TABLE B.—ECS RETROFIT/REBUILD CERTIFICATION LEVELS FOR CUMMINS ENGINES 1

Engine family	Control parts list (CPL)	Manufacture dates	New Engine PM level	Retrofit PM level with CM	Retrofit PM level with CM & Cummins kit
343B	780 0781 0774 0777 0996 1226 1226 1441 1622 1624	11/20/85 to 12/31/87	0.58 0.59 0.46 0.61 0.50 0.45 0.46 0.46	0.48 0.48 0.38 0.50 0.50 0.41 0.37 0.38 0.38 0.37 18 % reduction from original PM levels	0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28

¹The New Engine PM certification levels are based on the certification level or the average test audit result for each engine family. It is noted that for engine family 343F, although the PM standard for 1991 and 1992 was 0.25 g/bhp-hr and the NOx standard was 5.0 g/bhp-hr, Cummins certified the 1226, 1441, 1622, and 1624 CPLs to a Federal Emission Limit (FEL) of 0.49 g/bhp-hr PM and 5.6 g/bhp-hr NOx under the averaging, banking and trading program.

III. Request To Amend Previous Certification

With regard to amending the 2-stroke certification, in the original notification, ECS performed testing on a 1987 DDC 6VN71 model engine. This test engine would qualify as a worst case engine when compared to the 8V71N engine and, as such, the results from testing this engine could be extrapolated to the 8V71N models is in question. All other factors involved in the certification including warranties, instructions, costs and maintenance remain the same. ECS states that it believes that the catalyst utilized in the earlier certification will adequately reduce PM from the 8V71N engines by at least 25%. ECS has cited the fact that the displacement of the 8V71N engine family is very similar to the 6V92 for which the equipment is already certified. ECS also states that the 8V71N engine being naturally aspirated will operate with characteristically hotter exhaust temperatures than a 6V92TA which should enhance PM reduction. ECS has requested to amend its certification to include the levels provided in Table C.

TABLE C.—ECS RETROFIT/REBUILD CERTIFICATION LEVELS FOR 8V71N MODEL

Engine model Model years		PM level with OCM	Code/ Family	
8V71N	1973–1984	0.38	All.	

It is noted that the ECS proposal to amend the previous certification will not trigger any new requirements for operators because equipment providing a 25% PM reduction has already been certified for the above model and years. The PM level in the triggering certification is identical to the PM level specified above.

At a minimum, EPA expects to evaluate the notification of intent to certify for the 4-stroke engines, and the request to amend the certification for the 2-stroke engines and other materials submitted as applicable, to determine whether there is adequate demonstration of compliance with: (1) The certification requirements of § 85.1406, including whether the testing accurately proves the claimed emission reduction or emission levels; and, (2) the requirements of §85.1407 for a notification of intent to certify. With regard to the amendment to the 2-stroke certification, comments should be directed to the addition of the 8V71N engine only as this notification is not meant to re-open the comment period for the original notice of intention to certify (NIC).

The Agency requests that those commenting also consider these regulatory requirements, plus provide comments on any experience or knowledge concerning: (a) problems with installing, maintaining, and/or using the candidate equipment on applicable engines; and, (b) whether the equipment is compatible with affected vehicles.

The date of this notice initiates a 45-day period during which the Agency will accept written comments relevant to whether or not the equipment described in the ECS notification of intent to certify for 4-stroke engine should be certified pursuant to the

urban bus retrofit/rebuild regulations, and on the issue of the request to amend the prior 2-stroke engine certification. Interested parties are encouraged to review the notification of intent to certify and provide comment during the 45-day period. Please send separate copies of your comments to each of the above two addresses.

The Agency will review this notification of intent to certify and the request to revise the previous certification, along with comments received from interested parties, and attempt to resolve or clarify issues as necessary. During the review process, the Agency may add additional documents to the docket as a result of the review process. These documents will also be available for public review and comment within the 45-day period.

Dated: June 10, 1997.

Mary D. Nichols,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 97–15730 Filed 6–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00486; FRL-5725-9]

Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee; Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act [Public Law 92–463], EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs

(OPP) is giving notice of a public meeting of the Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee (PPDC).

DATES: The meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 24, 1997 from 1:30 p.m. to 5:15 p.m. and Wednesday, June 25, 2997 from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Workgroup meetings (as described under "Supplementary Information") will be held on Tuesday, June 24, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Ramada Plaza Hotel, 901 N. Fairfax Street, (Old Town) Alexandria, Virginia in the Lee Ballroom.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Margie Fehrenbach or Kathleen Martin, Office of Pesticide Programs (7501C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 1119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, (703) 305–7090; e-mail: fehrenbach.margie@epamail.epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PPDC is composed of a balanced group of participants from the following sectors: pesticide industry and user groups; federal agencies and state governments; consumer and environmental/public interest groups, including representatives from the general public; academia; the public health community; and, congressional staff. The Committee was formed to foster communication and understanding among the parties represented on the Committee and with OPP. The Committee also provides advice and guidance to OPP regarding pesticide regulatory, policy, and implementation issues.

PPDC meetings are open to the public. Outside statements by observers are welcome. Oral statements will be limited to five minutes, and it is preferred that only one person present the statement. Any person who wishes to file a written statement can do so before or after a Committee meeting. These statements will become part of the permanent file and will be provided to the Committee members for their information. Materials will be available for public review at the following address: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 1128, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202 (703) 305-5805.

Topics to be discussed at the June meeting are: Reports from PPDC Work Groups on new health standards; safer (reduced risk) pesticides; labelling; Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) measurement indicators; minor uses/section 18's; right-to-know/communications; and, ecological standards/program. Other topics to be

discussed are: tolerance reassessment; and a review of Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) issues with the Panel Chairperson.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: June 8, 1997.

Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 97–15726 Filed 6–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5842-1]

Risk Assessment and Risk Management Commission

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given that the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Commission, established as an Advisory Committee under section 303 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, will hold a public meeting on August 8, 1997 at the Kimball Conference Room, 1400 16th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036. The meeting will start at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 4:30 p.m.

The meeting will be a symposium with two goals: (1) To define a public health approach to environmental protection, including advantages, disadvantages, and barriers to implementation; (2) to examine the potential for an "environmental health improvement" market for investments in public health projects for a narrowly defined set of facilities already meeting current air standards. The "environmental health improvement" market will be discussed by using the example of EPA's most recently proposed changes to the air standards for particulates and ozone.

Due to limited seating, attendees should notify the Commission's office in advance prior to August 1, 1997, by calling 202–233–9537 or send a fax to 202–233–9540. Please include your phone number and fax number.

For a copy of Volume one or Volume two of the Commission's final report, please fax your request to 202–233–9540, mail your request to the Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk Management, 529 14th Street, NW., Room 420, Washington, DC 20045, or obtain via the Internet at http://www.riskworld.com. Be sure to indicate your complete mailing address and a phone number where you can be reached.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

Gail Charnley,

Executive Director, Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk Management.

[FR Doc. 97–15728 Filed 6–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5842-2]

Science Advisory Board; Notice of Public Teleconference Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis (ACCACA, or the "Council") of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will conduct a public teleconference on the date and times specified below. This meeting is open to the public, however, the number of available phone lines is limited. All times noted are Eastern Time. Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating EPA office and are not available from the SAB office (see information provided below).

Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis

The Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis (ACCACA, or the "Council") of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) plans to hold a public teleconference meeting on Monday, June 30, 1997, from 11:00 am to 2:00 pm. The topics to be discussed are closure on select edits to the Clean Air Act (CAA) section 812 Retrospective Study and a strategic plan and continued discussions on emissions modeling on the Prospective Study of costs and benefits. The Council expects to receive select draft text edits to the Clean Air Act (CAA) section 812 Retrospective Study in June 1997. The review of these select draft edits to the Retrospective Study document will occupy only a portion of the time available for the teleconference. Most of the remaining time will be spent discussing the Agency's strategic vision, plan and approach to develop the CAA section 812 Prospective Study of costs and benefits. The Council will continue its discussions with the Agency staff of the emissions estimates, modeling assumptions, methodology, results and documentation for the Prospective Study that were the subject of its March and May teleconferences.

This public teleconference is a followup to earlier Council face-to-face discussions held on November 7 and 8, 1996, concerning the 1990 Clean Air Act