

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. American Recovery Company, et al.*, Civil Action No. 95-1590, was lodged on March 26, 1997 with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. The United States filed this action pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") to recover past and future response costs incurred at or in connection with the Municipal and Industrial Disposal Company Site. The Consent Decree requires defendant the City of McKeesport to pay \$22,750 to reimburse a portion of the United States' past costs associated with the investigation and clean up of the Municipal & Industrial Disposal Company Superfund Site ("Site"), located in Elizabeth Township, Pennsylvania.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. American Recovery Company, et al.*, DO Ref. #90-11-2-949.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 633 Post Office & Courthouse, 7th & Grant Streets, Pittsburgh, PA 15219; the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) for each decree, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 97-10622 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. The Sanitary District of Hammond, et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:93 CV 225 JM, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana on March 24, 1997. This proposed consent decree would resolve the United States' civil claims against the Town of Griffith, Indiana under the Clean Water Act, as amended, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.* Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, Griffith will pay a civil penalty of \$132,000—to be divided between the United States (\$79,200) and the State of Indiana (\$52,800)—and perform injunctive relief.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. The Sanitary District of Hammond, et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:93 CV 225 JM, and the Department of Justice Reference No. 90-5-1-1-3308A.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Indiana, 1001 Main Street, Suite A; Dyer, IN 46311; the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604-3590; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th floor, Washington, DC 20005, 202-624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$7.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 97-10621 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division**Federal Trade Commission****Request for Comments on Proposed Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Australia on Mutual Antitrust Enforcement Assistance**

AGENCY: Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 7 of the International Antitrust Enforcement Assistance Act, 15 U.S.C. 6206 (IAEAA), the Attorney General, with the concurrence of the Federal Trade Commission (Commission), hereby publishes and requests public comment on the text of a proposed Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Australia on Mutual Antitrust Enforcement Assistance. The Attorney General and the Commission have concluded that the proposed agreement satisfies the requirements of the IAEAA. The proposed agreement, if entered into by the United States and Australia, would be the first mutual antitrust enforcement assistance agreement entered into pursuant to the IAEAA. Comments on this draft agreement should be submitted in writing within 45 days of its publication in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Persons wishing to comment on the proposed agreement should submit their views to Mr. A. Douglas Melamed, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, 202-514-4510, with a copy to Ms. Debra Valentine, Assistant Director, International Antitrust, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580, 202-326-2133.

Dated: April 17, 1997.

A. Douglas Melamed,

Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice.

Dated: April 17, 1997.

Debra A. Valentine,

Assistant Director for International Antitrust, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission.

A. Douglas Melamed,

Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice.

Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Australia on Mutual Antitrust Enforcement Assistance

The Government of the United States of America and the Government of Australia (individually a "Party" or collectively the "Parties"), desiring to improve the effectiveness of the enforcement of the antitrust laws of both countries through cooperation and mutual legal assistance on a reciprocal basis, hereby agree as follows:

Article I

Definitions

Antitrust Authority—refers, in the case of the United States, to the United States Department of Justice or the United States Federal Trade Commission. In the case of Australia, the term refers to the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission.

Antitrust Evidence—refers to information, testimony, statements, documents or copies thereof, or other things that are obtained, in anticipation of, or during the course of, an investigation or proceeding under the Parties' respective antitrust laws, or pursuant to the Parties' Mutual Assistance Legislation.

Antitrust Laws—refers, in the case of the United States, to the laws enumerated in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 12(a), and to Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 45, to the extent that such Section 5 applies to unfair methods of competition. In the case of Australia, the term refers to Part IV of the Trade Practices Act 1974; other provisions of that Act except Part X in so far as they relate to Part IV; Regulations made under that Act in so far as they relate to Part IV, except Regulations to the extent that they relate to Part X; and the Competition Code of the Australian States and Territories.

Central Authority—refers, in the case of the United States, to the Attorney General (or a person designated by the Attorney General), in consultation with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. In

the case of Australia, the term refers to the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, in consultation with the Attorney General's Department.

Executing Authority—refers, in the case of the United States, to the Antitrust Authority designated to execute a particular request on behalf of a Party. In the case of Australia, the term includes the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission and the Attorney General's Department.

Mutual Assistance Legislation—refers, in the case of the United States, to the International Antitrust Enforcement Assistance Act of 1994, 15 U.S.C. 6201–6212, Pub. L. No. 103–438, 108 Stat. 4597. In the case of Australia, the term refers to the Mutual Assistance in Business Regulation Act 1992 and the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 1987, and Regulations made pursuant to those Acts.

Person or Persons—refers to any natural person or legal entity, including corporations, unincorporated associations, partnerships, or bodies corporate existing under or authorized by the laws of either the United States, its States, or its Territories, the laws of Australia, its States, or its Territories, or the laws of other sovereign states.

Request—refers to a request for assistance under this Agreement.

Requested Party—refers to the Party from which assistance is sought under this Agreement, or which has provided such assistance.

Requesting Party—refers to the Party seeking or receiving assistance under this Agreement.

Article II

Object and Scope of Assistance

A. The Parties intend to assist one another and to cooperate on a reciprocal basis in providing or obtaining antitrust evidence that may assist in determining whether a person has violated, or is about to violate, their respective antitrust laws, or in facilitating the administration or enforcement of such antitrust laws.

B. Each Party's Antitrust Authorities shall, to the extent compatible with that Party's laws, enforcement policies, and other important interests, inform the other Party's Antitrust Authorities about activities that appear to be anticompetitive and that may be relevant to, or may warrant, enforcement activity by the other Party's Antitrust Authorities.

C. Each Party's Antitrust Authorities shall, to the extent compatible with that Party's laws, enforcement policies, and other important interests, inform the other Party's Antitrust Authorities about

investigative or enforcement activities taken pursuant to assistance provided under this Agreement that may affect the important interests of the other Party.

D. Nothing in this Agreement shall require the Parties or their respective Antitrust Authorities to take any action inconsistent with their respective Mutual Assistance Legislation.

E. Assistance contemplated by this Agreement includes but is not limited to:

1. disclosing, providing, exchanging, or discussing antitrust evidence in the possession of an Antitrust Authority;

2. obtaining antitrust evidence at the request of an Antitrust Authority of the other Party, including

(a) taking the testimony or statements of persons or otherwise obtaining information from persons,

(b) obtaining documents, records, or other forms of documentary evidence,

(c) locating or identifying persons or things, and

(d) executing searches and seizures, and disclosing, providing, exchanging, or discussing such evidence; and

3. providing copies of publicly available records, including documents or information in any form, in the possession of government departments and agencies of the national government of the Requested Party.

F. Assistance may be provided whether or not the conduct underlying a request would constitute a violation of the antitrust laws of the Requested Party.

G. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from seeking assistance from or providing assistance to the other pursuant to other agreements, treaties, arrangements, or practices, including the Agreement Between the Government of Australia and the Government of the United States of America Relating to Cooperation on Antitrust Matters of June 29, 1992, either in place of or in conjunction with assistance provided pursuant to this Agreement.

H. Except as provided by paragraphs C and D of Article VII, this Agreement shall be used solely for the purpose of mutual antitrust enforcement assistance between the Parties. The provisions of this Agreement shall not give rise to a right on the part of any private person to obtain, suppress, or exclude any evidence, or to impede the executive of a request made pursuant to this Agreement.

I. Nothing in this Agreement compels a person to provide antitrust evidence in violation of any legally applicable right or privilege.

J. Nothing in this Agreement affects the right of an Antitrust Authority of

one Party to seek antitrust evidence on a voluntary basis from a person located in the territory of the other Party, nor does anything in this Agreement preclude any such person from voluntarily providing antitrust evidence to an Antitrust Authority.

Article III

Requests for Assistance

A. Requests for assistance under this Agreement shall be made by an Antitrust Authority of the Requesting Party. Such requests shall be made in writing and directed to the Central Authority of the Requested Party. With respect to the United States, the Attorney General, acting as the Central Authority, will upon receipt forward a copy of each request to the Federal Trade Commission.

B. Requests shall include, without limitation:

1. A general description of the subject matter and nature of the investigation or proceeding to which the request relates, including identification of the persons subject to the investigation or proceeding and citations to the specific antitrust laws involved giving rise to the investigation or proceeding; such description shall include information sufficient to explain how the subject matter of the request concerns a possible violation of the antitrust laws in question;

2. The purpose for which the antitrust evidence, information, or other assistance is sought and its relevance to the investigation or proceeding to which the request relates. A request by the United States shall state either that the request is not made for the purpose of any criminal proceedings or that the request is made for a purpose that includes possible criminal proceedings. In the former case, the request shall contain a written assurance that antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to the request shall not be used for the purposes of criminal proceedings, unless such use is subsequently authorized pursuant to Article VII. In the latter case, the request shall indicate the relevant provisions of law under which criminal proceedings may be brought;

3. A description of the antitrust evidence, information, or other assistance sought, including, where applicable and to the extent necessary and possible:

(a) the identity and location of any person from whom evidence is sought, and a description of that person's relationship to the investigation or proceeding which is the subject of the request;

(b) a list of questions to be asked of a witness;

(c) a description of documentary evidence requested; and

(d) with respect to searches and seizures, a precise description of the place or person to be searched and of the antitrust evidence to be seized, and information justifying such search and seizure under the laws of the Requested Party;

4. Where applicable, a description of procedural or evidentiary requirements bearing on the manner in which the Requesting Party desires the request to be executed, which may include requirements relating to:

(a) the manner in which any testimony or statement is to be taken or recorded, including the participation of counsel;

(b) the administration of oaths;

(c) any legal privileges that may be invoked under the law of the Requesting Party that the Requesting Party wishes the Executing Authority to respect in executing the request, together with an explanation of the desired method of taking the testimony or provision of evidence to which such privileges may apply; and

(d) the authentication of public records;

5. The desired time period for a response to the request;

6. Requirements, if any, for confidential treatment of the request or its contents; and

7. A statement disclosing whether the Requesting Party holds any proprietary interest that could benefit or otherwise be affected by assistance provided in response to the request; and

8. Any other information that may facilitate review or execution of a request.

C. Requests shall be accompanied by written assurances of the relevant Antitrust Authority that there have been no significant modifications to the confidentiality laws and procedures described in Annex A hereto.

D. An Antitrust Authority may modify or supplement a request prior to its execution if the Requested Party agrees.

Article IV

Limitations on Assistance

A. The Requested Party may deny assistance in whole or in part if that Party's Central Authority or Executing Authority, as appropriate, determine that:

1. a request is not made in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement;

2. execution of a request would exceed the Executing Authority's reasonably available resources;

3. execution of a request would not be authorized by the domestic law of the Requested Party;

4. execution of a request would be contrary to the public interest of the Requested Party.

B. Before denying a request, the Central Authority or the Executing Authority of the Requested Party, as appropriate, shall consult with the Central Authority of the Requesting Party and the Antitrust Authority that made the request to determine whether assistance may be given in whole or in part, subject to specified terms and conditions.

C. If a request is denied in whole or in part, the Central Authority or the Executing Authority of the Requested Party, as appropriate, shall promptly inform the Central Authority of the Requesting Party and the Antitrust Authority that made the request and provide an explanation of the basis for denial.

Article V

Execution of Requests

A. After receiving a request, the Central Authority shall promptly provide the Requesting Party an initial response that includes, when applicable, an identification of the Executing Authority (Authorities) for the Request.

B. The Central Authority of the United States, the Attorney General of Australia, or, once designated, the Executing Authority of either Party may request additional information concerning the request or may determine that the request will be executed only subject to specified terms and conditions. Without limitation, such terms and conditions may relate (1) The manner or timing of the execution of the request, or (2) the use or disclosure of any antitrust evidence provided. If the Requesting Party accepts assistance subject to such terms and conditions, it shall comply with them.

C. A request shall be executed in accordance with the laws of the Requested Party. The method of execution specified in the request shall be followed, unless it is prohibited by the law of the Requested Party or unless the Executing Authority otherwise concludes, after consultation with the Authority that made the request, that a different method of execution is appropriate.

D. The Executing Authority shall, to the extent permitted by the laws and other important interests of the Requested Party, facilitate the participation in the execution of a

request of such officials of the Requesting Party as are specified in the request.

Article VI

Confidentiality

A. Except as otherwise provided by this paragraph and Article VII, each Party shall, to the fullest extent possible consistent with that Party's laws, maintain the confidentiality of any request and of any information communicated to it in confidence by the other Party under this Agreement. In particular:

1. The Requesting Party may ask that assistance be provided in a manner that maintains the confidentiality of a request and/or its contents. If a request cannot be executed in that manner, the Requested Party shall so inform the Requesting Party, which shall then determine the extent to which it wishes the request to be executed; and

2. Antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to this Agreement shall be kept confidential by both the Requesting Party and the Requested Party, except as provided in paragraph E of this Article and Article VII.

Each Party shall oppose, to the fullest extent possible consistent with that Party's laws, any application by a third party for disclosure of such confidential information.

B. By entering into this Agreement, each Party confirms that:

1. The confidentiality of antitrust evidence obtained under this Agreement is ensured by its national laws and procedures pertaining to the confidential treatment of such evidence, and that such laws and procedures as are set forth in Annex A to this Agreement are sufficient to provide protection that is adequate to maintain securely the confidentiality of antitrust evidence provided under this Agreement; and

2. The Antitrust Authorities designated herein are themselves subject to the confidentiality restrictions imposed by such laws and procedures.

C. Unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use of information communicated in confidence to a Party pursuant to this Agreement shall be reported immediately to the Central Authority and the Executing Authority of the Party that provided the information; the Central Authorities of both Parties, together with the Executing Authority that provided the information, shall promptly consult on steps to minimize any harm resulting from the disclosure and to ensure that unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use of confidential information does not recur. The

Executing Authority that provided the information shall give notice of such unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use to the person, if any, that provided such information to the Executing Authority.

D. Unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use of information communicated in confidence under this Agreement is a ground for termination of the Agreement by the affected Party, in accordance with the procedures set out in Article XIII.C.

E. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent disclosure, in an action or proceeding brought by an Antitrust Authority of the Requesting Party for a violation of the antitrust laws of the Requesting Party, of antitrust evidence provided hereunder to a defendant or respondent in that action or proceeding, if such disclosure is required by the law of the Requesting Party. The Requesting Party shall notify the Central Authority of the Requested Party and the Executing Authority that provided the information at least ten days in advance of any such proposed disclosure, or, if such notice cannot be given because of a court order, then as promptly as possible.

Article VII

Limitations on use

A. Except as provided in paragraphs C and D of this Article, antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to this Agreement shall be used or disclosed by the Requesting Party solely for the purpose of administering or enforcing the antitrust laws of the Requesting Party.

B. Antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to this Agreement may be used or disclosed by a Requesting Party to administer or enforce its antitrust laws only (1) In the investigation or proceeding specified in the request in question and (2) for the purpose stated in the request, unless the Executing Authority that provided such antitrust evidence has given its prior written consent to a different use or disclosure; when the Requested Party is Australia, such consent shall not be given until the Executing Authority has obtained any necessary approval from the Attorney General.

C. Antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to this Agreement may be used or disclosed by a Requesting Party with respect to the administration or enforcement of laws other than its antitrust laws only if (1) Such use or disclosure is essential to a significant law enforcement objective and (2) the Executing Authority that provided such antitrust evidence has given its prior written consent to the proposed use or

disclosure. In the case of the United States, the Executing Authority shall provide such consent only after it has made the determinations required for such consent by its mutual assistance legislation.

D. Antitrust evidence obtained pursuant to this Agreement that has been made public consistently with the terms of this Article may thereafter be used by the Requesting Party for any purpose consistent with the Parties' mutual assistance legislation.

Article VIII

Changes in Applicable Law

A. The Parties shall provide to each other prompt written notice of actions within their respective States having the effect of significant modifying their antitrust laws or the confidentiality laws and procedures set out in Annex A to this Agreement.

B. In the event of a significant modification to a Party's antitrust laws or confidentiality laws and procedures set out in Annex A to this Agreement, the Parties shall promptly consult to determine whether this Agreement or Annex A to this Agreement should be amended.

Article IX

Taking of Testimony and Production of Documents

A. A person requested to testify and produce documents, records, or other articles pursuant to this Agreement may be compelled to appear and testify and produce such documents, records, and other articles, in accordance with the requirements of the laws of the Requested Party. Every person whose attendance is required for the purpose of giving testimony pursuant to this Agreement is entitled to such fees and allowances as may be provided for by the law of the Requested Party.

B. Upon request by the Requesting Party, the Executing Authority shall furnish information in advance about the date and place of the taking of testimony or the production of evidence pursuant to this Agreement.

C. The Executing Authority shall, to the extent permitted by the laws and other important interests of the Requested Party, permit the presence during the execution of the request of persons specified in the request, and shall, to the extent permitted by the laws and other important interests of the Requested Party, allow such persons to question the person giving the testimony or providing the evidence.

D. The Executing Authority shall, to the extent permitted by the laws of the Requested Party, comply with any

instructions of the Requesting Party with respect to any claims of legal privilege, immunity, or incapacity under the laws of the Requesting Party.

E. The Executing Authority shall, to the extent permitted by the laws of the Requested Party, permit a person whose testimony is to be taken pursuant to this Article to have counsel present during the testimony.

F. A Requesting Party may ask the Requested Party to facilitate the appearance in the Requesting Party's territory of a person located in the territory of the Requested Party, for the purpose of being interviewed or giving testimony. The Requesting Party shall indicate the extent to which the person's expenses will be paid. Upon receiving such a request, the Executing Authority shall invite the person to appear before the appropriate authority in the territory of the Requesting Party. The Executing Authority shall promptly inform the Requesting Party of the person's response.

G. Antitrust evidence consisting of testimony or documentary evidence provided by the Requested Party pursuant to this Agreement shall be authenticated in accordance with the requirements of the law of the Requested Party, in so far as such requirements would not violate the laws of the Requested Party.

Article X

Search and Seizure

A. Where a request is to be executed by means of the search and seizure of antitrust evidence, the request shall include such information as is necessary to justify such action under the laws of the Requested Party. The Central Authorities shall confer, as needed, on alternative, equally effective procedures for compelling or obtaining the antitrust evidence that is the subject of a request.

B. Upon request, every official of a Requested Party who has custody of antitrust evidence seized pursuant to this Agreement shall certify the continuity of custody, the identity of the antitrust evidence, and the integrity of its condition; the Requested Party shall furnish such certifications in the form specified by the Requesting Party.

Article XI

Return of Antitrust Evidence

At the conclusion of the investigation or proceeding specified in a request, the Central Authority or the Antitrust Authority of the Requesting Party shall return to the Central Authority or the Antitrust Authority of the Requested Party from which it obtained antitrust evidence all such evidence obtained

pursuant to the execution of a request under this Agreement, along with all copies thereof, in the possession or control of the Central Authority or Antitrust Authority of the Requesting Party; provided, however, that antitrust evidence that has become evidence in the course of judicial or administrative proceedings or that has properly entered the public domain is not subject to this requirement.

Article XII

Costs

Unless otherwise agreed, the Requested Party shall pay all costs of executing a request, except for the fees of expert witnesses, the costs of translation, interpretation, and transcription, and the allowances and expenses related to travel to the territory of the Requested Party, pursuant to Articles IX and X, by officials of the Requesting Party.

Article XIII

Entry into Force and Termination

A. This Agreement shall enter into force upon notification by each Party to the other through diplomatic channels that it has completed its necessary internal procedures.

B. Assistance under this Agreement shall be available in investigations or proceedings under the Parties' antitrust laws concerning conduct or transactions occurring before as well as after this Agreement enters into force.

C. As stated in Article VI.D of this Agreement, a Party may unilaterally elect to terminate this Agreement upon the unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use of confidential antitrust evidence provided hereunder; provided, however, that neither Party shall make such an election until after it has consulted with the other Party, pursuant to Article VI.C, regarding steps to minimize any harm resulting from the unauthorized or illegal disclosure or use of information communicated in confidence under this Agreement, and steps to ensure that such disclosure or use does not recur. Termination shall take effect immediately upon notice or at such future date as may be determined by the terminating Party.

D. On termination of this Agreement, the Parties agree, subject to Article VI.E and Article VII, to maintain the confidentiality of any request and information communicated to them in confidence by the other Party under this Agreement prior to its termination; and to return, in accordance with the terms of Article XI, any antitrust evidence obtained from the other Party under this Agreement; provided, however, that any

such request or information that has become public in the course of public judicial or administrative proceedings is not subject to this requirement.

E. In addition to the procedure set forth in paragraph C of this Article, either Party may terminate this Agreement by means of written notice through diplomatic channels. Termination shall take effect 30 days after the date of receipt of such notification.

In witness whereof, the undersigned, being duly authorized by their respective Governments, have signed this Agreement.

Done at _____ this ____ day of _____ 1997, in duplicate, in the English language.

For the Government of The United States of America:

For the Government of Australia:

Annex A

This Annex cites and briefly describes the confidentiality laws and procedures that would protect the confidentiality of antitrust evidence that may be provided under this Agreement. Also included are laws and procedures that provide sanctions for breaches of the confidentiality provisions described herein.

I. United States of America

A. Confidentiality Laws and Procedures

15 U.S.C. §§ 6201-6212, International Antitrust Enforcement Assistance Act
This statute authorizes the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) or, as used in this Part I, Commission) to enter into bilateral agreements with other countries permitting mutual assistance in the enforcement of the antitrust laws. Specifically, it permits DOJ and FTC to exchange certain otherwise confidential investigative information with foreign antitrust authorities, where this will be in the public interest of the United States and where it satisfies the important confidentiality and other safeguards outlined in the statute.

Section 6207(b) of the statute prohibits DOJ and FTC from disclosing, in violation of an antitrust mutual assistance agreement, any antitrust evidence received under such agreement, except to the extent such disclosure is required by law to be made to a defendant or respondent in an action brought by DOJ or FTC. Such antitrust evidence is exempt from other provisions of law that might otherwise be construed to require disclosure, including the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, described below.

This statute does not provide specific enforcement mechanisms for the

confidentiality provision, or penalties for its breach. Other laws and regulations, however, prohibit the improper use of non-public information. See discussion in Part B, *infra*.

15 U.S.C. §§ 1311-1314, *Antitrust Civil Process Act (applies only to DOJ)* This statute authorizes the DOJ Antitrust Division to issue compulsory process for documents or testimony in furtherance of civil investigations. Section 1313(c) of this statute provides that, other than for use in oral depositions in furtherance of such investigations, no documents or transcripts produced pursuant to such compulsory process shall be made publicly available without the consent of the party that produced the materials. Such materials may, however, be used when necessary before any court, grand jury or federal administrative or regulatory agency in any case or proceeding, including an investigation or proceeding conducted by the FTC. Such materials may also be disclosed to Congress or to any authorized committee or subcommittee thereof.

Section 1313(e) also provides for the return, at the completion of an investigation, of original materials produced pursuant to this statute during the course of the investigation. Any request for the return of such materials must be in writing. The Division is permitted, however, in certain circumstances, to keep copies of materials produced.

Section 1314(g) exempts documents and testimony submitted in response to compulsory process authorized by this statute from disclosure under FOIA.

This statute does not provide specific enforcement mechanisms for the confidentiality provisions, or penalties for its breach. Other laws and regulations, however, prohibit the improper use of non-public information. See discussion in part B, *infra*.

15 U.S.C. §§ 41-68, *the Federal Trade Commission Act (Applies Only to FTC)*

The confidentiality provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act are as follows:

Section 6(f) [15 U.S.C. § 46(f)] states that the Commission shall not have any authority to make public any trade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential, except that the Commission may disclose such information to officers and employees of appropriate Federal law enforcement agencies or to any officer or employee of any State law enforcement agency upon the prior certification of an officer of any such Federal or State law

enforcement agency that such information will be maintained in confidence and will be used only for official law enforcement purposes.

Section 21(b) [15 U.S.C. § 57b-2(b)] provides that any document, tangible thing, or transcript of oral testimony received by the Commission pursuant to compulsory process in an investigation, a purpose of which is to determine whether any person may have violated any provision of the laws administered by the Commission, may not be made available for examination by any individual other than a duly authorized officer or employee of the Commission (including contractors and consultants) without the consent of the person who produced the document, thing, or transcript. Such materials may be used in Commission proceedings and in judicial proceedings in which the Commission is a party. Such materials may also be made available to other Federal and State law enforcement agencies upon the certification of an officer of such an agency that such information will be maintained in confidence and will be used only for official law enforcement purposes. This section does not prevent disclosure to Congress, but the Commission is required to notify immediately the owner or provider of any such information of a request from Congress for information designated as confidential by the owner or provider.

Section 21(c) [15 U.S.C. § 57b-2(c)] provides that all information reported to or otherwise obtained by the Commission which is not subject to the requirements of Section 21(b) shall be considered confidential when so marked by the person supplying the information. If the FTC determines that information may be disclosed because it is not protected by Section 6(f), it must notify the submitter of the information that the Commission intends to disclose the information (*i.e.*, place it on the public record, pursuant to Commission Rule 4.9) not less than 10 days after receipt of the notification. Upon receipt of such notification, the submitter may bring an action in United States District Court seeking to restrain disclosure, including an application for a stay of disclosure. The Commission shall not disclose the information until the court has ruled on the application for a stay.

Section 21(d) [15 U.S.C. § 57b-2(d)] provides that the provisions of 21(c) shall not be construed to prohibit disclosures: (A) To Congress (with notice to the owner or provider of the information); (B) of the results of investigations or studies (without identifying information or disclosing trade secrets or any commercial or

financial information obtained from any person which is privileged or confidential); (C) of relevant and material information in FTC adjudicative proceedings or judicial proceedings in which the FTC is a party, according to the FTC's rules for adjudicative proceedings or by court rules or orders; (D) to Federal agencies of disaggregated information for economic, statistical, or policymaking purposes only.

Section 21(f) [15 U.S.C. § 57b-2(f)] provides that any document, tangible thing, written report or answers to questions, or transcript or oral testimony received by the Commission in any investigation, a purpose of which is to determine whether any person may have violated any provision of the laws administered by the Commission, and which is provided pursuant to any compulsory process or which is provided voluntarily in place of such compulsory process, shall be except from disclosure under FOIA. Section 10 of the FTC Act [15 U.S.C. § 50] provides for criminal penalties for the unauthorized disclosure of information obtained by the Commission; see the discussion in part B, *infra*.

16 CFR 3.1. *et seq.*, *FTC Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings (Applies Only to FTC)*

Adjudicative proceedings are formal proceedings conducted under the statutes administered by the Commission which are required by statute to be determined on the record after an opportunity for an agency hearing. An adjudicative proceeding is commenced when an affirmative vote is taken by the Commission to issue a complaint. The rules provide for the respondent to answer the complaint within a specified time, for discovery, and for a hearing held before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) for the purpose of receiving evidence relevant and material to the Commission's complaint and the respondent's answer. The hearings are open to the public, except to the extent that an *in camera* order is entered by the ALJ or the Commission. See Rule 3.41(a).

Rule 3.45 [16 CFR 3.45] provides for *in camera* treatment of documents and testimony which keeps such documents and testimony confidential and not part of the public record of the hearing. Rule 3.45(b) provides that the ALJ may order documents, testimony, or portions thereof offered into evidence, whether admitted or rejected, to be placed *in camera* upon a finding that their public disclosure will likely result in a clearly defined, serious injury to the person, partnership or corporation requesting

their *in camera* treatment; only respondents, their counsel, authorized Commission personnel, and court personnel concerned with judicial review shall have access thereto. The order shall provide the date on which *in camera* treatment will expire.

16 CFR 4.10(g), et seq., FTC Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings (APPLIES ONLY to FTC)

Rule 4.10(g) provides that the following categories of materials obtained by the FTC may be disclosed in FTC administrative or court proceedings subject to FTC or court protective or *in camera* orders as appropriate: (1) Material obtained through compulsory process or voluntarily in lieu thereof, and protected by sections 21(b) and (f) of the FTC Act; (2) material designated by the submitter as confidential, and protected by section 21(c) of the FTC Act; or, (3) material that is confidential commercial or financial information protected by section 6(f) of the FTC Act. Prior to disclosure of such material in a proceeding, the submitter will be afforded an opportunity to seek a protective or *in camera* order. All other material obtained by the FTC may be disclosed in FTC administrative or court proceedings at the FTC's discretion except where prohibited by law.

Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

This rule provides that a court may grant, in civil litigation in federal court, a protective order concerning discovery, including, *inter alia*, that certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of discovery be limited to certain matters; and that a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only in a certain way.

A court may impose sanctions for violations of protective orders entered pursuant to this rule.

Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

This rule governs the conduct of grand jury proceedings. Subsection (e) of this rule prohibits, without the permission of a court, public disclosure of matters occurring before the grand jury by any person having knowledge of such proceedings, except witnesses, who are free to disclose their testimony.

Knowing violations of this rule are punishable as a contempt of court.

5 U.S.C. § 552. Freedom of Information Act

FOIA is a statute that provides that any person has a right of access to federal agency records, except to the extent that FOIA authorizes the agencies to withhold certain records from disclosure. Of the categories of records which may be withheld under FOIA, those of primary relevance to the antitrust enforcement agencies are:

Trade secrets and commercial or financial information, obtained from a person, that is privileged or confidential (subsection 552(b)(4));

Records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes to the extent that disclosure thereof could reasonably be expected, *inter alia*, to interfere with enforcement proceedings or to disclose the identity of a confidential source (subsection 552(b)(7)(A) and (D));

Intra-agency and inter-agency memoranda or letters that would be routinely privileged in civil discovery, *e.g.*, attorney work-product or attorney-client information (subsection 552(b)(5));

National defense or foreign policy information that is properly classified (subsection 552(b)(1));

Information that may be withheld on the basis of other specific statutory authority (subsection 552(b)(3)).

FOIA does not authorize withholding information from Congress.

28 CFR 167.7, Procedure for Processing Requests for Disclosure of Information Subject to the Business Information Exemption to FOIA (Applies Only to DOJ)

This regulation specifies the procedures DOJ must follow before it can disclose, in response to a request under FOIA, any materials that may qualify for exemption from disclosure as confidential business information. The section requires that before any such disclosure can be made, DOJ provide notice to submitters of information that either: (i) Has been designated as confidential business information by the submitter; or (ii) DOJ has reason to believe may constitute confidential business information. This notice is intended to enable the submitter to object to the planned disclosure and, if the submitter chooses, seek a protective order. DOJ is not required to provide notice to any submitter whose information DOJ has determined not to disclose.

This regulation does not provide specific enforcement mechanisms for the confidentiality provision, or penalties for its breach. Other laws and regulations, however, prohibit the

improper use of non-public information. See discussion in part B, *infra*.

5 U.S.C. § 552a, Privacy Act

The Privacy Act permits Federal agencies to maintain "systems of records," *i.e.*, records that are retrievable by the name, social security number or other personal identifier of an individual U.S. citizen (or permanent resident alien), subject to requirements that the agencies disclose the existence of such records systems and that individuals have access to records concerning themselves. The Privacy Act, however, sets forth several exceptions to this general restriction, including one that permits, under specified circumstances, agencies to exempt investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes from such "systems of records" and, thereby, to deny access to such material.

B. Laws and Procedures Providing Sanctions for Breaches of the Confidentiality Laws and Procedures

18 U.S.C. § 1905, Trade Secrets Act

This statute provides criminal penalties for unauthorized disclosure of trade secrets or confidential business information by any government employee or agent of DOJ within the meaning of the Antitrust Civil Process Act, who comes into possession or gains knowledge of such information during the course of his or her employment or official duties. Said penalties include a fine of not more than \$1,000, one year's imprisonment or both, and removal from employment.

18 U.S.C. § 641, Theft of Government Property, Records

This statute provides criminal penalties for the theft, embezzlement, knowing conversion, or unauthorized conveyance of any record, voucher, money, or "thing of value" (which, according to judicial interpretation, includes information) possessed by the United States Government. Said penalties include a fine or imprisonment of not more than 10 years, or both.

18 U.S.C. § 1831 et seq., Economic Espionage Act

This statute provides criminal penalties for theft of trade secrets, as that act is defined in the statute. It also provides criminal penalties for economic espionage, which the statute, in essence, defines as the theft of trade secrets to benefit a foreign power. The penalty for individuals convicted of theft of trade secrets under the statute includes a fine of not more than \$500,000, or imprisonment of not more

than ten years, or both, and for an organization includes a fine of not more than \$5 million. The penalty for individuals convicted of economic espionage under the statute includes a fine of not more than \$500,000, or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both, and for organizations includes a fine of not more than \$10 million. Penalties also include forfeiture of property used in or derived from trade secret theft or economic espionage.

The statute specifically does not prohibit any otherwise lawful activity conducted by a governmental entity of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision of a State, nor shall it be construed to affect the otherwise lawful disclosure of information by any government employee under FOIA. The statute also preserves the confidentiality of trade secrets in court proceedings brought thereunder.

5 CFR 2635.703, Office of Government Ethics—Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch

This section prohibits the improper use of non-public information by an Executive Branch employee to further his or her own private interest or that of another person. Non-public information is information that the employee gains by reason of Federal employment and that he or she knows or reasonably should know has not been made available to the general public. Section 2635.106 provides that any violation may be cause for appropriate corrective or disciplinary action pursuant to Government wide regulations or agency procedures, which action may be in addition to any action or penalty prescribed by law.

These sections have been incorporated by reference in the FTC's Rules. See 16 CFR 5.1 *et seq.*

15 U.S.C. § 50 (Federal Trade Commission Act) and 16 CFR 4.10(c) (Applies Only to FTC)

This section of the FTC Act (and the above-referenced Rule) provides that any officer or employee of the Commission who shall make public any information obtained by the Commission without its authority, unless directed by a court, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$5,000, or by imprisonment not exceeding one year, or by fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court.

II. Australia

A. Confidentiality Laws and Procedures

The Trade Practices Act 1974

Section 89 outlines the procedure for seeking an authorization from the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (as used in this Part II, Commission) in relation to certain anti-competitive conduct, and in doing so it outlines the circumstances in which confidentiality may be claimed in relation to information so placed before the Commission and thus excluded from the public register of applications for authorization. If the information contains particulars of a secret formula or process, cash consideration offered for shares or assets, or the current costs of manufacturing, producing or marketing goods or services, then it will be excluded from the public register. Further, if the information relates to anything else the Commission in its discretion considers to be confidential, it may exclude the information from the public register.

Where the Commission refuses a request to exclude such information from the public register on the basis of its confidential nature, the person who submitted the information may withdraw it, in which case that submission will not form part of the application for authorization.

Section 95 requires that the Commission keep a public register of notifications, particularly in relation to conduct which amounts to exclusive dealing. (Once notification is lodged, the corporation is permitted to engage in such conduct until otherwise notified by the Commission.) The section outlines the circumstances in which confidentiality may be claimed in relation to information so placed before the Commission and thus excluded from the public register of notification. If the information contains particulars of a secret formula or process, cash consideration offered for shares or assets, or the current costs of manufacturing, producing or marketing goods or services, then it will be excluded from the public register. Further, if the information relates to anything else the Commission in its discretion considers to be confidential, it may exclude the information from the public register.

Where the Commission refuses a request to exclude such information from the public register on the basis of its confidential nature, the person who submitted the information may withdraw it, in which case that submission will not form part of the notification.

The procedures for requesting that a document be excluded from the public register on the basis of its confidential nature under sections 89(5) and 95(2) can be found in regulation 24(1) of the *Trade Practices Regulations*.

Section 106 of the *Trade Practices Act 1974* grants the Australian Competition Tribunal, where it is satisfied that it is desirable to do so by reason of the confidential nature of any evidence or matter or for any other reason, the power to prohibit or restrict the publication of evidence given before it, whether in public or private, or of matters contained in documents filed or lodged with the Registrar, received in evidence by the Tribunal or placed in the records of the Tribunal.

Section 155AA of the Act provides that Commission officials must not disclose any protected Part IV information to any person except as part of the official's functions as a Commission official or when he/she is required by law to disclose the information. "Protected Part IV information" is defined as information relating to a matter under Part IV and which has been obtained by the Commission under section 155. Section 155 enables the Commission to require a person to answer questions, provide information or produce documents, if the Commission, the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson has reason to believe that a person is capable of furnishing information relating to a matter that may constitute a contravention of the *Trade Practices Act*.

Section 157 of the Act, amongst other things, provides that: (a) Where a corporation makes an application for authorization; or (b) where the Commission has instituted proceedings or made an application for an order against a corporation or other person, the Commission shall provide, at the request of the corporation or other person, a copy of every document furnished to or obtained by the Commission in connexion with the matter that tends to establish the case of the corporation or other person, other than documents obtained from the corporation or other person or prepared by an officer or professional adviser of the Commission. However, subsections (2) and (3) provide that, when the Commission declines to comply with such a request, a Court that is asked to order the Commission to comply may refuse to do so "if the Court considers it inappropriate to make the order by reason that the disclosure of the contents of the document or part of the document would prejudice any person or for any other reason."

The Freedom of Information Act of 1982

The *Freedom of Information Act 1982* gives members of the public rights of access to official documents of Commonwealth Government Ministers and agencies, limited only by exceptions and exemptions necessary for the protection of the essential public interests and the private and business affairs of persons in respect of whom information is collected and held by agencies. Of the categories of documents that are exempt from disclosure under FOI, those of relevance to antitrust authorities are:

Section 33(1) operates to exempt documents, the disclosure of which would or could be reasonably expected to cause damage to the security, defence or international relations of the Commonwealth or would divulge any information or matter communicated in confidence by or on behalf of a foreign government, an authority of a foreign government or an international organisation.

Section 36 operates to exempt documents where disclosure would disclose opinion, advice or recommendation, or consultation or deliberation relating to the deliberative processes involved in the functions of the Commission, and such disclosure would be contrary to the public interest.

Section 37 exempts documents if disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to, prejudice the conduct of an investigation, or the enforcement or proper administration of the law. Documents are also exempt if their disclosure under this Act would, or could reasonably be expected to, endanger the life or physical safety of any person.

Section 40(1)(d) exempts documents where disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to, have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of the Commission.

Section 43(1)(a) exempts documents containing trade secrets.

Section 43(1)(b) exempts documents containing information having a commercial value that would, or could reasonably be expected to, be destroyed or diminished if the information were disclosed.

Section 43(1)(c)(i) exempts documents where disclosure could be reasonably expected to unreasonably adversely affect a company in respect of its business affairs.

Section 43(1)(c)(ii) exempts documents where there is a reasonable expectation that disclosure would prejudice future supply of information to the Commission.

Section 45 exempts documents the disclosure of which would constitute a breach of confidence. This exemption relates to information communicated to the Commission in a relationship of confidence as indicated on its face or in circumstances imparting an obligation of confidentiality.

The Federal Court Act and the Federal Court Rules

Pursuant to Section 23 of the *Federal Court Act* and Order 15 of the *Federal Court Rules*, courts may, in proceedings before them, issue orders that information may not be disclosed or may be disclosed only in a certain way. In addition, Order 15 of the *Federal Court Rules* empowers persons seeking to avoid the production of documents subject to discovery, to rely on the claim that they are privileged from production, *e.g.*, the documents are subject to legal professional privilege, or to Crown privilege. (Order 15(17) preserves the right of parties to rely on any rule of law which authorizes or requires the withholding of any document on the grounds that its disclosure would be harmful to the public interest.)

The Privacy Act 1988

The *Privacy Act 1988* establishes a scheme to govern the collection, storage, security, access, use and disclosure of personal information by Commonwealth agencies through a set of rules called Information Privacy Principles. This scheme is subject to prescribed exceptions which limit an agency's use or disclosure of personal information (Information Privacy Principles 10 and 11).

The Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975

Section 36 of the *Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975* provides that, in proceedings before it, the Attorney General may certify that disclosure of a document would be contrary to the public interest, and the Tribunal must do everything to ensure that the information in the document is not disclosed other than to a member of the Tribunal.

The Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977

Under section 13 of the *Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977* an application may be made to the Commission for a statement in respect of a decision setting forth, *inter alia*, the reasons for the decision, the findings on material questions of fact, and a reference to the evidence on which the findings were based. Section

13A sets out information not required to be disclosed in response to such an application, including, information as to a person's business affairs which is supplied in confidence, or if published, would reveal a trade secret.

Under section 14, the Attorney General can certify that the disclosure of information would be contrary to the public interest.

The Public Service Regulations

Regulation 35 of the *Public Service Regulations* prohibits an officer from disclosing information obtained in the course of official duties unless authorised to do so.

The Evidence Act 1995

Section 130 of the *Evidence Act 1995* provides that a court (whether or not on the application of a person) may direct that a document relating to matters of state not be adduced as evidence on the grounds of public interest in preserving secrecy or confidentiality. Information will be taken to relate to matters of state if adducing it as evidence would, *inter alia*, prejudice the prevention, investigation or prosecution of an offence; prejudice the prevention or investigation of, or the conduct of proceedings for recovery of civil penalties brought with respect to, other contraventions of the law; or disclose the identity of existence of a confidential source of information relating to the enforcement or administration of the law.

Section 131 provides (subject to certain exceptions) that evidence is not to be adduced of communications made or documents prepared in the context of attempts to negotiate the settlement of a dispute.

The Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 1987

Section 43B of the *Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 1987* outlines restrictions on use of information sent to Australia in response to a request made by the Attorney General under the Act in relation to a criminal matter. It provides that such material is not used or disclosed intentionally for any purpose other than that for which it was requested unless the Attorney General has approved otherwise.

The restriction on unauthorised use of the material is extended to inadmissibility in evidence in any proceedings other than those for which it was obtained without the Attorney General's approval. In addition, any information, document, article or thing which has itself been obtained directly or indirectly from a person as a result of unapproved use of the material

received from the other country is also inadmissible in evidence in any proceedings other than those for which it was requested (or used for the purposes of any other investigation) without the Attorney General's approval.

Section 43B(4) provides a penalty of two years imprisonment for contravention of subsection (1).

Section 43C provides a penalty of two years imprisonment for intentional disclosure of the contents of a request for assistance, of the fact that a request has been made or of the fact that assistance has been granted or refused where the person has such knowledge as a result of his or her employment, unless such disclosure is necessary in the performance of his or her duties or the Attorney General has authorized such disclosure.

B. Laws and Procedures Providing Sanctions for Breaches of the Confidentiality Laws and Procedures *The Crimes Act 1914*

Section 70 of the *Crimes Act 1914* provides a penalty of two years imprisonment for unauthorized disclosure by a Commonwealth officer of information which the officer has a duty not to disclose.

The Privacy Act 1988

Under section 93 of the *Privacy Act 1988*, a confider may recover damages from a confidant in respect of a breach of confidence with respect to personal information.

The Freedom of Information Act 1982

Section 59 of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* provides that where an agency makes a decision that documents relating to the business, commercial or financial affairs of a company are not exempt documents under section 43, the company may apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal for a review of that decision.

Section 57 of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* provides that a person may complain to the Ombudsman concerning any action taken by an agency in the exercise of its powers and the performance of its functions under the Act. The Ombudsman cannot overturn the decision of an agency, although recommendations can be made to that agency or the responsible minister.

[FR Doc. 97-10401 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Extension of existing collection; Application for Nonresident Alien's Canadian Border Crossing Card.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" from June 23, 1997.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Nonresident Alien's Canadian Border Crossing Card.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form I-175. Office of Inspections, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or Households. The information collected is used to determine eligibility of an

applicant for issuance of a Canadian Border Crossing Card to facilitate entry into the United States.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 9,200 responses at 20 minutes (.333) per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 3,063 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-616-7600, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 21, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-10623 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Extension of existing collection; Visa Waiver Pilot Program Carrier Agreement.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" from June 23, 1997.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies