Policy Act of 1969 through adoption of the Finding of No Significant Impact dated April 15, 1992, and contained in FE Docket EA–48–I.

#### IV. Order

Based on the above discussion and findings, paragraph (A) of Order EA–48–I is amended by adding the following sentence: From the date of this Order until August 31, 1997, EPE is authorized to export electric energy to Mexico at a maximum allowable rate of transmission of 210 MW.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 13, 1997.

### Anthony J. Como,

Manager, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy. [FR Doc. 97–16187 Filed 6–19–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-568-000]

### ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Request under Blanket Authorization

June 16, 1997

Take notice that on June 10, 1997, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP97–568–000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to operate under the provisions of Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) existing facilities that have been constructed pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA).

ANR states that it constructed an interconnection between ANR and Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corporation, (Arkla) in Woodward County, Oklahoma, under Section 311 of the NGPA. ANR states that this interconnection was placed in service on April 12, 1997. ANR indicates that the facilities consist of a two-inch positive displacement meter, an electronic measurement system, an insulating flange, and approximately 400 feet of four-inch pipeline. ANR further indicates that the total cost of the facilities was approximately \$73,500, for which ANR are fully reimbursed by Arkla. By this application, ANR seeks authorization, under Section 157.211 of the Commission's prior notice regulations, to operate its intercommection with

Arkla under the provisions of Section 7(c) of the NGA.

Any person or the Commission Staff may, within 45 days of the issuance if the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214), a motion to intervene and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activities shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16141 Filed 6–19–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-570-000]

# Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 16, 1997.

Take notice that on June 11, 1997, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in Docket No. CP97-570-000 a request pursuant to sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216, 157.212) for authorization to upgrade the Rosemount #1 TBS, an existing delivery point located in Dakota County, Minnesota, to accommodate increased natural gas deliveries to Koch Hydrocarbon Company (Koch) under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public

Northern proposes to replace the meter module on the existing 8-inch meter and install an additional 8-inch meter at the existing station. Northern states that Koch has requested the proposed upgrade of the Rosemount #1 TBS to accommodate increased deliveries for use at their plant. The increased deliveries would be from

35,000 MMBtu/day to 100,000 MMBtu/day, peak day and from 11,400,000 MMBtu to 25,500,000 MMBtu, annual under Northern's currently effective service agreements. Northern estimates the cost to upgrade this delivery point to be \$117,000.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16140 Filed 6–19–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-559-000]

### Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

June 16, 1997.

Take notice that on June 3, 1997, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), 200 North Third Street, Suite 300, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP97–559–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon 7.5 miles of Warren-Elk Basin natural gas transmission pipeline, 6.9 miles in Carbon County, Montana and .6 miles in Park County, Wyoming, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin states that the 7.5 miles of 6-inch pipeline is old and deteriorated and has not been used in several years. Williston Basin states further that there would be no effect on existing customers, as service would continue through an existing 12-inch loop line.

Any person desiring to be heard or any person desiring to make any protest

with reference to said application should on or before July 7, 1997, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Williston Basin to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16180 Filed 6–19–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL95-31-002, et al.]

## Duke Power Company, et al., Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

June 13, 1997.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Duke Power Company

[Docket No. EL95-31-002]

Take notice that on April 25, 1997, Duke Power Company tendered for filing its compliance filing in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: June 26, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 2. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota)

[Docket No. ER96-2820-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1997, Northern States Power Company (NSP) tendered for filing its amendment to the Interconnection and Interchange Agreement between NSP and North Central Power Company (NCP) in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: June 26, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 3. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3081-000]

Take notice that on May 28, 1997, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Services, as agent for the Entergy Operating Companies, and William Energy Services Company.

Comment date: June 27, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 4. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3082-000]

Take notice that on May 28, 1997. Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Services, as agent for the Entergy Operating Companies, and Central and South West Services, Inc. (CSW), acting as agent for Southwestern Electric Power Company and Public Service Company of Oklahoma.

Comment date: June 27, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 5. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

[Docket No. ER97-3085-000]

Take notice that on May 27, 1997, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) submitted service agreements establishing Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia (MEAG) and Cinergy Services, Inc. (CINERGY) as customers under the terms of SCE&G's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

SCE&G requests an effective date of one day subsequent to the filing of the service agreements. Accordingly, SCE&G requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon MEAG, CINERGY, and the South Carolina Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 27, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 6. Wisconsin Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER97-3086-000]

Take notice that on May 27, 1997, Wisconsin Electric Power Company (Wisconsin Electric), tendered for filing an Electric Service Agreement between itself and Consumers Energy Company and Detroit Edison Company (the Michigan Companies). The Electric Service Agreement provides for service under Wisconsin Electric's Coordination Sales Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. Also included in the submittal is a non-firm transmission service agreement between the parties, for service under Wisconsin Electric's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 7.

Wisconsin Electric requests an effective date sixty days after filing. Copies of the filing have been served on the Michigan Companies, the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 27, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 7. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-3087-000]

Take notice that on May 27, 1997, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), tendered for filing; 1) an agreement dated April 30, 1997, by and between PG&E and the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) entitled "Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service" (Service Agreement); and 2) a request for termination of this Service Agreement.

The Service Agreement was entered into for the purpose of firm point-to-point transmission service for 4.8 MW of power delivered to BART at PG&E's Bayshore Substation. The effective date of termination is either the requested date shown below or such other date the Commission deems appropriate for termination.