Nature of benefit	Type & ai payn	mount of
	Check	In kind
2. Hotel Room 3. Meals 4. Taxi	\$25.50 75.50	
	101.00	711.00

- (b) Non-Fed Source: Same as No. 4.
- Agency: Federal Communications Commission.
- 2. Employee: James Olson. Government Position: Chief, Competition Division, Office of General Counsel.
- Event: Broadband for Residential Customers Conference.
- 4. Sponsor of Event: World Research
- 5. *Sponsor Address:* Attn: Jamie Salzano, 12 East 49th Street, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10017.
 - 6. Location of Event: Atlanta, Georgia.
 - 7. Employee's Role: Speaker.
 - 8. Dates of Event: 09/17-18/96.
 - 9. Travel Dates: 09/16-18/96.
 - 10. (a)

Nature of benefit	(c) Type & amount of payment	
	Check	In kind
1. Roundtrip Transportation 2. Hotel Room 3. Meals 4. Taxi	\$18.50 89.00	\$259.00 290.00 549.00

- (b) Non-Fed Source: Same as No. 4.
- 1. Agency: Federal Communications Commission.
- 2. Employee: Michele Farguhar. Government Position: Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.
- Event: Local Exchange Regulatory Retreat.
- 4. Sponsor of Event: X-Change Magazine.
- 5. Sponsor Address: Attn: Mr. Geof Petch, 3300 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012.
- 6. Location of Event: Phoenix, Arizona.
 - 7. Employee's Role: Participant.
 - 8. Dates of Event: 10/20–22/96.
 - 9. Travel Dates: 10/20-22/96.

10. (a)

Nature of benefit	(c) Type & amount of payment	
	Check	In kind
Roundtrip Transportation		\$1484.00

Nature of benefit	(c) Type & amount of payment	
	Check	In kind
2. Hotel Room 3. Meals 4. Taxi		192.00 102.00
		1778.00

(b) Non-Fed Source: Same as No. 4. Federal Communications Commission. William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–15390 Filed 6–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

June 13, 1997.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B. Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418 - 1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060-0760. Expiration Date: 12/31/97. Title: Access Charge Reform, CC Docket No. 96-272 (First Report and Order).

Form No.: N/A.

Estimated Annual Burden: 13 respondents; 138,714 hours per response (avg.); 1,803,282 total annual burden hours for all collections.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$31,200.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Description: In the Access Charge Reform First Report and Order, the Commission adopts, that, consistent with principles of cost-causation and economic efficiency, non-traffic sensitive (NTS) costs associated with local switching should be recovered on an NTS basis, through flat-rated, per month charges. The information collections resulting from this Report and Order are as follows. The information collected would be submitted to the FCC by incumbent

LECs for use in determining whether the incumbent LECs should receive the regulatory relief proposed in the Order. Compliance is mandatory.

a. Showings under the Market-Based Approach. As competition develops in the market, the FCC will gradually relax and ultimately remove existing Part 69 federal access rate structure requirements and Part 61 price cap restrictions on rate level changes. Regulatory reform will take place in two phases. The first phase of regulatory reform will take place when an incumbent LEC network has been opened to competition for interstate access services. Detariffing will take place when substantial competition has developed for the access charge elements. We proposed that in order for LECs to meet this standard, they have to demonstrate that: (1) Unbundled network element prices are based on geographically deaveraged, forwardlooking economic costs in a manner that reflects the way costs are incurred; (2) transport and termination charges are based on the additional cost of transporting and terminating another carrier's traffic; (3) wholesale prices for retail services are based on reasonably avoidable costs; (4) network elements and services are capable of being provisioned rapidly and consistent with a significant level of demand; (5) dialing parity is provided by the incumbent LEC to competitors; (6) number portability is provided by the incumbent LEC to competitors; (7) access to incumbent LEC rights-of-way is provided to competitors; and (8) open and non-discriminatory network standards and protocols are put into effect. The second phase of rate structure reforms will take place when an actual competitive presence has developed in the marketplace. We propose that the second phase of rate structure reforms would take place when an actual competitive presence has developed in the marketplace. LECs would have to show the following to indicate that actual competition has developed in the marketplace by: (1) Demonstrated presence of competition; (2) full implementation of competitively neutral universal service support mechanisms; and (3) credible and timely enforcement of pro-competitive rules. (Number of respondents: 13; annual hour burden per respondent: 137,986; total annual burden 1,793,818).

b. Cost Study of Local Switching Costs: The FCC does not establish a fixed percentage of local switching costs that incumbent LECs must reassign to the Common Line basket or newly created Trunk Cards and Ports service category as NTS costs. In light of the

widely varying estimates in the record, we conclude that the portion of costs that is NTS costs likely varies among LEC switches. Accordingly, we require each price cap LEC to conduct a cost study to determine the geographicallyaveraged portion of local switching costs that is attributable to the line-side ports, as defined above, and to dedicated trunk side cards and ports. These amounts, including cost support, should be reflected in the access charge elements filed in the LEC's access tariff effective January 1, 1998. (Number of respondents: 13; annual hour burden per respondent: 400 hours; total annual hours: 5200).

c. Cost Study of Interstate Access Service that Remain Subject to Price Cap Regulation: The 1996 Act has created an unprecedented opportunity for competition to develop in local telephone markets. We recognize, however, that competition is unlikely to develop at the same rate in different locations, and that some services will be subject to increasing competition more rapidly than others. We also recognize, however, that there will be areas and services for which competition may not develop. We will adopt a prescriptive "backstop" to our market-based approach that will serve to ensure that all interstate access customers receive the benefits of more efficient prices, even in those places and for those services where competition does not develop quickly. To implement our backstop to market-based access charge reform, we require each incumbent price cap LEC to file a cost study no later than February 8, 2001, demonstrating the cost of providing those interstate access services that remain subject to price cap regulation because they do not face substantial competition. (Number of respondents: 13; annual hour burden per respondent: 8 hours; total annual burden: 104 hours).

d. Tariff Filings. The Commission also adopts several information collections relating to tariff filings. Specifically, the Commission adopts its proposals to require the filing of various tariffs, with modifications. For example, the FCC directs incumbent LECs to establish separate rate elements for the multiplexing equipment on each side of the tandem switch. LECs must establish a flat-rated charge for the multiplexers on the SWC side of the tandem, imposed pro-rate on the purchasers of the dedicated trunks on the SWC side of the tandem. Multiplexing equipment on the EO side of the tandem shall be charged to users of common EO-totandem transport on a per-minute of use basis. These multiplexer rate elements

must be included in the LEC access tariff filings to be effective January 1, 1998. Tariff to be filed on December 16, 1997. Other tariff filings dates required by Report and Order are as follows: June 16, 1997, Filing which includes: Downward Exogenous Adjustment to the Traffic Sensitive Basket. December 16, 1998, Filing which includes: Inflation adjustments and the TIC. December 16, 1999, Filing which includes: Inflation adjustments and the TIC. (Number of respondents: 13; annual hour burden per respondent: 320 hours; total annual burden: 4160 hours).

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is as noted above. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16030 Filed 6–13–97; 3:59 pm] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2204]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

June 12, 1997.

Petition for reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to this petition must be filed July 2, 1997. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Toll Free Service Access Codes. (CC Docket No. 95–155).

Number of Petitions Filed: 8.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–15814 Filed 6–16–97; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2203]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

June 12, 1997.

Petition for reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor. ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to this petition must be filed July 2, 1997. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Implementation of the AM Expanded Band allotment Plan. (MM Docket No. 87–267).

Number of Petition Filed: 1.
Subject: Rulemaking to Amend Parts
1, 2, 21, and 25 of the Commission's
Rules to Redesignate the 27.5–29.5 GHz
Frequency Band, to Reallocate the 29.5–
30.0 GHz Frequency Band. To Establish
Rules and Policies for Local Multipoint
Distribution Service and for Fixed
Satellite Services. (CC Docket No. 92–
297).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3. Subject: Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. (CC Docket No. 96–128).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3. Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–15816 Filed 6–16–97; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal