

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Ohio program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4:00 p.m., [E.D.T.] on July 22, 1997. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if

possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was

prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: June 27, 1997.

Tim L. Dieringer,

Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 97-17588 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 105-0041b; FRL-5844-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan for Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rules submitted by the State of California on behalf of the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (the District) for the purpose of meeting requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act) with regard to new source review (NSR).

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to control air pollution in accordance with the requirements of the Act. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are

received in response to this proposed action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposal. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final approval will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final action based on this proposal. EPA will not institute a second public comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by August 6, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Steve Ringer, Permits Office (AIR-3), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report for the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are available for inspection at the following locations:

Permitting Office (AIR-3), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, 1947 Galileo Ct., Suite 103, Davis, CA 95616.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Ringer at (415) 744-1260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is proposing to approve the following rules into the SIP:

Rule 3.1—General Permit Requirement; Rule 3.2—Exemptions;

Rule 3.4—New Source review; Rule 3.14—Emission Reduction Credits; and Rule 3.15—Priority Reserve. Rule 3.1 was adopted by the District Board of Directors on February 23, 1994, and submitted to EPA as an amendment to the SIP on October 19, 1994. Rule 3.2 was adopted by the District on August 25, 1993, and submitted to EPA on March 29, 1994. Rule 3.4 was adopted by the District on December 11, 1996, and submitted to EPA on March 26, 1997. Rules 3.14 and 3.15 were adopted by the District on September 22, 1993, and submitted to EPA on March 29, 1994.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 4, 1997.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-17598 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 97-145, RM-9091]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Glen Rose and Stamford, TX

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Cleburne Radio, Inc., licensee of Station KCLE-FM, requesting the substitution of Channel 221C1 for Channel 221C2 at Glen Rose and modification of Station KCLE-FM's license accordingly. Petitioner also requests the substitution of Channel 295A for Channel 221C2 at Stamford, Texas, and modification of M & M Broadcasting's construction permit to specify the Class A channel. Channel 221C1 and Channel 295A can be allotted to Glen Rose and Stamford, Texas, respectively, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements at the sites specified by Cleburne. The coordinates for Channel 221C1 at Glen Rose are 32-16-30 NL and 98-08-30 WL. The coordinates for Channel 295A at Stamford are 32-58-21 NL and 99-48-32 WL. In accordance with Section 1.420(g) of the Commission's Rules, we will not accept competing expressions of interest for the use of Channel 221C1 at Glen Rose or require petitioner to demonstrate the availability of an additional equivalent class channel.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 11, 1997, and reply comments on or before August 26, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: John J. McVeigh, Bernstein and McVeigh, 1818 N Street, Suite 700, Washington, D.C. 20036 (Counsel for petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 97-145, adopted June 11, 1997, and released June 20, 1997. The full text of

this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 97-17570 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 4, 7, 8, 15, 16, 17, 22, 27, 28, 31, 32, 35, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 51, 52, and 53

[FAR Case 95-013]

RIN 9000-AH60

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Government Property

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The public comment period on the proposed rule, Government Property, which was published in the **Federal Register** at 62 FR 30186, June 2, 1997, is extended from August 1, 1997, through August 15, 1997. The rule