The investigation also revealed that the closing of the facility and separation of the workers was because the company was sold on August 31, 1996.

On reconsideration the Department learned that the petition was intended to be filed on behalf of workers at the mine site which was located in Van, West Virginia. The Johnstown, Pennsylvania location of Eagle Nest, Incorporated is an administrative office.

In order to determine worker eligibility, the Department must examine imports of products like or directly competitive with those articles produced at the Van, West Virginia mine. In this case, the product produced at Van was metallurgical coal. The end use of the coal by the customer was for making coke and steel. Metallurgical coal cannot be considered like or directly competitive with coke and steel.

The request for reconsideration claims that the Department did not consider Eagle Nest's production of steel which is being produced by the subject plant's major customer.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 5th day of May 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–13350 Filed 5–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33, 279]

Johnson Controls, Incorporated, Ann Arbor Plant, Ann Arbor, Michigan; Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) as amended by the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100–418), the Department of Labor herein presents the results of an investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met:

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and
- (3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

The investigation was initiated on February 18, 1997 in response to a petition filed on behalf of former workers at the Ann Arbor plant of Johnson Controls, Incorporated, located in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The workers produced power seat tracks for auto seats.

The investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met.

Sales of power seat tracks for auto seats at the Ann Arbor Plant of Johnson Controls, Incorporated in FY 1996 compared to FY 1995.

Employment at the Ann Arbor Plant of Johnson Controls, Incorporated increased in FY 1996 compared to FY 1995.

In early 1996, Johnson Controls, Incorporated made a business decision to transfer its production of power seat tracks for auto seats from its Ann Arbor Plant located in Ann Arbor, Michigan facility to another domestic facility.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that all workers of the Ann Arbor Plant of Johnson Controls, Incorporated, Ann Arbor, Michigan are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 17th day of April 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–13344 Filed 5–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,054]

Kerr-McGee Corporation, Headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and Operating in Various Locations Throughout the States of: TA-W-33,054A, Oklahoma, TA-W-33,054B, Texas, TA-W-33,054D, Wyoming, TA-W-33,054E, North Dakota; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On February 28, 1997, the Department issued a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to all workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and various locations throughout the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Wyoming and North Dakota. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 21, 1997 (62 FR 13709).

By letter dated March 18, 1997, the company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's findings for workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and the various locations operating throughout the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Wyoming and North Dakota. The company official requested that the Louisiana location (TA–W–33,054C), which is part of the Gulf of Mexico Region Offshore operations be excluded because the workers are separately identifiable from those in the US Onshore Region.

The initial denial of TAA for the workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and the various locations throughout the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Wyoming and North Dakota for Trade Adjustment Assistance was based on the fact that criterion (2) of the Group Eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met; production and revenues from crude oil and natural gas increased. New information provided on reconsideration shows that revenues at the subject facilities decreased in the relevant period. The workers were engaged in the exploration and production of natural gas and crude oil and are not separately identifiable by product. Other findings show that U.S. aggregate imports for crude oil increased absolutely in 1995 compared with the same period in 1994 and in 1996 compared with the same period in 1995. The imports/shipments ratio for crude oil was over 105% in both 1995 and 1996.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that the workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and the various locations throughout the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Wyoming and North Dakota were adversely affected by increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with crude oil and natural gas contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separations of workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and the various locations throughout the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Wyoming and North Dakota. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Kerr-McGee Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (TA-W-33,054) and operating in various locations throughout the States of Oklahoma (TA-W-33,054A); Texas (TA-W-33,054B); Wyoming (TA-W-33,054D) and North Dakota (TA-W-33,054E) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 19, 1995 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of May 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–13347 Filed 5–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33, 374]

Parkway Building Systems, Inc. Poulsbo, Washington; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 31, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on March 31, 1997 on behalf of workers at Parkway Building Systems, Inc. located Poulsbo, Washington.

All workers were separated from the subject firm more than one year prior to the date of the petition signed on March 19, 1997. Section 223 of the Act specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than one year before the date of the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 30th day of April, 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–13351 Filed 5–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-32,845]

Ryobi Motor Product Corp., Anderson, SC; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 4, 1996, applicable to all workers of the Ryobi Motor Product Corporation Anderson, South Carolina engaged in the production of BT 3000 table saws. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 1996 (61 FR 67858).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations occurred due to the relocation of the production of power tool dust collection bags from the Anderson, South Carolina plant to a plant located in China during the later part of 1996. These workers were engaged in employment related to the production of dust collection bags used as a component part of various power tools from its own facility in Pickens, South Carolina.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers engaged in the production of power tool dust collection bags at the subject firms' Anderson, South Carolina plant.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Ryobi Motor Products Corporation, Anderson, South Carolina adversely affected by increased imports of BT 3000 table saws and power tool dust collection bags.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–32,845 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Ryobi Motor Products Corporation, Anderson, South Carolina engaged in employment related to the production of BT 3000 table saws and power tool dust collection bags (TA–W–32,845) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 14, 1995 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington DC, this 2nd day of May, 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment. [FR Doc. 97–13352 Filed 5–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,419]

Ryobi Motor Products Corporation Anderson, SC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 14, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on April 4, 1997 on behalf of workers at the Ryobi Motor Products Corporation, Anderson, South Carolina.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers is already in effect (TA–W–32,845). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of May, 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97-13355 Filed 5-20-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1955 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(a)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized,