products from the Netherlands by Hoogovens.

Ministerial Errors in Final Results of Review

After reviewing Hoogovens' allegation of two ministerial errors in the Department's computer program for the final results of review for cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands, we agree that an "else" statement should be inserted in the computer programming after each line of adjustments to the reported further manufacturing costs for certain invoices. This point was also made by petitioners. Further, we agree with Hoogovens that we should not have included costs of repacking merchandise further manufactured in the United States in the calculation of foreign market value, since we included these costs in further manufacturing costs, which are deducted from U.S. price in the margin calculation.

We also agree with petitioners that in adjusting Hoogovens' reported further manufacturing costs, we inadvertently omitted port-to-plant movement expenses for the specific invoices referenced in the program. We further agree with petitioners that in calculating the net price for CEP transactions, we should have deducted total movement expenses instead of inland freight expenses only. For these amended final results we have made all of the above corrections.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our correction of ministerial errors, we have determined the margin to be:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Period of review	Margin (per- cent)	
Hoogovens Staal B.V	8/1/94–7/31/95	4.94	

The Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and normal value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning the respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all

shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these amended final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Hoogovens will be the rate indicated above; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 19.32 percent, the "all-other" rate established in the amended final determination in the LTFV investment. See Amended Final Determination Pursuant to CIT Decision: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands, 61 F.R. 47871.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbersement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulation and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: June 4, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–15608 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-429-601]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Solid Urea From the Former German Democratic Republic

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on Solid Urea from the Former German Democratic Republic, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Presing, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–0194.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under § 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. In the instant case, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa (May 30, 1997).

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by the Act, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limits as follows:

Product	Country	Review period	Initiation date	Prelim due date	Final due date/*/
Solid Urea (A-429-601)	Germany	95/96	08/15/96	07/02/97	10/30/97

^{*}The Department shall issue the final determination 120 days after the publication of the preliminary determination. This final due date is estimated based on publication of the preliminary notice five business days after signature.

Dated: June 2, 1997.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III. [FR Doc. 97–15604 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a) (3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97-038. Applicant: Florida State University, NHMFL, 1800 E. Dirac Drive, Tallahassee, FL 32310. Instrument: EPR W-Band System, Model ELEXSYS. Manufacturer: Bruker Instruments, Germany. Intended Use: The article is intended to be used for studies of the electron paramagnetic resonance spectra related to the environment of unpaired electrons in biological samples (proteins, fat, nucleic acid or whole cells). In addition, the instrument will be used for training graduate students for research in the courses BSC (biological science) 5392 "Graduate Tutorial" and BSC 5905 "Dissertation Research." Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 13, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–040. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, 750 University Avenue, A. W. Peterson Building, Madison, WI 53706. Instrument: Ti:Sapphire Laser, Model MBR–110. Manufacturer: Microlase Optical Systems, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The article is intended to be used for studies of electron gas-phase atoms and gas-phase molecules with emphasis on rare gas atoms and alkali atoms. Experiments will be conducted to obtain electron impact cross sections with the goals of studying the efficiency of

energy transfer in the various inelastic processes and understanding the relevant electron-atom and electronmolecule interactions. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* May 20, 1997.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–15603 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Stevens Institute of Technology, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 96–103R. Applicant: Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030. Instrument: Stopped-Flow/Scanning Spectrometer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a photodiode array detector to separate a single pulse of white light into discrete wavelengths of 300 to 700 nm for study of rapid kinetic chemical reactions. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, May 19, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–019. Applicant: The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218. Instrument: Fiber-Electrode Micromanipulator. Manufacturer: Thomas Recording Sci. Res., Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 13600, March 21, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides delivery and placement of up to 7 microelectrodes of diameters to 25 µm with state-of-the-art electrical signal processing across the 7 channels for study of neuronal brain functioning. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, May 19, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–024. Applicant: Stanford University/University of California, Berkeley, Stanford, CA 94305. Instrument: Electron Energy Analyzer, Model Scienta 200. Manufacturer: Scienta Instrument AB, Sweden. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides highest energy resolution of 5.0 meV with resolving power to 1500. Advice received from: National Institute of Standards and Technology and Brookhaven National Laboratory, May 27, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–025. Applicant: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139. Instrument: Fish Tank System. Manufacturer: Klaus-Jurgen Schwarz, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides an optimal design for genetic analysis of early development in the zebra fish. Advice received from: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and a private aquatic toxicology laboratory, May 23, 1997.

The National Institutes of Health, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Brookhaven National Laboratory, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and a private aquatic toxicology laboratory advise that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–15601 Filed 6–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and