

borrowers and encourage these organizations to remain in operation and resume scheduled loan payments. The proposed rule will also provide RUS greater flexibility to service problem loans and permit a viable, cost effective alternative to debt write-offs.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1951

Accounting, Grant programs—housing and community development, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

Accordingly, chapter XVIII of title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 1951—SERVICING AND COLLECTIONS

1. The authority citation for part 1951 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; 7 U.S.C. 1981, 1989; 31 U.S.C. 3711; 42 U.S.C. 1480.

#### Subpart E—Servicing of Community and Insured Business Programs Loans and Grants

2. Section 1951.223(d) is added to read as follows:

##### §1951.223 Reamortization.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Reamortization with interest rate adjustment—water and waste borrowers only.* A borrower that is seriously delinquent in loan payments may be eligible for loan reamortization with interest rate adjustment. The purpose of loan reamortization with interest rate adjustment is to provide relief for a borrower that is unable to service the outstanding loan in accordance with its existing terms and to enhance recovery on the loan. A borrower must meet the conditions of this subpart to be considered eligible for this provision.

(1) *Eligibility determination.* The State Director, Rural Development, may submit to the Administrator for approval an adjustment in the rate of interest charged on outstanding loans only for those borrowers who meet the following requirements:

- (i) The borrower has exhausted all other servicing provisions contained in this subpart;
- (ii) The borrower is experiencing severe financial problems;
- (iii) Any management deficiencies must have been corrected or the borrower must submit a plan acceptable to the State office to correct any deficiencies before an interest rate adjustment may be considered;
- (iv) Borrower user rates must be comparable to similar systems. In addition, the operating expenses reported by the borrower must appear

reasonable in relation to similar system expenses;

(v) The borrower has cooperated with Rural Development in exploring alternative servicing options and has acted in good faith with regard to eliminating the delinquency and complying with its loan agreements and agency regulations; and

(vi) The borrower's account must be delinquent at least one annual debt payment for 180 days.

(2) *Conditions of approval.* All borrowers approved for an adjustment in the rate of interest by the Administrator shall agree to the following conditions:

(i) The borrower shall agree not to maintain cash or cash reserves beyond what is reasonable at the time of interest rate adjustment to meet debt service, operating, and reserve requirements.

(ii) A review of the borrower's management and business operations may be required at the discretion of the State Director. This review shall be performed by an independent expert who has been recommended by the State Director and approved by the National Office. The borrower must agree to implement all recommendations made by the State Director as a result of the review.

(iii) If requested, a copy of the latest audited financial statements or management report must be submitted to the Administrator.

(3) *Reamortization.* At the discretion of the Administrator, the interest rate charged on outstanding loans of eligible borrowers may be adjusted to no less than the poverty interest rate and the term of the loans may be extended up to a new 40 year term or the remaining useful life of the facility, whichever is less.

Dated: May 15, 1997.

**Jill Long Thompson,**

*Under Secretary, Rural Development.*

[FR Doc. 97-13930 Filed 5-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-U

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-6]

#### Proposed Realignment of VOR Federal Airway V-485; San Jose, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM).

**SUMMARY:** On July 18, 1995, the FAA proposed to alter VOR Federal Airway V-485 (V-485) from the Priest, CA, Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Range/Tactical Air Navigation (VORTAC) to the San Jose, CA, Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Range/Distance Measuring Equipment (VOR/DME). In the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), a portion of the legal description for V-485 was inadvertently omitted. The intended effect of this SNPRM is to correct the legal description.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 11, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Air Traffic Division, AWP-500, Docket No. 95-AWP-6, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009.

The official docket may be examined in the Rules Docket, Office of the Chief Counsel, Room 916, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William C. Nelson, Airspace and Rules Division, ATA-400, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-6." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the

commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this SNPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783. Communications must identify the notice number of this SNPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should call the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, for a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, that describes the application procedure.

#### Background

On July 18, 1995, the FAA proposed to alter V-485 from the Priest, CA, VORTAC to the San Jose, CA, VOR/DME (60 FR 36751).

This proposed action would collocate V-485 with the San Jose VOR/DME Runway 30L instrument landing system approach and utilize the San Jose VOR/DME instead of the Sausalito VORTAC.

However, the NPRM as published, incorrectly described V-485 by inadvertently omitting an intersection from the legal description of the airway.

The intended effect of this SNPRM is to correct the description of V-485.

#### The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to alter V-485 from Priest, CA, VORTAC to the San Jose, CA, VOR/DME. This action supplements the notice published on July 18, 1995 (60 FR 36751), by inserting the radials identifying the intersection in the description of V-485.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT

Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

##### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 4, 1996, and effective September 16, 1996, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6010(a)—Domestic VOR Federal Airways

\* \* \* \* \*

V-485 [Revised]

From Ventura, CA, via Fellows, CA; Priest, CA; INT Priest 322°T(306°M) and San Jose 137°T(121°M) radials; San Jose, CA. The airspace within W-289, the airspace within R-2519 more than 3-statute miles W of the airway centerline and the airspace within R-2519 below 5,000 feet MSL is excluded.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 22, 1997.

**Reginald C. Matthews,**

*Acting Program Director for Air Traffic, Airspace Management.*

[FR Doc. 97-14319 Filed 5-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission ("Commission") is proposing a rule to exempt a system of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a ("Privacy Act"), to the extent that the system contains investigatory material pertaining to the enforcement of criminal laws or compiled for law enforcement purposes.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 2, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph F. Rosenthal, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, telephone 301-504-0980.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission, under a variety of statutes, is authorized to enforce its statutes and regulations through administrative actions and civil and criminal litigation. Preparation for, and conduct of, enforcement actions requires the compilation of investigatory materials such as memoranda, investigative reports, correspondence, test reports, injury reports, and the like in a manner that facilitates easy retrieval. The two offices of the Commission that conduct enforcement actions, the Office of Compliance and the Office of the General Counsel, maintain such documentation in a system of records, identified as "Enforcement and Litigation Files—CPSC-7." Disclosure of information in these investigatory files or disclosure of the identity of confidential sources could seriously undermine the effectiveness of the Commission's enforcement actions. For example, premature disclosure of information in such files could enable subjects of an enforcement action to conceal or destroy evidence, or escape prosecution. Premature disclosure of this information could also lead to the possible intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, or Commission personnel and their families. Further, the imposition of certain Privacy Act restrictions on the manner in which information is collected, verified, or retained could significantly impede the effectiveness of an enforcement action.

Thus, the Commission is proposing to issue a rule to exempt this system of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act where application of the Privacy Act would interfere with the investigation and conduct of an

#### CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

##### 16 CFR Part 1014

#### Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation; Specific Exemptions

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.