

FDA-regulated products offered for import into the United States.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

#### ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
2,505	1,212.54	3,037,426	0.07 h	229,693

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

The source of the estimate for the number of respondents is the number of importers who submitted entry data for foreign-origin FDA-regulated products in 1996. The estimated reporting burden is based on information obtained by contacting several past respondents.

Dated: June 3, 1997.

**William K. Hubbard,**  
Associate Commissioner for Policy  
Coordination.

[FR Doc. 97-15168 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

##### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 97G-0219]

##### Beatrice Foods, Inc.; Withdrawal of GRAS Affirmation Petition

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the withdrawal, without prejudice to a future filing, of a petition (GRASP 5G0047) proposing that the use of magnesium caseinate for use as an ingredient for making cheese alternate products which can be blended with natural cheese or used alone as a total substitute for cheese be affirmed as generally recognized as safe (GRAS).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rudolph Harris, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-206), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a notice published in the **Federal Register** of February 4, 1975 (40 FR 5180), FDA announced that a petition (GRASP 5G0047) had been filed by Beatrice Foods Co., Inc., 1526 South State St., Chicago, IL 60605. This petition proposed that the use of magnesium caseinate for use as an ingredient for making cheese alternate products which can be blended with natural cheese or used alone as a total substitute for cheese is GRAS.

Beatrice Foods Co., Inc., of Chicago, the submitter of the original GRAS affirmation petition no longer exists. Beatrice Cheese Inc., 770 North Springdale Rd., Waukesha, WI, 53180, which was formerly part of Beatrice Foods Co., Inc., indicated that the proposed use had been abandoned and acknowledged that the agency should close the petition file and withdraw the petition. Therefore, the agency is announcing that it considers this petition to be withdrawn, without prejudice to a future filing, in accordance with 21 CFR 171.7.

Dated: May 12, 1997.

**Alan M. Rulis,**  
Director, Office of Premarket Approval,  
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.  
[FR Doc. 97-15313 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

##### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 97M-0186]

##### Millenium Medical Supply, Inc.; Premarket Approval of Needle-Ease™ 2501

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing its approval of the application submitted by Louise N. Howe of the law firm HALE and DORR, as the U.S. Representative on behalf of Millenium Medical Supply, Inc., Ontario, Canada, for premarket approval, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act), of Needle-Ease™ 2501. FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) notified the applicant, by letter of March 6, 1997, of the approval of the application.

**DATES:** Petitions for administrative review by July 11, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written requests for copies of the summary of safety and effectiveness data and petitions for administrative review to the Dockets

Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chiu S. Lin, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ-480), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301-443-8913.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 6, 1996, Louise N. Howe of the law firm HALE and DORR, as the U.S. Representative on behalf of Millenium Medical Supply, Inc., Ontario, Canada, N3T 5M1, submitted to CDRH an application for premarket approval of Needle-Ease™ 2501. This device is a sharps needle destruction device that is intended for home use by diabetics to reduce the incidence of needlesticks by the incineration of 28-30 gauge needles, 29 and 30 gauge diabetic "pen tips," and 23-26 gauge diabetic lancets.

In accordance with the provisions of section 515(c)(2) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(c)(2)) as amended by the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, this premarket approval application (PMA) was not referred to the General Hospital and Personal Use Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee, an FDA advisory committee, for review and recommendation because the information in the PMA substantially duplicates information previously reviewed by this panel. On March 6, 1997, CDRH approved the application by a letter to the applicant from the Director of the Office of Device Evaluation, CDRH.

A summary of the safety and effectiveness data on which CDRH based its approval is on file in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and is available from that office upon written request. Requests should be identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

##### Opportunity For Administrative Review

Section 515(d)(3) of the act authorizes any interested person to petition, under

section 515(g) of the act, for administrative review of CDRH's decision to approve this application. A petitioner may request either a formal hearing under part 12 (21 CFR part 12) of FDA's administrative practices and procedures regulations or a review of the application and CDRH's action by an independent advisory committee of experts. A petition is to be in the form of a petition for reconsideration under (21 CFR 10.33(b)). A petitioner shall identify the form of review requested (hearing or independent advisory committee) and shall submit with the petition supporting data and information showing that there is a genuine and substantial issue of material fact for resolution through administrative review. After reviewing the petition, FDA will decide whether to grant or deny the petition and will publish a notice of its decision in the **Federal Register**. If FDA grants the petition, the notice will state the issue to be reviewed, the form of the review to be used, the persons who may participate in the review, the time and place where the review will occur, and other details.

Petitioners may, at any time on or before July 11, 1997, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) two copies of each petition and supporting data and information, identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received petitions may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This notice is issued under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 515(d), 520(h) (21 U.S.C. 360e(d), 360j(h))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (21 CFR 5.53).

Dated: April 22, 1997.

**Joseph A. Levitt,**

*Deputy Director for Regulations Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.*

[FR Doc. 97-15167 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### Product, Establishment, and Biologics License Applications, Refusal to File; Meeting of Oversight Committee

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the remaining 1997 meetings of its standing oversight committee in the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) that conducts a periodic review of CBER's use of its refusal to file (RTF) practices on product license applications (PLA's), establishment license applications (ELA's), and biologics license applications (BLA's). CBER's RTF oversight committee examines all RTF decisions which occurred during the previous quarter to assess consistency across CBER offices and divisions in RTF decisions.

**DATES:** The next meetings will be held on July 8, 1997, and October 14, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joy A. Cavnagaro, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM-5), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448, 301-827-0379.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of May 15, 1995 (60 FR 25920), FDA announced the establishment and first meeting of CBER's standing oversight committee. As explained in the notice, the importance to the public health of getting new biological products on the market as efficiently as possible has made improving the biological product evaluation process an FDA priority. CBER's managed review process focuses on specific milestones or intermediate goals to ensure that a quality review is conducted within a specified time period. CBER's RTF oversight committee continues CBER's effort to promote the timely, efficient, and consistent review of PLA's, ELA's, and BLA's.

FDA regulations on filing PLA's, ELA's, and BLA's are found in 21 CFR 601.2 and 601.3. A sponsor who receives an RTF notification may request an informal conference with CBER, and thereafter may ask that the application be filed over protest, similar to the procedure for drugs described under 21 CFR 314.101(a)(3).

CBER's standing RTF oversight committee consists of senior CBER officials, a senior official from FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, and FDA's Chief Mediator and Ombudsman. Meetings will ordinarily be held once a quarter to review all of the RTF decisions. The purpose of such a review is to assess the consistency within CBER in rendering RTF decisions. If there are no RTF decisions to review, however, the meeting may be cancelled. FDA intends to post any

meeting cancellation on the CBER home page at <http://www.fda.gov/cber/confmeet.htm>. Publication of any meeting cancellation will be made only as time permits.

Because the committee's deliberations will deal with confidential commercial information, all meetings will be closed to the public. The committee's deliberations will be reported in the minutes of the meeting. Although those minutes will not be publicly available because they will contain confidential commercial information, summaries of the committee's deliberations, with all such confidential commercial information omitted, may be requested in writing from the Freedom of Information Office (HFI-35), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 12A-16, Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting, at a cost of 10 cents per page. If, following the committee's review, an RTF decision changes, the appropriate division within CBER will notify the sponsor.

Dated: June 4, 1997.

**William K. Hubbard,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.*

[FR Doc. 97-15165 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Health Resources and Services Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Comment Request

In compliance with the requirement for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects (section 3506(c)(2)(A) of Title 35, United States Code, as amended by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects being developed for submission to OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans, call the HRSA Reports Clearance Officer on (301) 443-1129.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the