## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **Bureau of Land Management**

[NM-952-07-1420-00]

# Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey; New Mexico

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey described below will be officially filed in the New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Santa Fe, New Mexico, on July 26, 1997.

## New Mexico Principal Meridian, New Mexico

Tp. 32 N., R. 14 W., accepted May 22, 1997, for Group 851 NM; Tp. 31 N., R. 16 W., accepted May 22, 1997, for Group 851 NM; T. 32 N., R. 15 W., accepted May 22, 1997, for Group 851 NM; and T. 32 N., R. 16W., accepted May 22, 1997, for Group 851 NM; Tps. 29 and 30 N., R. 19 W., for Group 922 NM; and T. 17 N., R. 23 E., accepted May 8, 1997, for Group 837 NM; and Supplemental plats for T. 15 S., R. 10 E., accepted May 22, 1997, and T. 25 N., R. 9 W., NM.

If a protest against a survey, as shown on any of the above plats is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

A person or party who wishes to protest against any of these surveys must file a written protest with the NM State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest.

A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the protest is filed.

The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, surveys, and subdivisions.

These plats will be in the New Mexico State Office Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502–0115 for inspection, until officially filed. Copies may be obtained from this office upon payment of \$1.10 per sheet.

Dated: June 26, 1997.

#### John P. Bennett,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor for New Mexico. [FR Doc. 97–17645 Filed 7–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–FB–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

Proposed Sacramento County Water Agency and San Juan Water District Central Valley Project Water Service Contracts, Sacramento County, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,
Department of the Interior.
ACTION: Notice of availability and notice
of public hearing of the Draft
Environmental Impact Statement/Draft
Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/
DEIR).

**SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National** Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (as amended) and the California Environmental Policy Act (CEQA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the Sacramento County Water Agency (Agency) as lead agencies have prepared a joint DEIR/DEIS for the proposed Agency and San Juan Water District (District) Central Valley Project water service contracts for use in Sacramento County, California. A public hearing will be held to allow for public comment (oral or written) by interested parties, organizations, and individuals regarding the adequacy of the DEIS/

**DATES:** Public comments on the DEIS/DEIR should be submitted on or before September 5, 1997.

À public hearing on the DEIS/DEIR will be held on August 12, 1997, from 6 pm to 8 pm.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing on the DEIS/DEIR will be held at the Sacramento County Administration Building, Hearing Room 2, 700 H Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Written comments on the DEIS/DEIR, requests for copies of the DEIS/DEIR, and requests to speak at the hearing should be addressed to Mr. Tad Berkebile, Sacramento County Water Agency, 827 Seventh Street, Room 301, Sacramento, CA 95814; telephone (916) 440–6851.

Copies of the DEIS/DEIR are also available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

- 1. Sacramento County Water Agency, 827 Seventh Street, Room 301, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- 2. Sacramento County Clerk-Recorder's Office, 600 Eighth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- 3. San Juan Water District, 9935 Auburn-Folsom Road, Granite Bay, CA. 4. Bureau of Reclamation, 2800
- Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825. 5. Bureau of Reclamation, Folsom Area Office, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, CA 95630.

- Sacramento Central Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, CA.
- 7. Elk Grove Branch Library, 8962 Elk Grove Boulevard, Elk Grove, CA.
- 8. Orangevale Branch Library, 8820 Greenback Lane, Orangevale, CA.
- 9. Folsom Library, 300 Purcifer Street, Folsom, CA.
- 10. City of Folsom, Public Works Department, 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Tad Berkebile, Sacramento County Water Agency, 827 Seventh Street, Room 301, Sacramento, CA 95814, telephone (916) 440–6851; or Mr. Cecil Lesley, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, CA 95630, telephone (916) 989–7221.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public Law 101-514, Section 206, authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Interior to enter into long-term municipal and industrial water supply contracts to meet the immediate water needs of Sacramento County. Contracts under Public Law 101-514. Section 206, are not subject to the prohibition on new Reclamation contracts of Public Law 102-575, Title XXXIV. However, any contracts under Public Law 101-514 are required to have terms and conditions that allow the Secretary to amend the contracts as necessary to meet the obligations of applicable State and Federal laws. The law specifically directs the Secretary to enter into contracts not to exceed 22,000 acre-feet per year with the Agency and not to exceed 13,000 acre-feet per year with the District (serving a part of northeastern Sacramento County). Water delivered annually under these contracts is at the discretion of the Secretary, who will make a determination of the amount to be made available "based upon the quantity of water actually needed \* \* \* after. considering reasonable efforts to (i) promote full utilization of existing water entitlements within Sacramento County, (ii) implement water conservation and metering programs within areas served by the contract, and (iii) implement programs to maximize to the extent feasible conjunctive use of surface water and groundwater," (Pub.L. 101-514 § 206 (b)(1)). Of its annual allocation of 22,000 acre-feet per year, the Agency intends to provide up to 7,000 acre-feet per year to the City of Folsom through a subcontract.

No potentially affected Indian Trust Assets (ITAs) have been identified by Reclamation for the proposed project or alternatives.

## **Hearing Process Information**

Those wishing to speak at the hearing may make advance request by writing or calling Mr. Tad Berkebile, Sacramento County Water Agency, 827 Seventh Street, Room 301, Sacramento, CA 95814, telephone (916) 440–6851. Speakers will be called upon to present their comments in the order in which their requests were received. Requests to speak may also be made at the hearing; these speakers will be called after the advance requests. Oral comments/presentations will be limited to 10 minutes per individual.

The hearing facilities have disabled access, but there are no facilities for the deaf. A telephone device for the hearing impaired (TDD) is available at (916) 875–7105.

Dated: June 27, 1997.

## Roger K. Patterson,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 97-17449 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Heavy Forged Handtools From the People's Republic of China; Dismissal of Request for Institution of a Section 751(b) Review Investigation

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Dismissal of a request to institute a section 751(b) investigation concerning the Commission's affirmative determinations in investigation No. 731–TA–457 (Final), *Heavy Forged Handtools from the People's Republic of China.* 

**SUMMARY:** The Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)) and Commission rule 207.45 (19 CFR 207.45), that the subject request does not show changed circumstances sufficient to warrant institution of an investigation to review the Commission's affirmative determinations in investigation No. 731-TA-457 (Final), Heavy Forged Handtools from the People's Republic of *China,* in particular the determination concerning picks and mattocks. Picks and mattocks are provided for in subheading 8201.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Seiger (202–205–3183) or Vera Libeau (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov or ftp://ftp.usitc.gov).

## **Background Information**

On April 16, 1997, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination with respect to picks and mattocks in light of changed circumstances (the request), pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request was filed by counsel on behalf of Olympia Industrial, Inc. (Olympia), a major importer and distributor of heavy forged handtools, including picks and mattocks.

Pursuant to § 207.45(b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.45(b)), the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** on April 30, 1997 (62 FR 23484) requesting comments as to whether the alleged changed circumstances warranted the institution of a review investigation. The Commission received comments in opposition to the request from counsel on behalf of Woodings-Verona, a domestic producer of picks and mattocks.

#### **Analysis**

In considering whether to institute a review investigation under section 751(b), the Commission will not institute such an investigation unless it is persuaded there is sufficient information demonstrating:

(1) That there are significant changed circumstances from those in existence at the time of the original investigation;

(2) That those changed circumstances are not the natural and direct result of the imposition of the antidumping order, and;

(3) That the changed circumstances, allegedly indicating that revocation of the order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry, warrant a full investigation.

See 19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A); Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany and the Netherlands, 61 FR 17319, 17320 (April 19, 1996); A. Hirsh, Inc. v. United States, 737 F. Supp. 1186 (CIT 1990); Avesta AB v. United States, 724 F. Supp. 974 (CIT 1989), aff'd 914 F.2d 232 (Fed Cir.

1990), cert. denied, 111 S. Ct. 1308 (1991). In the URAA, Congress changed the substantive standard applicable to changed circumstances reviews from whether the domestic industry would be materially injured or threatened with material injury if the order were revoked to whether revocation of the order is likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry. 19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A).

After consideration of the request for review and the response to the notice inviting comments, the Commission has determined, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)) and Commission rule 207.45 (19 CFR 207.45), that the information of record, including the request and the comments received in response to the notice, does not show changed circumstances sufficient to warrant institution of an investigation to review the Commission's affirmative determination regarding picks and mattocks in investigation No. 731–TA–457 (Final).

The request alleged four changed circumstances warranting review: (1) Lack of production for commercial markets in the United States; (2) lack of competition between imports and U.S.made picks and mattocks; (3) the argument that any production decline in the United States since imposition of the antidumping order is not the "natural and direct result" of the order, and; (4) the argument that prices of imports of picks and mattocks from nonsubject countries, such as Mexico, Poland, and India, are lower than prices of imports of picks and mattocks from China. The information available on the record does not persuade us that a full investigation is warranted for any of these allegations.1

First, the request argues that there is currently no known production of picks and mattocks for sale in so-called "commercial markets" in the United States. Based on information currently available, however, the Commission concludes that U.S. production of picks and mattocks for commercial markets has not ceased, but, on the contrary,

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}\xspace$  The second and third alleged changed circumstances are very closely related to the first, namely, the alleged cessation of production for commercial markets in the United States. In particular, the argument that the alleged cessation in domestic production for commercial markets is not the "natural and direct result" of the order is not a changed circumstance in and of itself, but rather an argument that the alleged cessation of production is a changed circumstance. Similarly, the allegation that there is no competition between imports and domestically-produced picks and mattocks is not a changed circumstance in and of itself, but rather a result of the alleged changed circumstance of the cessation in domestic production for commercial markets.