

Biological Control Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Develop a framework, with timelines, for the development of science-based guidelines to harmonize regulations and protocols for the importation, quarantine, and release of exotic biological control agents; and
- b. Revise and resubmit draft of NAPPO guidelines for petition for release of exotic phytophagous insects and mites for the biological control of weeds in the NAPPO Standards format.

Biotechnology Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Develop a NAPPO biotechnology standard, taking into consideration existing national and international standards; and
- b. Explore development of a NAPPO release policy for wild types of maize (cotton and tomato) and consider whether it can be included in the standard.

Forestry Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Develop a NAPPO standard for the movement of Christmas trees within and among NAPPO member countries;
- b. Harmonize gypsy moth regulations among NAPPO member countries;
- c. Develop a NAPPO standard for the movement of wood (including dunnage); and
- d. Review the European Plant Protection Organization list of forestry words/definitions for possible adoption by NAPPO; propose alternatives for those considered inappropriate.

Fruit Fly Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Complete the list of quarantine significant fruit flies for the NAPPO region and member countries; and
- b. Prepare NAPPO standards pertaining to survey procedures and phytosanitary procedures for quarantine significant fruit flies.

Fruit Tree and Grapevine Nursery Stock Certification Standard Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Complete the grapevine portion of the Fruit Tree and Grapevine Nursery Stock Certification Standard in time for EC approval at the 1997 annual meeting; and
- b. Proceed with other components of the standard.

Grains Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Review the list of weed species intercepted by Mexico in imported consignments of wheat grain for processing from other NAPPO countries

and determine which species meet the NAPPO definition of quarantine pest;

- b. Determine which phytosanitary measures will reduce the probability of introduction of weed species that are determined to be quarantine pests into Mexico's territories;

- c. Review the *Tilletia controversa* (dwarf bunt) PRA conducted by Mexico in March 1996 and recommend the pest status for this species in the NAPPO region; and

- d. Complete development of a NAPPO sampling protocol for the examination of railway (box) cars for (1) the presence of wheat grains and (2) the presence of Karnal bunted wheat grains that meets the quarantine security requirements of NAPPO member countries.

Hemispheric Training Center Panel

The Panel will continue with the design of a Hemispheric Training Center to enable plant protection staffs in Western Hemisphere countries to build and strengthen plant health infrastructures and to harmonize international plant protection and quarantine systems.

Irradiation Panel

The NAPPO Irradiation Standard, developed by the Irradiation Panel, was approved by the EC in April 1997. There are no current charges to this panel.

Pest Risk Analysis (PRA) Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Develop guidelines for the harmonized implementation and application of the NAPPO PRA Standard and consider possible amendment of the standard;
- b. Compare and contrast how individual NAPPO member countries apply the NAPPO PRA Standard using, as a case study, the PRAs which each country has prepared on *Chrysanthemum white rust*; and
- c. Analyze the *Chrysanthemum white rust* PRAs prepared by NAPPO member countries to determine the status of the causal agent of this disease as a quarantine pest in the NAPPO region.

Potato Panel

The Panel will:

- a. Advance the NAPPO Potato Standard towards becoming an international standard; and
- b. Convene a subgroup to harmonize and/or determine equivalencies among diagnostic tests for Potato Virus Y Strain N within the NAPPO region.

Comments on standards being considered or to be considered by any of the committees or working groups listed above may be sent to APHIS as directed under the heading **ADDRESSES**.

Done in Washington, DC, this 4th day of June 1997.

Terry L. Medley,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 97-15256 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Proposed Perkins—Manistique 138 kV Transmission Line Project**

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The USDA Forest Service will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to analyze and disclose the effects of a proposal by Wisconsin Electric Power Company WEPCO and Edison Sault Electric (ESE) to construct a 24 mile, 138 kV transmission line in Delta county, Michigan. The project area includes portions of the Hiawatha National Forest (HNF), Rapid River/Manistique Ranger Districts.

DATES: Written comments concerning the scope of the analysis (issues, preliminary alternatives, etc.) must be received in writing by July 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments and suggestions to Mr. William F. Spinner, Forest Supervisor, Hiawatha National Forest, 2727 Lincoln Road, Escanaba, Michigan, 49829.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Technical questions regarding the proposed action and EIS should be directed to Ms. Patty Beyer, Project Coordinator, (906) 228-9681.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: WEPCO/ESE propose to construct, operate, and maintain a 138 kV double circuit transmission line from the existing Perkins Substation one mile west of Perkins, Michigan to the proposed Indian Lake Substation one mile northwest of Manistique, Michigan. The proposed 24 mile route lies adjacent to the Lakehead Oil Company pipeline right-of-way. The Substation locations are outside the boundaries of the Hiawatha National Forest. The Federal Land and Policy Act allows the use of national forest lands for electric transmission rights-of-way. The Hiawatha National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan allows for utility right-of-ways within management areas crossed by the proposed project.

WEPCO/ESE have identified a lack of adequate electric transmission facilities serving Delta and Schoolcraft counties in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan,

presently an ESE service territory. The Michigan Public Service Commission has determined the existing service is inadequate in three areas, including load growth, reliability, and electrical transmission system reinforcement. WEPCO/ESE's load growth has nearly exceeded capacity and its need for reliable service and additional capacity to meet peak system demand is urgent. The purpose for constructing the project is to increase reliability of service and provide an additional source of power to the customers served within WEPCO/ESE service area.

Permits or licenses required to implement the proposed action include: right-of-way easement from the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, wetland permits and erosion control standards from the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Section 404 determination and permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers, road crossing permits from Delta and Schoolcraft counties and the Michigan Department of Transportation, railroad crossing permits from the Wisconsin Central Railroad, approval of Interchange Agreement from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the Public Service Commission, Act 69 approval from the Michigan Public Service Commission, Section 106 Review by the Michigan State Historic Preservation Office and a Wild and Scenic River Determination from the USDA Forest Service.

The USDA Forest Service is serving as lead federal agency for the preparation of an EIS that will evaluate the purpose, need, and routing alternatives on the Hiawatha National Forest for the proposed project. The decision to be made is whether to allow the use of portions of the Hiawatha National Forest for siting a transmission line facility. The USDA Forest Service has invited other affected agencies to participate in the environmental process. Preliminary issues associated with this proposal include construction across two designated wild and scenic rivers, aesthetic effects, health and safety concerns from electromagnetic

fields, impacts to wetlands from construction and effective wetland restoration, disturbances to threatened, endangered and sensitive plant and animal habitat, noxious weed control, recreation access to roads, rivers, and trails during construction and the commitment of forest resources over the long term.

The public is invited to attend meetings to be held June 17, 18, and 19, 1997, from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at the township hall in Nahma, the Omni Center in Rapid River, and the township hall in Cooks, Michigan, respectively. In additional meeting will be held June 17 at the Nahma township hall from 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. The purpose of the workshop-style meetings, is to share project information and identify public issues and concerns. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, written comments on the proposal should be as specific as possible. Please note that written comments made on the proposal will be regarded as public information. Anticipated publication date for the Draft EIS is November 1997 and the Final EIS in early 1998.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

William F. Spinner,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-15234 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Exceptions to IC/DV Procedures; Correction

ACTION: Proposed collection; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, Bureau of Export Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of May 1, 1997 (62 FR 23757) concerning a request for comments on a collection of information. The document contained an incorrect OMB control number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Baker, (202) 482-3673.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 1, 1997, on page 23757, in the third column, correct the OMB Number to read: 0694-0001.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 97-15169 Filed 6-10-97; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 353.22 or 355.22 of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations (19 CFR 353.22/355.22 (1993)), that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A REVIEW: Not later than the last day of June 1997, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in June for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Proceedings	
BELGIUM: Sugar, A-423-077	6/1/96-5/31/97
CANADA: Oil Country Tabular Goods, A-122-506	6/1/96-5/31/97
CANADA: Raspberries, A-122-401	6/1/96-5/31/97
FRANCE: Calcium Aluminate Flux, A-427-812	6/1/96-5/31/97
FRANCE: Large Power Transformers, A-427-030	6/1/96-5/31/97
FRANCE: Sugar, A-427-078	6/1/96-5/31/97
GERMANY: Industrial Belts, except Synchronous & V-Belts, A-428-802	6/1/96-5/31/97
GERMANY: Precipitated Barium Carbonate, A-428-061	6/1/96-5/31/97
GERMANY: Rayon Yarns, A-428-810	6/1/96-5/31/97