- (7) Multiplying the result of section 13(b)(6) by your share.
- (c) The total production to count (in cartons) from all insurable acreage on the unit will include:
- (1) All appraised production as follows:(i) Not less than the production guarantee
- (i) Not less than the production guarante for acreage:
  - (A) That is abandoned;
  - (B) Put to another use without our consent;
- (C) That is damaged solely by uninsured causes; or
- (D) For which you fail to provide production records that are acceptable to us;
- (ii) Potential production lost due to uninsured causes;
- (iii) Unharvested production of mature green and ripe tomatoes remaining after harvest has ended:
- (A) With a classification size of  $6 \times 7$  ( $2^8/32$  inch minimum diameter) or larger for types other than cherry, roma, or plum; or
- (B) That grade in accordance with the requirements specified in the Special Provisions for cherry, roma or plum types.
- (iv) Potential production on unharvested acreage and potential production on acreage when final harvest has not been completed;
- (v) Potential production on insured acreage that you intend to put to another use or abandon, if you and we agree on the appraised amount of production. Upon such agreement, the insurance period for that acreage will end when you put the acreage to another use or abandon the crop. If agreement on the appraised amount of production is not reached:
- (A) If you do not elect to continue to care for the crop, we may give you consent to put the acreage to another use if you agree to leave intact, and provide sufficient care for representative samples of the crop in locations acceptable to us (The amount of production to count for such acreage will be based on the harvested production or appraisals from the samples at the time harvest should have occurred. If you do not leave the required samples intact, or you fail to provide sufficient care for the samples, our appraisal made prior to giving you consent to put the acreage to another use will be used to determine the amount of production to count); or
- (B) If you elect to continue to care for the crop, the amount of production to count for the acreage will be the harvested production, or our reappraisal if additional damage occurs and the crop is not harvested; and
- (2) All harvested production from the insurable acreage:
- (i) That is marketed, regardless of grade; and
- (ii) That is unmarketed and:
- (A) That grades eighty-five percent (85%) or better U.S. No. 1 with a classification size of 6 x 7 (2–8/32 inch minimum diameter) or larger for all types except cherry, roma, or plum; or
- (B) That grade in accordance with the requirements specified in the Special Provisions for cherry, roma, or plum types.
- (d) Only that amount of appraised production that exceeds the difference between the final stage guarantee and the stage guarantee applicable to the acreage will be production to count.

14. Written Agreements

Designated terms of this policy may be altered by written agreement in accordance with the following:

- (a) You must apply in writing for each written agreement no later than the sales closing date, except as provided in section 14(e):
- (b) The application for a written agreement must contain all variable terms of the contract between you and us that will be in effect if the written agreement is not approved;
- (c) If approved, the written agreement will include all variable terms of the contract, including, but not limited to, crop type or variety, the guarantee, premium rate, and price election;
- (d) Each written agreement will only be valid for one year (If the written agreement is not specifically renewed the following year, insurance coverage for subsequent crop years will be in accordance with the printed policy); and
- (e) An application for a written agreement submitted after the sales closing date may be approved if, after a physical inspection of the acreage, it is determined that no loss has occurred and the crop is insurable in accordance with the policy and written agreement provisions.

Signed in Washington, D.C., on April 25, 1997

#### Kenneth D. Ackerman,

Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc. 97–11351 Filed 4–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–FA–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## **Immigration and Naturalization Service**

## 8 CFR Part 292

[EOIR No. 115F; A.G. Order No. 2081–97]

RIN 1125-AA16

Executive Office for Immigration Review; Representation and Appearances: Law Students and Law Graduates

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule revises two of the current restrictions on supervising and compensating law students and law graduates who wish to represent aliens before the Immigration and Naturalization Service and the Executive Office for Immigration Review, including the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. The number of immigration cases, and thus the number of representatives needed, has increased in recent years. This revision will expand the pool of law students and law graduates eligible to represent aliens in such hearings.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This final rule is effective June 2, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margaret M. Philbin, General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, Suite 2400, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041, telephone (703) 305–0470, or Janice B. Podolny, Associate General Counsel, Immigration and Naturalization Service, 425 I Street, NW., Suite 6100, Washington, DC 20536, telephone (202) 514–2895.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 15, 1996, the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) and the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) published and interim rule with request for comments in the Federal Register (61 FR 53609) amending 8 CFR part 292 by revising two of the current restrictions on law students and law graduates who wish to represent aliens before the INS and EOIR, including the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. This final rule expands the pool of competent, properly supervised representatives for individuals who might otherwise be unable to obtain legal representation by removing these two restrictions upon law students and law graduates. The number of immigration cases completed in fiscal year 1995 totaled more than 168,000, and the need for individuals to represent these aliens has increased. Under this revised regulation, more law students and law graduates will be available to represent aliens in immigration proceedings because participants in legal aid clinics or programs sponsored by both law schools and non-profit organizations will be eligible. These law students and law graduates will also be able to accept compensation for their work so long as they are not paid, either directly or indirectly, by the alien whom they represent. This will allow law students and law graduates to work through legal aid clinics or programs which provide representation to aliens in immigration proceedings on a pro bono basis.

In response to the above rulemaking, EOIR and INS received one public comment. The commenter noted that the interim rule required law students to be supervised by a faculty member or an attorney, but did not provide for their supervision by an accredited representive. The commenter pointed out that limiting law students' supervision to faculty members or attorneys would limit the availability of law students for pro bono representation, since many non-profit organizations are staffed by accredited representatives and not licensed

attorneys.

Since the primary purpose of this rule is to expand the pool of competent, properly supervised representative for individuals who might otherwise be unable to obtain legal representation, this comment will be accepted.

In addition, the reference to INS "regional commissioner" in 8 CFR § 292.1(a)(2)(iv) has been deleted and replaced with "regional director" in order to reflect a change in the official title of these INS officials.

## **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of** 1995

This rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

# Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

This rule is not a major rule as defined by § 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a major increase in cost or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

## Regulatory Flexibility Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Attorney General certifies that this rule affects only individuals in need of legal representation before INS and/or EOIR and does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. No additional costs will be incurred as a result of this rule. The purpose of this rule is merely to expand the pool of competent, properly supervised representatives for individuals who might otherwise be unable to obtain legal representation.

## **Executive Order 12866**

The Attorney General has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order No. 12866, and accordingly this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

### **Executive Order 12612**

This rule has no Federalism implications warranting the preparation of a Federalism Assessment in

accordance with Executive Order No. 12612.

## **Executive Order 12988**

The rule complies with the applicable standards provided in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order No. 12988.

#### **List of Subjects in 8 CFR Part 292**

Administrative practice and procedure, Immigration, Lawyers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 292 of chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 292—REPRESENTATION AND APPEARANCES

1. The authority citation for part 292 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 8 U.S.C. 1103, 1252b, 1362.

2. In § 292.1, paragraphs (a)(2)(ii), (iii), and (iv) are revised to read as follows:

## § 292.1 Representation of others.

(a) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

- (ii) In the case of a law student, he or she has filed a statement that he or she is participating, under the direct supervision of a faculty member, licensed attorney, or accredited representative, in a legal aid program or clinic conducted by a law school or non-profit organization, and that he or she is appearing without direct or indirect remuneration from the alien he or she represents;
- (iii) In the case of a law graduate, he or she has filed a statement that he or she is appearing under the supervision of a licensed attorney or accredited representative and that he or she is appearing without direct or indirect remuneration from the alien he or she represents; and
- (iv) The law student's or law graduate's appearance is permitted by the official before whom he or she wishes to appear (namely an immigration judge, district director, officer-in-charge, regional director, the Commissioner, or the Board). The official or officials may require that a law student be accompanied by the supervising faculty member, attorney, or accredited representative.

Dated: April 24, 1997.

## Janet Reno,

Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 97–11279 Filed 4–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–30–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA

9 CFR Part 92

[Docket No. 94-136-2]

Zoological Park Quarantine of Ruminants and Swine Imported From Countries Where Foot-and-Mouth Disease or Rinderpest Exists

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are amending the regulations concerning ruminants and swine that are imported from a country where foot-and-mouth disease or rinderpest exists into a zoological park in the United States, to establish conditions under which such animals may be moved from one zoo to another within the United States. This change will benefit zoo programs that move animals for breeding and other purposes, and will facilitate the movement of animals for endangered species breeding programs, while continuing to protect against the introduction of dangerous animal diseases into the United States.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Morley Cook, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Animals Program, National Center for Import and Export, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737–1228, (301) 734–6479.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) animal importation regulations (contained in 9 CFR part 92 and referred to below as the regulations) prohibit or restrict the importation of certain animals into the United States to prevent the introduction of communicable diseases of livestock. Among other requirements, the regulations restrict the importation of ruminants and swine to prevent the introduction and spread of foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) and rinderpest.

For many years some animals imported in accordance with these regulations have been admitted under the condition that they be placed in postentry quarantine in zoological parks (zoos) that have been approved by APHIS to receive such animals. We refer to such approved zoos as PEQ Zoos, because they are approved to hold imported animals in postentry quarantine (PEQ). At these zoos, the