## DATA COLLECTION—Continued

| Respondents             | Number of respondents | Number of responses/respondent | Avg. burden/<br>response<br>(in hrs.) | Total burden<br>(in hrs.) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Adult volunteers: diary | 100                   | 6                              | 0.1                                   | 60                        |
| Total                   |                       |                                |                                       | 5994                      |

Dated: May 28, 1997.

#### Wilma G. Johnson,

Acting Associate Director for Policy Planning and Evaluation Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 97–14674 Filed 6–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Citizens Advisory Committee on Public Health Service (PHS) Activities and Research at Department of Energy (DOE) Sites: Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Health Effects Subcommittee

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announce the following meeting.

Name: Citizens Advisory Committee on PHS Activities and Research at DOE Sites: Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) Health Effects Subcommittee.

Times and Dates: 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., June 26, 1997. 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., June 27,

1007

Place: Holiday Inn, 1399 Bench Road, Pocatello, Idaho 83201, telephone 208/ 237–1400. FAX 208/238–0225.

Status: Open to the public, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 50

people.

Background: Under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed in December 1990 with DOE, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) was given the responsibility and resources for conducting analytic epidemiologic investigations of residents of communities in the vicinity of DOE facilities, workers at DOE facilities, and other persons potentially exposed to radiation or to potential hazards from non-nuclear energy production use. HHS delegated program responsibility to CDC.

In addition, an MOU was signed in October 1990 and renewed in November 1992 between ATSDR and DOE. The MOU delineates the responsibilities and procedures for ATSDR's public health activities at DOE sites required under sections 104, 105, 107, and 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or "Superfund"). These activities include health consultations and public health assessments at DOE sites listed on, or proposed for, the Superfund National Priorities List and at sites that are the subject of petitions from the public; and other healthrelated activities such as epidemiologic studies, health surveillance, exposure and disease registries, health education, substance-specific applied research, emergency response, and preparation of toxicological profiles.

Purpose: This subcommittee is charged with providing advice and recommendations to the Director, CDC, and the Administrator, ATSDR, regarding community, American Indian Tribes, and labor concerns pertaining to CDC's and ATSDR's public health activities and research at this DOE site. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a forum for community, American Indian Tribal, and labor interaction and serve as a vehicle for community concern to be expressed as advice and recommendations to CDC and ATSDR.

Matters to be Discussed: Agenda items include presentations from the National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) regarding current activities, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and ATSDR will provide updates on the progress of current studies, and working group discussions.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

Contact Persons For More Information: Arthur J. Robinson, Jr., or Nadine Dickerson, Radiation Studies Branch, Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, NCEH, CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, (F–35), Atlanta, Georgia 30341–3724, telephone 770/488–7040, FAX 770/488–7044. Dated: May 30, 1997.

### Carolyn J. Russell,

Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 97–14677 Filed 6–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

Investigational Biological Product Trials; Procedure to Monitor Clinical Hold Process; Meeting of Oversight Committee and Request for Submissions

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the remaining 1997 meetings of its clinical hold oversight committee, which reviews the clinical hold orders that the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) has placed on certain investigational biological product trials. For each meeting, FDA is inviting any interested biological product company to use this confidential mechanism to submit to the committee for its review the name and number of any investigational biological product trial placed on clinical hold during the past 12 months that the company wants the committee to review.

DATES: The next meetings will be held on August 12, 1997, and November 12, 1997. Biological product companies may submit review requests for the August meeting by July 1, 1997, and for the November meeting by October 1, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit clinical hold review requests to Amanda Bryce Norton, FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, Office of the Commissioner (HF-7), 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 14–105, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–3390.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy A. Cavagnaro, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM–5), Food and Drug Administration, 1401

Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–827–0379.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA regulations in part 312 (21 CFR part 312) provide procedures that govern the use of investigational new drugs and biologics in human subjects. If FDA determines that a proposed or ongoing study may pose significant risks for human subjects or is otherwise seriously deficient, as discussed in the investigational new drug regulations, it may order a clinical hold on the study. The clinical hold is one of FDA's primary mechanisms for protecting subjects who are involved in investigational new drug or biologic trials. Section 312.42 describes the grounds for ordering a clinical hold.

A clinical hold is an order that FDA issues to a sponsor to delay a proposed investigation or to suspend an ongoing investigation. The clinical hold may be ordered on one or more of the investigations covered by an investigational new drug application (IND). When a proposed study is placed on clinical hold, subjects may not be given the investigational drug or biologic as part of that study. When an ongoing study is placed on clinical hold, no new subjects may be recruited to the study and placed on the investigational drug or biologic, and patients already in the study should stop receiving therapy involving the investigational drug or biologic unless FDA specifically permits it.

When FDA concludes that there is a deficiency in a proposed or ongoing clinical trial that may be grounds for ordering a clinical hold, ordinarily FDA will attempt to resolve the matter through informal discussions with the sponsor. If that attempt is unsuccessful, a clinical hold may be ordered by or on behalf of the director of the division that is responsible for the review of the IND.

FDA regulations in § 312.48 provide dispute resolution mechanisms through which sponsors may request reconsideration of clinical hold orders. The regulations encourage the sponsor to attempt to resolve disputes directly with the review staff responsible for the review of the IND. If necessary, the sponsor may request a meeting with the review staff and management to discuss the clinical hold.

CBER began a process to evaluate the consistency and fairness of practices in ordering clinical holds by instituting an oversight committee to review clinical holds (see 61 FR 1031 at 1033, January 11, 1996). CBER held its first clinical hold oversight committee meeting on May 17, 1995, and plans to conduct further quality assurance oversight of

the IND process. The review procedure of the committee is designed to afford an opportunity for a sponsor who does not wish to seek formal reconsideration of a pending clinical hold to have that clinical hold considered "anonymously." The committee consists of senior managers of CBER, a

senior official from the Center for Drug

Evaluation and Research, and the FDA

Chief Mediator and Ombudsman.

Clinical holds to be reviewed will be chosen randomly. In addition, the committee will review clinical holds proposed for review by biological product sponsors. In general, a biological product sponsor should consider requesting the review when it disagrees with FDA's scientific or procedural basis for the decision.

Requests for committee review of a clinical hold should be submitted to the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, who is responsible for selecting clinical holds for review. The committee and CBER staff, with the exception of the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, are never advised, either in the review process or thereafter, which of the clinical holds were randomly chosen and which were submitted by sponsors. The committee will evaluate the selected clinical holds for scientific content and consistency with FDA regulations and CBER policy.

The meetings of the oversight committee are closed to the public because committee discussions deal with confidential commercial information. Summaries of the committee deliberations, excluding confidential commercial information, may be requested in writing from the Freedom of Information Office (HFI-35), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 12A–16, Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting, at a cost of 10 cents per page. If the status of a clinical hold changes following the committee's review, the appropriate division will notify the sponsor.

For each meeting, FDA invites biological product companies to submit to the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman the name and IND number of any investigational biological product trial that was placed on clinical hold during the past 12 months that they want the committee to review. Submissions should be made by July 1, 1997, for the August meeting, and by October 1, 1997, for the November meeting to Amanda Bryce Norton, FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman (address above).

Dated: May 28, 1997.

#### William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 97–14684 Filed 6–4–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institutes of Health**

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request, Alcoholism Prevalence and Gene/Environment Interactions in Native American Tribes (a 10 Tribe Study)

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA), National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously in the Federal Register on July 1, 1996, and allowed 60 days for public comment. There were no requests for additional information about this data collection activity, no public comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment.

The NIH may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after June 30, 1999, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### **Proposed Collection**

Title: Alcoholism Prevalence and Gene/Environment Interactions in Native American Tribes (a 10 tribe study). Type of Information Collection request: New. Need and Use of *Information Collection:* The information proposed for collection in this study will be used by the NIAAA to define the prevalence in alcoholism and associated problems in tribes in which the rates of alcoholism have been reported to be widely divergent. Additional information will be collected on severe trauma and stress, alcohol availability and socioeconomic factors to identify how these variables interact with hereditary factors in the development of alcoholism and related problems.

Frequency of Response: On Occasion. Affected Public: Individuals. Type of Respondents: Native American adults. Estimated Number of Respondents: 1000. Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1. Average Burden