97–17865 Filed 7–8–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review: circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea. The review covers five manufacturers/exporters: Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), Korea Iron Steel Company (KISCO), Korea Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (KSP), Pusan Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (PSP), and Union Steel Co., Ltd. (Union). The period of review (the

POR) is April 28, 1992, through October 31, 1993.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below foreign market value (FMV) by various companies subject to this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the purchase price (PP) or exporter's sales price (ESP) and the FMV.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 9, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Panfeld, Mark Ross, Thomas Schauer, or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733; facsimile: (202) 482–1290.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as codified at 19 CFR part 353 (April 1, 1996).

Background

On November 2, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 49,453) the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea. On December 17, 1993, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review of this order for the period April 28, 1992, through October 31, 1993 (58 FR 65,964). The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise subject to this review is circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4mm (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, bevelled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and

tubes are generally known as standard pipe, though they may also be called structural or mechanical tubing in certain applications. Standard pipes and tubes are intended for the low pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, air, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air-conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing and mechanical applications, such as for fence tubing, and for protection of electrical wiring, such as conduit shells.

The scope is not limited to standard pipe and fence tubing or those types of mechanical and structural pipe that are used in standard pipe applications. All carbon steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of this review, except line pipe, oil-country tubular goods, boiler tubing, cold-drawn or cold-rolled mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished rigid conduit. Standard pipe that is dual or triple certified/stenciled that enters the United States as line pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines is also not included in this review.

Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Product Comparisons

We calculated transaction-specific U.S. prices (USPs) for comparison to either weighted-average FMVs or constructed values. The USPs and FMVs were calculated and compared by product characteristics. For price-toprice comparisons, we compared identical merchandise, where possible. Where there were no sales of identical merchandise in the home market to compare to U.S. sales, we made similar comparisons based on the characteristics listed in our memorandum to file dated June 24, 1994. If there were no sales of identical or similar merchandise in the home market to compare to U.S. sales, we compared USP to constructed value.

United States Price

For all respondents, we based USP on purchase price, in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act, when the subject merchandise was sold to