

operating and manning requirements established by this regulation are those of a prudent mariner and impose little or no additional financial burden on the vessel. Similarly, vessels routinely communicate with their agents prior to getting underway or entering port. Therefore, the costs associated with requirement to include a certification that the vessel is in compliance with 33 CFR 164.25 and certain other safety related requirements are insignificant. This rule is deemed to *not* have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Collection of Information

This rule contains no collection-of-information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Federalism Implications

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the proposed rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### Environmental Assessment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that under paragraph 2.B.2.(g)(5) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, this proposal is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, navigation (waters), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Safety measures, and Waterways.

#### Final Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; 46 CFR 1.46.

2. In section 165.T08–001, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

#### § 165.T08–001. Regulated Navigation Area; Lower Mississippi River.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Effective dates: This section is effective at 12 p.m. on July 1, 1997 and terminates at 12 p.m. on October 31, 1997.

Dated: June 24, 1997.

**Timothy W. Josiah,**  
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,  
Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 97–17070 Filed 6–27–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 9

[OPPTS–00191; FRL–5724–3]

#### Technical Amendments to OMB Control Numbers

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is amending the table of OMB control numbers. The Office of Management Budget (OMB) issues control numbers under the Paperwork Reduction Act for regulations with information collection requirements. This technical amendment includes any new approvals and removes any termination of approvals published in the **Federal Register** since July 1, 1996, or any expired approvals.  
**DATES:** This rule is effective July 1, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan H. Hazen, Director,  
Environmental Assistance Division,  
Environmental Protection Agency, 401  
M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.  
Telephone and e-mail address: 202–  
554–1404, TDD: 202–554–0551; e-mail:  
tsca-hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document consolidates the OMB control numbers for various regulations issued under the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2601) published in the **Federal Register** since July 1, 1996. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and the OMB approval process, information collection requests included in this technical amendment were previously subject to public notice and comment prior to approval and receipt of an OMB control number. Therefore, EPA finds that there is "good cause" under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B)) not to issue a proposed rule for this technical amendment.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 9

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 19, 1997.

**Susan H. Wayland,**  
Acting Assistant Administrator for  
Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

#### PART 9—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 9 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 135 *et seq.*, 136–136y; 15 U.S.C. 2001, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2601–2671; 21 U.S.C. 331j, 346a, 348; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*, 1311, 1313d, 1314, 1318, 1321, 1326, 1330, 1342, 1344, 1345 (d) and (e), 1361; E.O. 11735, 38 FR 21243, 3 CFR, 1971–1975 Comp., p. 973; 42 U.S.C. 241, 242b, 243, 246, 300f, 300g, 300g–1, 300g–2, 300g–3, 300g–4, 300g–5, 300g–6, 300j–1, 300j–2, 300j–3, 300j–4, 300j–9, 1857 *et seq.*, 6901–6992k, 7401–7671q, 7542, 9601–9657, 11023, 11048.

2. In § 9.1, the table is amended as follows:

a. By adding the following entries in ascending section number order under the heading indicated to read as follows:

#### § 9.1 OMB approvals under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

\* \* \* \* \*

40 CFR citation	OMB control No.
<b>Significant New Uses of Chemical Substances</b>	
* * *	* * *
721.267 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.336 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.484 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.646 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.785 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.1737 .....	2070–0012
721.1738 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.2095 .....	2070–0012
721.2097 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.2527 .....	2070–0012
* * *	* * *
721.3063 .....	2070–0012

40 CFR citation	OMB control No.
* * *	* *
721.3628 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.4484 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.4494 .....	2070-0012
721.4497 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.4587 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.4663 .....	2070-0012
721.4668 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.4685 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.5276 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.5545 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.5930 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.6097 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.8673 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.9495 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.9507 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.9680 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
721.9970 .....	2070-0012
* * *	* *
<b>Lead-Based Poisoning in Certain Structures</b>	
* * *	* *
Part 745, subpart L .....	2070-0155
Part 745, subpart Q .....	2070-0155
* * *	* *
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution in Commerce, and Use Prohibition</b>	
* * *	* *
761.93 .....	2070-0149
* * *	* *

b. By removing the following entries:  
 721.979.....2070-0012.  
 721.1907.....2070-0012.  
 721.2980.....2070-0012.  
 721.4525.....2070-0012.  
 721.5867.....2070-0012.

[FR Doc. 97-17030 Filed 6-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[Region II Docket No. NJ28-2-170, FRL-5850-2]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New Jersey 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan and Phase I and II Ozone Implementation Plans

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action on a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by New Jersey which is intended to meet several Clean Air Act requirements. EPA is approving revisions to the 1990 base year ozone emission inventory; the 1996 and 1999 ozone projection emission inventories; photochemical assessment monitoring stations network; demonstration that emissions from growth in vehicle miles traveled will not increase motor vehicle emissions and, therefore, offsetting measures are not necessary; modeling efforts completed to date; transportation conformity budgets; and enforceable commitments.

EPA is also giving conditional interim approval to New Jersey's 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan and the 9 Percent Reasonable Further Progress Plan. The intended effect of this action is to approve programs required by the Clean Air Act which will result in emission reductions that will help achieve attainment of the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule will be effective July 30, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the New Jersey submittals and EPA's Technical Support Document are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency,  
 Region II Office, Air Programs Branch,  
 295 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York,  
 New York 10007-1866  
 New Jersey Department of  
 Environmental Protection, Office of

Air Quality Management, Bureau of  
 Air Quality Planning, 401 East State  
 Street, CN418, Trenton, New Jersey  
 08625

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul R. Truchan, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4249.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

On April 30, 1997 (62 FR 23410), EPA proposed approval of New Jersey's State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittals of December 31, 1996 and February 25, 1997 for the following Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements: revisions to the 1990 base year ozone emission inventory; the 1996 and 1999 ozone projection emission inventories; photochemical assessment monitoring stations network; demonstration that emissions from growth in vehicle miles traveled will not increase motor vehicle emissions and, therefore, offsetting measures are not necessary; modeling efforts completed to date; transportation conformity budgets; and enforceable commitments. EPA also proposed conditional interim approval of New Jersey's 15 Percent Rate of Progress (ROP) Plan and the 9 Percent Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) Plan.

The December and February SIP submittals address the requirements for the two severe ozone nonattainment areas in New Jersey—the New York, Northern New Jersey, Long Island Area, and the Philadelphia, Wilmington, Trenton Area. For the purposes of this action, these areas will be referred to as, respectively, the Northern New Jersey ozone nonattainment area (NAA) and the Trenton NAA. New Jersey's two SIP submittals revised the previously submitted 15 Percent ROP Plan dated November 15, 1993.

A detailed discussion of the SIP revisions and EPA's rationale for either approving or conditionally approving them is contained in the April 30, 1997 proposal and will not be restated here. The reader is referred to the proposal for more details.

### II. State Commitment

EPA proposed to condition its approval of New Jersey's 15 Percent ROP and 9 Percent RFP Plans because the emission reductions from the enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program were calculated with modeling performed before EPA issued final guidance on how to estimate emissions. In a letter dated May 29, 1997, New Jersey committed to perform the remodeling necessary to estimate the