

EFFECTIVE DATE: Send comments to Mormon Lake Ranger District, 4373 S. Lake Mary Road, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001, Reference: Windmill EIS.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Fred Trevey, Coconino Forest Supervisor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: District Range Staff, Mike Hannemann, (520) 774-1147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Windmill Allotment Management Plan was updated in 1982 to address the distribution of livestock. In 1988 the Allotment plan for the Winter Division was updated to change the grazing system to improve the growth of cool-season *Stipa* grasses and overall range conditions.

In 1994, the Peaks, Mormon Lake and Sedona Ranger Districts in partnership with the Arizona Game and Fish Department and the Windmill Ranch initiated a comprehensive analysis of the Windmill Allotment to update the Allotment Management Plan. The Allotment was selected for analysis to address:

Large, open meadows that are in poor condition indicating an imbalance between plant growth and use of plants throughout the meadows;

Riparian areas that are in less than preferable condition;

Management of threatened, endangered and sensitive species;

Administrative inefficiencies (three allotment plans versus one plan);

Fragmentation indicating a need for landscape scale planning;

Livestock/elk conflicts.

In 1995, a 10 year grazing permit was issued for the Windmill Allotment under the term of the Rescission Bill (Burns Amendment). This permit included some interim mitigating measures including fencing riparian and sensitive plant habitats, sweeping cattle from driveways and shipping culled cows. As required by this legislation, the Allotment was then rescheduled for comprehensive analysis within the 10 year period.

In 1996, the comprehensive analysis was continued. The core team focused on gaining an understanding of relationships between forage production, soils, tree densities, climate, past grazing, and the dietary needs of cattle and elk. Further, the team focused on judging where forage dietary needs and grazing use were out of balance with the lands ability to produce forage with upward trends and designing management actions to respond to problem areas. The following describes the analysis in detail.

Specifically, the purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the grazing use on

the Windmill Allotment and to propose options for moving toward resource improvement goals and objectives. Cattle grazing alternatives (including no cattle grazing) are being considered. If a cattle grazing alternative is selected, a new permit will be issued along with a new Allotment Management Plan (AMP).

Tentative alternatives are: 1. Alternative A is designed to meet all the current grazing management issues while maintaining a viable ranching operation. This alternative uses permittee and range conservationist knowledge to determine proper livestock numbers, graze periods, graze rotations, and pasture splits. Total livestock numbers are 1252 to 1257.

2. Alternative B is the no action alternative as required by the National Environmental Policy Act regulations. Selection of this alternative would mean that no grazing would occur on this allotment for the next 10 years.

3. Alternative C is the management system currently in place. This alternative permits a total of 1,252 to 1,257 cattle to graze year-round on the Windmill Range Allotment.

4. Alternative D is designed to respond to grazing capacity and proper use guideline issues. This alternative uses timberstand data base and TES data to project total yearly forage production for each pasture. Total livestock numbers are 635.

5. Alternative F is the same as Alternative A except for adjusting the Luke Mountain pasture of the Foxboro Herd from a two-way pasture split to a three-way pasture split. This third pasture reduces graze periods in Little T-Six from 20 to 10 days and Highway Camp from 14-20 days to 10 days. Total livestock numbers are 1252 to 1257.

6. Alternative G is designed to better meet resource concerns of poor and declining range conditions in parts of Munds-Pocket and Foxboro Herd areas. This alternative improves on Alternative A in these areas by reducing livestock numbers, adjusting graze periods and additional pasture splits. Total livestock numbers are 1090 to 1125.

Items common to alternatives include fencing some riparian areas to exclude livestock. The areas chosen for fencing are easily accessed by cattle, are fairly large and have adjacent wet meadows and are estimated to have high potential for improvement. Not all riparian springs located on the Allotment are fenced. Those not chosen for fencing are less accessible to cattle, are not associated with wet meadows and are estimated to have high potential for improvement. Not all riparian springs located on the Allotment are fenced.

Those not chosen for fencing are less accessible to cattle, are not associated with wet meadows and are very small. Major creeks and rivers will not have direct cattle access under any of the alternatives. Other items common to all alternatives include tank re-location and/or waterlot construction where tanks occur in dry meadows. Pastures that will not be used in the 10 year management plan period are also identified.

Environmental analysis has been ongoing. It is anticipated that a draft environmental impact statement will be published in July of 1997. A ninety day comment period pursuant to 36 CFR 219.10(b) will be provided for the public to make comments on the draft environmental impact statement. A record of decision will be prepared and filed with the final environmental impact statement. A ninety day appeal period pursuant to 36 CFR 217.8(a) will be applicable. The ninety day comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will begin when the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability appears in the **Federal Register**.

To be most helpful, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

This is the second notice for this draft environmental impact statement. The publication of the draft was changed from our original estimate of February, 1997 to July, 1997.

Fred Trevey,

Coconino Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-16885 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Proposed Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed additions to procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List commodities and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: July 28, 1997.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 603-7740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a) (2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the commodities and services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodities and services to the Government.

2. The action does not appear to have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodities and services.

3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.

4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the commodities and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited.

Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following commodities and services have been proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Commodities**Box, Shipping**

8115-00-050-5237, 8115-01-015-1314, 8115-01-015-1313, NPA: Tarrant

County Association for the Blind, Fort Worth, Texas.

Dropcloth

8340-01-444-3652, 8340-01-444-3653, NPA: East Texas Lighthouse for the Blind, Tyler, Texas.

Slacks, Woman's, USMC

8410-01-278-9731 thru -9759, NPA: Vocational Guidance Services, Cleveland, Ohio.

Office and Miscellaneous Supplies

(Requirements for the Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois), NPA: Wiscraft Inc.—Wisconsin Enterprises for the Blind, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Services**Administrative Services**

General Services Administration (FSS), 300 Ala Moana, Honolulu, Hawaii, NPA: Goodwill Industries of Honolulu, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii.

Food Service

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, NPA: Development Resources, Inc., San Antonio, Texas.

Food Service

HQ, U.S. Marine Corps Henderson Hall, Arlington, Virginia, NPA: Fairfax Opportunities Unlimited, Inc., Springfield, Virginia.

Janitorial/Custodial

Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Boulder City, Nevada, NPA: Opportunity Village ARC, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Janitorial/Custodial

Federal Building, 209 Broadway, New York, New York, NPA: Fedcap Rehabilitation Services, Inc., New York, New York.

Laundry Service

Department of the Navy, Amphibious Group Three, San Diego, California, NPA: Job Options, Inc., San Diego, California.

Mail and Messenger Service

U.S. Army Garrison-Fitzsimons, Aurora, Colorado, NPA: Bayaud Industries, Inc., Denver, Colorado.

Operation of GSA Access Store

Philip Burton Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, NPA: Pacific Coast Community Services, Alameda, California.

Operation of Postal Service Center

Seymour-Johnson Air Force Base, North Carolina, NPA: Lions Industries

for the Blind, Inc., Kinston, North Carolina.

Switchboard Operation

Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 400 Veterans Boulevard, Biloxi, Mississippi, NPA: The Lighthouse for the Blind in New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97-16920 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED**Procurement List; Additions and Deletions**

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Additions to and Deletions from the Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action adds to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and deletes from the Procurement List commodities previously furnished by such agencies.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 28, 1997.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 603-7740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 15, 1996, January 17, May 2, 9, 1997 the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices (61 F.R. 58510, 62 F.R. 2644, 24077 and 25586) of proposed additions to and deletions from the Procurement List:

ADDITIONS: After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46-48c and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were: