1,636,710, 1,636,849, 1,636,878, 1,636,915, 1,636,955, 1,642,001, and 1,642,224, (c) dilution of the "SWISS ARMY" common law and registered trademarks and dilution of the crossand-shield common law and registered trademarks, (d) infringement of Complainants' trade dress, (e) passing off, and (f) false designation of origin. The Complaint also alleges that there exists a domestic industry with respect to the asserted intellectual property. The Complaint further alleges that the threat or effect of the proposed Respondents' unfair acts is to destroy or substantially injure that domestic industry.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kent R. Stevens, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2579.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10.

### Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 19, 1997, Ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:
- (a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain multiple implement, multifunction pocket knives and related packaging and promotional materials by reason of (i) infringement of common law trademarks in the words "Swiss Army" and in a cross-and-shield design, (ii) misappropriation of trade dress, (iii) dilution of common law trademarks in the words "Swiss Army" and in a crossand-shield design and of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,734,665, 1,715,093,

1,636,710, and 1,636,849, (iv) passing off, and (v) false representation of source, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States; and

(b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain multiple implement, multifunction pocket knives and related packaging and promotional materials by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,734,665, 1,715,093, 1,636,710, and 1,636,849.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are—

Swiss Army Brands, Inc., One Research Drive, Shelton, Connecticut 06484 Swiss Army Brand Ltd., One Research Drive, Shelton, Connecticut 06484 Precise Imports Corporation, d/b/a Precise International, 15 Corporate Drive, Orangeburg, NY 10962–2625

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Arrow Trading Co., Inc., 1115

Broadway, New York, NY 10010 Ewins Hardware Pte. Ltd., Block 6, 154 Tagore Lane, Singapore 2678

China Light Industrial Products, Import and Export Co., 209 Yuan Ming Yuan Road, Shanghai 200002, People's Republic of China

International Branded Cutlery, Inc., 98 Cuttermill Road, Great Neck, NY 11021

Thomas Jewelers, 73 North Main Street, Logan, Utah 84321

Sapp Brothers, 2914 Upland Parkway, Sidney, Nebraska 69162

(c) Kent R. Stevens, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401-L, Washington, D.C. 20436, shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.13. Pursuant to sections 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, 19 C.F.R. 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be

considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: May 20, 1997. By order of the Commission.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-13782 Filed 5-23-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Office of Justice Programs

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; 1996 National Youth Gang Survey.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until July 28, 1997. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to Ms. D. Elen Grigg, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (phone number and address listed below). If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Ms. D. Elen Grigg, (202) 616-3651, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Room 742, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

Overview of this information collection:

- 1. *Type of information collection:* New Collection.
- 2. The title of the form/collection: 1996 National Youth Gang Survey.
- 3 The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: None: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.
- 4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State or Local law enforcement agencies (mainly police and sheriff's departments, and in rare cases, state law enforcement agencies). Other: None.

Abstract: This collection will gather information related to youth and their activities for research and assessment purposes.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:

Survey-Version A: 4,000 respondents: 5 minutes to respond.

Survey-Version B: 4,000 respondents: 10 minutes to respond.

6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 1000 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 20, 1997.

### Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97–13684 Filed 5–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

Office of the Chief Financial Officer; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of Department of Labor regulations implementing the Salary Offset provision of the Debt Collection Act of 1982.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before July 28, 1997.

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- \* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- \* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- \* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- \* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Mark Wolkow, Department of Labor, Room S–4502 Frances Perkins Building, 200 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, D.C. 20210; 202–219–8184 x123 (phone); 202–219–4975 (fax); mwolkowdol.gov (email).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: The Debt Collection Act of 1982 and the Office of Personnel Management salary offset regulations, as implemented in the Department by 29 CFR part 20, require Federal agencies to afford debtors the opportunity to exercise certain rights before the agency makes a salary offset to collect a debt. In the exercise of these rights, the debtor may be asked to provide a written explanation of the basis for disputing the amount or existence of a debt alleged owed the agency. A debtor may also be required to provide asset, income, liability, or other information necessary for the agency to determine the debtor's ability to repay the debt, including any interest, penalties and administrative costs assessed.

Information provided by the debtor will be evaluated by an independent hearing official in order to reconsider the responsible agency official's decision with regard to the existence or amount of the debt. Information concerning the debtor's assets, income, liabilities, etc., will be used by the independent hearing official to determine whether the agency's action with regard to salary would create undue financial hardship for the debtor, or to determine whether the agency should accept the debtor's proposed repayment schedule.

If a debtor disputes or asks for reconsideration of the agency's determination concerning the debt, the debtor will be required to provide the information or documentation necessary to state his/her case. While much or all of this information might be available in agency records, it would only be appropriate to offer the debtor the opportunity to supply any information deemed relevant to his/her case.

Information concerning the debtor's assets, income, liabilities, etc., would